Published in final edited form as:

J Control Release. 2007 December 4; 124(1-2): 6–10.

Liberation of Doxorubicin from HPMA Copolymer Conjugate is Essential for the Induction of Cell Cycle Arrest and Nuclear Fragmentation in Ovarian Carcinoma Cells

A. Malugin¹, P. Kopečková^{1,2}, and J. Kopeček^{1,2}

¹Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

² Department of Bioengineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

Abstract

Despite intensive study, the molecular mechanism for cell toxicity of *N*-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA) copolymer-bound doxorubicin remains unclear. Moreover, the ability of the released drug to accumulate in the nucleus has also been questioned. We have hypothesized that the pattern of cell cycle progression is a useful indicator for the presence of free doxorubicin in the nucleus and its interaction with nuclear DNA. The effects of HPMA copolymer-bound doxorubicin on cell cycle progression were evaluated in this study in cultured human ovarian cancer A2780 cells. We determined that P-GFLG-DOX, but not P-GG-DOX, initiates cell cycle arrest and nuclear fragmentation in the same manner as free DOX, but with a time-delay. Our data indicate that drug release from the conjugate is required for the apoptotic activity associated with the conjugate.

Keywords

HPMA copolymer; doxorubicin; cell cycle; ovarian cancer

1. Introduction

Natural and synthetic polymers have been widely used as anticancer drug carriers for several decades [1,2]. Conjugation of drugs to the macromolecular carrier increases their circulating time in the bloodstream, decreases systemic toxicity, allows its passive accumulation in tumor tissue due to enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [3] and intracellular uptake by endocytosis (reviewed in [4]). The incorporation of peptide linkers, which are degradable in the lysosomal compartment, is one of the critical aspects for the design of macromolecular therapeutics. Detailed studies performed previously in our group have provided the theoretical basis and experimental evidence for drug release following enzymatic degradation in lysosomes of *N*-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) copolymer-drug conjugates containing biodegradable tetrapeptide linker (P-GFLG-DOX) [4,5].

Designed for lysosomotropic drug delivery, P-GFLG-DOX showed pronounced cytotoxic activity in various experimental models. It has been shown that P-GFLG-DOX overcame drug efflux pumps and ceased cancer cell proliferation by inhibiting DNA repair, replication, and

biosynthesis, and thereby inducing apoptosis [6–9]. Furthermore, P- GFLG-DOX was shown to be efficacious in the treatment of drug-resistant tumors [10], and has been tested in several clinical trials with encouraging results [11]. However, the molecular mechanism of toxicity at the cellular and subcellular levels has remained unclear. Recent studies have raised concerns about the role that endocytic internalization pathways and lysosomal degradation of the polypeptide linker play to dictate the intracellular fate of the conjugate. Based on the results of fluorescent microscopy, it has been reported that no free doxorubicin was detected in the nuclei of cells treated with P- GFLG-DOX. It was concluded that the conjugate educed its cellular toxicity mainly through its effects on cellular membranes, and necrosis was suggested as the major mode of cytotoxicity [12,13]. On the other hand, other reports provided evidence for the accumulation of doxorubicin released from HPMA copolymer conjugates in the nuclei [14–16]. Both necrotic and apoptotic types of cell death were reported [7–9,15–18].

To test the accessibility of liberated DOX to the nuclei, we took advantage of the fact that DOX could be attached to the copolymer through degradable or non-degradable oligopeptides (Figure 1). Both conjugates, P-GFLG-DOX and P-GG-DOX, were extensively purified from residual free DOX by chromatography. The ability of the two types of conjugate to affect cell proliferation and cell cycle progression in cultured ovarian cancer cells was evaluated.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

DOX was a kind gift from Dr. A. Suarato, Pfizer, Milano, Italy. HPMA copolymer-DOX conjugates, P-GFLG-DOX and P-GG-DOX, were synthesized by a polymer analogous reaction of DOX with an HPMA copolymer precursor synthesized as previously described [19]. Both conjugates were extensively purified from residual free drug by chromatography (for details see supplemental Figure S2). Stock solutions of each drug were prepared in distilled water, filtered through a 0.2 μ m membrane, aliquoted, and stored at -20°C . Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and media supplements were purchased from HyClone Laboratories (Ogden, UT), cultured media, insulin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI).

