Bottlenecked but long-lived: high genetic diversity retained in white-tailed eagles upon recovery from population decline

Frank Hailer^{1,*}, Björn Helander²,
Alv O. Folkestad³, Sergei A. Ganusevich⁴,
Steinar Garstad⁵, Peter Hauff⁶, Christian Koren⁷,
Torgeir Nygård⁸, Veljo Volke⁹, Carles Vilà¹
and Hans Ellegren¹

¹Department of Evolutionary Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18d, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden

²Contaminant Research Group, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Box 50007, 10405 Stockholm, Sweden

³The Norwegian Sea-Eagle Project, Eiksund, 6065 Ulsteinvik, Norway

⁴Field Research Group for the Kola North, Krasnostoncheskiy pr., 21-45, Moscow 127422, Russia

⁵PO Box 10, 7994 Leka, Norway

⁶Lindenallé 5, 19073 Neu Wandrum, Germany

⁷Hagebyv. 39, 9404 Harstad, Norway

⁸Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Tungasletta 2, 7485 Trondheim, Norway

⁹Eagle Club, Vähtra 5, 93813 Kuressaare, Estonia

*Author for correspondence (frashai@gmx.net).

Most of the white-tailed eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) populations in Europe experienced dramatic declines during the twentieth century. However, owing to intense conservation actions and the ban of DDT and other persistent pollutants, populations are currently recovering. We show that despite passing through demographic bottlenecks, white-tailed eagle populations have retained significant levels of genetic diversity. Both genetic and ringing data indicate that migration between populations has not been a major factor for the maintenance of genetic variability. We argue that the long generation time of eagles has acted as an intrinsic buffer against loss of genetic diversity, leading to a shorter effective time of the experienced bottleneck. Notably, conservation actions taken in several small sub-populations have ensured the preservation of a larger proportion of the total genetic diversity than if conservation had focused on the population stronghold in Norway. For conservation programmes targeting other endangered, long-lived species, our results highlight the possibility for local retention of high genetic diversity in isolated remnant populations.

Keywords: bottleneck; conservation genetics; generation time; microsatellites; mitochondrial DNA; single large or several small

1. INTRODUCTION

The white-tailed eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) is used as a major flagship and umbrella species for conservation work throughout large parts of Europe. The species

was once widely distributed across the continent (Helander & Stjernberg 2003), but direct persecution and low reproductive success as a result of environmental pollutants led to dramatic declines during the twentieth century (Bijleveld 1974; Helander *et al.* 2002). By the 1970s, many local populations in Europe had gone extinct and most surviving sub-populations barely reached one or a few tens of breeding pairs (figure 1). However, a stronghold remained along the Norwegian Atlantic coast with at least 700–800 breeding pairs (Folkestad 2003), corresponding to more than 70% of the northern and central European population (Helander *et al.* 2003). The population along the Norwegian coast utilized relatively uncontaminated food resources from the northern Atlantic and was thus largely saved from the detrimental effects of harmful pollutants (Helander *et al.* 1982). On the other hand, around the heavily polluted Baltic Sea, white-tailed eagles suffered strongly reduced breeding success (Helander *et al.* 1982).

With the ban in the 1970s of DDT, PCB and other detrimental chemicals, European white-tailed eagle populations soon started to recover, a process that is still ongoing (figure 1). For instance, the number of territorial pairs in southern and central Sweden increased from about 40 in 1974 to 250 in 2000 (Helander 2003a), and in Estonia from 10–15 between 1965 and 1975 to 116 in 2002 (Volke & Randla 2003). This population expansion appears to have been based mainly on local recruitment, since almost no inter-population exchange of breeders has been recorded from ringing data. In particular, the large Norwegian population does not seem to have acted as a major founding source for recovering populations; none of the more than 3000 eagles colour-ringed in Norway has so far been found breeding outside Norway (Folkestad unpublished data). Further, among more than 150 ringed breeding birds in Sweden, all but a few individuals from the nearby Finnish coast were offspring of resident pairs (Helander 2003b). Similarly, most of the few recorded emigrants from the German eagle population settled to breed nearby in Poland (Köppen 2003). Given this apparent trend of local recruitment, current populations might have been depleted of genetic diversity by the recent demographic declines.

