Published in final edited form as:

Nat Neurosci. 2007 July ; 10(7): 923–929. doi:10.1038/nn1927.

From synapse to behavior: rapid modulation of defined neuronal types with engineered GABA_A receptors

Peer Wulff^{1,6,7}, Thomas Goetz^{1,6,7}, Elli Leppä², Anni-Maija Linden², Massimiliano Renzi³, Jerome D Swinny⁴, Olga Y Vekovischeva², Werner Sieghart⁵, Peter Somogyi⁴, Esa R Korpi², Mark Farrant³, and William Wisden^{1,6}

¹Department of Clinical Neurobiology, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 364, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ²Institute of Biomedicine, Pharmacology, Biomedicum Helsinki, POB 63 (Haartmaninkatu 8), University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland. ³Department of Pharmacology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK. ⁴MRC Anatomical Neuropharmacology Unit, Oxford University, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TH, UK. ⁵Center for Brain Research, Section of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of the Nervous System, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

Abstract

In mammals, identifying the contribution of specific neurons or networks to behavior is a key challenge. Here we describe an approach that facilitates this process by enabling the rapid modulation of synaptic inhibition in defined cell populations. Binding of zolpidem, a systemically active allosteric modulator that enhances the function of the GABA_A receptor, requires a phenylalanine residue (Phe77) in the $\gamma 2$ subunit. Mice in which this residue is changed to isoleucine are insensitive to zolpidem. By Cre recombinase-induced swapping of the $\gamma 2$ subunit (that is, exchanging Ile77 for Phe77), zolpidem sensitivity can be restored to GABA_A receptors in chosen cell types. We demonstrate the power of this method in the cerebellum, where zolpidem rapidly induces significant motor deficits when Purkinje cells are made uniquely sensitive to its action. This combined molecular and pharmacological technique has demonstrable advantages over targeted cell ablation and will be invaluable for investigating many neuronal circuits.

A classical approach to the study of brain function is selective lesioning. Unfortunately, the interpretation of data from such studies can be confounded by compensatory changes, whereby unrelated systems are recruited to alleviate, if only partially, any deficit. A complementary method involves reversibly silencing, albeit with little or no cell-type selectivity, the activity of a pathway or nucleus through cooling or stereotaxic drug administration (for example, see refs. 1,2). Reversible approaches have advantages over permanent lesioning. First, the effects of acute regional inactivation cannot be easily overcome by compensatory changes, because the inactivated system is altered only briefly

© 2007 Nature Publishing Group

Correspondence should be addressed to P.W. (p.wulff@abdn.ac.uk).

⁶Present address: Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK.

⁷These authors contributed equally to this work.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The original idea was conceived by W.S. and developed with P.S., W.W. and E.R.K. Experiments were designed by M.F., E.R.K., P.S., P.W., W.S. and W.W. Experiments were performed by M.F., T.G., A.-M.L., E.L., M.R., J.D.S., P.S., O.Y.V., P.W. and W.W. Behavioral data were analyzed by E.L., A.-M.L. and E.R.K. Electrophysiological data were analyzed by M.F. The manuscript was written by M.F., P.W. and W.W. All authors commented on and helped to revise the text.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

(reviewed in refs. 3,4). Second, we can learn, in principle, not only ‘where’, but also ‘when’ and for ‘how long’ a brain region is involved in a given function³. Similar considerations apply to the functional dissection of brain circuit components. Thus, to determine how specific cell types influence network properties and contribute to animal behavior, a method is needed for reversibly inactivating a single neuronal type in a specific brain area⁵. This approach poses two challenges: first, there are many cells of each type; and second, neuronal classes are often dispersed as sparse populations in large volumes (such as subtypes of GABAergic interneurons in the hippocampus).

The effects on brain function of drugs acting on GABA_A receptors show that it is not necessary to silence a cell type to alter network properties or behavior: a modest modulation of inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) is sufficient⁶⁻⁸. GABA_A receptors, which are present on all neurons in the mammalian brain, are ligand-gated anion-permeable channels and produce fast inhibition. They are commonly formed from two α , two β and a single γ 2 subunit (encoded by *Gabrg2*)⁶⁻⁸. The α 1 β γ 2, α 2 β γ 2 and α 3 β γ 2 subunit combinations account for most GABA_A receptors; of these, the main subtype is α 1 β 2 γ 2 (refs. 6-8). Benzodiazepines, benzodiazepine-site ligands (such as the imidazopyridine zolpidem) and anesthetics are positive allosteric modulators of GABA_A receptors⁶⁻¹⁰. These drugs cause a conformational alteration in the GABA_A receptor such that GABA-induced anion flux is enhanced¹¹. Elegant studies of ‘knock-in’ mice, carrying amino acid changes at drug-binding sites in the α or β subunits, show how subtype-specific modulation of GABA_A receptors differentially influences mouse behavior^{6,12-15}. These studies are ‘subtractive’: by changing genes encoding α or β subunits across the whole brain and observing the diminished effect of benzodiazepines, zolpidem or anesthetics on the mouse, the contributions of GABA_A receptor subtypes to specific behaviors (related to anxiety, sedation, anesthetic actions or motor control) can be deduced^{6,12-15}.

To develop a method for functional dissection of circuit components, we considered reversing this GABA_A receptor knock-in strategy by generating zolpidem-insensitive mice and then genetically imposing zolpidem sensitivity on a selected cell type. We chose zolpidem as the ligand because of the way in which it binds to the GABA_A receptor. One GABA_A receptor subunit, the γ 2 subunit, is expressed almost universally in brain^{16,17} and is incorporated into most GABA_A receptors. Zolpidem binding occurs throughout the brain^{17,18} inside a pocket created between an α subunit (α 1, α 2 or α 3) and the γ 2 subunit¹⁹. The γ 2 subunit contributes an essential residue (Phe77) to this pocket^{20,21}. As shown with cloned GABA_A receptors, if Phe77 of γ 2 is mutated to isoleucine, the receptors function normally with respect to activation by GABA but they are no longer modulated by zolpidem^{20,21}. Pharmacological, electrophysiological and behavioral tests have shown that mice with an engineered γ 2 Ile77 mutation are insensitive to zolpidem²²⁻²⁴. The Ile77 mutation does not affect the action of GABA at GABA_A receptors: in γ 2 Ile77 mice, miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs) have normal rise times, amplitudes and decay kinetics^{22,23}.

