Published in final edited form as:

Chem Phys Lett. 2006 December 11; 432(4-6): 528–532.

Metal-Enhanced S_2 Fluorescence from Azulene

Yongxia Zhang¹, Kadir Aslan¹, Michael J. R. Previte¹, and Chris D. Geddes^{1,2,*}

1 Institute of Fluorescence, Laboratory for Advanced Medical Plasmonics, Medical Biotechnology Center, University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, 725 West Lombard St, Baltimore, MD, 21201, USA

2 Center for Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Medical Biotechnology Center, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 725 West Lombard St, Baltimore, MD, 21201, USA

Abstract

In this paper, we report the first observation of metal-enhanced S_2 emission at room and low temperature (77K). The S_2 emission intensity of Azulene is enhanced by close proximity to Silver island films (SiFs). In this regard, a ≈ 2 -fold higher S_2 fluorescence intensity of Azulene was observed from SiFs as compared to a glass control sample. This suggests that S_2 excited states can couple to surface plasmons and enhance S_2 fluorescence yields, a helpful observation in our understanding the interactions between plasmons and lumophores, and our continued efforts to develop a unified plasmon-lumophore/fluorophore theory.

Keywords

S_2 emission; Metal-Enhanced S_2 Fluorescence; Radiative Decay Engineering; Surface Enhanced Fluorescence; Plasmon Controlled Fluorescence; Radiating Plasmons; Azulene; Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence

1.0 Introduction

The location of electronic energy levels in molecules and the probability and polarization of radiative transitions between ground and excited state can be accurately predicted by quantum mechanical theory [1]. For most molecules, no excited singlet states (S_2 , S_3 ...) have been observed to emit light upon excitation, the same being true for triplet states (T_2 , T_3 ...). This is summarized in Kasha's rule: *The emitting electronic level of a given multiplicity is the lowest excited level of that multiplicity* [2].

As the first authentic example of a molecule which violates Kasha's rule, azulene has been the subject of numerous spectroscopic and photophysical investigations [3–6]. Beer and Longuet-Higgins discovered the second excited level emits light upon excitation, producing the anomalous (S_2 to S_0) fluorescence of azulene in 1955 [6], whereas that normal S_1 to S_0 fluorescence and T_1 to T_0 phosphorescence were much too weak to be observed. The absence of S_1 to S_0 fluorescence suggests that S_1 to S_0 internal conversion is a very rapid process in Azulene, this supposition being confirmed by the lifetime of the S_1 state being determined as 1.9 psec [7]. Compared with the analogous spacing of the isomer Naphthalene, Azulene has much wider spacing between its zero-point levels of the first two excited singlet states (S_1 ,

*Corresponding Author E-mail: geddes@umbi.umd.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

S₂) [8]. The anomalous fluorescence of Azulene subsequently occurs because internal conversion fails to take place between the S₂ and S₁ level, on account of their wide energy separation.

Azulene, with 7-membered rings, is an allelochemical. It can penetrate into the cell, interact with cellular components such as DNA-containing organelles or tubulin of the cytoplasm, and selectively fluoresce upon interaction with different cellular compartments [9]. This activity makes it as valuable as other indicator fluorescent dyes. However, the detection is limited by Azulene's weak S₂ fluorescence. In this regard, the plasmonic enhancement of fluorescence of Azulene is very important, and may facilitate the studying of allelopathic mechanisms at the cellular level.

In recent years, our laboratories have both studied and demonstrated many applications of metal-enhanced fluorescence (MEF) [10–12], metal enhanced phosphorescence [13], metal-enhanced chemiluminescence [14] and Surface Plasmon Coupled Fluorescence for directional emission [15]. These have included the increased detectability and photostability of fluorophores [16] and chemiluminescent species [14], improved DNA detection [17], the release of self-quenched fluorescence of over labeled proteins [18], enhanced wavelength-ratiometric sensing [19], and the application of metallic surfaces to amplified (ultra fast and sensitive) assay detection [20]. Our laboratory's current interpretation of MEF has been explained by a model whereby non-radiative energy transfer occurs from excited distal fluorophores, to the surface plasmon electrons in non-continuous films (Fig. 1 top), in essence a fluorophore induced mirror dipole in the metal. The surface plasmons in turn, radiate the emission of the coupling fluorophores [10–12]. This explanation has been facilitated by our recent observation of surface plasmon coupled emission (SPCE) [21], whereby fluorophores distal to a continuous metallic film can directionally radiate fluorophore emission at a unique angle from the back of the film. However, all previous MEF and MEP studies were exclusively focused on S₁ and T₁ electronic states respectively and their emission.

