Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2007 December 24; 48(52): 9144–9147.

Synthesis and characterization of aryl thioacetyl styrene monomers: Towards a new generation of SERS-active polymers

Baker Jawabrah Al-Hourani, Juan P. Bravo-Vasquez, L. Hermann High, and Hicham Fenniri*

National Institute for Nanotechnology and Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta 11421 Saskatchewan Drive, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2M9, Canada

Abstract

A new family of thioacetyl styrene derivatives was synthesized in good isolated yields for the preparation of spectroscopically-encoded SERS-active polymers.

Keywords

Styrene Monomers; Thioacetyls; Thiols; Sulfurs; Combinatorial chemistry; barcoded polymers

The barcoded resins (BCRs) are spectroscopically encoded polymers with unique Raman and infrared vibrational spectra.¹ Each BCR may be used as a carrier for a small molecule or a receptor and used in encoded combinatorial screening or as a high-throughput diagnostic tool, respectively. Because of their unique polymeric matrix composition, the BCRs may be simultaneously identified using hyperspectral² and/or time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry³ imaging/mapping. To expand the repertoire of BCRs, we have also shown that over 600 BCRs may be produced from a small set of unique styrene monomers.⁴ While we are currently developing useful applications for this technology platform, we are also looking at our next challenges. In particular, we envision that the combination of Raman spectroscopy and flow cytometry⁵ would not only allow us to implement directed sorting⁶ strategies for library synthesis but would also dramatically expedite BCR identification, screening, and sorting. Although Raman spectroscopy is limited by its low signal to noise ratio, we have been able to record reliable spectra of the BCRs in 10 ms using state-of-the-art instrumentation,² which could translate to a screening speed of 10–100 beads/s under optimal conditions. To further push this limit and reduce the cost associated with the Raman detection set-up, we opted to design a new family of BCR-metal nanoparticle (BCR-NP) composites that can be detected with very high sensitivity using surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS).⁷ To take advantage of the SERS effect, the encoded polymer must be chemically or physically adsorbed on the surface of a SERS-active nanoparticle (silver or gold). To achieve this goal and impart high stability to the resulting BCR-NP composite we synthesized a new family of chemically stable mercapto-styrene co-monomers each with a unique vibrational signature (Chart 1). Because of their high affinity for metallic surfaces, we reasoned that the thiol groups would react with the nanoparticle surface to generate a self-assembled monolayer, which can then be copolymerized with a styrene monomer in a suspension polymerization set-up. In this letter,

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 780 641 1750; fax: +1 780 641 1601; e-mail: Hicham.Fenniri@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data available: Representative experimental procedures and spectroscopic data.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

we report the synthesis and characterization of a new family of thioacetyl-styrene monomers. We also discuss how their unique vibrational fingerprints could be modulated to generate a combinatorial library of SERS-active BCRs.

Flatt et al.⁸ and de Boer et al.⁹ have previously reported the synthesis of aryl thioacetyl derivatives by reacting aryl halides with 2 equivalent *n*-butyllithium (*n*-BuLi), followed by addition of sulfur (S₈), and trapping with acetyl chloride. de Boer et al. reported a yield of 33% whereas Flatt et al. stated that the synthesis proceeded in poor yield (7%). In our laboratories, reaction of bromostyrene **2a** with *n*-BuLi (1.0 equivalent) at -78°C followed by addition of S₈, warming up to 0°C, then addition of acetyl chloride at -78°C afforded the butylsulfide derivatives **6a** in 94% yield with no trace of the target thioacetyl **5a** (Scheme 1).⁶ Compound **6a** is the product of S_N2 reaction between the lithium thiolate anion **3a** and by-product *n*-butylbromide. However, with 2 equivalents of *n*-BuLi (to quench the *n*-butylbromide) thioacetyl **5a** did form but in poor yield (7%), in agreement with de Boer's report.⁹ Jacob et al.¹⁰ reported the synthesis of aryl thioacetyls from aryl iodide using *t*-butyllithium (*t*-BuLi), S₈, and acetyl chloride in 12–49% yields. Again, executing the reaction with *t*-BuLi, to avoid the reaction of thiolate anion **3a** with *n*-BuBr, gave neither compound **6a** nor the desired thioacetyl **5a**.

Here we developed a simple method to prepare *p*-mercaptostyrene derivatives **5a–e** (Chart 1) from aryl halides **2a–e** in very good yields (Chart 2). First, starting materials **2b**,^{11–15} **2c**,^{16, 17} and **2d**,^{18, 19} were synthesized in three steps each starting from aryl bromide derivatives **1b–d** (Chart 2) according to previously reported procedures in 78%, 71%, and 80% overall yield (3 steps), respectively.