We therefore considered that, by cell type selectively replacing (‘swapping’) the Ile77 γ 2 subunit in zolpidem-insensitive mice with the zolpidem-sensitive (Phe77) variant, we would be able to use zolpidem to modulate reversibly the activity of any defined neuronal population. To test the feasibility of this method, we chose to use Purkinje cells, which offer straightforward genetic targeting²⁵ and a quantifiable behavioral readout—namely, motor performance on the rotarod. Purkinje cells provide the only output of the cerebellar cortex. Dendritic and perisomatic synaptic inhibition, provided by stellate and basket cells, respectively, alters the intrinsic activity of Purkinje cells²⁶ and shapes their response to excitatory synaptic input^{27,28}. Purkinje cells express α 1 β 2 γ 2- and α 1 β 3 γ 2-type GABA_A receptors^{29,30} that underlie fast GABAergic IPSCs.

Here we describe how we have made GABA_A receptors on Purkinje cells uniquely sensitive to zolpidem and then have used this drug to produce rapid and reversible behavioral effects through selective modulation of inhibitory synaptic input. To emphasize the advantages of this approach, we have also generated a static (long-term) knockout of fast GABAergic input onto Purkinje cells by producing cell type-selective deletion of their $\gamma 2$ subunits, and have compared the effects of the two manipulations on the same behavioral tests under identical conditions.

RESULTS

Explanation of the strategy applied to Purkinje cells

The strategy was to produce mice devoid of zolpidem-sensitive GABA_A receptors by engineering the $\gamma 2$ subunit gene (*Gabrg2*) such that the codon for Phe77 encoded isoleucine. The gene was also engineered to contain *loxP* sites, allowing its inactivation by Cre recombinase (Fig. 1). Coexpression of the zolpidem-sensitive $\gamma 2$ Phe77 (hereafter $\gamma 2F77$) subunit and Cre recombinase in Purkinje cells should thus lead to a subunit swap, such that Cre-positive, $\gamma 2F77$ -positive Purkinje neurons would not contain $\gamma 2$ Ile77 (hereafter $\gamma 2I77$) subunits, which would have been deleted by Cre recombinase (Fig. 1). We termed these Purkinje $\gamma 2$ swap mice '*PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap*' mice: only their Purkinje cells should express zolpidem-sensitive GABA_A receptors.

Deletion of the GABA_A receptor $\gamma 2$ subunit in Purkinje cells

The $\gamma 2I77lox$ line served as both a *loxP*-flanked (floxed) allele for cell type-specific ablations of GABA_A receptor $\gamma 2$ subunits ($\gamma 2I77lox \times$ cell type-specific promoter driving Cre) and the foundation line for producing cell type-selective zolpidem sensitivity ($\gamma 2I77lox \times$ cell type-specific promoter driving Cre $\times$ cell type-specific promoter driving $\gamma 2F77$ subunit 'swapping'; see Fig. 2, and Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1 online).

The $\gamma 2$ subunit targets GABA_A receptors to postsynaptic sites and confers full (25–30 pS) single-channel conductance on the receptor^{31,32}. To compare and to contrast the dynamic modulation of GABAergic input onto Purkinje cells with the long-term removal of such input, we also generated mice with a Purkinje-cell-specific deletion of $\gamma 2$, and thus a deletion of synaptic GABA_A receptors. Mice with Cre recombinase expressed selectively in Purkinje cells (*L7Cre* mice³³; see Supplementary Fig. 2 online) were crossed with $\gamma 2I77lox$ mice, producing a Purkinje cell-selective 'static' knockout of synaptic responsiveness to GABA (these mice were termed '*PC- $\Delta \gamma 2$* ' mice; Fig. 2).

Purkinje cell-restricted $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ expression

For the zolpidem-sensitive $\gamma 2F77$ subunit swap, we tagged the $\gamma 2F77$ subunit at its amino terminus with enhanced green fluorescent protein, eGFP ($\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$; see Supplementary Methods and ref. 34) to distinguish it from the endogenous $\gamma 2I77$ subunit. By using the L7 Purkinje cell-specific promoter²⁵, mice were produced with expression of $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ restricted to Purkinje cells. One of the *L7 $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$* lines (of six screened) gave strong expression of the mRNA specifically in Purkinje cells, as detected by *in situ* hybridization with a *GFP*-specific probe. We then made triple crosses ($\gamma 2I77lox \times L7Cre \times L7\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ (see Methods)) to generate *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice (Fig. 2). At the cellular level, we first established that the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ subunit in these mice was targeted to GABAergic synapses, and that IPSCs onto Purkinje cells were uniquely sensitive to zolpidem.

$\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ is enriched at GABAergic synapses

Primary GFP fluorescence and GFP immunoreactivity in *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice was selectively localized to Purkinje cells throughout the cerebellum (Supplementary Fig. 3 online). The rest of the brain in *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice (or littermate control mice) had no GFP immunoreactivity. Purkinje cells of *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice contained granular GFP immunoreactivity in both their cell body (excluding the nucleus) and their proximal dendrites, presumably corresponding to $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ associated with endo-membranes (Fig. 3). Strongly immunopositive puncta and 0.5-1- μ m segments of membrane were present in the soma and the main dendrites (Fig. 3). Scattered GFP-positive puncta were also present throughout the molecular layer and presumably corresponded to smaller dendrites of Purkinje cells (Fig. 3).

To confirm that the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ subunits were located in GABAergic synapses, we performed triple immunolabeling (Fig. 3). The GFP-positive segments always colocalized with the endogenous $\alpha 1$ subunit of the GABA_A receptor. Immunoreactivity for the $\alpha 1$ subunit was also present as short segments and puncta in the molecular layer outside the GFP patches, corresponding to GABAergic synapses on other cerebellar neurons. Most GFP patches, both on Purkinje cells and in the neuropil, were apposed to glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD)-immunoreactive structures, representing GABAergic nerve terminals (Fig. 3).