In this letter we report our observations on the photophysical characteristics of Azulene, which has well-known S₂ emission, in close proximity to SiFs. We have observed both the enhanced absorption and S₂ emission of Azulene from SiFs (which is $\approx$ 2-fold brighter) as compared to a glass substrate, a control sample at room temperature (RT) and at 77 K. Furthermore, a shorter Azulene fluorescence lifetime was also observed, consistent with our previous observations of Metal-enhanced Fluorescence [10–21]. These observations are helpful in our understanding of not only the excitation/relaxation of azulene, but in our laboratories continued efforts to develop a unified theory to explain plasmon-lumophore (and fluorophore) interactions.

2.0 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Silver nitrate (99.9%), sodium hydroxide (99.996%), ammonium hydroxide (30%), *D*-glucose and premium quality silane-prepTM glass slides (75×25 mm), Ethanol (HPLC/spectrophotometric grade), Azulene, Diethyl – Ether, Isopentane were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were used as received.

2.2 Methods

SiFs were prepared as we have previously published [10]. In a typical SiF preparation, a solution of sodium hydroxide and ammonium hydroxide are added to a continuously stirred solution of silver nitrate at RT. Subsequently, the mixture is cooled down in an ice bath, silane-prepTM glass slides are inserted and a solution of *D*-glucose is added. As the temperature is increased, the color of the mixture turns yellow-brown and the SiFs-deposited slides are

removed from the mixture, washed with water, and sonicated for a few seconds at room temperature. SiFs-deposited glass slides were stored in deionized water until they were used.

300 μL of Azulene (1.0×10^{-3} M) in EPA solution (Diethyl - Ether: Isopentane: Ethanol = 5:5:2 (v/v)) was dropped in a sandwich format between the glass slides and the silver island films, respectively. The Fig. 2 insert shows the experimental sample geometry. The glass/SiFs surfaces were placed in liquid nitrogen for 2 mins and used for low temperature (77K) measurements. Absorbance spectra were taken using a Varian Cary 50 UV-Vis. Spectrophotometer. Fluorescence measurements were undertaken using a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer.

Fluorescence lifetimes were measured using the time-correlated single photon counting technique, using a PicoQuant modular fluorescence lifetime spectrometer (Fluo Time 100) with a PicoQuant LDH-P-C-400 laser as the light source. The intensity decays (Figure 4) were subsequently analyzed in terms of the multi-exponential model:

$$I(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i \exp(-t/\tau_i) \quad (1)$$

Where α_i are the amplitudes and τ_i are the decay times, $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1.0$. The fractional contribution of each component to the steady state intensity is given by:

$$f_i = \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i}{\sum_j \alpha_j \tau_j} \quad (2)$$

The mean lifetime of the excited state is given by

$$\tau = \sum_i f_i \tau_i \quad (3)$$

and the amplitude-weighted lifetime is given by

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i \tau_i \quad (4)$$

The values of α_i and τ_i were determined by nonlinear least squares impulse reconvolution, with a goodness-of-fit χ^2 criterion.

3.0 Results and Discussion

Fig. 2 shows the absorption spectra of Azulene from between the SiFs and from glass. SiFs and glass without Azulene were used as reference backgrounds for the Azulene absorption measurements, respectively. From Fig. 2, it can be seen that the Azulene has a larger absorbance on the SiFs as compared to glass. The enhanced absorption of dye molecules near to metallic surfaces was first reported by Glass *et. al.* in 1980 and later confirmed by other groups [23–25]. When a lumophore is placed near metal, there is a very strong net absorption effect, a result of the coupling of the molecular dipoles with the localized electromagnetic field of the metallic particle's surface plasmon resonance [12]. In essence, conducting metallic particles can modify the free space absorption condition in ways that increase the photonic mode density and incident electric field felt by a lumophore [10–12]. These enhanced absorption phenomenon can lead to surface enhanced luminescence phenomena, such as Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence (MEF) and Metal-Enhanced Phosphorescence (MEP) [13], phenomena described by our groups [10–13].