All the reactions were monitored by GC-MS to determine the best reaction time and conditions. The synthetic strategy for the preparation of **5a–e** is shown in Scheme 1 and the results are summarized in Table 1. In fact the main difference with de Boer's reported strategy is that we have chosen a solvent system and optimized the reaction time to reduce by-product formation and enhance the yield of the target molecules.

Raman and SERS spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Almega system equipped with a CCD detector, an optical microscope, and a 780 nm excitation laser line. Spectra were collected in high-resolution mode in the 400–1900 cm⁻¹ range with accumulation times ranging from 1 to 10 s. All measurements were made in backscattering geometry using a 10× microscope objective with a NA value of 0.90, providing scattering areas of 1 μm². Raman spectra of the monomers were directly recorded on the bulk material. Samples for SERS were prepared by casting 2 μL of an ethanolic solution of the monomer (10⁻⁴ M) on ~5 mm² areas of a 9 nm mass thickness silver island film. The latter was prepared by physical vapor deposition on a glass substrate using a Kurt J. Lesker 4 pocket electron beam evaporation unit. During the film deposition the background pressure was 10⁻⁶ Torr, and the deposition rate (0.5 Å/s) was monitored using an XTC Inficon quartz crystal oscillator.²⁰ The Raman and SERS spectra were converted to a barcode by extracting the peak position for each particular monomer (Figure 1).

Thioacetyl styrene monomers have the potential to facilitate the synthesis of functional copolymers, especially in applications in polymer-nanoparticle nanocomposites.²¹ Surprisingly, no high yield synthesis of mercaptostyrene monomers has been reported. Our interest in developing a combinatorial family of copolymers drove us to optimize a high yielding strategy for their preparation. All the reactions were followed by GC-MS in order to determine the yield, product distribution, and optimal reaction time. Following method 1,^{9, 10} the experiments were carried out under kinetically controlled conditions yielding thioacetyls **5b–d** in poor yields along with the formation of several by products (**6–9**) (Table 1). However,

the unsubstituted thioacetyls **5a,e** were obtained in 49% and 72% yields, respectively. Clearly, method 1 was ineffective for the preparation of thioacetylated styrene monomers **5b–d** because the presence of the alkyl group on the benzene ring increases the reactivity of the intermediate thiolate anions in THF. To overcome this problem, the synthesis of thioacetyl styrene monomer **5b** was successfully achieved in a mixture of THF:petroleum ether (1:1) (method 2) as a result of an improved solubility of sulfur and an enhanced stability of the lithium intermediates **3** and **4**. As anticipated, the formation of the target compounds **5a–e**, was accomplished in good isolated yields of 70%, 63%, 69%, 72%, and 72%, respectively (Table 1). It is important to point out that the reaction scheme is also base-dependent. For instance, attempts at synthesizing the dialkylated thioacetyl **5c** monomer using *t*-BuLi instead of *n*-BuLi were unsuccessful, even at -50°C . In contrast, *n*-BuLi led to the formation of the lithium thiolate **4c** almost instantly at -75°C following Method 1 and in ca. 3 min using Method 2.

The defining characteristic of the BCRs is their preparation from spectroscopically active styrene monomers displaying unique IR and Raman vibrational fingerprints. The styrene monomers' substitution pattern is the source of spectral diversity of the resulting polymers. Compounds **5a–e** were selected on the basis of their (a) ability to form stable monolayers on metal nanoparticles (Ag, Au), (b) unique Raman and SERS spectral features, (c) relative chemical inertness, and (d) amenability to polymerization in a standard suspension polymerization reaction. Detailed analysis of the Raman and SERS spectra of each monomer resulted in a set of unique vibrations. These vibrations were converted into barcodes in which the position of each bar matches the peak wavenumber in the corresponding spectrum (Figure 1).¹ The frequencies used to generate the barcodes are mainly due to aromatic ring skeletal bending and C–H stretching modes. We have previously established that the vibrational spectra (and barcodes) of the co-polymers are, in all cases studied, a linear combination of the parent homopolymers' frequencies, in addition to new vibrations unique to the co-polymers.¹ This result was critical in streamlining the choice of mercaptostyrene targets synthesized here and will be instrumental in the generation of a library of SERS-active BCRs from **5a–e**.⁴

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient strategy for the preparation of *p*-thioacetyl styrene derivatives in good yields with a reaction time of less than an hour. We have shown that this reaction sequence is particularly sensitive to temperature, solvent and reaction time. In particular, stabilization of the aryl lithium intermediates was critical in improving the yield of the target compounds, and reducing by-product formation. We have also shown that the *p*-thioacetyl styrene derivatives have a significant SERS response when deposited on silver island films suggesting their possible application as spectroscopic tags, and more importantly for the generation of novel SERS-active polymers. This work is currently in progress and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Research Council's Genomics and Health Initiative, the National Institute for Nanotechnology, the US National Institutes of Health (NIH EB03824) and the University of Alberta for supporting this program.

