Published in final edited form as:

Nat Genet. 2006 March ; 38(3): 312–319.

Feedback repression is required for mammalian circadian clock function

Trey K Sato^{1,2,3,9}, **Rikunhiro G Yamada**^{4,9}, **Hideki Ukai**^{4,9}, **Julie E Baggs**⁵, **Loren J Miraglia**¹, **Tetsuya J Kobayashi**⁴, **David K Welsh**^{2,6,7}, **Steve A Kay**^{2,5}, **Hiroki R Ueda**⁴, and **John B Hogenesch**^{1,3,5,8}

1 Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, 10675 John J. Hopkins Dr., San Diego, California 92121, USA

2 Department of Cell Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

3 Department of Genome Technology, The Scripps Research Institute, 5353 Parkside Drive, RF1, Jupiter, Florida 33458, USA

4 Laboratory for Systems Biology, Center for Developmental Biology, RIKEN, 2-2-3 Minatojima-minamimachi, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo, 650-0047, Japan

5 Department of Biochemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 5353 Parkside Drive, RF1, Jupiter, Florida 33458, USA

6 Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

7 The Veterans Administration San Diego Healthcare System, 3350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, California 92161, USA

8 Department of Neuropharmacology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

Abstract

Direct evidence for the requirement of transcriptional feedback repression in circadian clock function has been elusive. Here, we developed a molecular genetic screen in mammalian cells to identify mutants of the circadian transcriptional activators CLOCK and BMAL1, which were uncoupled from CRYPTOCHROME (CRY)-mediated transcriptional repression. Notably, mutations in the PER-

Correspondence should be addressed to H.R.U. (uedah-ty@umin.ac.jp) and J.B.H. (hogenesch@scripps.edu).

⁹These authors contributed equally to this work.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Genetics website.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

T.K.S. and J.B.H. developed the mutagenesis screening concept. R.G.Y., H.U., and H.R.U. developed the high through-put real-time assay concept. T.K.S. developed, constructed and screened the mutant libraries, performed the steady-state reporter and biochemical analyses, and created the related figures. R.G.Y. developed the statistical method specific for whole-well and single cell real-time bioluminescence experiments, analyzed the data and created the related figures. H.U. developed the high throughput real-time assays and performed the related experiments. J.E.B. generated data for Figure 2a, the *Clock-W362A* mutant, Supplementary Figure 6 and performed additional steady-state reporter and biochemical analyses. L.J.M. developed the screening protocol and constructed and screened the mutant libraries. T.J.K. and H.U. performed single-cell imaging experiments, analyzed the data and generated related figures. D.K.W. and S.A.K. performed single-cell imaging experiments and analysis. H.R.U. developed and analyzed all real-time assays. R.G.Y., H.U., T.J.K. and H.R.U. wrote the sections relevant to real-time assays. T.K.S. and J.B.H. wrote the remaining sections. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript text.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

ARNT-SIM domain of CLOCK and the C terminus of BMAL1 resulted in synergistic insensitivity through reduced physical interactions with CRY. Coexpression of these mutant proteins in cultured fibroblasts caused arrhythmic phenotypes in population and single-cell assays. These data demonstrate that CRY-mediated repression of the CLOCK/BMAL1 complex activity is required for maintenance of circadian rhythmicity and provide formal proof that transcriptional feedback is required for mammalian clock function.

Circadian clocks have been proposed to consist of autoregulatory loops that use transcriptional feedback and regulated protein turnover to maintain 24-h periodicity^{1–3}. However, the universal requirement for transcriptional feedback repression has been questioned recently by two studies showing that it is not required for circadian rhythms in cyanobacteria^{4,5}. Circadian feedback repression in mammals is believed to be mediated by the CRY1 and CRY2 and PERIOD (PER1 and PER2) proteins. CRY and PER are hypothesized to autoregulate their own expression by repressing the heterodimeric complex of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) PER-ARNT-SIM (PAS) domain transcriptional activators *CLOCK* and *BMAL1*, which bind to E-box elements in the *CRY*⁶ and *PER*^{7,8} promoters. Indirect support for this feedback mechanism comes from biochemical, molecular and genetic evidence: (i) CRY and PER physically interact with CLOCK/BMAL1, (ii) CRY and PER repress CLOCK/BMAL1 activity in steady-state transcriptional assays^{9–12} and (iii) *CRY* and *PER* genes are required for maintenance of circadian rhythms in mice^{13–15}. However, direct evidence for the requirement of CRY-mediated repression of CLOCK/BMAL1 transcriptional activity in the maintenance of circadian clock function has been not been shown.

RESULTS

Mutagenesis and functional screening of *CLOCK*

In order to determine the requirement of feedback repression in circadian clock function, we sought to identify *CLOCK* alleles that were insensitive to CRY1 repression but that maintained normal transcriptional activity. We generated a random library of ~6,000 mutant human *CLOCK* alleles. We screened clones individually in cell-based reporter assays with wild-type *BMAL1* cDNA and a *PER1* promoter-luciferase construct⁷ in the presence of cotransfected *CRY1*. Of the approximately 6,000 *CLOCK* clones screened, three clones (*Clock-1*, *Clock-2* and *Clock-3*) reproducibly maintained threefold or greater reporter activity in the presence or absence of *CRY1* compared with wild-type *CLOCK*. We verified the reduced *CRY1* sensitivity phenotype by 96-well assays of activity of *CLOCK* mutants with increasing amounts of *CRY1* plasmid. When cotransfected with wild-type *BMAL1* and the *PER1* reporter, the *Clock-1*, *Clock-2* and *Clock-3* clones maintained noticeably greater activity than wild-type *CLOCK* in the presence of 1 or 2.5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid (Fig. 1a). However, cotransfection of 5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid reduced the transcriptional activity of the mutants to a level similar to that of wild-type *CLOCK*, indicating that these clones are partially insensitive to *CRY1* repression. Notably, these clones demonstrated similar transcriptional activities as wild-type *CLOCK* in the absence of cotransfected *CRY1*, suggesting that these mutations do not cause overt alterations in the heterodimerization, nuclear localization, DNA binding and transactivation properties of the mutant CLOCK/BMAL1 complex.

