Published in final edited form as:

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2007 September 14; 361(1): 85–90.

Characterization of the multidrug efflux regulator AcrR from *Escherichia coli*

Chih-Chia Su¹, Denae J. Rutherford², and Edward W. Yu^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

Abstract

The *Escherichia coli* AcrR represses transcription of the *acrB* gene, which encodes the multidrug efflux pump AcrB that extrudes a wide variety of toxic compounds, by binding its target operator DNA. Fluorescence polarization was performed using purified, recombinant AcrR that contains a 6xHis tag at the C-terminus and a fluorescein-labeled 28-base pair oligonucleotide bearing a predicted palindrome (IR) operator sequence. Binding of AcrR to the predicted IR sequence occurred with a dissociation constant (K_D) in the nanomolar range. Fluorescence polarization assays were also applied to characterize the affinity and specificity of AcrR interaction with three different fluorescent ligands, rhodamine 6G, ethidium and proflavin. The K_D values for these ligands range from 4.2 to 10.1 μ M, suggesting that AcrR is capable of recognizing a wide range of structurally dissimilar toxic compounds as it is in the case of the AcrB multidrug efflux pump. We found that the binding of rhodamine 6G to AcrR is inhibited by the presence of ethidium. In contrast, the dissociation constant of proflavin binding to AcrR was not affected by ethidium, a result suggesting that ethidium and proflavin are bound to distinct binding sites.

Introduction

The increase in bacterial resistance to multiple drugs has emerged as a major clinical problem. One important mechanism that gives rise to multidrug resistance (MDR) in bacteria is the expression of multidrug transporters that are often regulated at the transcriptional level by transcriptional regulators [1]. Many of these transcriptional regulators are multidrug binding proteins, which recognize the same array of toxic chemicals extruded by the transporters that they regulate [2]. These regulators often act as cytosolic chemical sensors and respond to threatening levels of toxic chemicals. The results are the over-expression of MDR transporters, which, in turn, promote efflux from cells, thus protecting them from toxic substances.

Bacterial efflux transporters capable of transporting multiple toxic compounds fall into five classes: i) the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family [3]; ii) the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) [4,5]; iii) the resistance-nodulation-division (RND) family [6,7]; iv) the small multidrug resistance (SMR) family [8]; and v) the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family [9]. The ABC family transporters take the free energy generated from ATP hydrolysis to expel toxic substances out of cells [10]. Efflux transporters in the other families, however,

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: ewyu@iastate.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

utilize the transmembrane electrochemical gradient of protons or sodium ions to extrude these harmful substrates from cells [10].

Of all known MDR transporters, the *Escherichia coli* AcrB multidrug efflux pump, which belongs to the RND family, shows the widest substrate specificity ranging from most of the current use antibiotics, disinfectants, dyes, bile salts, fatty acids, and detergents, to simple solvents [11]. AcrB is regulated by a global transcriptional activator MarA and a local transcriptional repressor AcrR [12]. The *acrR* gene is located 140 bp upstream of the *acrAB* operon and transcribed divergently [12]. It encodes a 215-aminoacid protein, which shares N-terminal sequence and structural similarities to members of the TetR family of transcriptional repressors [13]. Like other members in the TetR family, the N-terminal domain of AcrR contains a predicted DNA-binding helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif, while its C-terminal domain has unique sequences and is expected to form a multi-drug binding site for its inducing drugs.

As a member of the TetR family of transcriptional regulators, it is expected that binding of drug to the C-terminal ligand-binding domain of AcrR triggers conformational change in its N-terminal DNA-binding region. This change in conformation results in the release of AcrR from its operator DNA, and thus allows transcription from its cognate promoter.

At present, the AcrR palindrome (IR) operator site has not been identified. However, there is strong evidence that this IR is composed of a 24 bp sequence, 5'

TACATACATTTGTGAATGTATGTA3'. Ma et al. [12] demonstrated that AcrR interacts with the *acr* promoter located in the section between *acrR* and *acrAB*. A comparison of nucleotide sequences upstream of multidrug resistance transport genes also predicted that the upstream region of the *acrAB* operon contains a candidate IR operator. This operator consists of an inverting 24 bp sequence, which is well conserved and overlaps with the *acrAB* promoter [14].