Loss of genetic diversity can reduce both short-term viability (e.g. Saccheri *et al.* 1998) and long-term adaptability (Frankham 2005). To assess the genetic impact of population declines during the twentieth century, we therefore studied the genetic variability at mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region sequences and autosomal microsatellite markers in north and central European white-tailed eagle populations.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Several populations from northern and central Europe were sampled, yielding a total number of 218 presumably unrelated individuals: Estonia (EST, $n=13$ samples), Germany (GER, $n=18$), Swedish Lapland (LAP, $n=24$), southern and central Sweden (SWE, $n=117$), Kola peninsula, Russia (KOLA, $n=10$) and Norway (NOR, $n=36$). Most of the samples consisted of blood, but nine samples were moulted feathers that had been collected in the field.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of 26 autosomal microsatellite loci was performed (details are given in Hailer *et al.* (2005) and the electronic supplementary material). In order to directly compare

The electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0453> or via <http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk>.

the amount of genetic variation (expected and observed heterozygosity, H_E and H_O , and the mean number of alleles per locus, N_A) between population samples, we standardized for sample size by employing a bootstrapping procedure (see electronic supplementary material). We tested for deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and genotypic differentiation using GENEPOP 3.4 (Raymond & Rousset 1995). GENETIX 4.05.2 (Belkhir *et al.* 2004) was used to calculate F_{ST} and its 95% confidence interval. Assignment tests were carried out using GENECLASS 2.0.d (Piry *et al.* 2004) and demographic simulations were performed in BOTTLESIM (Kuo & Janzen 2004). For the latter, population size was held constant at 300 actively reproducing pairs for 10 years, followed by a bottleneck of 30 reproducing pairs for 40 years (see electronic supplementary material for details). The program BOTTLENECK (Cornuet & Luikart 1996) was used to detect possible traces of population bottlenecks on the allele frequency spectrum, applying the recommended settings and tests for microsatellites (two-phased model: 90% one-step mutations and 10% multi-step changes; evaluation with standardized differences and Wilcoxon tests).

A part of the mtDNA control region was amplified and sequenced using the primers *HalHVR1F* (5'-CCCCCCTATG-TATTATTGT-3') and *HalHVR1R* (5'-TCTCAGTGAAGAGC-GAGAGA-3') developed for *H. albicilla*, yielding a total of 500 bp for analysis. Similar to the microsatellite analyses, we used a bootstrapping procedure to correct diversity estimates for sample size (see the electronic supplementary material). DNASP 4.10 (Rozas *et al.* 2003) was used to determine Tajima's D (Tajima 1989) and G_{ST} , an estimator of genetic differentiation among populations, using haplotype identity as the underlying statistic. Sequences obtained have been placed in the EMBL database (accession numbers AM156933–AM156934 and AM156936–AM156943).

3. RESULTS

A total of 139 alleles were observed across the 26 microsatellite markers. Significant heterozygote deficit was detected in the SWE, LAP and NOR populations. However, the signal disappeared when the locus *Hal 10* was excluded; we take this as an indication that this locus exhibits a null allele with restricted geographical distribution and that the populations otherwise do not deviate significantly from HWE.

Observed and expected heterozygosities estimated from data corrected for inter-population variation in sample size were in the range of 0.41–0.51 for all populations (table 1). Similarly, the mean number of alleles per locus did not vary much among populations, being in the range of 2.9–3.5. Importantly, there was no evidence for the large Norwegian population to show higher levels of genetic diversity ($H_E=0.48$, $H_O=0.49$, $N_A=3.1$), as compared to the other populations that had experienced a significant demographic bottleneck. Further, no population showed significant allele frequency shifts ($p>0.05$) which are expected after strong demographic bottlenecks.

