Nevertheless, trying to limit kids' access to tobacco seems a worthy goal.

But the real reason David Kessler calls smoking a "pediatric disease" is that he objects to adults making a decision he thinks is foolish. This attitude dates back centuries, to King James I's 1603 cry that tobacco is "in the blacke stinking fume . . . nearest resembling the horrible Stygian smoke of the pit that is bottomless". To timeworn hostility is now added scientific principle, in the argument that, since tobacco is addictive, smokers must be rescued from a pharmacological slavery.

But this view of addiction is simplistic. As Sullum notes, "addiction is a pattern of behavior, not a chemical reaction." Certainly, for many people, to stop smoking—or to stop using heroin or alcohol—is difficult. Calling

these people slaves to the substance, however, ignores the large element of human choice. Most smokers who try to give up the habit but relapse do so because, on some level, they enjoy it, even while understanding the consequences. As David Carr, editor of Washington's *City Paper*, once told me, "I would do anything to be an ex-smoker, except quit."

The stakes of this debate would be much lower if the only question was how high tobacco taxes ought to be. But if the FDA gets control over tobacco—as it seems certain to—the agency could well ban cigarettes entirely or order such low nicotine levels that the country's 50 million smokers could be driven to the black market to satisfy their cravings. Kessler himself has said that "a strict application" of FDA rules could lead to

a ban, and such a move has historical precedent. (Massachusetts banned the sale of tobacco in the 1630s, and 14 states outlawed tobacco between 1893 and 1909.)

It's ironic that Philip Morris, a generous contributor to drug-war propaganda via the Partnership for a Drug Free America, now finds itself the victim of the same absolutism that motivates policy on illegal drugs. Practical considerations—namely, the millions of smokers—may keep cigarettes legal, but this is hardly a certainty. At least 18.4 million Americans used marijuana in 1996, a drug never reliably blamed for even a single death but nevertheless subject to an increasingly strict prohibition. Americans who prefer unpopular intoxicants should always be wary.

JOSHUA WOLF SHENK

© 1998, *The Washington Post Company*

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Letters intended for publication should be a maximum of 500 words, 10 references, and one table or figure, and should be sent to Simon Chapman, deputy editor, at the address given on the inside front cover. Those responding to articles or correspondence published in the journal should be received within six weeks of publication.

European smoke-free class competition

EDITOR,—In the school year 1997/1998, a school-based European smoking prevention project, the Smokefree Class Competition, started for the first time. Target groups for the competition were pupils aged 12–14 years. Research has shown that there is a strong positive correlation between the age of starting to experiment with cigarette smoking and the probability of becoming a regular smoker. In a study by Meier,¹ 60% of regular smokers started smoking by the age of 13. However the probability of becoming a smoker after adolescence is quite low.² Therefore the principal aims of the smokefree class competition are to delay or prevent the onset of smoking and the reduction or cessation of cigarette smoking in pupils who have already experimented with smoking.

In the 1997/1998 school year Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom took part in the competition. In the 1998/1999 school year Austria, Belgium, Greece, and The Netherlands will join in. The table shows an overview of the participating schools and classes in the school year 1997/1998.

Although the competition has some flexibility regarding the national rules so that details can be developed to suit the needs and circumstances of individual countries, the general rules are the same in each country: (a) the classes decide to be a non-smoking class for a duration of six months; (b) the classes themselves as well as the teachers monitor smoking status of the pupils and report on it regularly; (c) regular smoking is not accepted; and (d) if pupils experiment

with smoking once, the class can still participate in the competition.

Classes in which pupils refrain from smoking for this period of time can participate in a national prize draw. The prizes vary in the different countries. For instance, in Germany 74 cash prizes will be given to the winning classes, worth about US\$25 000. The money has to be spent on class activities. Moreover pupils can enter a European prize draw where they can win a trip to one of the other participating countries.

The idea for the Smokefree Class Competition arose in Finland, where it has been carried out annually since 1989/1990. The effectiveness of the competition was evaluated in Finland in a quasi-experimental control-group design with repeated measures in the school year 1991/1992.³ The sample consisted of 97 randomly selected participating and non-participating classes in grade eight (14-year-olds). Smoking status was assessed anonymously using self-report before, one month after, and one year after the competition.