DNA sequencing showed that all three of the *CLOCK* clones had missense mutations causing changes in amino acids C-terminal to the PAS-B repeat but within the PAS domain¹⁶ (also called the PAC domain; *Clock-1*, G332E; *Clock-2*, E367K; *Clock-3*, H360Y), in addition to other mutations (Fig. 1b). Notably, the mutations within the PAS domain were independently determined to be causative in the *Clock-1* and *Clock-2* mutants (Supplementary Fig. 1 online). In addition, the *Clock-E367K* mutant also had approximately threefold greater activity than the *Clock-2* clone in the absence of *CRY1*, suggesting that the additional mutations within

Clock-2 may cause this difference. We examined the importance of these PAS domain residues by generating the identical mutations in the *CLOCK* paralogue *NPAS2* (also known as *MOP4*), which regulates circadian gene expression in central and peripheral tissues and is similarly repressed by *CRY* (refs. 11, 17–19). Corresponding mutations in the *NPAS2* PAS domain reduced its sensitivity, compared with wild-type *NPAS2*, to 1 and 2.5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid (Supplementary Fig. 1). Together, these results indicate that these mutations in the PAS domains of *CLOCK* and *NPAS2* can partially relieve *CRY1*-mediated transcriptional repression.

Recently, the crystal structure of the PAS domain of the *Drosophila melanogaster* PER protein was solved, and a tryptophan residue was found to be important for homodimerization through intermolecular contacts with the PAS-A repeat²⁰. As this conserved tryptophan (Trp362) in *CLOCK* is near the cluster of *CRY1*-desensitizing mutations, a *Clock-W362A* site-directed mutant was tested in *PER1*-luciferase reporter assays. The *Clock-W362A* mutant maintained significantly greater transcriptional activity than wild-type *CLOCK* in the presence of 1 and 2.5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid (Supplementary Fig. 1). Thus, these mutations near the *CLOCK* PAS-B repeat may affect interactions with PAS-A repeats from other PAS proteins, such as the PER or BMAL1 proteins.

Mutagenesis and functional screening of *BMAL1*

The results of the *CLOCK* mutagenesis screen suggested that the corresponding residues near the BMAL1 PAS-B repeat may also be important for *CRY*-mediated repression. Therefore, we generated *BMAL1* clones containing the analogous PAS domain mutations by site-directed mutagenesis and examined their activities in *PER1* reporter assays. In contrast to *CLOCK*, *BMAL1* PAS domain mutants had no difference in their sensitivities to *CRY1* compared with wild-type *BMAL1* (Supplementary Fig. 1). In order to uncover the BMAL1 residues required for sensitivity to *CRY*, we randomly mutagenized plasmids expressing Flag-tagged *BMAL1* and screened them with wild-type *CLOCK* for reduced sensitivity to *CRY1* as above. Of 6,000 clones screened, four *BMAL1* clones displayed 2.5-fold or greater desensitization to *CRY1*. The four *BMAL1* clones showed similar desensitized activities to 0.5–2.5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid as did the *CLOCK* PAS domain mutants (Fig. 1c). However, in contrast to the *CLOCK* mutants, these *BMAL1* clones were 1.5- to twofold more active in the absence of transfected *CRY1*, which may reflect partial insensitivity to endogenous *CRY*, and were completely repressed by 5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid. DNA sequencing showed that the four clones contained mutations causing amino acid changes at position 611 or 612 near the C terminus (Fig. 1d; *Bmal1-1*, A611S; *Bmal1-2*, A611T; *Bmal1-3*, A611T; *Bmal1-4*, G612E). Notably, a single site-directed *Bmal1-G612E* mutant phenocopied the *CRY1*-desensitized activity of *Bmal1-4* (Supplementary Fig. 1), which contained an additional mutation outside of the C terminus. These results confirm that, although *CLOCK* uses the PAS domain for responsiveness to *CRY1*, amino acid residues within BMAL1 C terminus are important for *CRY1*-mediated repression.

Identification of hyperactive *BMAL1* mutants

In addition to identifying *CRY1*-desensitized mutants, we isolated two gain-of-function *BMAL1* clones that showed 2- to 2.5-fold greater activity in the absence of *CRY1* but were normally repressed by *CRY1* (Fig. 1e). DNA sequencing detected missense mutations resulting in changes at the N terminus upstream of the bHLH region of BMAL1 (Fig. 1f; *Bmal1-5*, S10L; *Bmal1-6*, S9F). BMAL1 is known to be phosphorylated by casein kinase Iε²¹, which has a prominent role in regulating activities within the core circadian feedback loop²². To address the possibility that these mutations altered the phosphorylation state of these serine residues, we performed site-directed mutagenesis to change Ser9 or Ser10 to alanine, mimicking the unphosphorylated residue, or to glutamate, mimicking the phosphorylated form. Single- or

double-alanine and glutamate exchanges in the BMAL1 N terminus as well as deletion of the first 11 N-terminal amino acids (Supplementary Fig. 1) all resulted in enhanced *PER1* reporter activity, suggesting that phosphorylation states of Ser9 and Ser10 do not modulate BMAL1 activity.

