Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2007 December ; 48(51): 8967–8971.

Effective Procedure for Selective Ammonolysis of Monosubstituted Oxiranes: Application to E7389 Synthesis

Yosuke Kaburagi and Yoshito Kishi*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Abstract

A highly effective procedure is reported to synthesize 1,2-aminoalcohols by regio- and chemo-selective ammonolysis of monosubstituted epoxides. Additive- and concentration-effects were studied, revealing that: (1) methanesulfonic acid is most effective among the additives tested and (2) formation of bis-adducts is practically eliminated at $[C] \leq 40$ mM. The optimum condition thus identified was successfully applied to the final step of the synthesis of potent anti-tumor compound E7389.

1,2-Aminoalcohols **2** (Scheme 1) are important building blocks in the synthesis of natural products, pharmaceuticals, and other substances.¹ Among several synthetic methods known, ammonolysis of 1,2-epoxides **1** is one of the most attractive and commonly used methods,² coupled with the fact that optically active 1,2-epoxides have become readily available in recent years.^{3–6} However, there are three intrinsic limitations that could potentially make this process impractical. First, the nucleophilic attack of ammonia can take place at the 1- or 2-position, i.e., **1**→**2** vs. **1**→**3**. Second, the resultant 1,2-aminoalcohols **2** can react with the starting material **1**, to yield the corresponding secondary amines **4**. Because a primary amine is more nucleophilic than ammonia, this side reaction often presents a problem. Usually, this problem is circumvented by use of a large excess of ammonia at low concentration, which is not necessarily ideal for a large-scale synthesis. Third, the ammonolysis is usually slow and often suffers from low conversion. To overcome this difficulty, the microwave assisted method has been reported in recent years.⁷ Overall, although ammonolysis of 1,2-epoxides is a direct and attractive synthetic route to 1,2-aminoalcohols, problems still remain to achieve this chemical transformation effectively and economically.

Related to the ammonolysis summarized in Scheme 1, we should note that aminolysis of epoxides with primary and secondary amines have been a subject for extensive research. To overcome the intrinsic limitations noted above, three type of approaches, i.e., (1) use of metal amides (aluminium,⁸ magnesium,⁹ lead,¹⁰ tin,¹¹ and silicon¹²), (2) use of metal salts such as LiClO₄¹³ and lanthanide(III) triflates,¹⁴ and (3) use of amines absorbed on alumina,¹⁵ have been introduced.

The potential problems associated with the ammonolysis of epoxides came to our attention in connection with the synthesis of an anti-tumor drug candidate E7389 (**7**), a structurally simplified analog of the marine natural product halichondrins (Scheme 2).^{16–18} Namely, the last step in the synthesis of E7389 (**7**) is the ammonolysis of the epoxide **6**, generated *in situ*

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-617-495-4679; fax: +1-617-495-5150; e-mail: kishi@chemistry.harvard.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

from the mono-mesylate **5**.¹⁶ Based on the published experimental procedure, we speculate that this step most likely suffers from the problems discussed in a general context.

Basically, we were curious about the possibility of activating 1,2-epoxides by an acid. In this connection, the reports by Crotti and coworkers are instructive; they demonstrated that lanthanide(III) triflates such as Yb(OTf)₃, Nd(OTf)₃, and Gd(OTf)₃ catalyze the aminolysis of 1,2-epoxides in a non-protic solvent, to yield the corresponding aminoalcohols in a highly stereo- and regio-selective manner.¹⁴ Reymond and coworkers extended the Yb(OTf)₃-mediated method to ammonolysis of 1,2-epoxides in ethanol, to obtain the desired aminoalcohols in high yields.¹⁹ In addition, Koyama and Fujikawa claimed in their patent that ammonium halide improves the ammonolysis of epoxides.²⁰

In terms of the enhancement of reactivity and the improvement of regioselectivity, the examples cited above suggest that lanthanide(III) triflates appear to give a solution for the ammonolysis/aminolysis of 1,2-epoxides. To the best of our knowledge, however, there is no study reported to suppress the formation of dimer **4** and conduct the ammonolysis in a practically acceptable concentration. Thus, we decided to study the ammonolysis of a 1,2-epoxide in the presence of acids. Using the epoxide **1a** (Table 1), we examined the effect of acids and concentrations on the product distribution.

