Immunisation and brain damage

SIR,—“Brain damage” occurs in infants and may or may not be causally related to immunisation procedures. Fortunately the number of cases is small; figures of between 1 in 10 000 and 1 in 100 000 are quoted.

Assuming for the sake of the argument that immunisation is a cause—we know it is not the only cause—why do we blame the pertussis component of the vaccine? Practically all immunisations in the past 25 years have been triple immunisations; the diphtheria or the tetanus vaccine could be as guilty as the pertussis vaccine. We have no large-scale experience with either “pertussis only” or with “diphtheria-tetanus only” vaccinations and in view of the rarity of the alleged serious sequelae a prospective study of one or the other of these alternatives would have to be undertaken on truly enormous cohorts.

I repeat, are there any facts, as distinct from hearsay and the irresponsible gossip of politicians and the media, that lay the blame—if there is any blame—upon the pertussis vaccine?

G SCHOENEWALD

Greenford,
Middx

Diet and serum cholesterol

SIR,—I read with interest your leading article on this subject (26 March, p 789).

In the first paragraph, line 6, I read: “Most differences . . . may be attributed to nutrition. Consumption of saturated fat shows a striking positive correlation with mean cholesterol concentration.”

In the second paragraph, line 5, I read: “Morres *et al.* . . . have shown that this variability . . . cannot be attributed to variations in the intake of fat or other nutrients. In only one study . . . has fat consumption been correlated with serum cholesterol.”

It seems to me that these two statements are contradictory.

H J TAGNON

Service de Médecine Interne,
Institut Jules Bordet,
Brussels

*.*Our intention was to point out the multifactorial nature of serum cholesterol regulation. Comparisons of populations and feeding experiments attest to the dietary fat effect, but within-population variations must largely be due to other factors, including genetic ones.—ED, *BMJ*.

Dextropropoxyphene overdose and naloxone

SIR,—Following your leading article (12 March, p 668) and the recent increase in interest in the effects of overdose of drugs containing the morphine analogue dextropropoxyphene we would like to report a case in which an overdose of dextropropoxyphene (taken in the form of Distalgic) with alcohol caused unconsciousness associated with acute respiratory arrest, which was reversed by the specific morphine antagonist naloxone.

A youth aged 17 was admitted unconscious to the casualty department of this hospital having taken an overdose of an indeterminate amount of Distalgic and oxytetracycline. He had also drunk about six pints (3.5 l) of beer. Two minutes after

admission he developed acute respiratory arrest; an endotracheal tube was inserted and artificial respiration was performed. Spontaneous respiration returned after two minutes with 100% oxygen, but he remained deeply unconscious and unresponsive to painful stimuli. After an intravenous injection of 0.4 mg of naloxone the patient's conscious level returned to normal within two minutes.

We feel that physicians should be aware that the morphine antagonist nalorphine has been used before¹⁻³ with varying effect as specifically antagonistic to dextropropoxyphene and that naloxone could also be used in the event of dangerous complications occurring in dextropropoxyphene overdose.

MARTIN WISEMAN
S CHAPMAN
GREG E HOLDSTOCK
C A LOEHRY

Royal Victoria Hospital,
Bournemouth, Dorset

¹ Cawood, R. and Thirkettle, J R, *British Medical Journal*, 1966, **2**, 1324.

² Karliner, J S, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1967, **199**, 1006.

³ Swatts, C, *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, 1964, **107**, 177.

Monitoring adverse reactions to drugs

SIR,—There have been various proposals for surveillance of adverse drug reactions to new drugs.¹⁻³ One considerable problem besetting any system is the great degree of under-reporting of adverse drug reactions for various reasons.

In order to ensure as high a reporting rate as possible it might be appropriate for the Committee on Safety of Medicines to involve those practitioners who have already demonstrated their willingness to report adverse drug reactions under the existing procedure. A panel of such doctors could be approached at the start of the anticipated surveillance of new drugs and enlarged as reports are received under the existing system.

RICHARD PEARSON

Royal Northern Hospital,
London N7

¹ *Lancet*, 1976, **2**, 1312.

² Dollery, C T, and Rawlins, M D, *British Medical Journal*, 1977, **1**, 96.

³ Lawson, D H, and Henry, D A, *British Medical Journal*, 1977, **1**, 691.

Can cockroaches cause asthma?

SIR,—Your leading article on this subject (19 March, p 734) suggested that the level and distribution of cockroach infestation in buildings in Britain are unknown. The two volumes of *The Cockroach* in the Rentokil Library^{1 2} summarise reports on almost 20 000 infestations. Nine types of building account for 85% of all infestations: houses and flats (14%), restaurants and cafés (14%), shops (12%), hotels and boarding houses (11%), public houses, clubs, and public halls (8%), food factories (8%), hospitals (7%), non-food factories (6%), and schools and colleges (5%). Their presence is invariably associated with warmth, moisture, and proximity of food, the numbers of insects often reaching tens of thousands in long-established infestations.

Most of the American work quoted on the possible relationship between cockroaches, asthma, and other allergies has involved studies with the German cockroach, although there is evidence also of sensitivity to oriental and American cockroaches. The German

cockroach is the principal indoor pest in the USA, but this is not the predominant cockroach in the UK, where the oriental cockroach is, on average, four times as abundant. Both species are common in buildings here, but they are not uniformly spread. Infested buildings in London and the surrounding counties contain only 30-45% of the German cockroach and the same applies in buildings in and around Glasgow. Elsewhere, notably throughout the midland and northern counties of England, infestations by oriental cockroaches outnumber German by more than ten to one.

The activities and environment created within buildings influence which of the two cockroaches is likely to occur, as shown by the ratio of German to oriental infestations in, for example, restaurants and cafés (1:1.5), hospitals (1:3), shops (1:4), cinemas and theatres (1:6), and homes (1:12). The kitchen is the preferred location of *Blatta germanica*. The less humid basement, boiler rooms, and ducts favour *B. orientalis*. German cockroaches tend to occur less often in pre-war properties, where the oriental cockroach is five times as common. The latter is found only twice as often in post-war buildings. In all buildings oriental cockroaches are more widespread.

Quite apart from a possible relationship between cockroaches and allergies, all the species which infest buildings have been incriminated as potential but fortuitous carriers of pathogenic bacteria. This derives from their association with drains, gullies, toilets, and human food.

P B CORNWELL
Director of Research,
Rentokil Ltd

East Grinstead,
Sussex

¹ Cornwell, P B, *The Cockroach*, vol 1. London, Hutchinson, 1968.

² Cornwell, P B, *The Cockroach*, vol 2. London, Associated Business Programmes, 1976.

Differentiation of streptococci from diphtheroids

SIR,—Drs A M Emmerson and Susannah J Eykyn (2 April, p 905) give an important reminder that streptococci in blood cultures sometimes look like diphtheroids. A blue slide catalase test,^{1 2} quickly performed on a coverslip impression of colonies, is helpful, for it discriminates immediately between catalase-negative organisms such as streptococci, lactobacilli, actinomycetes, and clostridia and the bubbling catalase-positive colonies of corynebacteria, listeriae, and *Bacillus* spp.

MAIR THOMAS

Pathology Department,
Elizabeth Garrett Anderson
Hospital,
London NW1

¹ Thomas, M, *Public Health Laboratory Service Monograph No 5*, 1974.

² Thomas, M, *Monthly Bulletin of the Ministry of Health and Public Health Laboratory Service*, 1963, **22**, 124.

