An ecological ‘footprint’ of climate change

Gian-Reto Walther^{1,*}, Silje Berger¹ and Martin T. Sykes²

¹*Institute of Geobotany, University of Hannover, Nienburger Street 17, 30167 Hannover, Germany*

²*Geobiosphere Science Centre, Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystems Analysis, Lund University, Sölvegatan 12, 223 62 Lund, Sweden*

Recently, there has been increasing evidence of species’ range shifts due to changes in climate. Whereas most of these shifts relate ground truth biogeographic data to a general warming trend in regional or global climate data, we here present a reanalysis of both biogeographic and bioclimatic data of equal spatio-temporal resolution, covering a time span of more than 50 years. Our results reveal a coherent and synchronous shift in both species’ distribution and climate. They show not only a shift in the northern margin of a species, which is in concert with gradually increasing winter temperatures in the area, they also confirm the simulated species’ distribution changes expected from a bioclimatic model under the recent, relatively moderate climate change.

Keywords: range shift; global warming; bioindicator; bioclimatic model; evergreen broad-leaved species; *Ilex aquifolium*

1. INTRODUCTION

Despite an increasing number of ecological ‘fingerprints’ of climate change (Walther *et al.* 2001; Parmesan & Yohe 2003; Root *et al.* 2003; see also Hughes 2000; Walther *et al.* 2002), consensus on the ecological impacts of global warming still remains elusive (see Jensen 2003). One reason for the lack of consensus may relate to the fact that case studies on species’ range shifts (e.g. Grabherr *et al.* 1994; Parmesan *et al.* 1999; Thomas & Lennon 1999; Hill *et al.* 2002; Crozier 2003) often associate local changes in the distribution of species at small scales to large-scale climatic changes on the regional to global level. This is mainly due to the lack of historical biogeographic data on the local and regional distribution of a species, coupled with concurrent climatic data on the same spatio-temporal resolution. One of the exceptions, and to our knowledge the only one, is provided by Iversen (1944), who closely linked the occurrence of some evergreen broad-leaved species to measurements from nearby climate stations. Thus it has been used as the classical example to illustrate a climatically limited species’ northern distribution and continues to be regularly featured in standard textbooks of ecology in general (e.g. Begon *et al.* 1996), and botany in particular (Sitte *et al.* 2002; Larcher 2003).

A particular feature of the Iversen (1944) study is that it provides a detailed synchronous record for the bioclimatic and biogeographic situation of climatically limited species before the recent rise in global average temperature. In recent decades, the regional climate of the Iversen study area has warmed, especially in the winter season (Folland & Karl 2001). Given this warming trend, one would expect to see a truly climatically limited species response to the changes in climate in these areas.

In this paper, we compare both detailed historic climatic and biogeographic records with updates of the same parameters at the same localities in the northern fringe area of the distribution of *Ilex aquifolium*, applying

the same methodology as used in the original study (Iversen 1944). We also compare our field data to predictions from simulations of *Ilex* distributions using a purely climate-driven bioclimatic model. Our aim is, therefore, to verify whether a potential shift in the local and regional distribution of a species is in synchrony with concurrent changes in climatic data on the same spatio-temporal resolution.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

From among the subset of evergreen species described by Iversen (1944) we selected holly (*I. aquifolium*), the only true shrub and smaller tree species (Peterken & Lloyd 1967; Callaach 1983). The northern margin of the *Ilex* distribution in Europe is often shown related to the 0 °C-isoline (Holmboe 1913; Loesener 1919; Enquist 1924; Walter & Straka 1970; Sitte *et al.* 2002). Although the mean temperatures are not themselves considered to be physiologically effective, they correlate with absolute minimum, and therefore are used as a surrogate for the frequency of lethal extreme events (Sitte *et al.* 2002; Woodward *et al.* 2004; see also Runge 1950; Fischer 1965; Peterken & Lloyd 1967; Sakai 1982; Prentice *et al.* 1992; Sykes *et al.* 1996). The other evergreen species studied by Iversen (1944) either depend on appropriate host trees such as the epiphyte *Viscum album*, or, in the case of the climbing ivy (*Hedera helix*), have two separate and different growth forms. Ivy, when creeping on the ground, is protected from winter cold by snow and is thus, in this growth form, a less reliable climate indicator than when it is climbing trees (see also Andergassen & Bauer 2002). Further, these two different growth forms have not been clearly separated in the original data (Iversen 1944).

