or making minor presentational changes to the current systems are not options."

FRANCISCO LEYVA-LEÓN

Selly Oak Hospital,
Birmingham B29 6JD

- 1 Brearley S. Specialist medical training and the European Community. *BMJ* 1992;305:661-2. (19 September.)
- 2 General Medical Council. *Explanatory notes on specialist training certificates*. London: GMC, 1990.

Hepatitis A vaccine

EDITOR,—J K Anand¹ thought that the recommendation by A Tilzey and colleagues regarding vaccination for sewage workers against hepatitis A² may be premature as there was no published evidence that sewage workers were at high risk.

Hepatitis A has been reported in an aircraft lavatory cleaner³ and in an engineer exposed to sewage,⁴ although it is not certain that these occupational exposures were the actual source of the infection. National surveillance data suggest that sewage workers in the United Kingdom may have an occupational risk, and a seroprevalence survey is in progress to investigate this further.⁵

Although safe procedures of work and personal protective equipment remain the primary approach to protection of employees who may be at risk, the availability of inactivated hepatitis A vaccine allows an additional option.

Hepatitis A is recognised as a prescribed disease with entitlement to industrial injuries disablement benefit if acquired through exposure at work. An affected employee would also be able to pursue a claim against the employer through a civil court action. The cost of immunising an employee is about 20 pence a week, allowing for the recommended course of vaccine, staff costs, and materials, even if protection is assumed to be valid for only five years rather than the 10 years stated in the manufacturers' data sheet.

Immunisation represents good value when the possible cost of litigation is considered, but more importantly it allows an employer to offer maximal protection for the workforce deemed to be at risk. As a result, hepatitis A vaccine was offered to all our employees at risk as soon as it became available. Uptake to date (first and second doses) has been 100%, and there have been no reported side effects.

PETER J LONGSON

Occupational Health Unit,
Manchester Airport,
Manchester

- 1 Tilzey AJ, Palmer SJ, Barrow S, Perry KR, Tyrrell H, Safary A, *et al.* Clinical trial with inactivated hepatitis A vaccine and recommendations for its use. *BMJ* 1992;304:1272-6. (16 May.)
- 2 Anand JK. Hepatitis A vaccine for sewage workers. *BMJ* 1992;305:477. (22 August.)
- 3 Hepatitis vaccine. *Communicable Disease Reports Weekly* 1991; 1:45.
- 4 Viral hepatitis, England and Wales. *Communicable Disease Reports Weekly* 1992;2:34.
- 5 Hepatitis A vaccine. *Communicable Disease Reports Weekly* 1992;2:15.

Asthma and open cast mining

EDITOR,—J M F Temple and A M Sykes overstate the case in concluding that open cast mining has led to increased asthma in their practice population.¹ Their analysis was of the weekly number of new episodes of asthma presenting in the Glynneath practice. Presumably the practice population was stable and the rise in asthma does not simply reflect a change in list size. The authors do not provide either the prevalence or the incidence of asthma and do not state whether the rise reflected more exacerbations among patients with asthma or new cases of asthma.

It seems incredible that the doctors in the practice were unaware of when mining operations started. The authors' emphasis that data were collected blind informs us that they take the hypothesis of ascertainment bias seriously, but do they dismiss it too readily? Both the community and the doctors were aware of a possible association between asthma and local industry. Indeed, the Glynneath practice presented a major report on this topic to the inquiry into the Derlwyn open cast coal site (M W Watkins *et al.*, unpublished report). A plausible explanation of the findings is that patients' tendency to consult the practice increased after mining operations began. Moreover, the doctors were strong adherents of the hypothesis derived from their previous studies that dust from local industry was affecting their patients' health. They argued vociferously against open cast mining. Ascertainment bias may therefore have played a part in the increase in weekly episodes of asthma observed.

The most critical factor in the interpretation of cuspums is the expected incidence of disease. The authors used the 25 weeks to January 1991 to derive an expected value. Were people with seasonal allergic asthma included in the derivation of this expected value? Did the quality of the data change as the audit was under way? Were quality checks performed to ensure the completeness of the data in the baseline period? These could all be important factors in triggering an alarm prematurely by underestimating the expected incidence of asthma.

In cuspum charts the rate of change of the slope is all important. The curve became less steep in January 1991, and there is a suggestion that it flattened off in the spring of 1991. This suggests that the difference between the observed and expected incidence of asthma became smaller or may even have disappeared—as would be predicted if seasonal variation accounted for some of the change in gradient in the chart.