In this study, we used fluorescence polarization assay [15–17] to examine interaction between AcrR and its predicted target DNA. We also used this method to determine the affinities of three different AcrR drugs, ethidium (Et), proflavin (Pf) and rhodamine 6G (R6G). So far, all known members of the TetR family have been reported to bind target DNAs in the form of homodimers as basic units [13]. Thus, the DNA binding data were fitted using an AcrR dimer as a fundamental unit. For drug binding, we fitted these data using a monomeric AcrR as a single structural unit since many of the TetR family members bind ligands in both subunits of the homodimers, although QacR only binds one drug molecule in the dimer [18]. The possibility of simultaneous binding of two different drugs by AcrR was also examined using this fluorescence polarization technique.

Results

DNA binding

Presumably, AcrR suppresses the expression of the AcrB multidrug efflux pump by binding its target DNA. As mentioned above, the predicted IR operator of AcrR is composed of an inverting 24 bp sequence. Fluorescence polarization-based assay was carried out to study the interaction between AcrR and a 28 bp DNA containing the IR sequence. Fig. 1a illustrates the binding isotherm of AcrR in the presence of 1 nM fluoresceinated DNA. The titration experiment indicated that AcrR binds the 28 bp operator, with a dissociation constant, K_D , of 20.2 ± 1.4 nM. This value is substantially lower than those of the CmeR ($K_D = 88$ nM) [19] and EthR ($K_D = 146$ nM) [20] repressors, but albeit higher than the values of TetR ($K_D = 0.2$ nM) [21], MtrR ($K_D = 0.9$ nM) [17], and QacR ($K_D = 5.7$ nM) [17] in the TetR family. It should be noted that this K_D is sensitive to the concentration of salts in titration buffers, and in some

cases also the length of oligodeoxynucleotides. Doubling the salt concentration may increase the K_D by two orders of magnitude as shown in MtrR [17].

A Hill plot of the DNA binding data yielded a Hill coefficient of 2 (Fig. 1b), suggesting a stoichiometry of two AcrR dimers per cognate DNA. The corresponding Scatchard plot showed a typical convex curve (see Suppl. Fig. S1), a result suggesting that more than one dimer of AcrR bind cooperatively to the DNA. To confirm the stoichiometry of AcrR and DNA binding, the protein was titrated into the binding buffer (containing 500 nM fluoresceinated DNA) until the concentration of AcrR (as dimer) reached 1,500 nM (Fig. 1c). The result indicated that the inflection point occurs at a dimeric AcrR concentration of 1,000 nM, suggesting a stoichiometry of two dimers of AcrR per cognate DNA.

Binding of AcrR ligands

The goal of this experiment was to determine the binding affinities of a variety of AcrR ligands. We initially measured the binding affinity of Et by AcrR. Fluorescence polarization measurements showed that the K_D of the AcrR-Et complex is $4.2 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 2a). The Hill and Scatchard plots of the data (Fig. 2b and c) suggested that the protein employs a simple binding stoichiometry of 1:1 monomeric AcrR to Et molar ratio.

Fluorescence polarization was also used to observe the Pf and R6G binding (Fig. 3). The values of K_D were found to be $10.1 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{M}$, and $10.7 \pm 1.4 \mu\text{M}$, respectively. QacR binds Et, Pf, and R6G with K_D values of 186, 42, and $0.8 \mu\text{M}$, respectively [22]. Thus, AcrR appears to have stronger interaction with Et and Pf, but weaker with R6G.

Evidence of simultaneous binding of two drugs by AcrR

It has been shown that two different drugs bind simultaneously to two different sites in a large binding pocket of the QacR regulatory protein [22]. This raises the question whether AcrR can accommodate two different drugs at a time. We carried out a series of titration experiments that involved the initial saturation of AcrR with $100 \mu\text{M}$ of Et. To ensure that AcrR and Et formed a complex, the mixture of AcrR and Et was incubated for at least two hours before titration. The pre-formed AcrR-Et complex was then titrated with $1 \mu\text{M}$ of Pf. Fluorescence polarization assay was used to monitor the change in polarization of Pf. By using this method, we were able to provide evidence for the simultaneous binding of two drugs by AcrR. The polarization experiments indicated that AcrR binds Pf, with a K_D of $14.9 \pm 0.9 \mu\text{M}$, in the presence of $100 \mu\text{M}$ Et. Fig. 4a depicts the binding isotherm of the AcrR-Et complex in titrating with Pf. This value is very close to the K_D of Pf ($10.1 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{M}$) in the absence of Et, indicating that the pre-bound Et does not affect much the binding of Pf to the regulator.