Use of ritodrine in pregnant diabetics

SIR,—A recent experience confirmed the importance of the report of Dr Judith M Steel and Mr J Parboosingh (2 April, p 880) on the use of ritodrine to inhibit premature labour in diabetics. A 25-year-old pregnant diabetic patient controlled on 56 units of insulin daily repeatedly threatened to go into labour from 33 to 35 weeks. Intravenous ritodrine was used

on four occasions and at 38 weeks a healthy 3180-g baby was delivered. Insulin requirements during the period of treatment rose to a maximum of 112 units daily, twice the original dose. The uterine activity was on each occasion preceded by a period of hyperglycaemia and ketonuria, probably due to infection in the first instance. To use a hyperglycaemic agent to suppress premature labour in a pregnant diabetic already out of control presents obvious problems, but this case and those reported from Edinburgh show that these can be overcome by adjustment of insulin dosage.

In the current ritodrine data sheet diabetes appears as a contraindication to use in premature labour. It might more appropriately be regarded as a condition in which the drug may be used, but cautiously and with frequent blood sugar estimations. Ritodrine may then contribute towards a reduction of the increased perinatal mortality associated with diabetes.

C J CHANDLER

Department of Obstetrics and
Gynaecology,
University of Leeds

Increased serum growth hormone levels in absence of acromegaly

SIR,—I read with interest the report by Dr J A H Wass and others (2 April, p 875) of the long-term treatment of acromegaly with bromocriptine. I should like to point out another condition in which a discrepancy occurs between serum growth hormone (GH) levels and the clinical state of the patient. In 24 heroin-dependent subjects there were very high fasting levels of GH which failed to suppress in response to a 50-g oral glucose load, although there were no symptoms or signs of acromegaly. In 11 addicts abstinent from heroin for a mean period of six months GH levels, although lower than in addicts still "on" heroin, were still significantly higher than those of normal controls during the latter part of an oral glucose tolerance test.

A HAMID GHODSE

Addiction Research Unit,
Institute of Psychiatry,
London SE5

Truncal vagotomy and cholelithiasis: plea for a controlled study

SIR,—There is not complete agreement regarding the relationship between truncal vagotomy and cholelithiasis.^{1,2} Vagotomy in man produces reduction in bile flow,² increase in the gall bladder volume,^{3,4} delay in its emptying,⁴ and diminution in the response to cholecystokinin-pancreozymin.⁵ Retrospective studies are available, some of them⁶⁻⁸ showing an increase in the incidence of gall stones after truncal vagotomy, others failing to show this.⁹⁻¹¹ Unfortunately, in none of those series were postoperative insulin tests or any others performed in order to demonstrate the functional completeness of the vagotomy. Furthermore, in some of them the normality of the biliary system was not properly assessed before the vagotomy.

In 1970 Bouchier² stated the need for a "well-designed, well-controlled prospective study" to clarify this matter. The same request had been made earlier that year by Costello.¹¹ A review of the literature in English from

January 1970 to January 1977 has failed to show that any such study has yet been done. I feel strongly that it should be.

VIRGILIO TORREALBA

Department of Surgery,
St George's Hospital,
London SW1

- ¹ *British Medical Journal*, 1973, 2, 256.
- ² Bouchier, I A D, *Gut*, 1970, 11, 799.
- ³ Johnson, F E, and Boyden, E A, *Surgery*, 1952, 32, 591.
- ⁴ Nyhus, L M, *American Journal of Surgery*, 1976, 131, 3.
- ⁵ Malasclada, J R, Go, V L W, and Summerskill, W H J, *Gastroenterology*, 1974, 66, 22.
- ⁶ Nobles, E R, *American Surgeon*, 1966, 32, 177.
- ⁷ Tompkins, R K, *et al*, *Surgery*, 1972, 71, 196.
- ⁸ Clave, R A, and Gaspar, M R, *American Journal of Surgery*, 1969, 118, 169.
- ⁹ Mujahed, Z, and Evans, J A, *Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 1971, 133, 656.
- ¹⁰ Fletcher, D M, and Clark, C G, *British Journal of Surgery*, 1968, 55, 895.
- ¹¹ Costello, C, *Postgraduate Medicine*, 1970, 47, 141.

"The cholecystogram is normal" . . . but . . .