2.2. Cells

The A2780 cell line, a human ovarian carcinoma was obtained from T.C. Hamilton (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA). Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, and 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ insulin at 37°C in 5% CO_2 and 95% humidified air. The cells were maintained in logarithmic phase of growth throughout of all experiments. Cell growth inhibition was measured by modified MTT (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Gaithersburg, MA) colorimetry as described previously [8]. Cell cycle progression was monitored by the flow cytometric measurement of DNA content. Analysis of DNA content in cells stained with propidium iodide was performed using FACScan (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was evaluated using the ModFit software (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME). Nucleus fragmentation and apoptotic body formation were visualized in fixed cells stained with DAPI by a laser scanning confocal microscope Olympus FluoView® FV1000 (Olympus America Corp., Center Valley, PA). The objective specifications were 60x oil immersion and numerical aperture 1.42.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Experimental data were analyzed using single or two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) where appropriate. The difference between values was considered significant if $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

Notably, all three forms of DOX, even P-GG-DOX, inhibited proliferation of A2780 cells (Figure 2). The degradability of P-GFLG-DOX in the presence of rat liver tritosomes (i.e., a mixture of lysosomal enzymes) was confirmed (supplemental Figure S1 A). This conjugate showed almost 11-fold higher toxicity toward A2780 cells compared to P-GG-DOX. No degradation of P-GG-DOX by tritosomes was detected in recent experiments (supplemental Figure S1 B). Observed toxicity of P-GG-DOX was expected. Based on published data, DOX cell surface-directed interaction and toxic influence on non-nuclear intracellular systems are suspected [20,21]. Apparently, the detailed explanation of the mechanism of P-GG-DOX toxicity demands further investigations in this direction.

Cell cycle analysis demonstrated that both free DOX and P-GFLG-DOX arrested A2780 cells in the G-2 phase of the cell cycle in a concentration ($p < 0.001$ for both drugs) and time-dependent ($p < 0.001$ for both drugs) manner (Figures 3, 4). However, in cells treated with P-GFLG-DOX, the appearance of populations shown to be in G-2 was delayed at least for 4–6 h compared to those treated with free DOX. Further, the accumulation of cells in G-2 was accompanied by a 6–8 fold decrease in the number of cells in S phase compared to control cells, an indication of DNA replication inhibition. P-GG-DOX had no effect on cell cycle progression when applied using the same range of concentrations as P-GFLG-DOX. P-GG-DOX induced a slight increase in the number of cells in G-2 when used at equitoxic ($2 \times \text{IC}_{50}$) concentrations as P-GFLG-DOX. However, no statistically significant concentration- ($p > 0.5$) or time-dependence ($p > 0.5$) was observed in case of P-GG-DOX (Figure 4). Contrarily to P-GFLG-DOX, about two times higher portion of cells treated with P-GG-DOX remained in S phase, indicating lesser inhibition of DNA replication.

Cell cycle arrest can trigger specific cellular responses, resulting in apoptotic cell death. To test whether drugs induce apoptosis, A2780 cells were incubated with $2 \times \text{IC}_{50}$ concentrations of either free DOX or the conjugates for different periods of time, and then stained with nuclear dye. Nuclear fragmentation, one of the morphological signs of apoptosis [22], was observed in A2780 cells after 14 h incubation with free DOX, and after 24 h incubation with P-GFLG-DOX (Figure 5). No signs of nuclear fragmentation were found in cells treated with P-GG-DOX after 24 h, but it appeared that most cells possessed enlarged, swollen nuclei. Cells treated with P-GG-DOX appeared to be more homogeneous than cells treated with free DOX or P-GFLG-DOX. In the latter two cases, cells with condensed, swollen, or fragmented nuclei could be observed even within a single field of view. Cells undergoing mitosis were never found among cells treated with free DOX or P-GFLG-DOX. However, mitotic cells were occasionally seen among the cells treated with P-GG-DOX. These findings indicate a high diversity of cellular responses for a given drug treatment and this variability should always be considered when characterizing the mode of cell death [23].

