CORRESPONDENCE

Whooping cough in the United Kingdom 1977-8 G T Stewart, FFCM.....	451	Adverse reactions to D-penicillamine after gold toxicity A Calin, MD.....	454	Corrective shoes for surgery A G Apley, FRCS.....	457
Stress after amniocentesis for high serum alpha-fetoprotein concentrations Wendy Farrant, PhD.....	452	Following up patients with rheumatoid arthritis D G Williams, MRCP.....	454	Student electives overseas J P de V van Niekerk, MD.....	457
Plasma exchange in severe rhesus haemolytic disease A C Newland, MRCP, and others.....	452	Antibiotics in surgical treatment of acute abscesses J A Murie, FRCSGLAS; D H Wilson, FRCS....	455	Vocational training for general practice A R Rogers, MRCP.....	457
Social environment and relapse in schizophrenia J K Wing, FRCPsych.....	452	Jejunioleal tuberculosis P N Wake, FRCS.....	455	Changing to A4 folders J M Hayward, MRCP.....	457
Disturbed behaviour induced by high-dose antipsychotic drugs S W Brown, MB.....	453	Genital herpes Lieutenant-Colonel D P Murray, MRCP....	455	Regional versus local consultant contracts J D B Andrews, MD.....	457
Prostaglandin E₁ in affective disorders and alcoholism J Lieb, MD.....	453	Children in wheelchairs Mabel L Haigh, MB.....	455	The hospital practitioner grade D C Banks, FRCP.....	458
Physical training and coronary risk factors P E Moffitt, BM.....	453	Seating the disabled Romy Crewe, and others.....	455	Dental surgeons without medical qualifications P Narain, BDSRCS.....	458
Right bundle-branch block E K Blackburn, FRCP.....	453	Respiratory infections in the older infant C B Everett, MB.....	456	One hundred, not out R W Talbot, FRCS.....	458
Propranolol and thyroid hormones M K Jones, MRCP, and others.....	453	Brachial plexus injuries in motorcyclists S Mattingly, FRCP.....	456	Review of social services organisation needed? C M Dunlop, MRCP, and K O A Vickery, FFCM; J Iqbal, MRCP; A Elliott, FRCP....	458
Febrile seizures D P Addy, FRCP.....	454	Care of the unconscious W G Notcutt, FFARCS.....	456	Dr James R Preston J S Horner, FFCM.....	459
Convulsions associated with campylobacter enteritis E P Wright, MB, and J Seager, MD.....	454	Trial of synthetic steroid for preventing postmenopausal osteoporosis Jean K M Coope, MB; R Lindsay, MRCP, and D McK Hart, FRCOG.....	456	Points Subcutaneous pneumatocele after facial trauma (P M Gilbert); Treatment of acute migraine (K M Hay); Jejunioleal tuberculosis (H E Thomas); Pleural aspiration and biopsy (W T Berrill); Misuse of flashing beacon (I G C Brown); Developmental retardation (D A Spencer); Unnecessary words (M J Mackay).....	459
Aminocaproic acid myopathy M Swash, FRCP.....	454	Dorsal column stimulation in multiple sclerosis F Lortie-Monette, MD.....	457		

We may return unduly long letters to the author for shortening so that we can offer readers as wide a selection as possible. We receive so many letters each week that we have to omit some of them. Letters must be signed personally by all their authors. We cannot acknowledge their receipt unless a stamped addressed envelope or an international reply coupon is enclosed.

Correspondents should present their references in the Vancouver style (see examples in these columns). In particular, the names and initials of all authors must be given unless there are more than six, when only the first three should be given, followed by et al; and the first and last page numbers of articles and chapters should be included. Titles of papers are not, however, included in the correspondence section.

Whooping cough in the United Kingdom 1977-8

SIR,—In your issue of 29 March (p 945) Minerva offers the following comment: "The whooping-cough epidemic that began in 1977 and continued into 1978 was the biggest since 1957, with 65 957 notifications and 12 deaths. The Office of Population Censuses and Surveys attributes the change to the decline in vaccination. Perhaps those who claimed the vaccine is useless would like to comment."

Being prominent among those few who have questioned the efficacy and safety of pertussis vaccine,¹ I felt not just that I would like to comment but that I had a duty to do so. You will recall that I wrote to you and, shortly afterwards, submitted an article reporting my findings during the period in question. Unfortunately, you have rejected this paper; so, very reluctantly, I find myself unable to respond to the Goddess's invocation, timely and provocative as it was. The best I can do for the moment is to apologise to her for being seemingly unprintable and offer you in this letter the factual conclusions of my article, as follows:

(1) Estimates based on notifications indicate

that there was in the 1977-9 triennium the largest outbreak of whooping cough in the UK for 20 years or more.

(2) During this period isolates of respiratory viruses ran in parallel and collectively outnumbered isolates of *Bordetella pertussis*.

(3) There was a highly significant positive correlation over the period between serial isolates of *B pertussis*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, and echoviruses.