To begin with, we examined the effects of Yb(OTf)₃ on the ammonolysis of **1a**. Thus, **1a** was treated with ammonia in ethanol (ammonia-saturated EtOH, [C]=40 mM) in the presence and absence of Yb(OTf)₃ (10 mol%) at 70 °C and the product analysis was conducted after acetylation of the crude product (Entry 3a vs. Entry 13a in Table 1), thereby revealing the following. First, no significant difference in the ammonolysis rate was noticed between the two cases. Considering that a large excess of ammonia was used for these experiments, this observation was not totally unexpected. Second, the aminoalcohol corresponding to **3a** was not detected in the crude product for both cases (¹H NMR). Third, Yb(OTf)₃ appeared to have a small effect on the **2a:4a** ratio, i.e., the ratio was slightly better for Entry 3a than for Entry 13a. Interestingly, at room temperature, the Yb(OTf)₃-effect on the ratio was not seen (Entry 3b vs. Entry 13b).

We then studied the concentration effect on the dimer formation in the presence of Yb(OTf)₃ (Entries 1 through 4), thereby revealing that only a very small amount of **4a** was detected at [C] ≤ 40 mM, whereas a significant amount of the dimer **4a** was found at [C] ≥ 80 mM.²¹

Encouraged by these results, we tested other Lewis and Brønsted acids. Sc(OTf)₃ (10 mol%) gave the result similar, but slightly inferior, to that with Yb(OTf)₃ (Entry 5). Among the Brønsted acids tested, methanesulfonic acid (MsOH)²² was found to be slightly more effective than Yb(OTf)₃, but hydrochloric acid (NH₄Cl) and acetic acid (NH₄OAc) were found to be less effective (Entry 11 and 12). Notably, 10 mol% of Yb(OTf)₃ was sufficient to cause the optimum effect, whereas more than stoichiometric amount of MsOH was required (Entries 7ab, 9, and 10).

Practically, approximately 5 equivalents of MsOH seemed to be required to achieve the maximum **2a:4a** ratio. Overall, the effectiveness order of tested-acids is as follows: MsOH (5 equiv.) ≥ Yb(OTf)₃ (0.1 equiv.) > NH₄Cl (5 equiv.) > NH₄OAc (5 equiv.) > Sc(OTf)₃ (0.1 equiv.). Considering the cost effectiveness and operational simplicity, we would recommend the ammonolysis in the presence of excess MsOH over Yb(OTf)₃.

The concentration dependency of the product distribution in the presence of MsOH (Entries 6 through 8) was found to be similar to that in the presence of Yb(OTf)₃. Namely, only a very small amount of **4a** was detected at [C] ≤ 40 mM, whereas a significant amount of the dimer **4a** was found at [C] ≥ 80 mM. The temperature effect on the **2a:4a** ratio was found to be

negligibly small, although the ratio at 70 °C appeared to be very slightly better than that at room temperature (Entries 6a vs. 6b, 7a vs. 7b, 8a vs. 8b). Finally, it is worthwhile noting that no detectable regioisomer **3a** was detected in the crude product (¹H NMR).²³ Overall, this ammonolysis can best be achieved in NH₃-saturated EtOH in the presence of MeOH (5 equiv.) at [C] ≤ 40 mM. Under this condition, additional two epoxides were selectively converted into the corresponding aminoalcohols in high yields.²⁴

With these results in hand, we then applied the MsOH-mediated ammonolysis to the synthesis of E7389 (**7**). In this case, the epoxide **6** was prepared *in situ* by ammonia treatment of the corresponding mono-mesylate **5**;¹⁶ with respect to the amount of MsOH present in the reaction media, the reported ammonolysis condition corresponds to Entry 10 in Table 1. In extrapolating the optimal conditions found in the substrate **1a**, we anticipated the optimum condition for the ammonolysis of **5** to be “in the presence of additional four or more equivalents of MsOH in EtOH at [C] = 40 mM at 70 °C”.²⁵ Thus, the mono-mesylate **5** was treated with ammonia in ethanol in the presence of 5 equivalents of MsOH at room temperature²⁷ at [C] = 40 mM, to furnish E7389 (**7**) methanesulfonate¹⁶ in 93% yield.²⁸ The ¹H NMR analysis of **7** and its *O,N*-diacetate revealed that the product thus obtained was not contaminated with a detectable amount of side-product(s).