DOX can cause cell cycle arrest by initiation of DNA damage through several proposed mechanisms that require the presence of drug in the nucleus and direct interaction with genomic DNA [21]. Our data suggest that HPMa copolymer-bound DOX initiates the same events in the nucleus as free DOX, but with a time-delay. Observed differences in time-dependence and time-delay for both conjugates ruled out involvement of residual free DOX in the nuclear events. On the contrary, the data showed that DOX liberated from the conjugate was the agent responsible for cell cycle arrest.

These data are in good agreement with our previous studies, which revealed that the P-GFLG-DOX initiates a time-delayed expression of proteins and apoptosis induction that was also elicited by free doxorubicin [8,9]. Interestingly, time-delays for the activity of P-GFLG-DOX was also reported previously by another group, however, it was interpreted as due to differences

in the mechanism of action of the conjugate compared to free DOX or HPMA copolymer-bound DOX containing hydrazone bonds [24–26].

4. Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that P-GFLG-DOX can inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis of cancer cells, as long as the experimental conditions permit endocytosis of the macromolecular therapeutics and lysosomal degradation of the conjugate. The degradability of the oligopeptide side-chains determined whether or not doxorubicin accumulated in the nucleus, as well as the resulting kinetics of cell cycle regulation, and possibly, the induction of apoptosis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. A. Suarato (Pfizer, Milano, Italy) for the generous gift of DOX and Jon Callahan (University of Utah) for carefully revising this manuscript. The research was supported in part by NIH Grant CA51578 from the National Cancer Institute.

References

1. Kopeček J. Soluble biomedical polymers. *Polim Med* 1977;7:191–221. [PubMed: 593972]
2. Cuchelkar, V.; Kopeček, J. Polymer-drug conjugates. In: Uchegbu, IF.; Schätzlein, AG., editors. *Polymers in Drug Delivery*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida; 2006. p. 155–182.
3. Maeda H, Wu J, Sawa T, Matsumura Y, Hori K. Tumor vascular permeability and the EPR effect in macromolecular therapeutics: a review. *J Control Release* 2000;65:271–284. [PubMed: 10699287]
4. Putnam D, Kopeček J. Polymer conjugates with anticancer activity. *Adv Polymer Sci* 1995;122:55–123.
5. Rejmanová P, Kopeček J, Pohl J, Baudyš M, Kostka V. Degradation of oligopeptide sequences in N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide copolymers by bovine spleen cathepsin B. *Makromol Chem* 1983;184:2009–2020.
6. Kopeček J, Kopečková P, Minko T, Lu Z. HPMA copolymer-anticancer drug conjugates: design, activity, and mechanism of action. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 2000;50:61–81. [PubMed: 10840193]
7. Minko T, Kopečková P, Kopeček J. Preliminary evaluation of caspases-dependent apoptosis signaling pathways of free and HPMA copolymer-bound doxorubicin in human ovarian carcinoma cells. *J Control Release* 2001;71:227–237. [PubMed: 11295216]
8. Malugin A, Kopečková P, Kopeček J. HPMA copolymer-bound doxorubicin induces apoptosis in human ovarian carcinoma cells by a Fas-independent pathway. *Mol Pharm* 2004;1:174–182. [PubMed: 15981920]
9. Malugin A, Kopečková P, Kopeček J. HPMA copolymer-bound doxorubicin induces apoptosis in ovarian carcinoma cells by the disruption of mitochondrial function. *Mol Pharm* 2006;3:351–361. [PubMed: 16749867]
10. Minko T, Kopečková P, Kopeček J. Efficacy of the chemotherapeutic action of HPMA copolymer-bound doxorubicin in a solid tumor model of ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 2000;86:108–117. [PubMed: 10728603]
11. Duncan R. Polymer conjugates as anticancer nanomedicines. *Nature Cancer Rev* 2006;6:688–701.
12. Hovorka O, Št'astný M, Etrych T, Šubr V, Strohalm J, Ulbrich K, ěhová B. Differences in the intracellular fate of free and polymer-bound doxorubicin. *J Control Release* 2002;80:101–117. [PubMed: 11943391]
13. Hovorka O, Etrych T, Šubr V, Strohalm J, Ulbrich K, ěhová B. HPMA based macromolecular therapeutics: internalization, intracellular pathway and cell death depend on the character of covalent bond between the drug and the peptidic spacer and also on spacer composition. *J Drug Target* 2006;14:391–403. [PubMed: 17092839]