Fig. 3-top shows the S_2 fluorescence emission spectra of Azulene from SiFs and from glass at RT, consistent with previous reports [1–3]. The enhanced fluorescence intensity was ≈ 1.5 -fold brighter from the silver, (i.e. ratio of emission from SiFs/glass). It should be noted that the true metal-enhanced fluorescence enhancement factor is ≈ 37 -fold. This is because the MEF phenomenon is distance dependent [10–12], where with a sample thickness of ~ 1 micron and an enhanced interaction region < 20 nm [10–12], then only 4 % of the sample is within the MEF enhancement region.

In addition to the metal-enhanced S_2 fluorescence at RT, we have observed that temperature can also influence the magnitude of the MEF phenomenon, *hitherto*, an unreported observation. It can be expected that if all other factors remain constant, the fluorescence intensity will increase with decreasing temperature [26]. Figure 3 Bottom shows the fluorescence emission spectra of Azulene from between both SiFs and from glass at 77K. It can be seen that the enhanced fluorescence intensity was >2 -fold brighter from silver as compared to glass. Table 1 summarizes the fluorescence spectral data for Azulene at RT and at 77K sandwiched between both glass and silver slides. The enhancement ratio for Azulene increases from 1.3 (at RT) to 2.0 (at 77K). At first, one may consider this increase in ratio to be due to a property of the Azulene itself at low temperature. However, this ratio is calculated by the division of the emission intensity of Azulene from SiFs by the emission intensity from glass, *also* measured at 77 K. This suggests that the further increase in MEF at low temperature (i.e. increase in ratio) is indeed a property of the plasmons themselves and the coupling of the Azulene to silver surface plasmons at low temperature. Given that the absorption spectrum of the SiFs remains unchanged at low temperature (data not shown), then we speculate that the further enhanced MEF is due to a reduced damping of the coupled S_2 emission. Further low temperature studies are underway in this regard.

The full width half maximum (FWHM) for Azulene at RT and at 77K are also shown in Table 1. FWHM for the fluorescence emission spectra of Azulene on SiFs at 77K was ≈ 9 nm which is narrower, as expected, as compared to that measured at room temperature (≈ 18 nm). Also, the emission wavelength maximum at 77K is identical to that of the spectra measured at RT. Most organic fluorophores also emit phosphorescence when in a rigid medium [6]. It is however, not surprising that phosphorescence was not observed for Azulene, because assuming a fluorescence-phosphorescence frequency difference of $2,000\text{--}10,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$, the phosphorescence T_1 energy level of Azulene is higher than the lowest excited S_1 singlet level at $14,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ [27]. The radiationless transition to S_0 couples with the highly forbidden triplet-singlet transition which gives rise to classical phosphorescence [4].

In addition, we studied the intensity decays of Azulene in the presence of SiFs. These decays were used to calculate the respective lifetimes shown in Table 2, using non-linear least squares regression analysis. From Figure 4 and Table 2, we can see both a reduced mean lifetime (τ_{mean}) and amplitude-weighted lifetime ($\langle \tau \rangle$) for fluorophores near-to silver (0.09 ns) as compared to the glass control sample (0.34 ns). In fact, shorter lifetimes for fluorophores in close proximity to silver nanostructures, coupled with enhanced emission intensities in the same system, is indicative of the MEF S_1 phenomenon, and has been reported and explained by our group many times previously [10–13]. In fluorescence, the spectral observables are governed by the magnitude of Γ , the radiative decay rate, relative to the sum of the non-radiative decay rates, k_{nr} , such as internal conversion and quenching. In the absence of metallic particles or surfaces, then the quantum yield, Q_0 and fluorescence lifetime τ_0 are given respectively by:

$$Q_0 = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma + k_{nr}} \quad (5)$$

$$\tau_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma + k_{nr}} \quad (6)$$