Restriction of zolpidem sensitivity to Purkinje cells

To determine the zolpidem sensitivity of GABAergic synaptic transmission in the different mouse lines, we recorded mIPSCs from Purkinje cells and molecular layer interneurons (presumptive stellate cells) in slices prepared from adult mice. As reported previously²², the properties (amplitude, rise time and decay) of mIPSCs in $\gamma 2I77$ mice were similar to those of mIPSCs in $\gamma 2F77/F77$ and C57BL/6 mice, confirming that the $\gamma 2F77I$ point mutation was a neutral substitution. The $\gamma 2I77lox$ mice generated here differ from previously reported $\gamma 2F77I$ mice²² only by the inclusion of a *loxP* site located 5' to exon 4 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Accordingly, mIPSCs recorded in both Purkinje cells (amplitude, 57.5 ± 5.2 pA; 10-90% rise time, 296 ± 11 μ s; decay (τ_w ; see Supplementary Methods), 2.7 ± 0.2 ms; charge transfer, 165.4 ± 13.8 fC; $n = 11$ cells) and stellate cells (61.3 ± 10.4 pA; 328 ± 16 μ s; 2.6 ± 0.1 ms; 194.4 ± 33.3 fC; $n = 5$) had properties similar to those of the corresponding mIPSCs reported previously²².

In situ hybridization data suggested that our Cre-*loxP* strategy eliminated the $\gamma 2$ subunit from Purkinje cells, a condition necessary to facilitate the Ile77 to Phe77 swap (Fig. 2). To confirm this elimination, we also recorded from Purkinje cells of *PC- $\Delta \gamma 2$* mice and their $\gamma 2I77lox/\gamma 2I77lox$ littermates. Whereas mIPSCs were present in every control Purkinje cell ($n = 21$), they were absent from all Purkinje cells of *PC- $\Delta \gamma 2$* mice ($n = 10$; Fig. 4a), verifying the effective deletion of the $\gamma 2$ subunit and the elimination of fast GABAergic synaptic input onto Purkinje cells.

In Purkinje cells of *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice, mIPSC amplitude (46.4 ± 7.2 pA), 10-90% rise time (307 ± 8 μ s), τ_w (3.1 ± 0.2 ms) and charge transfer (158.6 ± 21.7 fC) of mIPSCs ($n = 8$) were not significantly different from their corresponding measures in $\gamma 2I77lox$ mice (see above; all $P > 0.05$). These data verify effective deletion of the $\gamma 2I77$ subunit and its replacement with the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ subunit. Although basic mIPSC properties in the two lines were indistinguishable, modulation of mIPSCs by zolpidem was, as predicted, markedly different. Zolpidem had no effect on the amplitude or kinetics of mIPSCs in Purkinje cells of $\gamma 2I77lox$ mice; in the *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice, by contrast, it significantly raised the amplitude (49.7 ± 9.9 to 62.0 ± 12.1 pA), prolonged τ_w (3.2 ± 0.2 to 5.3 ± 0.2 ms) and increased the charge transfer (178.9 ± 29.0 to 377.5 ± 13.6 fC) of Purkinje cell mIPSCs ($n = 5$, all $P <$

0.05; Fig. 4b,c). The restoration of zolpidem sensitivity was restricted to Purkinje cells: zolpidem had no effect on the properties of mIPSCs recorded from stellate cells in slices from the same mice (Supplementary Fig. 4 online).

In female *PC-γ2-swap* mice, transgene expression was mosaic and about 50% of Purkinje cells expressed the transgene. Most probably, the *L7γ2F77^{GFP}* transgene integrated on an X chromosome. Accordingly, some Purkinje cells from females showed a complete lack of mIPSCs (equivalent to that seen in the *PC-Δγ2* mice). In cells where the *γ2F77^{GFP}* transgene was expressed, however, mIPSCs were normal and showed zolpidem sensitivity comparable to that of mIPSCs in *γ2F77/F77* or C57BL/6 mice²². In male mice, at least 90% of Purkinje cells swapped their *γ2* subunits, and only male mice were used for behavioral tests involving zolpidem.

Dynamic modulation of inhibition produces motor deficits

What role does GABAergic inhibition of Purkinje cells have in motor coordination? Unexpectedly, mice with no fast synaptic inhibition onto Purkinje cells (*PC-Δγ2* mice) had no motor disabilities and showed neither ataxia nor tremor. They performed the rotarod task to the same standard as their littermate controls (Fig. 5a). Given this observation, we considered what would be the behavioral effect of acute modulation of fast GABAergic input onto Purkinje cells when *PC-γ2-swap* mice were given zolpidem. Without drugs, *PC-γ2-swap* mice showed no motor disabilities: they learned the rotarod task to the same standard as their littermate controls. On the first day of training, a small difference in latency to fall was detected, but this difference disappeared during subsequent training days (Fig. 5a).

Intraperitoneal administration of zolpidem to *PC-γ2-swap* mice produced no sedative or overt ataxic effect. Within 1-5 min of drug injection, mice were tested on the rotarod. Zolpidem significantly reduced the ability of trained *PC-γ2-swap* mice to stay on the rotating rod (Fig. 5b; repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), $F_{1,84} = 10.4$, $P < 0.001$; zolpidem treatment $\times$ mouse line interaction, $F_{1,84} = 47.6$, $P < 0.001$; Newman-Keuls post hoc test, $P < 0.001$). With the initial dose of 3 mg/kg (body weight) of zolpidem, the effect was highly significant (Fig. 5b) and almost saturated. No greater effect was produced by a cumulative dose of 9 mg/kg of zolpidem. In an additional experiment, a single dose of 12 mg/kg of zolpidem shortened the latency to fall in the *PC-γ2-swap* mice from 144 ± 30 s to 96 ± 48 s ($P < 0.05$), indicating that the lowest doses used produced the maximal motor-impairing effect. These effects of zolpidem were produced without sedation. This observation was expected, because the *γ2I77* subunit, retained in all cells other than Purkinje cells, prevents the normal effects of zolpidem on, for example, sleep regulating centers^{13,22}. The *γ2I77lox* littermates were all unaffected.

To further test fine motor coordination and balance, we used the 'walking beam' test, whereby mice have to cross a suspended rod. Without zolpidem, there were no differences between *PC-γ2-swap* mice and their *γ2I77lox* littermates (repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{1,148} = 2.01$, $P > 0.05$, data not shown). After administration of zolpidem (12 mg/kg), however, *PC-γ2-swap* mice needed significantly more time to traverse the beam (treatment $\times$ mouse line interaction, repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{1,26} = 9.84$, $P < 0.01$; Newman-Keuls post hoc test, $P < 0.001$ for mouse line). By contrast, their *γ2I77lox* littermates were not affected (Fig. 5c).