In summary, we reported an effective MsOH-mediated ammonolysis of mono-substituted epoxides. Under the optimum condition, i.e., EtOH saturated with NH₃ in the presence of MsOH (5 equiv.) at [C] ≤ 40 mM, the ammonolysis of **1a** can be achieved in a highly selective manner, to furnish the desired 1,2-aminoalcohol **2a** in excellent chemical yields. The usefulness of this procedure was demonstrated by the case of E7389 (**7**) synthesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (CA 22215) and Eisai Research Institute for generous financial support.

References

1. Möller, F. *Methoden der Organischen Chemie* (Houben-Weyl). 4th. Müller, E., editor. 11. Stuttgart: Thieme-Verlag; 1957. p. 311-326.
2. For selected examples since 2001 see: (a) Gautier A, Mulatier JC, Crassous J, Dutasta JP. *Org Lett* 2005;7:1207. [PubMed: 15787468] (b) Shimogawa H, Kwon Y, Mao Q, Kawazoe Y, Choi Y, Asada S, Kigoshi H, Uesugi M. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:3461. [PubMed: 15025473] (c) Liu CH, Wang B, Li WZ, Yun LH, Liu Y, Su RB, Li J, Liu H. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2004;12:4701. [PubMed: 15358296] (d) Stachel SJ, Coburn CA, Steele TG, Jones KG, Loutzenhiser EF, Gregro AR, Rajapakse HA, Lai MT, Crouthamel MC, Xu M, Tugusheva K, Lineberger JE, Pietrak BL, Espeseth AS, Shi XP, Chen-Dodson E, Holloway MK, Munshi S, Simon AJ, Kuo L, Vacca JP. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:6447. [PubMed: 15588077] (e) Guangyou Z, Yuqing L, Zhaohui W, Nohira H, Hirose T. *Tetrahedron Asymm* 2003;14:3297. (f) Hu B, Ellingboe J, Gunawan I, Han S, Largis E, Li Z, Malamas M, Mulvey R, Oliphant A, Sum FW, Tillett J, Wong V. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2001;11:757. [PubMed: 11277513]
3. Several well-developed methods are now available to obtain optically active epoxides, including asymmetric epoxidation of olefins,⁴ asymmetric dihydroxylation of olefins,⁵ asymmetric reduction of ketones,⁶ and others.
4. Chiral epoxides are available via asymmetric epoxidation of allylic alcohols pioneered by Sharpless (Katsuki T, Sharpless KB. *J Am Chem Soc* 1980;102:5974.), (salen)manganese complex-based asymmetric epoxidation developed by Jacobsen (Jacobsen EN, Zhang W, Muci AR, Ecker JR, Deng L. *J Am Chem Soc* 1991;113:7063.) and by Katsuki (Irie R, Noda K, Ito Y, Matsumoto N, Katsuki T.

Tetrahedron Lett 1990;31:7345.), hydrolytic kinetic resolutions of racemic epoxides pioneered by Jacobsen (Tokunaga M, Larrow JF, Kakiuchi F, Jacobsen EN. Science 1997;277:936. [PubMed: 9252321]), and chiral ketone-based asymmetric epoxidation developed by Shi (Tu Y, Wang ZX, Shi Y. J Am Chem Soc 1996;118:9806.) and others. There are numerous reviews published to cover these subjects. For a recent review, see see: Xia QH, Ge HQ, Ye CP, Liu ZM, Su KX. Chem Rev 2005;105:1603. [PubMed: 15884785] For recent monographs, see: Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis 2nd Ojima I Wiley-VCH New York 2000 Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis Jacobsen EN Pfaltz A Yamamoto H Springer New York 1999 Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II Abel EW Stone FG Wilkinson G New York Pergamon 1995 12 (volume edited by Hegedus, L. S.) For asymmetric epoxidation of homoallylic alcohols, see: Zhang W, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:286. [PubMed: 17212403]