SIR,—Mr M H Gough's excellent review of the problem of "falsely normal" cholecystograms (9 April, p 960) did not include the context of recurrent acute pancreatitis. Gall stones are a common cause of this syndrome, and all patients normally undergo cholecystography and/or intravenous cholangiography in the recovery phase. During the past five years we have investigated 135 problem patients who have continued to have recurrent attacks of pancreatitis. Many abused alcohol, but no fewer than 13 were found (usually by endoscopic retrograde cholangiography) to harbour gall stones which had escaped detection by conventional biliary radiology at the referring hospitals.

In Britain a woman with pancreatitis is likely to have gall stones whatever the cholecystogram shows.

P B COTTON
J R CROKER

Gastrointestinal Unit,
Middlesex Hospital,
London W1

SIR,—Mr M H Gough's refreshing reconsideration of a radiological procedure that is not as helpful as we radiologists like to assume (9 April, p 960) prompts me to report observations made many years ago.

In a military hospital in Italy towards the end of the war we had no "fatty meal" supplied as part of the standard equipment of the x-ray department. At that time an egg in milk was the standard form of "fatty meal." Though no biochemist, this seemed to me to be a singularly poor way of getting fat administered. The milk in any case was prepared from powdered milk rather than direct from the cow. The advantage of holding field rank enabled me to arrange for all our cholecystogram patients to go at the appropriate time to the hospital kitchen, where they were given fried bread nice and hot and appetising. The results were wonderful and even with the sodium tetraiodophenolphthalein then used I obtained visualisation of the cystic and common bile ducts superior to anything I have seen since.

The nauseous cold preparation, based I believe on peanut butter, is as unappetising as can be imagined but it is convenient to the radiographer. In neuroradiology cholecystography is rare and I abandoned the attempt to

fight the commercial pressure. But if my own gall bladder gave trouble . . .

DOUGLAS GORDON

The City University,
London EC1

Administrative staff in the NHS

SIR,—The letter of Mr N H Harris (26 March, p 842) exhibits a complete lack of understanding of the nature of the National Health Service. The aim of this service is surely to provide comprehensive health care which is spread as uniformly as possible over all parts of the United Kingdom. This objective for its "administrators," of whatever discipline, goes far beyond facilitating the "work of medical and nursing staff who treat patients."

Would clinical disciplines really be prepared to take on the professional (let alone the lay) aspects of the employment of staff, of ensuring the correct preparation and conduct of advisory appointment committees, the intricacies of the junior hospital doctors' contracts? To co-ordinate the conflicting views of clinical colleagues and provide advice to health authorities on which decisions relating to the allocation of scarce resources are taken, and then to accept the recriminations when some services go without while others appear to prosper? To face community health councillors and local government members to discuss plans and explain the existence of waiting lists and breakdowns in the Service and the postponement of very desirable developments?

If the absence of porters, orderlies, and catering and clerical staff on strike makes no difference to the treatment of patients, am I to believe that they are no longer required in the hospitals in which Mr Harris works? If so why do clinicians in my health authority continue to urge increases in the establishment of these staffs in order to support operating theatres and give secretarial assistance to consultants and nurses?

Each clinician is responsible in detail for a small part of the NHS; each community physician sees a much larger section of the service in much less detail, but comes to know and procure the interrelationship of each clinical firm, division, and discipline. This requires completely different skills from those practised by a clinician. Should each not stick to his own and avoid denigrating the other?

N H N MILLS

Ebbw Vale,
Gwent

SIR,—I fear that Mr N H Harris (26 March, p 842) doth protest too much. His reply to Mr L C Crane's letter (5 March, p 644) is like the proverbial curate's egg, and where it is bad it is very bad.

Let me take two examples. Firstly, it is claimed that there are now 400 physicians doing administrative work for a total salary of £1m. One must allow for printing errors, but if Mr Harris's figure was anywhere near correct these 400 should be the standard bearers to the medical fraternity for voluntarily taking up to a 75% reduction in salary! Mr Harris also does not make it clear whether these 400 are in addition to those who were already doing "administrative" work before reorganisation—MOHs and their deputies,