(4) Deaths of children with whooping cough were lower than in all previous outbreaks. Only a minority of these cases were bacteriologically confirmed.

(5) In Glasgow notifications were significantly higher in deprived areas. Only 18% of practitioners notified any cases and 2% notified 37% of cases. Notifications at peak did not correlate significantly with isolates of *B pertussis* but there was a significantly higher proportion of notifications in unvaccinated children aged 1-4 years. This was confirmed in family studies of clinical whooping cough in home contacts. About 35% of reported cases were children who had received three doses of

pertussis vaccine. Acceptance of vaccine fell to about 50% in 1975 but about 95% of unvaccinated children in the age group 0-5 years, including the 1975 and 1976 birth cohorts, either escaped infection or were not notified as having whooping cough.

Conclusions without supporting data are no better and perhaps worse than the reliability of the person who offers them. I hasten to add therefore that my raw and processed data are available for inspection until such time as they may be published elsewhere.

Your referees are rightly critical about the use of notification data and other official returns. But so am I. The main lesson of the paper is that epidemiological surveillance of childhood infections is so inadequate as to be a danger rather than a safeguard at present.

You will perhaps forgive me if I remind you that this is the fourth time that you have rejected an article by me on whooping cough, though I am happy to acknowledge that you have never rejected any one of several articles by me on other subjects since 1950. I am not on this account questioning your editorial

judgment, but the thought crosses my mind that, apart from some criticisms on points of detail, which I am happy to accept, the reasons for rejection of these papers might in themselves be matters for comment. I imagine that Minerva, in her wisdom, will not overlook the Athenian principle that truth is most likely to emerge in temples whose columns are open for entry.

GORDON T STEWART

University Department of Community
Medicine,
Ruchill Hospital,
Glasgow G20 9NB

¹ Stewart GT. In: *International symposium on pertussis*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1978:262-78.

Stress after amniocentesis for high serum alpha-fetoprotein concentrations

SIR,—In his review of maternal serum α -fetoprotein (AFP) screening in pregnancy (17 May, p 1199) Rodney Harris concludes that one potential benefit of population screening might be the identification of fetuses at risk of spontaneous abortion, low birth weight, or perinatal death. He bases this conclusion on the increased incidence of these problems among women who have a high maternal serum AFP concentration but a normal result on amniocentesis. The possibility that the prenatal diagnostic intervention may have caused the subsequent problems is discounted by reference to the significantly better pregnancy outcome of women who have amniocentesis for other reasons.

An outcome measure which receives no attention in Harris's review, but which I have found to be significant in differentiating women with high serum AFP concentrations from those with other indications for amniocentesis, is the woman's emotional reaction to the diagnostic intervention.¹ The data in the table are based on interviews with 90 women who had a normal result on amniocentesis. Although nearly all women were worried about

results ($p < 0.001$). This was sometimes a cause of further anxiety, in that women worried about the effect that their stress might have on their unborn child: "If they're inside you and you're worrying terrible it must affect them somehow"; "My husband's greatest worry was that the baby was perfectly normal but I would miscarry because I was so worried"; "I was worried about not eating—thinking I will miss the nutrition for the baby"; "I smoked a lot more and I was worried that it was not good for the baby."

Eight women (seven from the high serum AFP group) said they smoked more during the period of waiting for results. Four (three from the high serum AFP group) took tranquillisers. One woman with a high serum AFP concentration mentioned that although she normally drank alcohol only in moderation and on special occasions, she was drinking up to half a bottle of spirits a day during the time of waiting for the results.

There is evidence to suggest that stress during pregnancy is associated with both fetal death² and low birth weight.³ The hypothesis of the women just quoted, that the stress reaction to a false-positive result on a serum AFP screening test might contribute to poor pregnancy outcome, would seem no less reasonable than the assertion of Harris and his colleagues⁴ that "the greater fetal loss and low birth weight associated with pregnancies complicated by high maternal serum AFP concentrations appear to be an inherent feature of these pregnancies, rather than the result of amniocentesis."

WENDY FARRANT

Institute for Social Studies in Medical Care,
London NW3 2SB

¹ Farrant W. *Mims Magazine*, 15 June 1980, 55-63.

² Myers RE. In: Zichella I, Pancheri P, eds. *Psychoneuro-endocrinology in reproduction*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, 1979, 55-73.

³ Newton RW, et al. *Br Med J* 1979;ii:411-3.

⁴ Read AP, et al. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1980;87:372-6.

Plasma exchange in severe rhesus haemolytic disease

SIR,—Intensive plasma exchange is now becoming a recognised and effective form of treatment for antibody and immune-complex mediated disease.^{1,2} Mr G R Barclay and others in their report (28 June, p 1569) suggest that the maternal concentration of anti-D increased despite intensive plasma exchange and that the procedure may have been deleterious by removing maternal "inhibitory" activity.