Molecular analyses of *CLOCK/BMAL1* double mutants

The identification of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* mutants partially insensitive to CRY suggested that the double *CLOCK/BMAL1* mutant complex may show greater insensitivity. This hypothesis was tested by examining the activities of various combinations of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* mutants in the presence of 0 to 50 ng of *CRY1* plasmid in *PER1*-luciferase reporter assays. With higher amounts (10–50 ng) of *CRY1* plasmid, the activity of the *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* single mutants (Fig. 2a–c) was reduced to a basal level, equivalent to that of the reporter (Fig. 2a–c). In contrast, *CLOCK/BMAL1* double mutants showed marked and synergistic desensitization to high levels of *CRY1* plasmid (Fig. 2a–c). Even with 25 ng of cotransfected *CRY1* plasmid, all *CLOCK/BMAL1* double mutants retained approximately 50% of reporter activation compared with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* lacking *CRY1* plasmid. This synergistic insensitivity to CRY1 repression was also seen with *NPAS2/BMAL1* and *Clock-W362A/Bmal1-3* double mutants (Supplementary Fig. 2 online) but was not when *Bmal1-5* or *Bmal1-6* hyperactive alleles were coexpressed with mutant *Clock-3* (Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, *BMAL1* hyperactivity alone did not account for synergistic insensitivity to CRY1.

To determine if these mutations also block repression by mammalian Cryptochromes in general, we also examined *Clock-3/Bmal1-3* double mutants for sensitivity to CRY2. Notably, single *Clock-3* and *Bmal1-3* mutants were desensitized to low amounts of CRY2, whereas the double mutants showed synergistic insensitivity to CRY2 (Fig. 2d). In sum, the synergistic, rather than additive, insensitivity of these double mutants suggest that the *CLOCK/BMAL1* complex acts as the target of CRY-mediated repression.

Physical interactions between mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* and CRY

Three possible biochemical mechanisms can explain how the mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* heterodimers could overcome CRY repression: enhanced expression or stability of mutant proteins, enhanced formation of mutant complexes, or reduced interactions between mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* complexes and CRY proteins. Protein blotting of epitope-tagged *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* proteins coexpressed in HEK293T cells did not show any significant differences in the mutant protein levels relative to the wild-type forms (Supplementary Fig. 3 online). To determine if the CRY-desensitized mutants show enhanced heterodimer formation in the presence of CRY, we performed native coimmunoprecipitations with antibodies against Flag (anti-Flag) on HEK293T cell extracts containing Flag-tagged wild-type or mutant *BMAL1* and untagged wild-type or mutant *CLOCK*. Protein blotting showed that wild-type *CLOCK* copurified with wild-type Flag-*BMAL1* but did not copurify with the Flag epitope alone (Fig. 3a). In contrast, for single- or double-mutant combinations of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1*, there were moderately greater levels of mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* heterodimers than in the wild-type combination (Fig. 3a). This was similar to the increased amounts of wild-type *CLOCK* that the hyperactive *Bmal1-5* or *Bmal1-6* proteins bound relative to wild-type *BMAL1* in the absence of cotransfected *CRY1* (Supplementary Fig. 3>). Notably, the interactions between *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* seemed to be disrupted in the presence of *CRY1* (Supplementary Fig. 3) and thus may represent a mechanism contributing to CRY repression.

Previous studies have shown that CRY can physically interact with the *CLOCK/BMAL1* complex^{10,11,23} and that this interaction is important for CRY-mediated repression⁶. To examine the interactions with CRY, wild-type and mutant Flag-tagged *CLOCK/BMAL1/PER2* complexes were affinity purified with MYC-tagged *CRY1* by coimmunoprecipitation.

MYC-tagged CRY1 appeared to bind substantially less CLOCK and Bmal1 single- and double-mutant complexes (Fig. 3b) compared with the wild-type complex (Fig. 3b) but maintained direct interactions with PER2, as expected^{9,11}. Notably, coimmunoprecipitations of Flag-PER2 showed that the CRY-desensitizing mutations in *CLOCK* also blocked interactions with PER2 when coexpressed with either wild-type or mutant BMAL1 (*Bmal1-4*, Fig. 3c, and *Bmal1-3*, data not shown). However, interactions with wild-type CLOCK and mutant BMAL1 heterodimers were unaffected (Fig. 3c and data not shown), supporting the earlier notion that the CLOCK PAS domain may mediate interactions with PER. Together, these results suggest that the CRY insensitivity phenotypes resulted from reduced interactions between mutant CLOCK/BMAL1 complexes and the CRY/PER repressor proteins, as well as moderately enhanced CLOCK/BMAL1 heterodimer formation.

Real-time analysis of circadian gene expression

The prevailing transcriptional feedback model predicts that impairment of CRY-mediated repression should have marked effects on circadian expression of the *PERIOD* genes. This notion is supported by *in vivo* observations that expression of *PER1* and *PER2* is constitutively elevated in *Cry1/Cry2* double knockout mice^{14,24}. To determine whether these mutations in *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* cause phenotypic changes in circadian gene expression, we performed real-time bioluminescence assays in an oscillating mammalian cell model^{25,26}. This approach allows for a continuous and quantifiable report of circadian promoter activity from the same transfected cells over multiple days and enables statistical evaluation of important parameters of circadian clock function such as period length and amplitude. Mouse NIH3T3 fibroblasts were transfected with plasmids containing destabilized luciferase driven by the *PER2* or *SV40* promoters along with various combinations of *BMAL1* and *CLOCK* alleles, stimulated with forskolin to synchronize circadian rhythmicity, and then were monitored in real-time for circadian luciferase activity (Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Fig. 4 online). Cotransfection of wild-type *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* did not substantially alter rhythmicity compared with cells transfected with empty vector, as their period lengths were $21.4 \text{ h} \pm 0.4 \text{ h}$ (mean $\pm$ s.d., Supplementary Fig. 4). In contrast, transfection of either of the single *CLOCK* or *BMAL1* mutant alleles resulted in substantial impairment of circadian rhythmicity after one or two cycles of oscillations when compared with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 4). Notably, cotransfection of CRY-insensitive mutant *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* together resulted in the loss of circadian *PER2* promoter activity (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 4). Thus, transcriptional repression of CLOCK/BMAL1 by CRY is required for circadian E-box activity.