From pre-test to one month post-test, daily smoking increased by 2.3 percentage points among those pupils who participated in the competition until the end. In the control group smoking increased by 5.1 percentage points. Among pupils who decided to participate in the competition (participants and dropouts), daily smoking increased by 3.1 percentage points. The odds ratio (OR) between this group and the control group, tested by logistic regression analyses, was 1.55 ($p = 0.0268$) using smoking at pre-test as a covariate. From pre-test to the one year post-test, the increase in smoking was similar in both groups ($OR = 1.25$, $p = 0.15$).

Two-thirds of the classes dropped out of the competition because pupils started to smoke. Over the period evaluated, the best predictor of dropout was a high smoking rate at the beginning of the competition, which indicates that the programme is not appropriate for classes with a high number of regular smokers. This finding also suggests that many pupils told the truth when smoking status was assessed weekly.

Regarding the difference from pre-test to one month post-test, it is possible that student self-selection might explain these results, because classes from the whole country were invited to participate in the competition. Therefore the control group in this

study was drawn from classes that had already decided not to take part in the competition beforehand.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the competition will be carried out on a European level. In Germany a control-group study with repeated measurements will be carried out; because the competition will only be implemented in selected regions, classes and pupils in the other regions can serve as controls.

The programme is carried out in cooperation with the European Network on Young People and Tobacco and is financially supported by the "Europe against Cancer Programme" of the European Commission.

REINER HANEWINKEL

GUDRUN WIBORG

*Institute for Therapy and Health Research,
Düsternbrooker Weg 2,
24105 Kiel, Germany
hanewinkel@psychologie.uni-kiel.de*

MERI PAAVOLA

ERKKI VARTIAINEN

*National Public Health Institute,
Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion,
Helsinki, Finland*

- 1 Meier KS. Tobacco truths: the impact of role models on children's attitudes toward smoking. *Health Educ Q* 1991;18:173–82.
- 2 US Department of Health and Human Services. *Preventing tobacco use among young people. A report of the Surgeon General, 1994*. Atlanta, Georgia: Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Office on Smoking and Health, 1994. (US Government Printing Office No S/N 017-001-00491-0.)
- 3 Vartiainen E, Sukko A, Paavola M, et al. "No Smoking Class" competitions in Finland: their value in delaying the onset of smoking in adolescence. *Health Promotion Int* 1996;11:189–92.

Smoking among religious professionals in Turkey

EDITOR,—Because of the social status of religious professionals and their potential to influence Turkish society, we surveyed them in 1997 to determine their smoking rates. The names of all personnel working for the Mufti in Elazığ province (population 510 000) were obtained, with all names being included in the study ($n = 969$). An anonymous, self-administered questionnaire was sent to them. Responses were received from 366 (98.9%) of the 370 provincial centre employees, and from 424 (70.8%) of the 599 employees working in

Participating schools and classes in the Smokefree Class Competition, school year 1997/1998

Country	Participating schools (n)	Participating classes (n)
Denmark	128	145
Finland	503	2688
France	31	91
Germany	227	462
Italy	82	141
Spain	25	88
United Kingdom	44	204
Total	1040	3819

the districts and villages. Standard questions used in the classification of smoking status in the United States,¹ questions recommended by Prochaska and colleagues to identify stages of change,^{2,3} and the Fagerström nicotine dependence test questions⁴ were used. Of the respondents, 780 (98.7%) were male and 749 (94.8%) were religious professionals. Of 10 women, three were current smokers; because of small numbers, women were not included in the analysis.

The prevalence of current smokers was 41.1% among religious professionals and 64.1% among other personnel ($\chi^2 = 8.035$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.0046$). This rate of current smoking among religious professionals also appeared to be substantially lower than that reported for men in Elazig, which has been found in different surveys to range from 53% to 77%.⁵⁻⁹ The rates of never-smoking were 38.7% among the religious professionals and 12.8% among the other employees ($\chi^2 = 10.624$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.0011$).

The impacts of age and educational status were only analysed for the male religious professionals. Within this group, rates of current smoking varied significantly by age: the higher the age, the lower the prevalence of smoking ($\chi^2 = 16.132$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.0011$). The rate of never-smoking was 30.4% among the group less than 30 years of age, which was significantly lower than that among older age groups ($\chi^2 = 10.185$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.0171$). The quit ratio (former smokers/ever-smokers) was also higher among the older age groups ($\chi^2 = 10.408$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.0154$).