We are intrigued by the findings, which raise three important hypotheses. Firstly, the rise in episodes is an artefact due to changes in the completeness or quality of data coinciding with mining, a greater tendency to consult, or a greater tendency for the doctors to label respiratory disease as asthma. Secondly, other concomitant changes in the environment—for example, the influenza epidemic in the winter of 1990-1—might have contributed to the rise in episodes. The third hypothesis is that coal dust does increase asthma. Much more work is needed on these hypotheses before we can apply the epidemiological criteria for causality to assess the evidence for a causal link.

S J O'BRIEN
R S BHOPAL

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health,
School of Health Care Sciences, Medical School,
Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH

B A HARKIS

Morpeth, Northumberland NE61 2PD

- 1 Temple JMF, Sykes AM. Asthma and open cast mining. *BMJ* 1992;305:396-7. (15 August.)

EDITOR,—Though I share J M F Temple and A M Sykes's concern that industrial degradation of the environment may impair health, their interpretation of evidence from Glynneath is simplistic.¹

Glynneath lies about 3.2 km over a mountain from Glyncoirwg. When he gave evidence to the public inquiry on open cast mining (before excavation began) Temple claimed that the prevalence of childhood asthma in Glynneath was already exceptional because his local rates seemed much higher than the 10-12% prevalence generally quoted for children aged 0-15. He ignored published evidence from Glyncoirwg which showed² that if good general practice records were searched thoroughly (rather than relying on parents' or children's recall) 25% of children had evidence of

acute or chronic reversible airways obstruction (using Levy and Bell's criteria³) between 5 and 16 years of age; this confirmed Strachan's even higher figure, obtained with a similar search technique.⁴ It has been known since the early 1980s that asthma has been systematically underdiagnosed, mislabelled, and undertreated.⁵ Though the true prevalence has probably increased,⁶ active search in most practices will lead to a much greater apparent increase in prevalence.⁷

As Temple says he made himself unaware of the starting date for open cast mining north of Glynneath, despite newly generated pollution sufficient to precipitate about 120 more new episodes of asthma than would otherwise have been expected to occur, I must believe him. What I cannot believe is that his patients were similarly unaware. If a practitioner in a mining village predicts immediate mass respiratory disaster something of the sort will doubtless appear to occur.

Open cast mining is indeed a degradation of the environment, generating minimal employment at maximal environmental cost and reintroducing all the demoralising effects of industrialisation to communities that have already suffered much more than enough. These truths need no adornment with pseudoscientific mythology.

JULIAN TUDOR HART

Penmaen, Swansea SA3 2HH

- 1 Temple JMF, Sykes AM. Asthma and open cast mining. *BMJ* 1992;305:396-7. (15 August.)
- 2 Hart JT. Wheezing in young children: problems of measurement and management. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1986;36:78-81.
- 3 Levy ML, Bell LC. General practice audit of asthma in childhood. *BMJ* 1984;289:1115-6.
- 4 Strachan DP. The prevalence and natural history of wheezing in early childhood. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1985;35:182-4.
- 5 Spight ANP, Lee DA, Hey EN. Underdiagnosis and under-treatment of asthma in childhood. *BMJ* 1983;286:1253-6.
- 6 Burnley PGJ, Chinn S, Rona RJ. Has the prevalence of asthma increased in children? Evidence from the national study of health and growth 1973-86. *BMJ* 1990;300:1306-10.
- 7 Martys CK. Asthma care in Darby Dale: general practitioner audit. *BMJ* 1992;304:758-60.

AUTHORS' REPLY.—Some of S J O'Brien and colleagues' points have been raised previously (and answered),¹ and we reply here to the additional points.

The quality of audit data is always a matter of concern, but in the checks performed throughout the study no changes in quality were detected.

O'Brien and colleagues criticise our report for its failure to provide figures for the prevalence and incidence of asthma. We emphasise that our report was deliberately and legitimately concerned with episodes of asthma, a concept of self evident importance (to both the patients and their doctor) and the only sensible clinical indicator to measure in this "before and after" study. To confuse the concepts of incidence, prevalence, and episodes is not helpful.

We chose the words of our report carefully. Yet O'Brien and colleagues' letter is littered with ill conceived statements and attributions, particularly concerning the cuspum chart. The rate of change of the slope of a cuspum chart is not all important—the important point is whether sustained changes in the gradient occur. Furthermore, our report clearly states how the reference value used in constructing the chart was calculated—it was not the average of the 25 weeks to January 1991 as they say. (If we had used that average, however, correct interpretation of the resultant graph would not have been impossible.) Moreover, since the periods before and after the start of mining both included a whole year, substantial seasonal effects would have been visible if present.

We too are intrigued by O'Brien and colleagues' three hypotheses. While the first (concerning bias) has been answered, the second suggests that a 'flu epidemic might have contributed to the rise in episodes. With their access to weekly returns by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys and