exception the emphasis is on ethnic minority groups having worse health. Even when there is good news—for example, higher immunisation rates^{5,6} or lower mortality from most cancers⁷—this is not acknowledged. The fact is that members of ethnic minority groups are alive, kicking, and whenever possible vigorously contributing to our ailing, recession-bitten community. The overall standardised mortality ratio of most ethnic minority groups is close to the national average,⁷ and the proper interpretation of this requires noting their greater socioeconomic deprivation. For British Punjabis living in Glasgow, in comparison with the general population, health status was better in several respects, comparable in most measures, and worse in others.⁸

The report ignores several powerful critiques of traditional thinking on ethnicity and health.⁹⁻¹¹ Why? The cynical view would be that an emphasis on personal behaviour, cultural factors, and genetics deflects attention from deep and disturbing issues about the structure of society; a strategy also seen in relation to the inequalities in health debate.

The misleading interpretations are too many to discuss so I shall merely observe that:

- The study of ethnicity and health is far from new in this country and a vast literature exists,⁹⁻¹¹ so ignorance is no excuse for past inaction;
- The lumping together of “Asians” to calculate standardised smoking and drinking ratios is inappropriate when there are huge variations between men and women, and between “Asian” subgroups; the result is not of theoretical or practical value;
- The problems of health education or health promotion is not simply one of appropriate presentation, but of availability of relevant materials¹⁵;
- Most importantly of all, an effect strategy for assessment of health needs cannot be achieved by concentrating on differences between groups but requires a sound understanding of the priorities of each group.¹⁶ The comparative analysis is of value only for fine tuning the development of services and for hypothesis generation.

As one of the few “black” members of a health authority I shall not be recommending this report to my fellow officers. The principles which I shall recommend are not based on the flawed analysis of differences but on whether acceptable standards of care are achieved and on actual, not relative, priorities.¹⁶

RAJ BHOPAL

Division of Epidemiology and Public Health,
School of Health Care Sciences,
Medical School, University of Newcastle upon Tyne,
Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH

1 Beecham L. NHS must tune into needs of black and ethnic minorities. *BMJ* 1992;305:795. (3 October.)
2 *On the State of the Public Health 1991*. London: HMSO, 1992. (Chapter 3, Health of black and ethnic minorities.)
3 Bhopal RS, Phillimore P, Kohli HS. Inappropriate use of the term “Asian”: an obstacle to ethnicity and health research. *J Public Health Med* 1992;13:244-6.
4 Ramaiya KL, Swai ABM, McLarty DG, Bhopal RS, Alberti KGM. Differences in diabetes and coronary risk factors in Hindu subcommunities in Tanzania. *BMJ* 1991;303:271-6.
5 Baker MR, Bandaranayake R, Schwiager MS. Differences in rate of uptake of immunisation among ethnic groups. *BMJ* 1984;288:1075-8.
6 Bhopal RS, Samim AK. Immunisation uptake of Glasgow Asian children: paradoxical benefit of communication barriers? *Community Med* 1988;10:215-20.
7 Marmot MG, Adelstein AM, Bulusu L. *Immigrant mortality in England and Wales 1978*. London: HMSO, 1984. (Studies on medical and populations subjects No 47.)
8 Williams R, Bhopal R, Hunt K. The health of a Punjabi ethnic minority in Glasgow: a comparison with the general population. *J Epidemiol Community Health* (in press).
9 Johnson MRD. Ethnic minorities and health. *J R Coll Physicians* 1984;18:228-30.
10 Donovan JL. Ethnicity and health: a research review. *Soc Sci Med* 1984;19:663-70.
11 McNaught A. Race and health care in the United Kingdom. London: Health Education Council, 1985. (Occasional paper No 2.)
12 Ahmad WIU. Policies, pills and political will: a critique of policies to improve the health status of ethnic minorities. *Lancet* 1989;i:148-9.

13 Sheldon TA, Parker H. Race and ethnicity in health research. *J Public Health Med* 1992;14:104-10.
14 Pearson M. Sociology of race and health. In: Chicksbank JK, Beevers DG. *Ethnic factors in health and disease*. London: Wright, 1990:71-83.
15 Bhopal RS, Donaldson LJ. Health education for ethnic minorities—current provision and future directions. *Health Education Journal* 1988;47:137-40.
16 Bhopal RS. Health care for Asians: conflict in need, demand and provision. In: *Equity. A prerequisite for health. Proceedings of the 1987 summer scientific conference of the Faculty of Community Medicine*. London: Faculty of Community Medicine and World Health Organisation, 1988.

Serum cholesterol and mortality in Finland

EDITOR,—Minerva accepts too uncritically¹ Pekkanen *et al*’s view of the results of their 25 year follow up of the Finnish cohorts in the seven countries study.² She states that “in the end high cholesterol predicted both high mortality from heart disease and high overall mortality.” Pekkanen *et al* show, however, that over the 25 years men with cholesterol concentrations below the lowest quartile (below 5.8 mmol/l) had a lower risk of death due to coronary heart disease and a higher risk of death from other causes, giving an all cause mortality that was similar to that in men with higher concentrations. Furthermore, during the first 10 years men with cholesterol concentrations below the lowest quartile had the highest relative risk of death from causes other than coronary heart disease.