The behavioral effects produced by zolpidem in *PC-γ2-swap* mice could be blocked by flumazenil (Ro 15-1788), which antagonizes the binding of zolpidem to GABA_A receptors containing the *γ2F77* subunit²⁴. *PC-γ2-swap* mice were pre-treated with vehicle or 15 mg/kg of flumazenil and then given a single dose of 6 mg/kg of zolpidem. Immediately

afterwards they were tested on the rotarod. The latency to fall in the vehicle pre-treated group ($n = 6$) shortened from 173 ± 13 s to 137 ± 13 s (one-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls post hoc test, $P < 0.05$), but stayed the same in the group pre-treated with flumazenil (170 ± 13 s; one-way ANOVA, $P > 0.05$ $n = 4$).

DISCUSSION

Rapid modulation avoids adaptational change

Motor coordination on the accelerating rotarod is a complex task, involving many brain areas, cell types and synapses. Here, to demonstrate the feasibility of a reversible method for manipulating defined synapses, we have isolated the contribution of GABA_A receptor-mediated synaptic input onto Purkinje cells to this task. Dynamically modifying GABAergic input, through acute systemic administration of zolpidem to *PC-γ2-swap* mice, produced a result different from that of static long-term removal of the input (through knockout of synaptic GABA_A receptors in *PC-Δγ2* mice). Under the same test conditions, static deletion did not reduce performance on the rotarod, whereas fast and reversible enhancement of the same GABAergic input produced acute debilitating effects. These contrasting results reflect, in the chronic intervention, the adaptive abilities of neurons and networks to engage alternative strategies to achieve similar ends (for example, see refs. 4,35,36). Nevertheless, chronic interventions can be informative. When no compensation occurs, ablating a component can demonstrate its necessity for a particular process. Indeed, *PC-Δγ2* mice do have profound deficits in the consolidation of cerebellar motor memories, indicating that fast inhibition onto Purkinje cells provides essential functions that cannot be compensated for after chronic ablation (P. Wulff *et al.*, unpublished data). Thus, pursuing both types of study (chronic ablation and reversible intervention) gives a fuller picture of how a ‘component’ (here, a defined synaptic input to a target cell) contributes to a ‘system’ (here, cerebellar motor coordination).

Several methods to study network components, using either fast (millisecond) or relatively slow (hours to days) interventions, are available. Light-activated ion channels, receptors or pumps enable ultrafast pinpoint activation or inhibition of neurons^{37,38}. Although excellent for use *in vitro* or *in vivo* for transparent species, these approaches are likely to be less applicable to the study of behavior and distributed populations of cells *in vivo* in mammals. The *Drosophila* allatostatin receptor system, which couples to mammalian potassium GIRK channels, allows reversible silencing of neurons within seconds and, like light-activated channels, is not limited to a particular vertebrate species^{39,40}. The agonist peptide allatostatin, however, requires intracerebral injection and its uneven diffusion in the brain may cause difficulties for *in vivo* studies. Methods that block synaptic transmission have very slow kinetics^{41,42}, but these approaches seem to be useful for long-term (days) synaptic silencing^{41,42}. By contrast, our strategy does not silence or activate cells. Instead, it confers the ability to modulate reversibly the action of an endogenous neurotransmitter and thus it more subtly interferes with network function. These various methods are complementary, potentially enabling a full range of physiological processes to be investigated.

We have shown that zolpidem, by promoting a restricted and specific increase in fast GABAergic inhibition from molecular layer interneurons onto Purkinje cells, decreases the ability of mice to carry out complex motor tasks. To gauge the success of the strategy, it is important to know the extent of motor deficit that one might expect to see after such Purkinje cell-specific modulation. Zolpidem is a potent hypnotic, acting on GABA_A receptors in brain areas that regulate sleep¹³. We have shown previously that in wild-type mice zolpidem produces severe sedation and deficits in rotarod performance²²; given this sedation, we cannot estimate what fraction of the performance deficit originates from modulation of the cerebellum and motor centers. However, comparable data from studies on

benzodiazepine-insensitive mice, notably $\alpha 1H101R$ mice, are available. In cerebellar circuits $\alpha 1\beta\gamma 2$ is the predominant GABA_A receptor type^{29,30}, and in a wild-type cerebellum zolpidem will modulate IPSCs onto most cell types. From studies of mice ($\alpha 1H101R$) with $\alpha 1\beta\gamma 2$ receptors made insensitive to diazepam^{12,14,15}, the net ‘contribution’ of modulating $\alpha 1\beta\gamma 2$ -type GABA_A receptors to rotarod behaviors (as judged by decreased latencies to fall) is about 25% (this reflects modulation of $\alpha 1$ receptors throughout the brain, not just in the cerebellum^{14,15}). We found here that zolpidem, acting on $\alpha 1\beta\gamma 2$ receptors in Purkinje cells alone, induced a 33% decrease in latency to fall, broadly fitting with results from $\alpha 1H101R$ mice^{14,15}. The effects seem to be greater than those obtained after blockade of GABA release from Purkinje cell terminals in the deep cerebellar nuclei (15% decrease in latency to fall with the rod rotating at 80 r.p.m.; ref. 41).

Methodological considerations

Our approach is designed to enable zolpidem, through the cell type-selective modulation of GABA_A receptor-mediated synaptic currents, to be used in behaving animals to alter the activity of defined neuronal populations. For this strategy, active GABAergic synapses are needed. The method requires that targeted neurons express $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 3$ subunits together with any β subunit and the $\gamma 2$ subunit. Many cell types do indeed express predominantly $\alpha 1\beta\gamma 2$ - or $\alpha 2\beta\gamma 2$ -type receptors; for example, various subtypes of hippocampal GABAergic interneuron have zolpidem-sensitive GABA_A receptors^{10,23}. In Purkinje cells, zolpidem modulation primarily affects synaptic inhibition²²; these cells do not show a tonic GABA_A receptor-mediated current^{30,43}. Other cell types, however, may express zolpidem-sensitive extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors (containing $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 3$, β and $\gamma 2$ subunits) with GABA-dependent or GABA-independent tonic activity^{7,44}. If such cells were targeted by our strategy, the activity of these receptors would also be enhanced by zolpidem.

Zolpidem can be used both in slices and in behaving animals; it can be administered by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection or by stereotaxic injection into the brain. Zolpidem binds preferentially to $\alpha 1$ -containing GABA_A receptors ($\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ or $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$; inhibition constant, $K_i = 20$ nM), but at higher concentrations it will modulate receptors containing $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 3$ subunits ($\alpha 2\beta\gamma 2$ or $\alpha 3\beta\gamma 2$; K_i 400 nM). Because zolpidem can be given at doses of up to 30 mg/kg in $\gamma 2I77$ mice with no effects²², it can be used to modulate cell types that express only $\alpha 2$ - and $\alpha 3$ -containing GABA_A receptors. Zolpidem tartrate is the preferred preparation because of its maximal water solubility. An advantage of our method is that zolpidem has a rapid and short-acting effect: zolpidem maximally occupies its binding sites in mouse brain within minutes of i.p. injection and has a half-life of 20 min (ref. 45).

In addition to zolpidem, various other GABA_A receptor modulatory drugs, such as the β -carboline DMCM and β -CCM, the imidazobenzodiazepine bretazenil, the cyclopyrrolone zopiclone, and the quinolines PK 8165 and PK 9084, depend on the Phe77 residue of the $\gamma 2$ subunit for binding^{20,21,24}. On neuronal membranes isolated from $\gamma 2I77$ mouse brains, the binding affinities of these drugs are greatly reduced²⁴. Some of these drugs, for example DMCM and β -CCM, are inverse agonists at the benzodiazepine binding site of GABA_A receptors and decrease receptor function^{11,20,21}. The usual effect of DMCM administration (seizures) is abolished in $\gamma 2I77$ mice⁴⁶. Thus, in principle, both zolpidem and an inverse agonist can be used to bidirectionally modulate fast inhibition onto neurons that have undergone a $\gamma 2$ subunit swap.

For its full potential to be realized, the method that we describe will require mouse Cre lines with suitable cell type-specific expression. The brain region- and cell type-specific gene expression patterns identified in, for example, the Allen Brain Atlas project will eventually aid this aim⁴⁷. We used triple crosses of mouse lines, but the procedure could be streamlined by using Cre-mediated switching of an Ile77 to a Phe77 exon or viral delivery of a $\gamma 2F77$ -

ires-Cre transgene into $\gamma 2I77lox$ brains. We anticipate that the unique features of our method will be invaluable for investigating the contribution of specific neurons to brain function and behavior.

METHODS

Transgenic mouse production and breeding

All procedures were done in accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, had ethical approval from the Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe or were approved by the Laboratory Animal Committee of the University of Helsinki.

Production of the $\gamma 2I77lox$ and $L7\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ lines and mouse genotyping are described in the Supplementary Methods. Mice homozygous for the $\gamma 2I77lox$ gene and heterozygous for the $L7\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ transgene were crossed with mice homozygous for $\gamma 2I77lox$ and heterozygous for an $L7Cre$ transgene³³. Littermates of the following genotypes were used for the experiments: $\gamma 2I77lox/\gamma 2I77lox/L7\gamma 2^{GFP}/L7Cre$ (*PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap*), $\gamma 2I77lox/\gamma 2I77lox/L7Cre$ (*PC- $\Delta \gamma 2$*) and $\gamma 2I77lox/\gamma 2I77lox$ (littermate controls).

In situ hybridization

We carried out *in situ* hybridization as described¹⁶. The probe sequences to exon 4 of the $\gamma 2$ subunit and *GFP* are given in the Supplementary Methods.

Triple labeling immunohistochemistry

We used the following primary antibodies: affinity-purified rabbit antibody to the $\alpha 1$ subunit⁴⁸ (0.5 μ g/ml), guinea-pig antiserum to EGFP (1:100; a gift from R. Tomioka, RIKEN, Japan) and sheep antibody to GAD (1:1,000; ref. 49). See Supplementary Methods for detailed protocol and image acquisition.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell recordings were made from neurons in parasagittal slices of cerebellar vermis prepared from adult male and female mice. A bicarbonate-buffered 'external' solution and a CsCl-based 'internal' solution (near symmetrical Cl^- concentration) were used. mIPSCs were recorded at -70 mV in the presence of 5 μ M CNQX (6 cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione disodium), 10 μ M D-AP5 and 0.5-1.0 μ M tetrodotoxin. In all tests, synaptic currents recorded under such conditions were completely blocked by the GABA_A receptor antagonist SR 95531 (gabazine, 10-20 μ M; data not shown). All recordings were made at near physiological temperature (34-38 °C), except those from *PC- $\Delta \gamma 2$* mice and their littermates, which were made at room temperature (22-25 °C). For full details of the recording and analysis, see Supplementary Methods.

Zolpidem (Tocris Bioscience) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 50 mM and was used at 1 μ M (final DMSO 0.002%). Zolpidem was applied to each slice only once, and its effect on mIPSCs was determined only after equilibration for at least 2 min.

Statistical tests were done with GraphPad Prism software (Prism 3.0, GraphPad Software Inc.). Except where noted, treatment groups and mouse lines were compared with two-tailed paired or unpaired Student's *t*-tests, as appropriate. Where data were non-normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test), non-parametric tests were used (Wilcoxon Matched pairs or Mann-Whitney U-test). The level of significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Mouse behavioral experiments

Male and female $\gamma 2I77lox$ ($n = 20$; all bred as littermates from the compound crosses, see above), $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ ($n = 20$) and $PC-\Delta \gamma 2$ ($n = 5$) mice aged 4–10 months (20–45 g) were used in tests. Basic behavioral characterization was done as described in the Supplementary Methods.

Rotarod and horizontal beam tests were done as described⁵⁰. The mice were given an i.p. injection of zolpidem (Sanofi-Synthelabo AB) 1–5 min before the rotarod and walking beam tests (1–4 trials). Zolpidem tartrate was crushed from a tablet, suspended in physiological saline and injected at 10 ml/kg (body weight). Drug dosing was either acute (one bolus injection) or cumulative (3 plus 3 plus 3 mg/kg, 5 min between injections). We used cumulative dosing of zolpidem with repeated rotarod testing in male mice. There was a minimum washout period of 3 d between pharmacological tests. Full details are given in the Supplementary Methods.