In addition to *PER* and *CRY*, rhythmic expression of *BMAL1* mRNA is also under circadian clock regulation¹². However, the *BMAL1* promoter does not have E-box sites and instead contains retinoic acid-related orphan nuclear receptor binding elements (RORE)^{25,27}, whose activities are reciprocally controlled by the rhythmically expressed transcriptional repressor REV-ERB α ²⁷ and activator Rora^{28,29}. As an additional test for circadian clock function, the effects of mutant *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* on rhythmic RORE activity were examined by real-time assays with a *BMAL1*-destabilized luciferase (*BMAL1*-dLuc) reporter. Similar to results with the *PER2*-dLuc reporter, transfection of single *CLOCK* or *BMAL1* mutants resulted in the decreased amplitude of cycling of *BMAL1*-dLuc activity compared with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 4). Moreover, this decrease in cycling amplitude was further exacerbated upon cotransfection of the double mutant heterodimer (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 4). These results are probably due to misexpression of endogenous REV-ERB α , which is regulated by CLOCK/BMAL1 and CRY²⁷ through E-box elements in the *REV-ERB α* promoter. Consistent with this, cotransfection of wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* inhibited steady-state *BMAL1* promoter activity, whereas additional cotransfection of CRY1 caused activation (Supplementary Fig. 5 online). In contrast, CRY-mediated activation of the

BMAL1 reporter was abrogated when cotransfected with the *CLOCK/BMAL1* double mutants (Supplementary Fig. 5 and data not shown). These results indicate that transcriptional repression of *CLOCK/BMAL1* by *CRY* is also required for circadian *BMAL1* expression through ROR elements, which is dependent upon transcriptional, translational and posttranslational actions of endogenous cellular factors.

Role of circadian feedback repression in single cells

The arrhythmic *PER2* expression seen from a population of cells expressing the double mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* complex may be due to the disruption of oscillator function or a lack of synchrony between individual rhythmic cells. In order to address these possibilities, quantitative imaging of *PER2*-luciferase reporter activity was measured from individual NIH3T3 fibroblasts by an approach similar to that used in analyzing *BMAL1* reporter rhythms from single cells³⁰. As with the whole-well assays, the median reporter activity for the population of imaged individual fibroblasts coexpressing wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* oscillated rhythmically (Fig. 5a). In contrast, the population of individual *Clock-1/Bmal1-3* mutant cells (Fig. 5b) was visibly arrhythmic. Individual reporter activities from single wild-type cells were rhythmic (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 6 online), as expected, whereas individual *Clock-1/Bmal1-3* double mutant cells showed arrhythmic reporter activities (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 7 online). These differences in activity patterns were evaluated by autocorrelation (see Methods) and COSOPT³¹ analyses, two independent statistical methods that score the circadian rhythmicity of experimental time-course data. Reporter activity traces from each wild-type or double mutant cell were assigned autocorrelation and COSOPT (Multiple Measures Corrected minus β , MMC- β) significance scores (Fig. 5c,d). Statistically significant differences between wild-type ($n = 133$) and double mutant ($n = 133$) cells were observed from the individually assigned autocorrelation (Wilcoxon test, $P = 6.8 \times 10^{-11}$) and MMC- β (Wilcoxon test, $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-13}$) scores. Thus, both visual inspection and statistical analyses indicate that the double mutant cells differ from rhythmic wild-type cells. We also observed this arrhythmic behavior in individual *Clock-3/Bmal1-4* double mutant cells (Supplementary Fig. 8 online). Therefore, the arrhythmicity seen upon expression of mutant *CLOCK/BMAL1* mutants in population studies was a reflection of the activity of mutant complexes in single cells rather than desynchrony of individual cellular oscillators.

DISCUSSION

The current molecular model for the mammalian circadian clock includes transcriptional feedback repression by *CRY* and *PER* proteins^{3,32}. Evidence for this mechanism is based on observations that *CLOCK/BMAL1* activates *CRY* and *PER* gene expression, whose protein products, in turn, repress *CLOCK/BMAL1* activity in transient transfection assays. Repression is believed to be mediated through physical interactions, as *CRY* associates with *CLOCK/BMAL1* in coimmunoprecipitation and yeast two-hybrid assays. Finally, both *Cry1/Cry2* and *Per1/Per2* double knockout mice are behaviorally arrhythmic. While this evidence is strongly supportive for such a mechanism, functional requirement for feedback repression in the mammalian circadian clock has not been previously uncovered. Proof of this necessity is of import, as recent studies of the cyanobacterial clock found that circadian oscillations in protein phosphorylation can be maintained in the absence of transcriptional feedback repression *in vivo*⁵ and with purified proteins *in vitro*⁴. Therefore, we sought to formally test the requirement of *CRY*-mediated transcriptional feedback repression in the mammalian circadian clock by developing and implementing a new, unbiased cellular genetics approach that uses robust mutagenesis techniques and mammalian cell-based screening.

Using this approach, we identified an allelic series of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* clones that were uncoupled from *CRY*-mediated repression. Coexpression of these mutants in fibroblasts

disrupted the rhythmic activities of both E-box and ROR elements, the two primary transcription factor binding sites used by the circadian oscillator²⁶. These data provide direct evidence that CRY-mediated feedback repression of the CLOCK/BMAL1 complex is required for mammalian clock function. Notably, some oscillatory properties of the mammalian circadian clock, such as protein phosphorylation, may remain intact upon uncoupling of transcriptional feedback repression. Although it is likely that the mammalian clock is governed by a combination of both transcriptional and nontranscriptional feedback mechanisms, any residual circadian properties that remain upon uncoupling of transcriptional feedback are insufficient to maintain circadian transcriptional output and molecular clock function.