One possible explanation for the homogeneous levels of genetic diversity among the populations could be that gene flow had passed unnoticed in the field surveys. Consistent with this idea, overall genetic differentiation was low ($F_{ST}=0.036$). However, F_{ST} was significantly different from zero (95% confidence intervals 0.029–0.044) and the test of genotypic differentiation found that all populations were significantly differentiated from each other ($p<0.01$ in all pairwise comparisons). Furthermore, an assignment test also indicated that populations were distinct units: despite the low overall F_{ST} value, about 80% of

Figure 1. Distribution of the white-tailed eagle in north-central Europe. Black shading indicates regions where the species survived the mid-twentieth century population crash, light grey shading refers to regions re-colonized in the phase of population recovery since 1980.

the analysed nestlings assigned to their natal population. Thirty-seven alleles found in other European populations were not observed in Norway, while only three of the Norwegian alleles were not found in the rest of the Europe.

Ten different mtDNA haplotypes were observed. Tajima's D was 1.522, but differed non-significantly from zero ($p>0.05$), compatible with neutral evolution of the DNA sequences. Haplotype frequencies differed significantly among populations, reflected by an overall G_{ST} of 0.228. The stronger population differentiation in mtDNA than nuclear markers probably reflects differences in effective population size between the marker types. Higher dispersal rates in males than in females are uncommon in birds (Greenwood 1980), and not supported by empirical data in white-tailed eagles (Helander 2003b).

The corrected number of haplotypes per population varied between 1.3 (Norway) and 7 (Kola peninsula; table 2), again indicating that bottlenecked populations do not contain lower levels of genetic diversity as compared to the Norwegian population. Further, our results confirm that the European populations could not have been derived largely from the coastal Norwegian population: only two mtDNA haplotypes were observed in Norway, one haplotype being very frequent (97%).

4. DISCUSSION

In summary, our data suggest that effective dispersal between populations has not been common during the past decades. We conclude that sustained genetic

Table 1. Genetic diversity at microsatellite loci. Number of samples analysed, unbiased expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosity, mean number of alleles per locus (N_A) and their inter-locus standard deviations. For comparison between populations, standardized diversity values are also given.

population	sample size	H_E (s.d.)	corrected H_E	H_O (s.d.)	corrected H_O	N_A (s.d.)	corrected N_A
Norway	36	0.49 (0.05)	0.48	0.49 (0.02)	0.49	3.9 (2.3)	3.1
Estonia	13	0.49 (0.05)	0.48	0.50 (0.03)	0.50	3.5 (1.7)	3.1
Germany	18	0.43 (0.05)	0.42	0.42 (0.02)	0.41	3.4 (1.7)	2.9
Kola peninsula ^a	10	0.52 (0.05)	—	0.51 (0.03)	—	3.8 (2.0)	—
Lapland	24	0.51 (0.05)	0.49	0.49 (0.02)	0.49	4.2 (2.3)	3.4
mid and southern Sweden	117	0.51 (0.05)	0.51	0.50 (0.01)	0.51	4.8 (2.2)	3.5

^adiversity statistics of the remaining populations were standardized to sample size of $n=10$, corresponding to the number for Kola peninsula.

Table 2. Genetic diversity at mitochondrial control region sequences. The number of analysed eagle territories (maternal lineages) is denoted by n , and N denotes the number of unique haplotypes found in each population. To correct for unequal sample sizes among populations, the mean number of alleles encountered in 100 bootstrap samples of 10 individuals each, and the standard deviation of these values, is presented.

population	n	N	corrected N (s.d.)
Norway	33	2	1.3 (0.5)
Estonia	12	5	4.0 (0.7)
Germany	18	3	2.6 (0.5)
Kola peninsula ^a	10	7	—
Lapland	22	6	3.7 (1.0)
mid and southern Sweden	44	4	2.9 (0.6)

^adiversity statistics of the remaining populations were standardized to sample size of $n=10$, corresponding to the number for Kola peninsula.