Statistical analyses of motor behavior were performed with SPSS 12.0.1 (SPSS Inc.) or GraphPad Prism software. Treatment groups and mouse lines were compared with either repeated measures ANOVA or one-way ANOVA, followed by Newman-Keuls post hoc test or Dunnett's test. In all statistical tests, the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank E. Sigel for pointing out the $\gamma 2I77$ mutation; J. Oberdick for the L7 expression cassette; S.J. Moss for the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ plasmid; M. Meyer for the $L7Cre$ line; R. Tomioka and E. Mugnaini for antibodies to EGFP and GAD, respectively; H. Monyer for discussion and support; F. Zimmermann for the transgene and stem cell injections; I. Preugschat-Gumprecht for help with mouse genotyping; D. Andersson, T. Karayannis and M. Capogna for contributing to initial electrophysiological recordings; and S. Brickley, M. Capogna, S.G. Cull-Candy, C. De Zeeuw, N. Franks, T. Klausberger and Z. Nusser for comments on the manuscript. This work was funded by the VolkswagenStiftung (grant I/78 554 to W.W., E.R.K., W.S. and P.S.), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant WI 1951/2 to W.W. and P.W.), the UK Medical Research Council (grant G0501584 to W.W.), the J. Ernest Tait Estate (to W.W. and T.G.), a Heidelberg Young Investigator Award (to P.W.), the Academy of Finland (to E.R.K. and A.-M.L.), the Sigrid Juselius Foundation (to E.R.K. and E.L.), the Institute Pasteur-Fondazione Cenci Bolognietti (to M.R.), the Austrian Federal Government (W.S.), the Medical University Vienna (W.S.) and a Wellcome Trust Programme Grant (to M.F.).

References

1. Riedel G, et al. Reversible neural inactivation reveals hippocampal participation in several memory processes. *Nat. Neurosci.* 1999; 2:898–905. [PubMed: 10491611]
2. Lomber SG. The advantages and limitations of permanent or reversible deactivation techniques in the assessment of neural function. *J. Neurosci. Methods.* 1999; 86:109–117. [PubMed: 10065980]
3. Pereira de Vasconcelos A, et al. Reversible inactivation of the dorsal hippocampus by tetrodotoxin or lidocaine: a comparative study on cerebral functional activity and motor coordination in the rat. *Neuroscience.* 2006; 141:1649–1663. [PubMed: 16797129]
4. Wulff P, Wisden W. Dissecting neural circuitry by combining genetics and pharmacology. *Trends Neurosci.* 2005; 28:44–50. [PubMed: 15626496]
5. Crick F. The impact of molecular biology on neuroscience. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 1999; 354:2021–2025. [PubMed: 10670022]
6. Rudolph U, Mohler H. Analysis of GABA_A receptor function and dissection of the pharmacology of benzodiazepines and general anesthetics through mouse genetics. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2004; 44:475–498. [PubMed: 14744255]

7. Farrant M, Nusser Z. Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of GABA_A receptors. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2005; 6:215–229. [PubMed: 15738957]
8. Whiting PJ. GABA_A receptors: a viable target for novel anxiolytics? *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 2006; 6:24–29. [PubMed: 16359919]
9. Perrais D, Ropert N. Effect of zolpidem on miniature IPSCs and occupancy of postsynaptic GABA_A receptors in central synapses. *J. Neurosci.* 1999; 19:578–588. [PubMed: 9880578]
10. Thomson AM, Bannister AP, Hughes DI, Pawelzik H. Differential sensitivity to Zolpidem of IPSPs activated by morphologically identified CA1 interneurons in slices of rat hippocampus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2000; 12:425–436. [PubMed: 10712623]
11. Campo-Soria C, Chang Y, Weiss DS. Mechanism of action of benzodiazepines on GABA_A receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2006; 148:984–990. [PubMed: 16783415]
12. Rudolph U, et al. Benzodiazepine actions mediated by specific γ -aminobutyric acid_A receptor subtypes. *Nature.* 1999; 401:796–800. [PubMed: 10548105]
13. Crestani F, Martin JR, Mohler H, Rudolph U. Mechanism of action of the hypnotic zolpidem *in vivo*. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2000; 131:1251–1254. [PubMed: 11090095]
14. Crestani F, Martin JR, Mohler H, Rudolph U. Resolving differences in GABA_A receptor mutant mouse studies. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2000; 3:1059. [PubMed: 11036253]
15. McKernan RM, et al. Sedative but not anxiolytic properties of benzodiazepines are mediated by the GABA_A receptor α 1 subtype. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2000; 3:587–592. [PubMed: 10816315]
16. Wisden W, Laurie DJ, Monyer H, Seeburg PH. The distribution of 13 GABA_A receptor subunit mRNAs in the rat brain. I. Telencephalon, diencephalon, mesencephalon. *J. Neurosci.* 1992; 12:1040–1062. [PubMed: 1312131]
17. Duncan GE, et al. Distribution of [³H]zolpidem binding sites in relation to messenger RNA encoding the α 1, β 2 and γ 2 subunits of GABA_A receptors in rat brain. *Neuroscience.* 1995; 64:1113–1128. [PubMed: 7753379]
18. Niddam R, Dubois A, Scatton B, Arbilla S, Langer SZ. Autoradiographic localization of [³H]zolpidem binding sites in the rat CNS: comparison with the distribution of [³H]flunitrazepam binding sites. *J. Neurochem.* 1987; 49:890–899. [PubMed: 3039057]
19. Sancar F, Ericksen SS, Kucken AM, Teissere JA, Czajkowski C. Structural determinants for high-affinity zolpidem binding to GABA_A receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007; 71:38–46. [PubMed: 17012619]
20. Buhr A, Baur R, Sigel E. Subtle changes in residue 77 of the γ subunit of α 1 β 2 γ 2 GABA_A receptors drastically alter the affinity for ligands of the benzodiazepine binding site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997; 272:11799–11804. [PubMed: 9115236]
21. Wingrove PB, Thompson SA, Wafford KA, Whiting PJ. Key amino acids in the γ subunit of the γ -aminobutyric acid_A receptor that determine ligand binding and modulation at the benzodiazepine site. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1997; 52:874–881. [PubMed: 9351978]
22. Cope DW, et al. Abolition of zolpidem sensitivity in mice with a point mutation in the GABA_A receptor γ 2 subunit. *Neuropharmacology.* 2004; 47:17–34. [PubMed: 15165831]
23. Cope DW, et al. Loss of zolpidem efficacy in the hippocampus of mice with the GABA_A receptor γ 2 F77I point mutation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2005; 21:3002–3016. [PubMed: 15978011]
24. Ogris W, et al. Affinity of various benzodiazepine site ligands in mice with a point mutation in the GABA_A receptor γ 2 subunit. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2004; 68:1621–1629. [PubMed: 15451405]
25. Smeyne RJ, et al. Local control of granule cell generation by cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 1995; 6:230–251. [PubMed: 7496629]
26. Häusser M, Clark BA. Tonic synaptic inhibition modulates neuronal output pattern and spatiotemporal synaptic integration. *Neuron.* 1997; 19:665–678. [PubMed: 9331356]
27. Mittmann W, Koch U, Häusser M. Feed-forward inhibition shapes the spike output of cerebellar Purkinje cells. *J. Physiol. (Lond.).* 2005; 563:369–378. [PubMed: 15613376]
28. Santamaria F, Tripp PG, Bower JM. Feed-forward inhibition controls the spread of granule cell-induced Purkinje cell activity in the cerebellar cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2007; 97:248–263. [PubMed: 17050824]