Finally, we predict that application of cellular genetics technology will have a significant impact on mammalian biology as similar approaches have had on prokaryotic and yeast biology.

METHODS

Mutant generation and screening protocols are provided in Supplementary Methods online.

Cell-based reporter assays

Luciferase reporter assays were performed in triplicate wells of 96-well white Co-Star bioassay plates (Corning). Total plasmid DNA (750 ng) was incubated with 150 μ l of serum-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's minimal essential medium (DMEM; GIBCO) and 2.25 μ l FuGENE6 for 30 min in microcentrifuge tubes. For the PER1 reporter assays, we used the following amounts of plasmid DNA per well: 25 ng pGL3-*mPER1*, 50 ng pCMV-*mBMAL1*, 120 ng pCMV-*hCLOCK* or *hNPAS2* and 5 ng pCMV- β -*GALACTOSIDASE*. In assays with *CRY1* or *CRY2*, indicated amounts of pCMV-*mCRY* plasmid were compensated with empty pCMV-Sport6 vector to total 50 ng. HEK293T cells were cultured to 80% confluence at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ and were harvested in DMEM/20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; GIBCO)/0.2 mM nonessential amino acids (NEAA; GIBCO)/2 $\times$ penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine (PSG; GIBCO) at 8×10^5 cells ml⁻¹. We dispensed 50 μ l of harvested cells into individual wells in triplicate of the 96-well bioassay plate. After 30 min, 50 μ l of plasmid DNA/DMEM/FuGENE6 mix was dispensed into the appropriate well with cells and incubated at 37 °C (5% CO₂) for 24 h. Luciferase and β -galactosidase activities were analyzed with Dual Light Kit (Tropix) and Acquest reader (LJL Biosystems) according the manufacturers' specifications. Ratios of luciferase: β -galactosidase activities from technical triplicates were averaged and normalized as the percent of luciferase: β -galactosidase activity ratios with wild-type *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* plasmids in the absence of CRY. Final percentage activities were calculated from three independent experiments.

Native coimmunoprecipitations and protein blotting

We seeded 6×10^6 HEK293T cells on 10-cm Petri dishes with 7 ml DMEM/10% FBS/0.1 mM NEAA/1 $\times$ PSG and incubated them at 37 °C/5% CO₂ for 20 h. Cells were then transfected with 6 μ g of total plasmid DNA in 100 μ l of serum-free DMEM and 18 μ l of FuGENE6. Twenty-four hours posttransfection, cells were washed and harvested with Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS, GIBCO) and pelleted by centrifugation. Cell pellets were solubilized in 1 ml TGED buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT), complete protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Sciences) and 0.5% Triton X-100. Soluble lysate was cleared by centrifugation for 15 min at 13,000g at 4 °C. Six microliters of anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-MYC (Pierce Biotechnology) conjugated to Sepharose beads were added to 1 ml of cleared lysate and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C with agitation. Bound proteins were then washed twice with TGED + 0.5% Triton, twice with TGED + 1% Triton, three times with TGED at 250 mM NaCl + 1% Triton and twice with TGED.

Bound proteins were eluted with 50 μ l of Laemmli's Reducing Sample Buffer (Biorad Laboratories), heated to 42 °C for 5 min and resolved by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (Biorad Laboratories). Copurified proteins were identified by protein blotting with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to Flag (Sigma-Aldrich), CLOCK (Affinity BioReagents), BMAL1 (Affinity BioReagents) or mCRY1 (a gift from S. Panda, The Salk Institute, La Jolla, California). For comparison of protein expression from cotransfected *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* cDNAs, equal amounts of cleared cell lysates were processed with 1 $\times$ sample buffer and resolved by protein blotting with anti-Flag or appropriate antibodies. Results of co-IP and protein blotting experiments were independently repeated three times.

Additional plasmid construction

All site-directed mutations were made with the QuikChange Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Wild-type and mutant untagged pCMV-*BMAL1*, *CLOCK*, *NPAS2*, *CRY1* and *CRY2* constructs were generated by cloning the coding sequences for each gene into the pCMV-Sport6 vector (Invitrogen) by PCR and standard recombinant DNA techniques. The Flag-*mPER2* plasmid was constructed by PCR amplification and cloning into the *SpeI* and *NotI* restriction sites of pFlag. MYC-tagged *CRY1* and *BMAL1* constructs were generated by PCR amplification with primers containing in-frame *EcoRI* and *XhoI* restriction sites and cloning into the identically digested pTag3C vector (Stratagene). The *PER2*-dLuc and *PER2*-Luc reporters were generated by cloning the mouse *PER2* promoter (−219 to +76 nucleotides from transcriptional start site) into pGL3-dLuc²⁵ and pGL3-Basic (Promega), respectively. The *BMAL1*-dLuc reporter was generated by cloning the mouse *BMAL1* promoter from pGL3-*BMAL1* (ref. 28) into the dLuc plasmid. The DNA sequences of all constructs generated in this study were verified. The pGL3P-*mPER1* reporter⁷ and Rora²⁸ plasmids are described elsewhere.