14. Omelyanenko V, Kopečková P, Gentry C, Kopeček J. Targetable HPMa copolymer-adriamycin conjugates. Recognition, internalization, and subcellular fate. *J Control Release* 1998;53:25–37. [PubMed: 9741911]
15. Nan A, Ghandehari H, Hebert C, Siavash H, Nikitakis N, Reynolds M, Sauk JJ. Water-soluble polymers for targeted drug delivery to human squamous carcinoma of head and neck. *J Drug Target* 2005;13:189–197. [PubMed: 16036307]
16. Seib FP, Jones AT, Duncan R. Establishment of subcellular fractionation techniques to monitor the intracellular fate of polymer therapeutics I. Differential centrifugation fractionation B16F10 cells and use to study the intracellular fate of HPMa copolymer-doxorubicin. *J Drug Target* 2006;14:375–390. [PubMed: 17092838]
17. Demoy M, Minko T, Kopečková P, Kopeček J. Time- and concentration-dependent apoptosis and necrosis induced by free and HPMa copolymer-bound doxorubicin in human ovarian carcinoma cells. *J Control Release* 2000;69:185–196. [PubMed: 11018556]
18. Greco F, Vicent MJ, Gee S, Jones AT, Gee J, Nicholson RI, Duncan R. Investigating the mechanism of enhanced cytotoxicity of HPMa copolymer-Dox-AGM in breast cancer cells. *J Control Release* 2007;117:28–39. [PubMed: 17129632]
19. Omelyanenko VG, Kopečková P, Gentry C, Shiah J-G, Kopeček J. HPMa Copolymer-Anticancer Drug-OV-TL16 Antibody Conjugates. 1. Influence of the Methods of Synthesis on Binding Affinity to OVCAR-3 Ovarian Carcinoma In Vitro. *J Drug Targeting* 1996;3:357–373.
20. Maestre N, Tritton TR, Laurent G, Jaffrezou JP. Cell surface-directed interaction of anthracyclines leads to cytotoxicity and nuclear factor kappaB activation but not apoptosis signaling. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:2558–2561. [PubMed: 11289131]
21. Gewirtz DA. A critical evaluation of the mechanisms of action proposed for the antitumor effects of the anthracycline antibiotics adriamycin and daunorubicin. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1999;57:727–734. [PubMed: 10075079]
22. Saraste A, Pulkki K. Morphologic and biochemical hallmarks of apoptosis. *Cardiovasc Res* 2000;45:528–537. [PubMed: 10728374]
23. Kroemer G, El-Deiry WS, Golstein P, Peter ME, Vaux D, Vandenabeele P, Zhivotovsky B, Blagosklonny MV, Malorni W, Knight RA, Piacentini M, Nagata S, Melino G. Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death, Classification of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death. *Cell Death Differ* 2005;12(Suppl 2):1463–1467. [PubMed: 16247491]
24. Kovář M, Kovář L, Šubr V, Etrych T, Ulbrich K, Mrkvan T, Loučka J, Říhová B. HPMa copolymers containing doxorubicin bound by a proteolytically or hydrolytically cleavable bond: comparison of biological properties in vitro. *J Control Release* 2004;99:301–314. [PubMed: 15380639]
25. Mrkvan T, Šírová M, Etrych T, Chytil P, Strohalm J, Plocová D, Ulbrich K, Říhová B. Chemotherapy based on HPMa copolymer conjugates with pH-controlled release of doxorubicin triggers anti-tumor immunity. *J Control Release* 2005;110:119–129. [PubMed: 16269198]
26. Kovář L, Strohalm J, Chytil P, Mrkvan T, Kovář M, Hovorka O, Ulbrich K, Říhová B. The same drug but a different mechanism of action: comparison of free doxorubicin with two different N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide copolymer-bound doxorubicin conjugates in EL-4 cancer cell line. *Bioconj Chem* 2007;18:894–902. [PubMed: 17402705]