5. For chiral epoxide synthesis via chiral dihydroxylation, see: Kolb HC, Sharpless KB. Tetrahedron 1992;48:10515. and references cited therein.
6. For chiral epoxides via asymmetric reduction of ketones, see: (a) Corey EJ, Helal CJ. Tetrahedron Lett 1993;34:5227. (b) Ramachandran PV, Gong B, Brown HC. J Org Chem 1995;60:41. (c) Kitamura M, Tokunaga M, Noyori R. J Am Chem Soc 1995;117:2931., and references cited therein.
7. For the microwave-assisted ammonolysis/aminolysis, see: (a) Sabitha G, Subba Reddy BV, Abraham S, Yadav JS. Green Chemistry 1999:251. (b) Favretto L, Nugent WA, Licini G. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:2581. (c) Wellner E, Sandin H, Swanstein ML. Syn Lett 2004:1817. (d) Sello G, Orsini F, Bernasconi S, Di Gennaro P. Tetrahedron Asymm 2006;17:372.
8. Overman LE, Flippin LA. Tetrahedron Lett 1981;22:195.
9. Carre MC, Houmounou JP, Caubere P. Tetrahedron Lett 1985;26:3107.
10. Yamada J, Yumoto M, Yamamoto Y. Tetrahedron Lett 1989;30:4255.
11. Fiorenza M, Ricci A, Taddei M, Tassi D. Synthesis 1983:640.
12. Papini A, Ricci A, Taddei M. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1984;1:2261.
13. Chini M, Crotti P, Macchia F. Tetrahedron Lett 1990;31:4661.
14. Chini M, Crotti P, Favero L, Macchia F, Pineschi M. Tetrahedron Lett 1994;35:433.
15. (a) Posner GH, Rogers DZ. J Am Chem Soc 1977;99:8208. (b) Posner GH. Angew Chem Int Ed 1978;17:487.
16. (a) Zheng W, Seletsky BM, Palme MH, Lydon PJ, Singer LA, Chase CE, Lemelin CA, Shen Y, Davis H, Tremblay L, Towle MJ, Salvato KA, Wels BF, Aalfs KK, Kishi Y, Littlefield BA, Yu MJ. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2004;14:5551. [PubMed: 15482922] Littlefield, BA.; Palme, MH.; Seletsky, BM.; Towle, MJ.; Yu, MJ.; Zheng, W. U.S. Patent 6214865, 6365759, and International Patent WO99/65894.
17. For the synthetic work on the marine natural product halichondrins from this laboratory, see: (a) Aicher TD, Buszek KR, Fang FG, Forsyth CJ, Jung SH, Kishi Y, Matelich MC, Scola PM, Sper DM, Yoon SK. J Am Chem Soc 1992;114:3162. (b) Choi, Hw; Demeke, D.; Kang, FA.; Kishi, Y.; Nakajima, K.; Nowak, P.; Wan, ZK.; Xie, C. Pure Appl Chem 2003;75:1. and the references cited therein. (c) Namba K, Jun HS, Kishi Y. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:7770. [PubMed: 15212512] (d) Namba K, Kishi Y. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:15382. [PubMed: 16262397] (e) Kaburagi Y, Kishi Y. Org Lett 2007;9:723. [PubMed: 17286380] and references cited therein.
18. Jordan and co-workers recently reported that the primary antimetabolic mechanism of action of E7389 is suppression of microtubule growth; see: Jordan MA, Kamath K, Manna T, Okouneva T, Miller HP, Davis C, Littlefield BA, Wilson L. Mol Cancer Ther 2005;4:1086. [PubMed: 16020666]
19. Wahler D, Badalassi F, Crotti P, Reymond JL. Chem Eur J 2002;8:3211.
20. Koyama, H.; Fujikawa, H. Japan Patent #2618713. 1997.
21. The concentration of ammonolysis reported by Reymond and coworkers was approximately 125 mM.
19
22. *p*-Toluenesulfonic acid was shown to be as effective as MsOH.
23. As anticipated, styrene oxide gave a significant amount of the regioisomer, along with the dimers. Even for this case, the effect of MsOH on the formation of both regio- and dimers was noticed.