Real-time whole-well circadian reporter assays

Whole-well, real-time circadian assays were performed as previously described²⁶ with the following modifications. NIH3T3 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (JRH Biosciences) and antibiotics (25 units ml^{−1} penicillin, 25 μ g ml^{−1} streptomycin; GIBCO). Cells were plated at 5 $\times$ 10⁴ cells per well in 24-well plates 24 h before transfection. Cells were transfected with FuGENE6 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells in each well were transfected with 0.32 μ g (total) of plasmid (0.08 μ g of *PER2*, *BMAL1* or *SV40*-promoter reporter plasmids and 0.24 μ g of pEGFP-N3 or pFlag-*BMAL1/CLOCK* variants). After 72 h, medium in each well was replaced with 500 μ l of culture medium (DMEM/10% FBS) supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 0.1 mM luciferin (Promega), antibiotics and 0.01 μ M forskolin (Nacalai Tesque). Bioluminescence was measured with photomultiplier tube (PMT) detector assemblies (Hamamatsu Photonics). The modules and cultures were maintained in a darkroom at 30 °C and interfaced with computers for continuous data acquisition. Photons were counted for 2 min at 24-min intervals.

Real-time single-cell bioluminescence imaging

We plated 1 $\times$ 10⁵ NIH3T3 fibroblasts on 35-mm dishes 24 h before transfection. Plated fibroblasts were then transfected for 72 h with 0.6 μ g pGL3-*PER2* and 1 μ g each of *CLOCK* or *BMAL1* alleles and 3 μ l FuGENE6 and were stimulated for 2 h with 0.01 μ M forskolin. For Flag-tagged wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* and *Clock-1/Bmal1-3* transfections, medium was replaced with 2 ml fresh medium containing luciferin as described for whole-well assays. We sealed the 35-mm culture dishes with cover slips, placed them on the stage of a luminescence microscope (Olympus) and incubated them at 30 °C (Olympus). Bioluminescence was imaged by an Olympus 10 $\times$ objective and transmitted to a cooled CCD camera (ORCA-AG C4742-80-12AG, Hamamatsu Photonics) mounted on the bottom port of the microscope. We used 4 $\times$ 4 binning of the 336 $\times$ 256 pixel array for single-cell measurement. Time-lapse images

were collected at 30-min intervals with 25-min exposures by a computer using an image analysis program (AquaCosmos, Hamamatsu Photonics). The protocol for analysis of *Clock-3/Bmal1-4* single cells is provided in Supplementary Methods.

Image and data processing for single-cell imaging

Images were analyzed using MetaMorph (Universal Imaging) and corrected for bias and dark current by subtracting a background image. Luminescence intensity was measured within a region of interest defined manually for each cell. The position of the region was adjusted, if necessary, to accommodate cell movement. Cosmic ray artifacts were removed by comparing the bioluminescence intensity with the corresponding value calculated from temporally adjacent images. When bioluminescence intensity of a cell at a certain time point was 50% greater than the average of those of the temporally adjacent data, the value was replaced with the average of the adjacent data. In some experiments, cosmic ray artifacts were removed by pixel-wise minimization of temporally adjacent images. Remaining data processing of extracted single-cell bioluminescence intensity data was conducted with Mathematica (Wolfram Research).

Rhythmicity, period length and amplitude analysis of real-time bioluminescence data

Bioluminescence time-series data beginning 21 h after forskolin stimulation were used for analysis in order to distinguish endogenous circadian oscillation from acute effects of stimulation. Bioluminescence data were detrended by using the trend curve calculated by the smoothing spline method³³. The smoothing parameter for this calculation was set such that its frequency-response was 50% at a frequency of about two cycles (42 h) of the typical circadian period (~21 h) observed in fibroblasts transfected with empty vector at 30 °C. Then, autocorrelation of the detrended bioluminescence time-series data was calculated within the range of 16–28 h to determine the circadian period of oscillation. Statistical significance (with 0 as most significant and 1 as least significant) of circadian oscillation was evaluated by comparing the strongest autocorrelation of the detrended data within the range of 16–28 h against that of white noise (theoretical value for the autocorrelation of white noise is $-1/N \pm 1/\sqrt{N}$ (mean $\pm$ s.d.), where N is the number of time points). COSOPT calculations were performed as previously described³¹ with period length parameters of 16–28 h.

The effects on amplitude of cycling by coexpression of CRY-insensitive mutants on circadian oscillation in whole-well assays were determined by measuring the amplitude difference (percentage variation) between maximum and minimum bioluminescence relative to wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* within 24–48 h from forskolin stimulation. In some circumstances, real-time recordings were omitted when peak and trough points from the luminescence traces could not be clearly identified.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Novartis Research Foundation (L.J.M. and J.B.H.), a Rena and Victor Damone Postdoctoral Fellowship from the American Cancer Society (T.K.S.), US National Institute of Health (NIH) grants (D.K.W. and S.A.K.), Scripps Florida (T.K.S., J.E.B., and J.B.H.), RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology (H.R.U.), NIH/Silvio O. Conte Center for Neuroscience grant P50 MH074924-01 (J.E.B. and J.H.), RIKEN Strategic Programs (H.U. and H.R.U.), New Energy and Industrial Technology Organization (NEDO) Scientific Research grant (H.R.U.) and Scientific Research grant and Genome Network Project grant from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (H.R.U.). This is manuscript number 17558-CB of The Scripps Research Institute. We thank N. Gekakis, S. Reppert, C. Joazeiro, A. Curtis and G. FitzGerald for plasmids; S. Panda for anti-mCRY1; J. Zhang and T. Orth for robotics support; T. Kondo for high-throughput monitoring systems; M. Ukai-

Tadenuma, J. Cartzendafner and J. Geskes for technical support and S. Panda, R. Van Gelder, T. Reyes, M. Pletcher, K. Hayes, B. Miller, M. Conkright and M. Givens for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