We also studied the binding of R6G in the presence of $100 \mu\text{M}$ Et using the same method. Fluorescence polarization experiments indicated that AcrR-Et binds R6G with a K_D of $132.0 \pm 9.1 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 4b). This K_D is about thirteen times of that of R6G in the absence of Et, suggesting the presence of Et severely interferes with the binding of R6G in AcrR.

Discussion

Using recombinant AcrR protein that contains a 6xHis tag at the C-terminus, we confirmed that AcrR interacts with the 28 bp DNA, 5'TTTACATACATTTGTGAATGTATGTACC3'. This DNA contains the inverting 24 bp IR. IR is a typical DNA sequence that forms binding sites for regulatory proteins in the promoter regions. A gel mobility shift assay, using a DNA fragment bearing the sequence of the promoter region between *acrR* and *acrAB*, has demonstrated that AcrR binds to the promoter sequence. Thus, our experimental data strongly

suggest that this predicted 24 bp IR, locating at the promoter region of *acrR-acrAB*, is very likely to serve as the *acr* operator.

The affinity of AcrR for IR is in the nanomolar range (20.2 nM), and this dissociation constant is closer to that of QacR among members of the TetR family. The IR sequence of AcrR is similar in length to that of the long 28 bp IR1 recognized by QacR, but is different from the 15 bp *tetO* bound by TetR. TetR binds as a single dimer to the *tetO* operator [23], however, two dimers of QacR interact with one IR1 [24]. Based on the length of the IR sequence, we reasoned that AcrR might bind its operator as two homodimers in a way that is similar to the QacR-DNA binding. Our fluorescence polarization measurements suggested that two AcrR dimers interact with one 28 bp IR. Thus, it is very likely that two homodimers of AcrR bind the 24 bp target DNA cooperatively as shown in the structure of the QacR-DNA complex [24].

The affinities of AcrR to its drugs are in the micromolar region, and the dissociation constants are quite similar to those of QacR [25], BmrR [26], and TtgV [27]. Based on the fluorescence polarization assays, titrations of AcrR to the ligand binding solutions give a stoichiometry of 1:1 monomeric AcrR-to-drug molar ratio. As the recent preliminary X-ray diffraction data suggest a dimeric assembly of the AcrR regulator [28], thus we believe that each C-terminal ligand-binding site of AcrR (each subunit of which contains one site) in the homodimer binds a drug molecule. This drug-binding mode is the same as that of TetR [29], but different from the ligand binding mode of QacR which binds one drug molecule per homodimer [22]. In comparing the DNA and drug binding modes of AcrR with those of TetR and QacR, AcrR is distinct in that its DNA binding mode is similar to that of QacR, however, it employs the mode of drug binding similar to that of TetR. Thus, the induction mechanism of AcrR may have unique features that have not been revealed by previous studies concerning other regulators in the TetR family.

To address the question whether AcrR allows simultaneous binding of two different drugs, we used a ligand-binding assay that utilizes the polarization of fluorescence. Our experimental results suggest that AcrR is most likely to bind Et and R6G competitively as the binding affinity of R6G is greatly decreased in the presence of Et. In the case of Et and Pf, Pf is bound by both apo-AcrR and AcrR-Et in equally affinities, indicating that Et and Pf may exhibit noncompetitive binding. If this is the case, it is anticipated that Et and Pf are bound to distinct and unoverlapped binding sites in the regulator. Indeed, a docking study using the most recent crystal structure of apo-AcrR determined in our laboratory suggests that Et and Pf bind independently at different places in the large multidrug binding pocket of AcrR (see Suppl. Fig. S4). This simultaneous drug-binding phenomenon has been found in the MdfA transporter [30] and the recent study QacR repressor [22]. Thus, it is not surprising that AcrR is capable of accommodating two different drugs at a time.

Materials and methods

Expression and Purification of Recombinant AcrR

The cloning, expression, and purification of recombinant AcrR that contains a 6xHis tag at the C-terminus were done as described before [28]. The purified 6xHis AcrR protein was extensively dialyzed against buffer containing 10 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.2) and 100 mM NaCl, and concentrated to 10 mg/ml.