Minerva also comments that men with high serum concentrations of cholesterol had low overall death rates in the first 10 years because relatively few died of cancer, although the reported figures show that the most significant deficit in relative risk was for causes other than cancer, stroke, and coronary heart disease, which presumably includes murder, suicide, and accidental death.

In the same issue of the *BMJ* George Davey Smith and Andrew N Phillips’s persuasive paper on confounding in epidemiological studies recommends that “the first responsibility of [epidemiological] investigators should be to retain the proper degree of caution when interpreting and discussing their findings.”³ Pekkanen *et al* show no such caution, for despite the absence in their data of any evidence that altering serum cholesterol concentration improves the quality or the duration of life of men aged 40 to 59, they conclude that community wide efforts to lower cholesterol concentrations in a whole population are probably the most effective, safest, and cheapest way of reducing total mortality.

ALEXANDER MACNAIR

London W1M 7AD

1 Minerva. *BMJ* 1992;305:784. (26 September.)
2 Pekkanen J, Nissinen A, Punsar S, Karvonen MJ. Short- and long-term association of serum cholesterol with mortality: the 25-year follow-up of the Finnish cohorts of the seven countries study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135:1251-8.
3 Davey Smith G, Phillips AN. Confounding in epidemiological studies: why “independent” effects may not be all they seem. *BMJ* 1992;305:757-9. (26 September.)

Adolescent perpetrators of sexual abuse

EDITOR,—As the coordinator of a social services project working with adolescent perpetrators of sexual abuse, I was interested in the personal view written by someone whose daughter had been abused.¹ It is possible that, had the social services department mentioned in the article followed the guidelines set out in *Working Together*² and held a case conference about the perpetrator, more progress might have been made.

Our programme offers assessment and intervention work to a wide range of young abusers, sometimes after court appearances but more often after a case conference. The case conference gives us the chance to explain to the parents and the young person the concerns about a young perpetrator and to offer an assessment. In our experience (we have seen over 60 young people) many families welcome this and are willing to allow us to carry out a six to eight session assessment.

Surprisingly, perhaps, we are often able to break down at least some of the denial during this period, although the level of parental denial and avoidance is clearly crucial. We have had only one instance of refusal to undergo assessment after a case conference (in this case, for various reasons, court action was not possible). In the more relaxed atmosphere of the assessment sessions, as opposed to the formal atmosphere of a police interview, adolescents are much more ready to disclose their sexual behaviour. This then enables work to be done to help the perpetrator take responsibility for his or her actions and, at the least, enables the risk of further offending to be assessed and reported back to the case conference.

The article clearly points out the need to take adolescent offending seriously. Many adolescents continue to offend as adults, and we don’t yet know how to identify those who will stop. Common sense, as well as information from adult abusers themselves, suggests that the longer abuse continues without disclosure the more likely it is to become an addictive cycle of behaviour and thus to continue into adulthood. Decisions about whether young people who have abused others may pose serious risks later should be based on an assessment. This sort of information and discussion is best handled in the multiagency forum of a case conference.

ALIX BROWN

Adolescent Sexual Offences Programme,
Shropshire County Council Social Services Department,
Donnington,
Telford TF2 8AE

1 Stop, look, listen. *BMJ* 1992;305:838-9. (3 October.)
2 Home Office, Department of Health, Department of Education and Science, and Welsh Office. *Working together (under the Children Act 1989): a guide to arrangements for interagency cooperation for the protection of children from abuse*. London: HMSO, 1991.

EDITOR,—I am a general practitioner and happily married with two children, and I wonder what healthy sexual development is. I recall, when I was 4-5 years old, my girl friend and I stuffing leaves into our “botties,” while another game as a child involved intricate investigations with a knitting needle. Overnight at 11 years of age the opposite sex became very interesting, and we played “kiss, cuddle, or torture.” We soon learnt that the torture was the most interesting—either a grope up the vest to find budding breasts or a poke down the pants. Nobody seemed to come to any harm, though I didn’t like being pursued by one particular older, bigger boy—I feared he might want more from this game. I describe these events as I think they must all be part of normal physical, emotional, and sexual development. But when does the normal become the perverse? An incident within my family makes me wonder.

One day my daughter—about 4 years old and already playing a healthy game of “doctors” under a rug with her girl friends—told me that her brother, 11 years older, had given her some sweets, looked at her bottom in the bath, and told her not to tell. I immediately told him that he shouldn’t be doing this.

A year or two later I left my son to babysit his sister. I returned home, and my daughter soon told me that her brother had given her a big bag of sweets and some money. When I asked why (it wasn’t usually his nature to be so generous) she described how he had looked at her again and had