Although they feel that they were unable to control the secondary antibody response, it appears from the graph that each significant rise in anti-D concentration occurred during the two to three-day gaps in the exchange programme. When regular exchange occurred there was either a decrease in the rate of rise or an actual fall in the concentration of anti-D.

The immunoglobulin produced in the secondary response is in the IgG class, of which only 44% is in the vascular compartment. When the balance between the intravascular and extravascular space is disturbed by rapid reduction in the intravascular concentration of IgG then equilibration will occur when plasma exchange is withdrawn. In addition, immediate rebound in antibody synthesis may be expected owing to feedback stimulation of the clone of lymphocytes producing the antibody.³

Graham-Pole *et al*⁴ demonstrated reduction of anti-D concentration with intensive plasma

exchange in severe rhesus disease but there was a rapid return of antibody between courses of exchange. This also accords with our own experience in treating patients with factor VIII inhibitors following essential surgery. These inhibitors are also IgG antibodies and plasma exchange is required daily to control the inhibitor level until such time as the clinical state resolves (data to be published, available on request). Our patients also receive high-dose factor VIII concentrates daily, which may provoke further antibody stimulation but by contrast may have led to immunological tolerance.⁵

Haemolytic disease of the newborn differs from other antibody-mediated disease in that the fetus can benefit only indirectly from plasma exchange. The beneficial effects depend on the free passage of antibody between fetus and mother. IgG levels in the newborn infant are frequently higher than in maternal serum.⁶ The level of anti-D may vary from 2% to 30% of that in the mother.⁷ The level in the latter is not, therefore, an accurate assessment but is only a guide to the severity of the disease. The placenta does not act as a simple filter but bears Fc receptors and appears to be the rate-limiting point in antibody transfer.⁸ It selectively and directionally transfers IgG against a substantial concentration gradient. The rate of transfer is relatively slow⁹ but better from mother to fetus than from fetus to mother, and acute changes of antibody concentration in the mother due to plasma exchange will not be reflected in the fetus unless the improvement is sustained by frequent exchange. If the problem in haemolytic disease of the newborn is purely related to antibody production then on theoretical grounds we have not yet been treating affected women sufficiently intensively to expect significant benefit.

A C NEWLAND
B T COLVIN
B E DODD

Department of Haematology,
London Hospital,
London E1 1BB

¹ Anonymous. *Br Med J* 1978;ii:1011-2.

² Lockwood CM, Rees AJ, Pussell B, Peters DK. *Exp Haematol* 1977;5, suppl:117-36.

³ Brystryn JC, Schenken I, Uhr JW. In: Amos B, ed. *Progress in immunology*. New York: Academic Press, 1971:630-6.

⁴ Graham-Pole J, Barr W, Willoughby MLN. *Br Med J* 1977;ii:1185-8.

⁵ Dresser DW, Mitchison NA. In: Dixon FJ, Kinkel HG, eds. *Advances in immunology*, vol 8. New York: Academic Press, 1978:129.

⁶ Kohler PF, Farr RS. *Nature* 1966;210:1070-1.

⁷ Hughes-Jones NG, Ellis MJ, Walker W. *Vox Sang* 1971;21:135-40.

⁸ McNabb T, Koh TY, Dorrington KJ, Painter RH. *J Immunol* 1976;117:882-8.

⁹ Gitlin D, Kumate J, Urrusti J, Morales C. *J Clin Invest* 1964;43:1938-51.

Social environment and relapse in schizophrenia

SIR,—Your recent leading article on social environment and relapse in schizophrenia (19 July, p 173) gave an accurate and useful summary of the research carried out by members of this unit. It is difficult, however, in presenting the results of such work to avoid an emphasis on factors that predict relapse, while factors that predict a good outcome receive less attention. In particular, the fact that a majority of the families we have studied provide a helpful and supportive environment, associated with a low relapse rate, tends to be left out of consideration. Professional people concerned with the long-term management of

Emotional reaction to the experience of waiting for the result of prenatal diagnosis, according to indication for amniocentesis

	Indication for amniocentesis	
	High serum AFP	Other
Found the experience:	(No = 33)	(No = 57)
Not distressing	3%	17%
A little distressing	6%	39%
Moderately distressing	12%	30%
Very distressing	21%	12%
Extremely distressing	58%	2%
Could stop self worrying:	(No = 33)	(No = 56)
Yes	33%	87%
No	67%	13%
Suffered from:	(No = 31)	(No = 55)
Depressed mood	71%	24%
Crying	71%	9%
Irritability	57%	20%
Poor concentration	53%	7%
Headaches	35%	16%
Sleep disturbance	61%	16%
Loss of appetite	65%	5%
> 3 of the above	71%	9%

All differences between groups are significant at the $p < 0.001$ level (χ^2 , 1 df).
AFP = α -fetoprotein.

the test outcome, the anxiety of the high serum AFP group was more generalised and more disabling. Sixty-eight per cent of this group, as compared with 22% of those who had amniocentesis because of their age or their obstetric or family history, felt that their health had suffered during the period of waiting for