The quit ratios indirectly standardised by age (ISBA) were 49.0% among the university

graduates and 30.3% among others ($p = 0.0028$ by the Cochran test¹⁰). The rates of never-smoking ISBA were 38.2% for the university graduates and 38.8% for others. Current smoking rates indirectly standardised by age and educational status were 41.3% for the provincial centre and 40.9% for the districts and villages.

Among the smokers, 31.9% were in the precontemplation stage, 38.8% in the contemplation stage, and 29.3% in the preparation stage. The proportion of smokers who were in the precontemplation stage was substantially lower in this study than in other studies conducted among adult males in Elazig.^{5,7} The average Fagerström Dependence Score was 2.83 (standard deviation = 2.37) for all smokers.

We conclude that the religious profession protects its practitioners from starting to smoke, and that most smokers among them are willing to quit. Educational activities on tobacco control and quit-smoking campaigns directed at this group may work very well in Elazig and probably in most places in Turkey. This might also have an important impact on smoking in the population because of the influential roles played by religious professionals.

YASEMIN ACIK
R EROL SEZER
FIKRET KARAMAN
HAFIZE SEZER
FERDANE OGUZONCUL
EDIBE DINC
YUKSEL GUNGOR
AYTAC POLAT

Department of Public Health,
Firat University Medical Faculty,
Elazig, Turkey.
yacik@siiperonline.com

- 1 US Department of Health and Human Services. *The health benefits of smoking cessation. A report of the Surgeon General, 1990*. Rockville, Maryland: Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Office on Smoking and Health, 1990. (DHHS Publication No (CDC) 90-8416.)
- 2 Prochaska JO, Goldstein MG. Process of smoking cessation; implications for physicians. *Clin Chest Med* 1991;12:727-36.
- 3 Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC. Stages and process of self change of smoking: toward an integrated model of change. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1983;51:390-5.

- 4 Fagerström KO, Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT. Nicotine addiction and its assessment. *Ear Nose Throat J* 1992;69:763-7.
- 5 Erguder T, Sezer RE. Smoking prevalence among people aged 18 years or over in Elazig city. *National Congress on Tobacco and Health abstract book*, 7-8 November 1997, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 6 Onaran D. *Smoking among physicians and dentists in Elazig, 1993*. Doctor of Public Health Thesis, Firat University Institute of Health Sciences, Elazig, 1996.
- 7 Sezer RE, Acik Y. Smoking and smoking cessation in Baskil township of Elazig Turkey 1993. *J Smoking Dis* 1994;5(suppl 1):277-81.
- 8 Acik Y, Sezer RE, Bostanci M, et al. Opinions of passengers travelling to Ankara from Elazig about prohibition of smoking in intercity buses. *Turkish J Smoking Health* 1996;3(1-2):17-21.
- 9 Sezer RE, Acik Y, Oguzoncul F, et al. Smoking and expired air carbon monoxide measurements in bank offices in Elazig. *First National Congress on Environmental Medicine abstract book*, 8-12 December 1997, Ankara, Turkey.
- 10 Armitage P. *Statistical methods in medical research*. Blackwell Scientific, 1980:370-3.

KFL&A Council for Action on Tobacco

EDITOR,—Upon receiving the spring 1998 issue of *Tobacco Control*, members of the Kingston, Frontenac and Lennox and Addington (KFL&A) Council for Action on Tobacco were delighted to see our public health poster "Think about second-hand smoke in the home" printed as a filler on page 26. On behalf of the council, I want to take this opportunity to thank you for publishing it. It is indeed an honour to have the poster appear in a journal with an international readership.

We noted, however, that the source of the poster was not identified explicitly. Only readers connected with public health or tobacco control in Ontario, Canada would likely recognise the source.

We would appreciate it if you would allow us to inform your readers of the source of the poster by publishing this letter in your correspondence section.

ROBERT GOODFELLOW
KFL&A Council for Action on Tobacco,
c/o 221 Portsmouth Avenue,
Kingston, Ontario K7M 1V5,
Canada