Figure 1. Structure of HPMA copolymer-DOX conjugates

P-GFLG-DOX conjugate contained 0.11 mmol doxorubicin/g polymer (6.4 wt.-%); 0.08% of free DOX (of total drug content); molecular weight, Mw = 27 kDa.

P-GG-DOX conjugate contained 0.18 mmol doxorubicin/g polymer (9.5 wt.-%); 0.04% of free DOX (of total drug content); molecular weight, Mw = 25 kDa.

Figure 2.

Cytotoxicity of three different forms of DOX to ovarian carcinoma A2780 cells. Cells were incubated with each drug for 72 h. Amounts of cells were evaluated using modified MTT assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies). 1 – DOX; 2 – P-GFLG-DOX; 3 – P-GG-DOX. IC_{50} values: DOX - $0.05 \pm 0.01 \mu M$; P-GFLG-DOX - $7.4 \pm 0.8 \mu M$; P-GG-DOX - $83.0 \pm 4.7 \mu M$. $p < 0.001$. The data were analyzed using single factor analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean values $\pm$ S.D. from 3 or 4 independent experiments are shown.

Figure 3.

Changes of DNA content in A2780 cells after treatment with different forms of DOX. Cells were incubated with $2 \times \text{IC}_{50}$ concentrations of different forms of DOX for 24 h, harvested, stained with propidium iodide, and analyzed on FACScan (Becton Dickinson). The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was evaluated using the ModFit software (Verity Software House). Representative histograms from one experiment are shown.

Figure 4.

Accumulation of A2780 cells in the G2 phase of the cell cycle after drug treatment. Cells were prepared and data acquired and analyzed as described in the legend to Figure 3. Mean values $\pm$ S.D. from 3 independent experiments are shown. The data were analyzed using two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA). A - Concentration dependence. Cells were incubated with each drug for 24 h. The abscissa represents the concentration of DOX expressed as the fraction of IC₅₀ measured after 72 h of incubation. B - Kinetic accumulation of A2780 cells in G2 phase of cell cycle. Cells were incubated with 2xIC₅₀ concentration of each drug.

* $p < 0.001$. Statistical comparisons were made between control and treated cells.

** $p < 0.001$. Statistical comparisons were made between P-GFLG-DOX and P-GG-DOX treated cells only at 24 h.

Figure 5.

Nuclear fragmentation and apoptotic body formation in A2780 cells treated with drugs. A2780 cells were incubated with $2 \times \text{IC}_{50}$ concentrations of each drug, fixed, and stained for DNA with DAPI. Cells were visualized using a confocal fluorescent microscope Olympus FV1000. Asterisk indicates cell undergoing mitosis. Arrows indicate cells with fragmented nucleus. Bar size – 20 μm . A - control; B – DOX, 14 h incubation; C - P-GFLG-DOX, 24 h incubation; D - P-GG-DOX, 24 h incubation.