29. Laurie DJ, Seeburg PH, Wisden W. The distribution of 13 GABA_A receptor subunit mRNAs in the rat brain. II. Olfactory bulb and cerebellum. *J. Neurosci.* 1992; 12:1063–1076. [PubMed: 1312132]
30. Fritschy JM, Panzanelli P, Kralic JE, Vogt KE, Sassoe-Pognetto M. Differential dependence of axo-dendritic and axo-somatic GABAergic synapses on GABA_A receptors containing the $\alpha 1$ subunit in Purkinje cells. *J. Neurosci.* 2006; 26:3245–3255. [PubMed: 16554475]
31. Gunther U, et al. Benzodiazepine-insensitive mice generated by targeted disruption of the $\gamma 2$ subunit gene of γ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1995; 92:7749–7753. [PubMed: 7644489]
32. Schweizer C, et al. The $\gamma 2$ subunit of GABA_A receptors is required for maintenance of receptors at mature synapses. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 2003; 24:442–450. [PubMed: 14572465]
33. Barski JJ, Dethleffsen K, Meyer M. Cre recombinase expression in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Genesis.* 2000; 28:93–98. [PubMed: 11105049]
34. Kittler JT, et al. Analysis of GABA_A receptor assembly in mammalian cell lines and hippocampal neurons using $\gamma 2$ subunit green fluorescent protein chimeras. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 2000; 16:440–452. [PubMed: 11085880]
35. Brickley SG, Revilla V, Cull-Candy SG, Wisden W, Farrant M. Adaptive regulation of neuronal excitability by a voltage-independent potassium conductance. *Nature.* 2001; 409:88–92. [PubMed: 11343119]
36. Marder E, Goaillard JM. Variability, compensation and homeostasis in neuron and network function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2006; 7:563–574. [PubMed: 16791145]
37. Lima SQ, Miesenböck G. Remote control of behavior through genetically targeted photostimulation of neurons. *Cell.* 2005; 121:141–152. [PubMed: 15820685]
38. Zhang F, et al. Multimodal fast optical interrogation of neural circuitry. *Nature.* 2007; 446:633–641. [PubMed: 17410168]
39. Gosgnach S, et al. V1 spinal neurons regulate the speed of vertebrate locomotor outputs. *Nature.* 2006; 440:215–219. [PubMed: 16525473]
40. Tan EM, et al. Selective and quickly reversible inactivation of mammalian neurons *in vivo* using the *Drosophila* allatostatin receptor. *Neuron.* 2006; 51:157–170. [PubMed: 16846851]
41. Karpova AY, Tervo DG, Gray NW, Svoboda K. Rapid and reversible chemical inactivation of synaptic transmission in genetically targeted neurons. *Neuron.* 2005; 48:727–735. [PubMed: 16337911]
42. Yamamoto M, et al. Reversible suppression of glutamatergic neurotransmission of cerebellar granule cells *in vivo* by genetically manipulated expression of tetanus neurotoxin light chain. *J. Neurosci.* 2003; 23:6759–6767. [PubMed: 12890769]
43. Wall MJ, Usowicz MM. Development of action potential-dependent and independent spontaneous GABA_A receptor-mediated currents in granule cells of postnatal rat cerebellum. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 1997; 9:533–548. [PubMed: 9104595]
44. McCartney MR, Deeb TZ, Henderson TN, Hales TG. Tonically active GABA_A receptors in hippocampal pyramidal neurons exhibit constitutive GABA-independent gating. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007; 71:539–548. [PubMed: 17090706]
45. Benavides J, et al. *In vivo* interaction of zolpidem with central benzodiazepine (BZD) binding sites (as labeled by [³H]Ro 15-1788) in the mouse brain. Preferential affinity of zolpidem for the omega 1 (BZD1) subtype. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 1988; 245:1033–1041. [PubMed: 2838599]
46. Leppa E, et al. Agonistic effects of the β -carboline DMCM revealed in GABA_A receptor $\gamma 2$ subunit F77I point-mutated mice. *Neuropharmacology.* 2005; 48:469–478. [PubMed: 15755475]
47. Lein ES, et al. Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature.* 2007; 445:168–176. [PubMed: 17151600]
48. Zezula J, Fuchs K, Sieghart W. Separation of $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$ subunits of the GABA_A-benzodiazepine receptor complex by immunoaffinity chromatography. *Brain Res.* 1991; 563:325–328. [PubMed: 1664775]
49. Oertel WH, Schmechel DE, Mugnaini E, Tappaz ML, Kopin IJ. Immunocytochemical localization of glutamate decarboxylase in rat cerebellum with a new antiserum. *Neuroscience.* 1981; 6:2715–2735. [PubMed: 7033824]

50. Korpi ER, et al. Cerebellar granule-cell-specific GABA_A receptors attenuate benzodiazepine-induced ataxia: evidence from $\alpha 6$ -subunit-deficient mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 1999; 11:233–240. [PubMed: 9987027]

Figure 1.