References

- (a) Fenniri H, Ding L, Ribbe AE, Zyrianov Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:8151–8152. [PubMed: 11506586] (b) Fenniri H, Chun S, Ding L, Zyrianov Y, Hallenga K. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:10546–10560. [PubMed: 12940737] (c) Raez J, Blais DR, Zhang Y, Alvarez-Puebla RA, Bravo-Vasquez JP, Pezacki JP, Fenniri H. Langmuir 2007;23:6482–6485. [PubMed: 17474764] (d) Bravo-Vasquez JP, Alvarez-Puebla RA, Fenniri H. Sens. & Actuators B 2007;125:357–359.

2. (a) Fenniri H, Terreau N, Chun S, Oh SJ, Finney WF, Morris MD. *J. Comb. Chem* 2006;8:192–198. [PubMed: 16529514] (b) Fenniri H, Hedderich HG, Haber KS, Achkar J, Taylor B, Ben-Amotz D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2000;39:4483–4485.
3. Chun S, Xu J, Cheng J, Ding L, Winograd N, Fenniri H. *J. Comb. Chem* 2006;8:18–25. [PubMed: 16398549]
4. Fenniri H, Chun S, Terreau O, Bravo–Vasquez J–P. *J. Comb. Chem* 2007;9in press
5. (a) Gao X, Nie S. *Anal. Chem* 2004;76:2406–2410. [PubMed: 15080756] (b) Drenski MF, Mignard E, Alb AM, Reed WF. *J. Comb. Chem* 2004;6:710–716. [PubMed: 15360205] (c) Trau M, Battersby BJ. *Adv. Mat* 2001;13:975–979. Ormerod, MG., editor. *Flow Cytometry: A Practical Approach*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2000. Keren, DF.; McCoy, JP., Jr.; Carey, JL., editors. *Flow Cytometry in Clinical Diagnosis*. ASCP Press; Chicago: 2001. Rudbruch, A., editor. *Flow Cytometry and Cell Sorting*. Springer; New York: 2000.
6. (a) Nicolaou KC, Xiao X–Y, Parandoosh Z, Senyei A, Nova MP. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1995;34:2289–2291. (b) Moran EJ, Sarshar S, Cargill JF, Shahbaz MJM, Lio A, Mjalli AM, Armstrong RW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:10787–10788. (c) Czarnik T, Nova M. *Chem. Brit* 1997;33:39–41.
7. Aroca, RF. *Surface Enhanced Vibrational Spectroscopy*. Wiley; New York: 2006. (b) Kneipp K, Kneipp H, Kneipp J. *Accounts of Chemical Research* 2006;39:443–450. [PubMed: 16846208]
8. Flatt AK, Dirk SM, Henderson JC, Shen DE, Su J, Reed MA, Tour JM. *Tetrahedron* 2003;59:8555–8570.
9. De Boer B, Meng H, Perepichka DF, Zheng J, Frank MM, Chabal YJ, Bao Z. *Langmuir* 2003;19:4272–4284.
10. Ciszek JW, Tour JM. *Tetrahedron letters* 2004;45:2801–2803.
11. Donya AP, Pakter MK. *Ukrainskii Khimicheskii Zhurnal* 1996;62:136–141. Russian Edition
12. Dawson MI, Hobbs PD, Derdzinski K, Chan RL–S, Gruber J, Chao W, Smith S, Thies RW, Schif LJ. *J. Med. Chem* 1984;27:1516–1531. [PubMed: 6208361]
13. (a) Combs AP, Zhu W, Crawley ML, Glass B, Polam P, Sparks RB, Modi D, Takvorian A, McLaughlin E, Yue EW, Wasserman Z, Bower M, Wei M, Rupar M, Ala PJ, Reid BM, Ellis D, Gonnevillie L, Emm T, Taylor N, Yeleswaram S, Li Y, Wynn R, Burn TC, Hollis G, Liu PCC, Metcalf B. *J. Med. Chem* 2006;49:3774–3789. [PubMed: 16789735] (b) Salvino, Joseph M.; Mervic, Miljenko; Mason, Helen J.; Kiesow, Terence; Teager, David; Airey, John; Labaudiniere, Richard. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:1823–1830. [PubMed: 11674270]
14. Jørgensen M, Krebs FC. *J. Org. Chem* 2004;69:6688–6696. [PubMed: 15387591]
15. (a) Quan W, Ma J, Peng X, Wu T, She X, Pan X. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2005;16:2231–2234. (b) Vedejs E, Meier GP, Snoble KAJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1981;103:2823–2831.
16. (a) Donya AP, Pakter MK. *Vysokomolekulyarnye Soedineniya, Seriya A i Seriya B* 1994;36:2068–2073. (b) Dokukina AF, Koton MM, Mineeva OK, Paribok VA. *Zhurnal Obshchei Khimii* 1956;26:1849–1851. (c) Dokukina AF, Koton MM, Mineeva OK, Paribok VA. *Zhurnal Obshchei Khimii* 1956;26:1651–1653.
17. (a) Brizius G, Pschirer NG, Steffen W, Stitzer K, Loye H–CZ, Bunz UHF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:12435–12440. (b) Yamamoto K, Ikeda T, Kitsuki T, Okamoto Y, Chikamatsu H, Nakazaki M. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* 1990;2:271–276.
18. (a) Burke EA. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science* 1980;NS27:1760–1764. (b) Antuf'ev VV, Dokukina AF, Votinov MP, Suntsov EV, Boldyrev AG. *Vysokomolekulyarnye Soedineniya* 1965;7:380–384. (c) Nakazaki M, Yamamoto K, Ikeda T, Kitsuki T, Okamoto Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1983;14:787–788.
19. (a) Hiroshi U, Takashi S, Kouichi A, Susumu K, Hisashi S, Takahisa M, Yasumasa K, Tomoko O, Yuki S, Iichiro K. *PCT Int. Appl* 2007;058:1247.392, 2007Chem. Abstr 2007;147:9930. (b) Ruminski PG, Clare M, Collins PW, Desai BN, Lindmark RJ, Rico JG, Rogers TE, Russell MA, et al. *PCT Int. Appl* 1997;9708 145Chem. Abstr 1997;126:264011.
20. Alvarez–Puebla RA, Cui B, Bravo–Vasquez J–P, Veres T, Fenniri HJ. *Phys. Chem. C* 2007;111:6720–6723.
21. (a) Li S, Yang X, Huang W. *Macromol. Chem. Phys* 2005;206:1967–1972. (b) Beecroft L, Ober CK. *Chem. Mater* 1997;9:1302–1317. (c) Caseri W. *Macromol. Rapid Commun* 2000;21:705–722. (d) Fan J, Bozzola JJ, Gao Y. *J. Coll. Interf. Sci* 2002;254:108–112.