1. Dunlap JC. Molecular bases for circadian clocks. *Cell* 1999;96:271–290. [PubMed: 9988221]
2. Young MW, Kay SA. Time zones: a comparative genetics of circadian clocks. *Nat Rev Genet* 2001;2:702–715. [PubMed: 11533719]
3. Reppert SM, Weaver DR. Coordination of circadian timing in mammals. *Nature* 2002;418:935–941. [PubMed: 12198538]
4. Nakajima M, et al. Reconstitution of circadian oscillation of cyanobacterial KaiC phosphorylation in vitro. *Science* 2005;308:414–415. [PubMed: 15831759]
5. Tomita J, Nakajima M, Kondo T, Iwasaki H. No transcription-translation feedback in circadian rhythm of KaiC phosphorylation. *Science* 2005;307:251–254. [PubMed: 15550625]
6. Etchegaray JP, Lee C, Wade PA, Reppert SM. Rhythmic histone acetylation underlies transcription in the mammalian circadian clock. *Nature* 2003;421:177–182. [PubMed: 12483227]
7. Gekakis N, et al. Role of the CLOCK protein in the mammalian circadian mechanism. *Science* 1998;280:1564–1569. [PubMed: 9616112]
8. Yoo SH, et al. A noncanonical E-box enhancer drives mouse Period2 circadian oscillations in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:2608–2613. [PubMed: 15699353]
9. Griffin EA Jr, Staknis D, Weitz CJ. Light-independent role of CRY1 and CRY2 in the mammalian circadian clock. *Science* 1999;286:768–771. [PubMed: 10531061]
10. Sangoram AM, et al. Mammalian circadian autoregulatory loop: a timeless ortholog and mPer1 interact and negatively regulate CLOCK-BMAL1-induced transcription. *Neuron* 1998;21:1101–1113. [PubMed: 9856465]
11. Kume K, et al. mCRY1 and mCRY2 are essential components of the negative limb of the circadian clock feedback loop. *Cell* 1999;98:193–205. [PubMed: 10428031]
12. Shearman LP, et al. Interacting molecular loops in the mammalian circadian clock. *Science* 2000;288:1013–1019. [PubMed: 10807566]
13. van der Horst GT, et al. Mammalian Cry1 and Cry2 are essential for maintenance of circadian rhythms. *Nature* 1999;398:627–630. [PubMed: 10217146]
14. Vitaterna MH, et al. Differential regulation of mammalian period genes and circadian rhythmicity by cryptochromes 1 and 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;96:12114–12119. [PubMed: 10518585]
15. Zheng B, et al. Nonredundant roles of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes in the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* 2001;105:683–694. [PubMed: 11389837]
16. Gu YZ, Hogenesch JB, Bradfield CA. The PAS superfamily: sensors of environmental and developmental signals. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2000;40:519–561. [PubMed: 10836146]
17. Hogenesch JB, Gu YZ, Jain S, Bradfield CA. The basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS orphan MOP3 forms transcriptionally active complexes with circadian and hypoxia factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998;95:5474–5479. [PubMed: 9576906]
18. McNamara P, et al. Regulation of CLOCK and MOP4 by nuclear hormone receptors in the vasculature: a humoral mechanism to reset a peripheral clock. *Cell* 2001;105:877–889. [PubMed: 11439184]
19. Reick M, Garcia JA, Dudley C, McKnight SL. NPAS2: an analog of clock operative in the mammalian forebrain. *Science* 2001;293:506–509. [PubMed: 11441147]
20. Yildiz O, et al. Crystal structure and interactions of the PAS repeat region of the *Drosophila* clock protein PERIOD. *Mol Cell* 2005;17:69–82. [PubMed: 15629718]
21. Eide EJ, Vielhaber EL, Hinz WA, Virshup DM. The circadian regulatory proteins BMAL1 and cryptochromes are substrates of casein kinase Iepsilon. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:17248–17254. [PubMed: 11875063]
22. Lowrey PL, et al. Positional syntenic cloning and functional characterization of the mammalian circadian mutation tau. *Science* 2000;288:483–491. [PubMed: 10775102]
23. Lee C, Etchegaray J, Cagampang FR, Loudon AS, Reppert SM. Posttranslational mechanisms regulate the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* 2001;107:855–867. [PubMed: 11779462]

24. Okamura H, et al. Photic induction of mPer1 and mPer2 in cry-deficient mice lacking a biological clock. *Science* 1999;286:2531–2534. [PubMed: 10617474]
25. Ueda HR, et al. A transcription factor response element for gene expression during circadian night. *Nature* 2002;418:534–539. [PubMed: 12152080]
26. Ueda HR, et al. System-level identification of transcriptional circuits underlying mammalian circadian clocks. *Nat Genet* 2005;37:187–192. [PubMed: 15665827]
27. Preitner N, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERB α controls circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. *Cell* 2002;110:251–260. [PubMed: 12150932]
28. Sato TK, et al. A functional genomics strategy reveals Rora as a component of the mammalian circadian clock. *Neuron* 2004;43:527–537. [PubMed: 15312651]
29. Akashi M, Takumi T. The orphan nuclear receptor ROR α regulates circadian transcription of the mammalian core-clock Bmal1. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2005;12:441–448. [PubMed: 15821743]
30. Welsh DK, Yoo SH, Liu AC, Takahashi JS, Kay SA. Bioluminescence imaging of individual fibroblasts reveals persistent, independently phased circadian rhythms of clock gene expression. *Curr Biol* 2004;14:2289–2295. [PubMed: 15620658]
31. Panda S, et al. Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the circadian clock. *Cell* 2002;109:307–320. [PubMed: 12015981]
32. Lowrey PL, Takahashi JS. Mammalian circadian biology: elucidating genome-wide levels of temporal organization. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2004;5:407–441. [PubMed: 15485355]
33. Cook E, Peters K. The smoothing spline: A new approach to standardizing forest interior tree-ring width series for dendroclimatic studies. *Tree-Ring Bull* 1981;41:45–53.