24. Additional two substrates **a** and **b** were subjected to ammonolysis under the optimum condition, to give the expected aminoalcohols in almost quantitative yields.

25. Two model substrates **c** and **d** were first used to demonstrate that the identified optimum condition is effective for the transformation of **5** into **7**. The substrates **c** and **d** were synthesized from the known crystalline dibenzoate, i.e., the dibenzoate **15** reported in reference ^{17b}, in 3 (1. BnBr/TBAI/Ag₂O/CH₂Cl₂/RT, 2. aq. KOH/MeOH-THF/RT, 3. *p*-TsCl/*n*-Bu₂SnO/Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂/RT²⁶) and 4 (1. H₂/Pd(OH)₂ on C/EtOH/RT, 2. MeI/Ag₂O/MS 4Å/RT, 3. aq. KOH/MeOH-THF/RT, 4. *p*-TsCl/*n*-Bu₂SnO/Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂/RT²⁶) steps, respectively.

26. Martinelli MJ, Vaidyanathan R, Pawlak JM, Nayyar NK, Dhokte UP, Doecke CW, Zollars LMH, Moher ED, Van Khau V, Košmrlj B. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:3578. [PubMed: 11929246]
27. This ammonolysis was conducted also at 70 °C for 10 hr at [C]=20 mM in a 9.4-mg scale, to furnish the desired product over 95% yield. The purity of the crude product thus obtained was found to be as good as that of the product obtained at room temperature (¹H NMR analysis of the crude product and its *O,N*-diacetate).

28. A 10-mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirbar and a rubber septum fitted with an ammonia inlet needle and a pressure equilibrating needle was charged with **5** (18.8 mg, 0.0232 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and EtOH (0.58 mL). Ammonia gas was bubbled through the needle for 5 min, and then MsOH (10 vol% in EtOH, 75 μ L, 0.116 mmol, 5 equiv.) was added. The vial was tightly capped. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 days. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. To the residue was added EtOAc, and the suspension was passed through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and dried under vacuum to give **7** (17.8 mg, 93%) as a colorless amorphous.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Reagents and conditions: (a) EtOH saturated with NH_3 , MsOH (5 equiv.), $[\text{C}]=40 \text{ mM}$, RT, 3.5 days, 93% yield.

Table 1
Effect of Additive and Concentration on Product Distribution

Entry	Additive	Concentration	Temperature ^a	Ratio 2a:4a
1	Yb(OTf) ₃ •nH ₂ O (0.1 eq)	160 mM	70	100:12
2	Yb(OTf) ₃ •nH ₂ O (0.1 eq)	80 mM	70	100:11
3a	Yb(OTf) ₃ •nH ₂ O (0.1 eq)	40 mM	70	100:3
3b			rt	100:8
4	Yb(OTf) ₃ •nH ₂ O (0.1 eq)	20 mM	70	100:2
5	Sc(OTf) ₃ (0.1 eq)	20 mM	70	100:4
6a	MsOH (5 eq)	80 mM	70	100:8
6b			rt	100:9
7a	MsOH (5 eq)	40 mM	70	100:2
7b			rt	100:3
8a	MsOH (5 eq)	20 mM	70	100:1.5
8b			rt	100:2
9	MsOH (3 eq)	40 mM	rt	100:4.5
10	MsOH (1 eq)	40 mM	rt	100:5
11	NH ₄ Cl (5 eq)	40 mM	70	100:5
12	NH ₄ OAc (5 eq)	40 mM	70	100:6
13a	No additive	40 mM	70	100:4
13b			rt	100:8

^aReaction time at 70 °C and at rt was 10 hr and 82 hr, respectively.