Figure 2. Loss of genetic diversity (as measured by expected heterozygosity) in a bottleneck of 30 reproducing pairs and overlapping generations. Filled diamonds and open circles consider an individual lifespan of 17 years and 1 year, respectively. The simulation was started at a population size corresponding to 300 reproducing pairs (years 0–10).

diversity in extant north-central European white-tailed eagle populations has a predominantly local origin, although some immigration may have contributed to local gene pools. We suggest that the long generation time of white-tailed eagles (average life span is around 17 years; Helander 2003b; Struwe-Juhl 2003) has minimized genetic drift and acted as an intrinsic buffer against rapid loss of genetic diversity. When a randomly

mating population passes through a bottleneck, reduction of genetic diversity depends on population size and time according to $H_t = H_0(1 - 1/(2N_e))^t$; H_0 and H_t being the past and present heterozygosity, respectively, N_e the effective population size and t the time measured in number of generations. A bottleneck lasting about 20–30 years is equivalent to about two white-tailed eagle generations. Simulation of a bottleneck comprising 30 reproductive pairs (which corresponds to the situation in several European populations during the 1970s; see §1) shows that only about 4% of the original heterozygosity is expected to be lost in an eagle population during that time (figure 2). By contrast, for an organism with a generation time of 1 year, the corresponding loss of genetic diversity is considerably higher (16%; figure 2). Our results are compatible with the relatively high levels of genetic diversity seen in remnant and bottlenecked populations of other long-lived species, e.g. black rhinoceros (Swart *et al.* 1994) and greater one-horned rhinoceros (Dinerstein & McCracken 1990).

Starting in the late 1960s, several countries in Europe developed conservation programmes to protect eagles (Helander 1990). Nest site protection enabled fertile pairs to raise fledging young. Carcasses free of contaminants were laid out in winter and, as a result, juvenile survival was increased to 80% (Helander 2003b). Our results, therefore, indicate that these conservation efforts have led to the preservation of ample genetic diversity in local eagle populations. Moreover, conservation of eagles at local scales has resulted in the preservation of more total genetic diversity than if all conservation efforts had been centred on the coastal Norwegian population.

There has been an intense debate among conservation biologists about reserve design (the SLOSS debate—single large or several small; reviewed in Kingsland 2002). This debate was directly linked to the relative importance of local conservation versus a focus on population strongholds. Our results illustrate the high conservation value of local populations for species with a long generation time. A number of other species of conservation concern also have long generation times (e.g. raptors, turtles, large mammals and orchids) and for many of these significant genetic diversity may still exist in local populations. For the white-tailed eagle, protection of the local populations did not arrive too late.

The sampling of blood for this study was coordinated by the Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/Project Sea Eagle. We thank Kurt Elmqvist and Robert Franzén for assistance with the sampling of blood in the field in Sweden, Andreas Mertens for contributing feather samples, Torsten Stjernberg for comments on the distribution map and Mia Olsson for assistance in the lab. Deborah Dawson and Ruth Tingay kindly provided their markers prior to publication. The editor and two anonymous reviewers are thanked for constructive comments. This work was financially supported by Alvin's foundation, the Sven and Lilli Lawski foundation and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation (to F.H.). H.E. is a Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences Research Fellow supported by a grant from Knut and the Alice Wallenberg foundation.

- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N. & Bonhomme, F. 2004 GENETIX 4.05, logiciel sous Windows pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5171, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier, France.
- Bijleveld, M. 1974 *Birds of prey in Europe*. London, UK: Macmillan Press.
- Cornuet, J. M. & Luikart, G. 1996 Description and power analysis of two tests for detecting recent population bottlenecks from allele frequency data. *Genetics* **144**, 2001–2014.
- Dinerstein, E. & McCracken, G. F. 1990 Endangered greater one-horned rhinoceros carry high levels of genetic-variation. *Conserv. Biol.* **4**, 417–422. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.1990.tb00316.x)
- Folkestad, A. O. 2003 Status of the white-tailed sea eagle in Norway. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 51–55. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Frankham, R. 2005 Genetics and extinction. *Biol. Conserv.* **126**, 131–140. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.05.002)
- Greenwood, P. J. 1980 Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and mammals. *Anim. Behav.* **28**, 1140–1162.
- Hailer, F., Gautschi, B. & Helander, B. 2005 Development and multiplex PCR amplification of novel microsatellite markers in the white-tailed sea eagle *Haliaeetus albicilla* (Aves: Falconiformes, Accipitridae). *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **5**, 938–940. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01122.x)
- Helander, B. 1990 Sea eagle workshop. In *Baltic birds*, vol. 5 (ed. J. Viksne), pp. 128–135. Riga, Latvia: Zinatne Publishing House.
- Helander, B. 2003a The white-tailed sea eagle in Sweden—reproduction, numbers and trends. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 57–66. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Helander, B. 2003b The international colour-ringing programme—adult survival, homing, and the expansion of the white-tailed sea eagle in Sweden. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 145–154. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Helander, B. & Stjernberg, T. (eds) 2003 Action plan for the conservation of white-tailed eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) Recommendation 92/2002 adopted by the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention in December 2002. BirdLife International
- Helander, B., Olsson, M. & Reutergrårdh, L. 1982 Residue levels of organochlorine and mercury compounds in unhatched eggs and the relationships to breeding success in white-tailed sea eagles *Haliaeetus albicilla* in Sweden. *Holarctic Ecol.* **5**, 349–366.
- Helander, B., Olsson, A., Bignert, A., Asplund, L. & Litzén, K. 2002 The role of DDE, PCB, coplanar PCB and eggshell parameters for reproduction in the white-tailed sea eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) in Sweden. *Ambio* **31**, 386–403.
- Helander, B., Marquiss, M. & Bowerman, W. (eds) 2003 *Sea eagle 2000 Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Kingsland, S. E. 2002 Creating a science of reserve design: perspectives from history. *Environ. Model. Assess.* **7**, 61–69. (doi:10.1023/A:1015633830223)
- Köppen, U. 2003 Ringing and colour-marking of White-tailed eagles in Eastern Germany—results, experiences and future tasks. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 169–179. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Kuo, C. H. & Janzen, F. J. 2004 Bottlesim: a bottleneck simulation program for long-lived species with overlapping generations. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **3**, 669–673. (doi:10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00532.x)
- Piry, S., Alapetite, A., Cornuet, J.-M., Paetkau, D., Baudouin, L. & Estoup, A. 2004 GeneClass2: a software for genetic assignment and first-generation migrant detection. *J. Hered.* **95**, 536–539. (doi:10.1093/jhered/esh074)
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. 1995 Genepop (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J. Hered.* **86**, 248–249.
- Rozas, J., Sánchez-DelBarrio, J. C., Messegyer, X. & Rozas, R. 2003 DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 2496–2497. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg359)
- Saccheri, I., Kuussaari, M., Kankare, M., Viikman, P., Fortelius, W. & Hanski, I. 1998 Inbreeding and extinction in a butterfly metapopulation. *Nature* **392**, 491–494. (doi:10.1038/33136)
- Struwe-Juhl, B. 2003 Age-structure and productivity of a German white-tailed sea eagle population. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 181–190. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.
- Swart, M. K. J., Ferguson, J. W. H., Dutoit, R. & Flamand, J. R. B. 1994 Substantial genetic variation in southern African Black Rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*). *J. Hered.* **85**, 261–266.
- Tajima, F. 1989 Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595.
- Volke, V. & Randla, T. 2003 Population trends of the white-tailed sea eagle in Estonia in the 1990s. In *Sea eagle 2000* (ed. B. Helander, M. Marquiss & W. Bowerman) *Proc. Int. Conf. Björkö, Sweden, 13–17 September 2000*, pp. 97–101. Stockholm: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation/SNF & Åtta.45 Tryckeri AB.