In all of our applications of S_1 MEF to date, we have found that the enhanced fluorescence signals (Quantum yields – Q_m) of fluorophores in close proximity (< 10 nm) to metallic nanostructures could be well described by the following equations [10–12,16]:

$$Q_m = (\Gamma + \Gamma_m) / (\Gamma + \Gamma_m + k_{nr}) \quad (7)$$

where Γ is the unmodified radiative decay rate, Γ_m is the metal-modified *system* radiative decay rate and k_{nr} are the non-radiative rates, Figure 1-top. Similarly, the metal-modified lifetime, τ_m , of a fluorophore is decreased by an increased radiative decay rate:

$$\tau_m = 1 / (\Gamma + \Gamma_m + k_{nr}) \quad (8)$$

From equations 7 and 8, we can see that as the value of the system Γ_m increases, the quantum yield Q_m increases, while the lifetime, τ_m , decreases.

As described in the introduction, S_1 to S_0 has a narrow energy gap for Azulene and the S_1 to S_0 internal conversion rate is a very rapid process. In this work, we saw no evidence that the S_1 state of Azulene can couple or indeed be enhanced by surface plasmons. For the S_2 state, enhanced S_2 emission is currently thought to occur due to the efficient non-radiative transfer to surface plasmons which, in turn, efficiently radiate, similarly to what is observed for many other fluorophores' S_1 emission [10–13]. As shown in figure 1 bottom, a highly efficient S_2 emission coupling to surface plasmons, where the plasmons efficiently and quickly radiate the coupled emission, results in a reduced fluorophore lifetime and an enhanced observed S_2 emission intensity. These findings are consistent with equations 7 and 8, which have been used successfully to describe Metal-Enhanced S_1 emission. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observation of enhanced S_2 emission.

4.0 Conclusions

In this paper we report the first observation of metal-enhanced S_2 fluorescence. Azulene in close proximity to SiFs can undergo enhanced S_2 fluorescence, a 2-fold increase was observed as compared to an identical control sample containing no silver at 77K. In addition, the amplitude-weighted lifetime was reduced ≈ 4 fold near to silver. This finding suggests that photon induced electronically excited states at RT, and also at low temperature, can both induce and couple to surface plasmons, facilitating enhanced S_2 fluorescence emission.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NIH, NCRR RR008119. The authors would also like to thank UMBI for salary support.

References

1. Orlandi G, Zerbetto F. Chem Phys 1987;113:167.
2. Kasha M. Complex Molecules 1950:14.
3. Murata S, Iwanaga C, Toda T, Kokubun H. Chem Phys Lett 1972;15:152.
4. Viswannath G, Kasha M. J Chem Phys 1956;24:574.
5. Sidman JW, McClure DS. J Chem Phys 1956;24:574.
6. Beer M, Longuet-higgins HC. J Chem Phys 1955;23:1390.
7. Ippen EP, Shank CV, Woerner RL. Chem Phys Lett 1977;46:20.
8. Gillispie GD, Lim EC. J Chem Phys 1978;68(10):4578.