Strategy to restrict zolpidem sensitivity to selected neural types, using Cre recombinase to drive a $\gamma 2^{I77}$ to $\gamma 2^{F77}$ subunit swap. The genotype, the pentameric GABA_A receptors formed from α , β and $\gamma 2$ ($\gamma 2^{F77}$ or $\gamma 2^{I77}$) subunits and corresponding brain sections, with zolpidem sensitivity indicated in red, are shown for three groups of mice. Homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells is used to introduce a Phe77 to isoleucine point mutation and to flank exon 4 with $loxP$ sites. The resultant mice are crossed with mice expressing Cre recombinase and the zolpidem-sensitive $\gamma 2$ subunit under the control of the Purkinje cell-selective L7 promoter to generate PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap mice. In the Purkinje cells of these mice, Cre catalyzes recombination between the $loxP$ sites, resulting in excision and loss of exon 4, and thus deletion of the $\gamma 2^{I77}$ subunit (gray). In its place, the $\gamma 2^{F77GFP}$ transgene produces the wild-type zolpidem-sensitive $\gamma 2$ subunit (red), tagged with GFP at its amino terminus.

Figure 2.

Summary of mouse genotypes. *In situ* hybridization autoradiographs show the expression of various GABA_A receptor $\gamma 2$ subunit mRNA in brain sections from $\gamma 2I77lox$, $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ adult mice. The corresponding transgene content (genotype) of the mice is indicated above the images (arrowheads, *loxP* sites; Ex 4, exon 4). The $\gamma 2I77lox$ and $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ autoradiographs were obtained with a $\gamma 2$ -specific (exon 4) oligonucleotide. To visualize selectively the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ mRNA, the $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ image was obtained with a GFP-specific oligonucleotide. Magnifications of the boxed areas are shown at the bottom. In $\gamma 2I77lox$ cerebellum, exon 4-containing $\gamma 2I77$ mRNA is present in all layers of the cerebellum, but is most evident in the granule cell (Gr) and Purkinje cell (PC) layers. In $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ cerebellum, $\gamma 2I77$ mRNA is absent from the Purkinje cell layer. In $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ brains, $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ mRNA is present only in Purkinje cells. Scale bars, 2 mm and 300 μ m.

Figure 3.

Synaptic expression of the $\gamma 2F77^{GFP}$ subunit in Purkinje cells of adult *PC- $\gamma 2$ -swap* mice. A confocal microscopic image shows immunoreactivity for GFP (green), the $\alpha 1$ subunit of the GABA_A receptor (blue) and GAD (red) in the cerebellum. Note the short segments of GFP immunoreactivity surrounding the somata and dendrites of Purkinje cells. Glomeruli in the granule cell layer are immunonegative for GFP. Inset shows magnified images of the boxed area, indicating that individual GFP patches also contain $\alpha 1$ subunit immunoreactivity and are apposed to GAD-immunoreactive structures corresponding to GABAergic nerve terminals. Other GAD-positive structures apposed to $\alpha 1$ subunit immunoreactive segments represent GABAergic terminals that innervate interneuron (stellate/basket cell) dendrites. Scale bars, 15 μ m and 2 μ m (inset).

Figure 4.

Potentiation of GABA_A receptor-mediated mIPSCs by zolpidem in Purkinje cells of $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ mice. (a) Continuous 20-s segments of whole-cell recordings (-70 mV) from Purkinje cells of $\gamma 2I77lox$ (postnatal day 140), $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ (P109) and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ (P134) mice. mIPSCs (downward current deflections) are absent from the $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ recording, but appear normal in the $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ recording. (b) Superimposed averaged mIPSCs in the absence (black) and presence (red) of zolpidem in $\gamma 2I77lox$ (top) and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ (bottom) mice, before (left) and after (right) peak scaling (same cells as in a). Smooth red or black lines on the decaying phase of the scaled traces (gray) are fits of double-exponential functions. Zolpidem (1 μ M) increased the amplitude and decay of the mIPSCs recorded from the $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ Purkinje cell, but had no effect on those recorded from the $\gamma 2I77lox$ cell. (c) Pooled data showing the effect of zolpidem on peak amplitude, τ_w and charge transfer. Columns indicate means and vertical error bars indicate s.e.m. (** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, Mann Whitney U -test; $n = 8$ and 5 for $\gamma 2I77lox$ and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ mice, respectively).

Figure 5.

Motor performance on a rotarod and horizontal beam after systemic administration of zolpidem. **(a)** The rotarod was accelerated from 5 to 30 r.p.m. over 180 s. Data for $\gamma 2I77lox$ littermate control ($n = 20$), $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ ($n = 20$) and $PC-\Delta\gamma 2$ ($n = 5$) mice are presented as the daily means $\pm$ s.e.m. of six trials for males and females combined (* $P < 0.05$ for $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ versus $\gamma 2I77lox$ control mice on training day 1, repeated measures ANOVA and Newman-Keuls post hoc tests). **(b)** Effects of zolpidem on motor performance. Shown is the effect of cumulative doses (3+3+3 mg/kg i.p., total 9 mg/kg) of zolpidem on the rotarod performance of $\gamma 2I77lox$ control ($n = 9$) and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ ($n = 5$) male mice. The rotarod was accelerated from 5 to 30 r.p.m. over 180 s (*** $P < 0.001$ between mouse lines and $P < 0.001$ versus saline injection within the $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ line, one-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls post hoc tests). Data are presented as means $\pm$ s.e.m. **(c)** Effect of 12 mg/kg of i.p. zolpidem on the walking beam performance of $\gamma 2I77lox$ control ($n = 9$) and $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ ($n = 5$) male mice. Mice were pre-trained for 4 d to traverse the 100-cm long, 0.8-cm diameter wooden beam. Columns represent means and vertical error bars s.e.m. (*** $P < 0.001$ between mouse lines and $P < 0.001$ versus saline injection within the $PC-\gamma 2-swap$ line, two-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls post hoc tests).