Fluorescence polarization assay for the DNA binding affinity

Fluorescence polarization assays were used to determine the DNA binding affinities of the AcrR regulator. Both the 28 bp oligodeoxynucleotide and fluorescein labeled

oligodeoxynucleotide were purchased from IDT, Inc. (Coralville, IA). These oligodeoxynucleotides contain the predicted 24 bp IR site for AcrR binding. Their sequences were 5'-TTTACATACATTTGTGAATGTATGTACC-3' and 5'-F-**GGTACATACATTCACAAATGTATGTAAA**-3', where F denotes the fluorescein which was covalently attached to the 5' end of the oligodeoxynucleotide by a hexamethylene linker. The 28 bp fluoresceinated ds-DNA was prepared by annealing these two oligodeoxynucleotides together. Fluorescence polarization experiment was done using a DNA binding solution containing 10 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, 1 nM fluoresceinated DNA, and 1 µg of poly(dI-dC) as non-specific DNA. The protein solution containing 500 nM dimeric AcrR and 1 nM fluoresceinated DNA was titrated into the DNA binding solution until the millipolarization (mP) become unchanged. All measurements were performed at 25°C using a PerkinElmer LS55 spectrofluorometer equipped with a Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier. The excitation wavelength was 490 nm, and the fluorescence polarization signal (in Δ P) was measured at 520 nm. Each titration point recorded was an average of 15 measurements. Data were analyzed using the equation,

$$P = \{ (P_{\text{bound}} - P_{\text{free}})[\text{protein}]^2 / (K_D^2 + [\text{protein}]^2) \} + P_{\text{free}},$$

where P is the polarization measured at a given total protein concentration, P_{free} is the initial polarization of free fluorescein-labeled DNA, P_{bound} is the maximum polarization of specifically bound DNA, and [protein] is the protein concentration. The titration experiments were repeated for three times to obtain the average K_D value. Curve fitting was accomplished using the program ORIGIN [31].

Fluorescence polarization assay for AcrR ligand binding affinities

The experimental procedures for determining ligand binding affinities of AcrR using the technique of fluorescence polarization were similar to that of the DNA binding assay. The experiments were done using a ligand binding solution containing 10 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, and 1 µM ligand (R6G, Et, or Pf). The AcrR protein solution in 10 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, and 1 µM ligand was titrated into the ligand binding solution until the polarization (P) become unchanged. The excitation wavelengths were 527, 483, and 447 nm, respectively, for R6G, Et, and Pf. Fluorescence polarization signals (in Δ P) were measured at emission wavelengths of 550, 620, and 508 nm, respectively, for these ligands. Each titration point recorded was an average of 15 measurements. The titration experiments were repeated for three times to obtain the average K_D values. Curve fitting was accomplished using the program ORIGIN [31].

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

D.J.R. was supported by a summer undergraduate laboratory internship (SULI) from the U.S. DOE. This work was supported by an NIH grant GM-074027 to E.W.Y.

References

1. Grkovic S, Brown MH, Skurray RA. Transcriptional regulation of multidrug efflux pumps in bacteria. *Semin Cell Devel Biol* 2001;12:225–237. [PubMed: 11428915]
2. Ahmed M, Borsch CM, Taylor SS, Vazques-Laslop N, Neyfakh AA. A protein that activates expression of a multidrug efflux transporter upon binding the transporter substrates. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269:28506–28513. [PubMed: 7961792]