22. Chen LS, Chen GJ, Tamborsk CJ. J. Organometal. Chem 1981;215:281–291.

Chart 1.
Target mercapto-styrene co-monomers.

Chart 2.

Scheme 1.

Method 1: (i) *n*-BuLi (1.0 equ.), THF, -78°C ; (ii) *S*₈ (1 equ.), $-78 \rightarrow 20^{\circ}\text{C}$; (iii) Ac₂O, -78°C .
 Method 2: (i) *n*-BuLi (1.0 equ.), THF:petroleum ether (1:1), -78°C ; (ii) *S*₈ (1.0 equ.), $-78 \rightarrow 20^{\circ}\text{C}$; (iii) Ac₂O (1.0 equ.), -78°C , then H₂O/Et₂O. See supporting information for more detail.

Figure 1. Raman (A) and SERS (B) spectra of compounds **5a**–**5e** and their respective barcodes.

Table 1

Yields of compounds **5–9** using Method 1 (Scheme 1). The numbers in bracket correspond to yields obtained using Method 2. All the yields are based on GC–MS data except for compounds **5a–d** for which the yield of isolated material is also given (^a).

	a	b	c	d	e
	$R_1 = H$ $R_2 = H$ $R_3 = H$	$R_1 = H$ $R_2 = CH_3$ $R_3 = H$	$R_1 = CH_3$ $R_2 = H$ $R_3 = CH_3$	$R_4 = CH_3$	$R_4 = H$
5	49 (74) 43 (70) ^{aa}	30 (69) 23 (63) ^{aa}	8 (74) 6 (69) ^{aa}	37 (76) 32 (72) ^{aa}	72 (74) 69 (72) ^{aa}
6	1 (3)	0 (3)	3 (0)	2 (1)	0 (2)
7	0 (3)	7 (6)	1 (7)	3 (2)	0 (5)
8	10 (11)	8 (12)	30 (16)	20 (15)	12 (14)
9	7 (2)	16 (4)	27 (0)	23 (0)	6 (0)

^a Isolated yields