9. Roshchina VV, Yashin VA, Kononov AV. *J Fluor* 2004;14:745.
10. Aslan K, Gryczynski I, Malicka J, Matveeva E, Lakowicz JR, Geddes CD. *Current Opinions in Biotechnology* 2005;16(1):55.
11. Geddes, CD.; Aslan, K.; Gryczynski, I.; Malicka, J.; Lakowicz, JR. Review Chapter for *Annual Reviews in Fluorescence*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York, USA: 2004. Noble Metal Nanostructure for Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence; p. 365
12. Geddes, CD.; Aslan, K.; Gryczynski, I.; Malicka, J.; Lakowicz, JR. *Topics in Fluorescence in Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York, USA: 2005. Radiative Decay Engineering; p. 405
13. Zhang Y, Aslan K, Malyn SN, Geddes CD. *Chem Phys Lett* 2006;427:432.
14. Chowdhury MH, Aslan K, Lakowicz JR, Geddes CD. *Appl Phys Lett* 2006;88:173104.
15. Lakowicz JR. *Anal Biochem* 2004;324(2):153. [PubMed: 14690679]
16. Lakowicz JR. *Anal Biochem* 2001;298:1. [PubMed: 11673890]
17. Aslan K, Malyn SN, Geddes CD. *Biophys Res Com* 2006;348:612.
18. Lakowicz JR, Malicka J, D'Auria S, Gryczynski I. *Anal Biochem* 2003;320:13. [PubMed: 12895465]
19. Aslan K, Lakowicz JR, Szmazinski H, Geddes CD. *J Fluoresc* 2005;15(1):37. [PubMed: 15711875]
20. Aslan K, Geddes CD. *Anal Chem* 2005;77(24):8057. [PubMed: 16351156]
21. Geddes, CD.; Gryczynski, I.; Malicka, J.; Lakowicz, J. *Photon. Spectra*; 2004.
22. Wokaun A, Lutz HP, King AP, Wild UP, Ernst RR. *J Chem Phys* 1983;79:509.
23. Glass AM, Liao PF, Bergman JG, Olson DH. *Optics Letters* 1980;5:368.
24. Craighead HG, Glass AM. *Optics Letters* 1981;6:248.
25. Garoff S, Weitz DA, Gramila TJ, Hanson CD. *Optics Letters* 1981;6:245.
26. Lakowicz, JR. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York, USA: 1999.
27. Kasha M. *Chem Revs* 1947;41:401.

Figure 1.

Graphical representation of our laboratory's current interpretation of Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence (MEF) (**Top**), and Metal-Enhanced S₂ emission (**Bottom**). IC-Internal Conversion, VR-Vibrational energy relaxation. Ag-Silver nanoparticle (SiFs), MEF-Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence, TCP-Transfer/coupling to Plasmons, MES₂-Metal Enhanced S₂ Emission. Energy level spacing not drawn to scale.

Figure 2. Absorption spectra of Azulene sandwiched between 2 silvered (SiFs) and unsilvered slides respectively. EPA, Diethyl - Ether: Isopentane: Ethanol = 5:5:2 (v/v), SiFs- Silver Island Films.

Figure 3. Fluorescence emission spectra, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 338$ nm, of Azulene sandwiched between 2 silvered (SiFs) and unsilvered slides at room temperature (RT), (**Top**), and the emission spectra at 77 K, (**Bottom**). All spectra are the mean of 3 separate and independent sample measurements.

Figure 4. Fluorescence Intensity decays of Azulene from between silvered (SiFs) and unsilvered glass slides at room temperature (RT). Data from least square reconvolution analysis is shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Fluorescence spectral data for Azulene at RT and 77 K sandwiched between both glass and silvered slides (SiFs). The enhancement factor was calculated as the “peak” emission intensity ratio SiFs/Glass. Integrated area ratio is the ratio of the integrated area under the fluorescence spectra on SiFs and glass. FWHM– Full-Width Half-Maximum. SiFs-Silver Island Films.

	Fluorescence	
	FWHM	Enhancement factor (integrated area ratio)
Azulene glass slides, RT	20 nm	-
Azulene glass slides, 77K	9 nm	-
Azulene SiFs, RT	18 nm	1.3 (1.4)
Azulene SiFs, 77K	9 nm	2.0 (2.0)

Fluorescence intensity decay analysis of Azulene at room temperature. a_i are the amplitudes and τ_i are the decay times. $\langle \tau \rangle$ the amplitude-weighted and $\bar{\tau}_{\text{mean}}$ is the mean lifetime. SiFs-Silver Island Films.

Table 2

	a_1	τ_1 (ns)	a_2	τ_2 (ns)	χ^2	$\langle \tau \rangle$	$\bar{\tau}_{\text{mean}}$
Azulene on glass	0.74	4.25	99.2	0.31	0.97	0.34	0.67
Azulene on SiFs	0.19	5.00	99.8	0.09	1.01	0.09	0.57