3. Higgins CF. ABC transporters: from microorganisms to man. *Annu Rev Cell Biol* 1992;8:67–113. [PubMed: 1282354]
4. Marger MD, Saier MH Jr. A major superfamily of transmembrane facilitators that catalyze uniporter, symporter and antiporter. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1993;18:13–20. [PubMed: 8438231]
5. Pao SS, Paulsen IT, Saier MH Jr. Major facilitator superfamily. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 1998;62:1–34. [PubMed: 9529885]
6. Saier MH Jr, Tam R, Reizer A, Reizer J. Two novel families of bacterial membrane proteins concerned with nodulation, cell division and transport. *Mol Microbiol* 1994;11:841–847. [PubMed: 8022262]
7. Paulsen IT, Brown MH, Skurray RA. Proton-dependent multidrug efflux systems. *Microbiol Rev* 1996;60:575–608. [PubMed: 8987357]
8. Paulsen IT, Skurray RA, Tam R, Saier MH Jr, Turner RJ, Weiner JH, Goldberg EB, Grinius LL. The SMR family: a novel family of multidrug efflux proteins involved with the efflux of lipophilic drugs. *Mol Microbiol* 1996;19:1167–1175. [PubMed: 8730859]
9. Brown MH, Paulsen IT, Skurray RA. The multidrug efflux protein NorM is a prototype of a new family of transporters. *Mol Microbiol* 1999;31:393–395. [PubMed: 9987139]
10. Putman M, van Veen HW, Konings WN. Molecular properties of bacterial multidrug transporters. *Microbiol Mol Bio Rev* 2000;64:672–693. [PubMed: 11104814]
11. Nikaido H. Multidrug efflux pumps of gram-negative bacteria. *J Bacteriol* 1996;178:5853–5859. [PubMed: 8830678]
12. Ma D, Alberti M, Lynch C, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. The local repressor AcrR plays a moderating role in the regulation of *acrAB* genes of *Escherichia coli* by global stress signals. *Mol Microbiol* 1996;19:101–112. [PubMed: 8821940]
13. Ramos JL, Martinez-Bueno M, Molina-Henares AJ, Teran W, Watanabe K, Zhang XD, Gallegos MT, Brennan R, Tobes R. The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2005;69:326–356. [PubMed: 15944459]
14. Rodionov DA, Gelfand MS, Mironov AA, Rakhmaninova AB. Comparative approach to analysis of regulation in complete genomes: multidrug resistance systems in gram-positive bacteria. *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol* 2001;3:319–324. [PubMed: 11321589]
15. LeTilly V, Royer CA. Fluorescence anisotropy assays implicate protein-protein interactions in regulating *trp* repressor DNA binding. *Biochemistry* 1993;32:7753–7758. [PubMed: 8347584]
16. Heyduk T, Lee JC. Application of fluorescence energy transfer and polarization to monitor *Escherichia coli* camp receptor protein *lac* promoter interaction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990;87:1744–1748. [PubMed: 2155424]
17. Hoffmann KM, Williams D, Shafer WM, Brennan RG. Characterization of the multiple transferable resistance repressor, MtrR, from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *J Bacteriol* 2005;187:5008–5012. [PubMed: 15995218]
18. Schumacher MA, Miller MC, Grkovic S, Brown MH, Skurray RA, Brennan RG. Structural mechanisms of QacR induction and multidrug recognition. *Science* 2001;294:2158–2163. [PubMed: 11739955]
19. Lin J, Cagliero C, Guo B, Barton YW, Maurel MC, Payot S, Zhang Q. Bile salts modulate expression of the CmeABC multidrug efflux pump in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *J Bacteriol* 2005;187:7417–7424. [PubMed: 16237025]
20. Engohang-Ndong J, Baillat D, Aumercier M, Bellefontaine F, Besra GS, Loch C, Baulard AR. EthR, a repressor of the TetR/CamR family implicated in ethionamide resistance in *Mycobacteria* octamerizes cooperatively on its operator. *Mol Microbiol* 2004;51:175–188. [PubMed: 14651620]
21. Kamionka A, Bogdanska-Urbaniak J, Scholz O, Hillen W. Two mutations in the tetracycline repressor change the inducer anhydrotetracycline to a corepressor. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:842–847. [PubMed: 14764926]
22. Schumacher MA, Miller MC, Brennan RG. Structural mechanism of the simultaneous binding of two drugs to a multidrug-binding protein. *EMBO J* 2004;23:2923–2930. [PubMed: 15257299]
23. Orth P, Schnappinger D, Hillen W, Saenger W, Hinrichs W. Structural basis of gene regulation by the tetracycline inducible Tet repressor-operator system. *Nat Struct Biol* 2000;7:215–219. [PubMed: 10700280]