Figure 1.

Mutations in *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* confer insensitivity to CRY-mediated transcriptional repression without affecting CLOCK/BMAL1 transcriptional activity. (**a,c,e**) Results of cell-based transcriptional *PER1*-luciferase reporter assays with mutant *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* clones in HEK293T cells. Plasmids expressing Flag-tagged wild-type or mutant *CLOCK* (**a**) or *BMAL1* (**c,e**) cDNAs were transiently cotransfected with wild-type (WT) *BMAL1* or *CLOCK*, respectively, *PER1*-luciferase reporter and 0–5 ng of *CRY1* plasmid. Activity is expressed as the percentage of normalized *PER1*-luciferase activity in cells transfected with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* alone. Data are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. from independent experimental triplicates. (**b,d,f**) Domain locations of causative mutations. Schematic locations of amino acid changes within the CLOCK PAS-B domain (**b**) and BMAL1 C terminus (**d**) that confer CRY1 desensitization are indicated. Locations of protein domains are indicated for CLOCK (bHLH, blue, amino acids 35–85; PAS domain, green, amino acids 113–377; PAS-A repeat, orange, amino acids 128–170; PAS-B repeat, yellow, amino acids 283–329) and BMAL1 (bHLH, blue, amino acids 73–126; PAS domain, green, amino acids 148–439; PAS-A repeat, orange, amino acids 163–206; PAS-B repeat, yellow, amino acids 344–391). (**f**) Schematic location of amino acid changes within the N terminus of BMAL1 that confer CLOCK/BMAL1 hyperactivity: *Bmal1*-5, S10L and V160I; *Bmal1*-6, S9F.

Figure 2.

Coexpression of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* desensitized mutants confers synergistic insensitivity to *CRY1* in HEK293T cells. (a–c) Various combinations of single and double *CRY*-desensitized *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* mutant cDNAs were cotransfected with the *PER1* reporter and 0–50 ng of *CRY1* plasmid. *PER1* reporter activity alone (blue triangle) or with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* (orange triangle) are also displayed. Activity is expressed as the percentage of normalized *PER1*-luciferase activity in cells transfected with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* alone. Solid lines: *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* single mutants. Dashed lines: double mutants. (d) Luciferase activities were analyzed from combinations of single and double *Bmal1-3* and *Clock-3* mutant cDNAs that were cotransfected with the *PER1* reporter and 0–25 ng of *CRY2* plasmid. *PER1* reporter activity alone (blue triangle) or with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* (green triangle) are also shown. Activity is expressed as the percentage of normalized *PER1*-luciferase activity in transfection with wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* and no *CRY1* plasmid. Data are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. determined from independent experimental triplicates.

Figure 3.

Mutations in the *CLOCK* PAS domain and *BMAL1* C terminus abrogate interactions between the CLOCK/BMAL1 complex and transcriptional repressors CRY1 and PER2. (**a–c**) Native coimmunoprecipitations (co-IPs) were performed on cell extracts from HEK293T cells transiently transfected with plasmids expressing epitope-tagged circadian components. (**a**) Flag-tagged wild-type or mutant BMAL1 protein was coexpressed with or without CRY1 and various untagged *CLOCK* alleles and precipitated with anti-Flag. Copurified proteins were visualized by protein blotting with antibodies to Flag or CLOCK. (**b**) Co-IPs were performed with anti-MYC on extracts from cells coexpressing MYC-tagged CRY1, combinations of various Flag-tagged CLOCK and BMAL1 CRY-desensitized alleles and Flag-tagged PER2. Anti-Flag and CRY1 antibodies were used in protein blotting. (**c**) Flag-PER2 was coprecipitated (using anti-Flag) from cells coexpressing additional combinations of untagged CLOCK and BMAL1 alleles. Protein blotting with anti-Flag, anti-CLOCK and anti-BMAL1 was used to identify copurified proteins.

Figure 4.

Coexpression of CLOCK/BMAL1 mutant heterodimers that are insensitive to CRY repression ablates circadian E-box and RORE activities in NIH3T3 cells. Plasmids expressing Flag-tagged *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* alleles were transiently cotransfected with the *PER2*-destabilized luciferase (dLuc) reporter plasmid into NIH3T3 cells. (a,b) *PER2* promoter activities in NIH3T3 cells transfected with single (a) or double (b) CRY1-insensitive *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* mutants were monitored over 5 d. (c,d) *BMAL1* promoter activities in NIH3T3 cells transfected with single (c) or double (d) CRY-insensitive mutants of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* were monitored over 6 d. All reporter activities were normalized such that the median wild-type luciferase activity over the time-course was 100%.

Figure 5.

Coexpression of *CLOCK/BMAL1* mutant heterodimers impairs circadian rhythmicity in individual cells. (a–d) *PER2*-luciferase reporter activities from individual NIH3T3 cells ($n = 133$) transfected with Flag-tagged wild-type *CLOCK/BMAL1* (a,c) or double-mutant *Clock-1/Bmal1-3* (b,d) were monitored over 3 d. Reporter activities from each wild-type (a) or double-mutant (b) cell were normalized such that the maximum bioluminescence value was set to 100% for each panel. The mean reporter activity for all analyzed single cells at each time point is indicated by a black line. Normalized bioluminescence activities from each wild-type (c) or double-mutant (d) cell were detrended and ranked according to corresponding significance by autocorrelation (upper panels) or COSOPT (lower panels). Autocorrelation and MMC- β values for each cell are depicted to the right of each heat map from 0 (bottom, dark blue) to 1 (top, white). Red and green denote high and low normalized reporter activities, respectively. Results shown are representative of duplicate experiments.