24. Schumacher MA, Miller MC, Grkovic S, Brown MH, Skurray RA, Brennan RG. Structural basis for cooperative DNA binding by two dimers of the multidrug-binding protein QacR. *EMBO J* 2002;21:1210–1218. [PubMed: 11867549]
25. Grkovic S, Hardie KM, Brown MH, Skurray RA. Interactions of the QacR multidrug-binding protein with structurally diverse ligands: implications for the evolution of the binding pocket. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:15226–15236. [PubMed: 14690433]
26. Vazquez-Laslop N, Markham PN, Neyfakh AA. Mechanism of ligand recognition by BmrR, the multidrug-responding transcriptional regulator: mutational analysis of the ligand-binding site. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:16925–16931. [PubMed: 10606527]
27. Guazzaroni ME, Krell T, Felipe A, Ruiz R, Meng C, Zhang X, Gallegos MT, Ramos JL. The multidrug efflux regulator TtgV recognizes a wide range of structurally different effectors in solution and complexed with target DNA. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:20887–20893. [PubMed: 15767250]
28. Li M, Qiu X, Su CC, Long F, Gu R, McDermott G, Yu EW. Cloning, expression, purification, crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of the regulator AcrR from *Escherichia coli*. *Acta Cryst* 2006;F62:1150–1152.
29. Hinrichs W, Kisker C, Duvel M, Muller A, Tovar K, Hillen W, Saenger W. Structure of the Tet repressor-tetracycline complex and regulation of antibiotic resistance. *Science* 1994;264:418–420. [PubMed: 8153629]
30. Lewinson O, Bibi E. Evidence for simultaneous binding of dissimilar substrates by the *Escherichia coli* multidrug transporter MdfA. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:12612–12618. [PubMed: 11601985]
31. ORIGIN Ver. 7.5. OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, Massachusetts, USA.

Fig. 1c

Figure 1.

AcrR binding to the 28-mer cognate oligodeoxynucleotide. (a) Binding isotherm of AcrR in the presence of 10 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, 1 nM fluoresceinated DNA, and 1 μ g of poly(dI-dC) as non-specific DNA. The sequence of one of the IR strands is 5'-TTTACATACATTTGTGAATGTATGTACC-3'. The binding curve suggests a K_D of 20.2 ± 1.4 nM. (b) Hill plot of the data obtained for IR binding to AcrR. α corresponds to the fraction of bound IR. The plot gives a slope of 2.06 ± 0.12 , indicating a cooperative binding process with a stoichiometry of two AcrR dimers per one IR. The interception of the plot provides a K_D of 19.2 ± 1.0 nM for the IR binding. (c) Determination of the stoichiometry of AcrR-DNA binding. The inflection point at an AcrR dimer concentration of 1,000 nM in the presence of 500 nM DNA, indicating the stoichiometry of 2:1 protein-to-DNA ratio.

Fig. 2c

Figure 2.

Representative fluorescence polarization of AcrR with Et. (a) Binding isotherm of AcrR with Et, showing a K_D of $4.2 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$. (b) Hill plot of the data obtained for Et binding to AcrR. α corresponds to the fraction of bound Et. The plot gives a slope of 1.02 ± 0.02 , indicating a simple binding process with a stoichiometry of one AcrR protomer per one drug molecule. The interception of the plot provides a K_D of $4.4 \pm 1.0 \mu\text{M}$. (c) Linearization of the data obtained for Et binding to AcrR in a Scatchard plot, indicating a K_D of $4.6 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$.

Figure 3.

Fluorescence polarization of AcrR with Pf and R6G. (a) Binding isotherm of AcrR with Pf, showing a K_D of $10.1 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{M}$. (b) Hill plot of the data obtained for Pf binding to AcrR. α corresponds to the fraction of bound Pf. The plot gives a slope of 1.12 ± 0.05 , and the interception provides a K_D of $9.6 \pm 1.1 \mu\text{M}$. (c) Binding isotherm of AcrR with R6G, showing a K_D of $10.7 \pm 1.4 \mu\text{M}$. (d) Hill plot of the data obtained for R6G binding to AcrR. α corresponds to the fraction of bound R6G. The plot gives a slope of 1.14 ± 0.09 , and the interception provides a K_D of $11.1 \pm 1.2 \mu\text{M}$.

Figure 4.

Binding of ligands by AcrR in the presence of 100 μM of Et as determined by fluorescence polarization assay. (a) The change in fluorescence polarization signals (ΔFP) of Pf measured at an emission wavelength of 508 nm. The binding curve suggests a K_D of $14.9 \pm 0.9 \mu M$ for Pf. (b) The change in fluorescence polarization signals (ΔFP) of R6G measured at an emission

wavelength of 550 nm. The binding curve suggests a K_D of $132.7 \pm 14.6 \mu\text{M}$ for R6G. The maximum concentration of the AcrR monomer was $50 \mu\text{M}$.