Our biogeographic field data for holly were obtained from several unpublished recent records of *I. aquifolium* scattered through various local field surveys and monitoring programmes (see §4 and acknowledgements below). We verified these records in the field in the northern fringe area of the distribution of holly in northern Germany, Denmark, southern Norway and southern Sweden in 2003, and updated

*Author for correspondence (walther@geobotanik.uni-hannover.de).

the same climatic parameters of the same local climate stations as used in the original study (Iversen 1944). As the study covers more than a half century, not all the climate stations can provide data to the present day. For the abandoned stations we used data from nearby stations or extrapolated surrogate records based upon overlapping periods (see Electronic Appendix A).

The static shell (STASH) bioclimatic model is a simple model which uses a minimum set of bioclimatic parameters (mean temperature of the coldest month, growing season warmth, drought index and degree of chilling required before budburst) to describe a species range. The assumption is that these parameters represent responses to physiologically important mechanisms for plants, for example, accumulated temperature during the growing season (growing degree days) is an index of the presence of energy suitable for the completion of the plant's life cycle. Some of these parameters act directly as on-off switches, for example, if the minimum mean coldest month temperature (as a surrogate for the absolute minimum) in a grid cell falls below the species limit, the species is excluded. Some parameters, however, also directly affect net assimilation and respiration, and thus growth rate, and this is reflected in the degree of establishment success within a grid cell (see Sykes *et al.* 1996 for further details). We used STASH in a data-model comparison for current and recent past occurrences of holly. We used 12 monthly values for each of monthly mean temperature, precipitation and percentage sunshine from the ATEAM European gridded 10' climate dataset (<http://www.pik-potsdam.de/ateam/>) based on the Climate Research Unit dataset (New *et al.* 1999, 2000). The recent past was modelled as the 30 year normal for the 1931–1960 period, and the current period as the mean of 1981–2000.

Statistical analyses of the simulated and actual distributions of holly in the area between 51–70° N and 4–25° E were based on Cohen's kappa coefficient of agreement (Cohen 1960) providing an estimate for the overlap of spatial data (cf. Fielding & Bell 1997).

3. RESULTS

At all the localities where Iversen reported the occurrence of *I. aquifolium*, we confirmed that the species is still present. In addition, we found new occurrences of holly at locations that were reported *Ilex*-free at the time of Iversen's investigation (cf. figure 1a,c). The growth form and size, date of first notification, and at some places tree ring analyses allowed an estimation of the approximate age of these individuals (data not shown, but cf. Berger 2003). Based on this information we conclude that these occurrences of *Ilex* are in fact new, and that *Ilex* was not present at the time of Iversen's investigation. These new occurrences represent a geographical shift in the distribution of holly towards the north in Norway and northeast in Germany, Denmark (cf. also Banuelos *et al.* 2004) and especially southern Sweden, where *I. aquifolium* expanded into new areas along the southern Swedish coast (figure 1d). In addition, the results of the field survey have been plotted in a thermal correlation diagram (figure 2), that is, a coordinate system where the ordinate represents the mean temperature for the warmest month (July/August, depending on the individual station), and the abscissa the mean temperature for the coldest month (January/February, same as for warmest month; cf. Iversen 1944). In this thermal

correlation diagram (figure 2), not only the status but also the position of the related climatic stations changed in accordance with the findings of the field survey. Whenever a station, previously designated holly-free, advanced to, or even crossed, the thermal limit curve in the thermal correlation diagram, field observations revealed that the station's surrounding area has been colonized by holly in the time since Iversen's investigation. Consequently, the change in the position of these stations is in synchrony with the change in their biogeographic status, indicated by different symbols in figure 2. Furthermore, while the position and status of several stations have changed, the position of the thermal limit curve has remained stable compared with the outline given by Iversen (1944; cf. figure 2). In the past, the 0 °C January-isoline ran parallel with the northern margin of *Ilex* distribution (figure 1a), and with the recent shift of both climate isoline and species' distribution change, this relationship remains consistent (figure 1c). Last but not least, the bioclimatic model based on purely bioclimatic response factors simulates a potential *Ilex* distribution of the recent past (based on 1931–60 climate data) that matches the actual area with a kappa value (K) of 0.82, which is well in the range of 'excellent' agreement ($K > 0.75$ according to Fielding & Bell 1997; see also Landis & Koch 1977). The model also predicts new areas that will be colonized by holly under a warming scenario based on the 1981–2000 climate data (figure 1d). In this case, the kappa value for the simulated range shift and the observed change (the latter is the area delimited by the new occurrences; see triangles in figure 1d; excluding the actual area of the recent past; see figure 1a) is 0.50, which, though lower, is in the range of a 'moderate' kappa agreement (Landis & Koch 1977).

4. DISCUSSION

In the original work, the climatic stations were critically selected in order to ensure that they sufficiently represented the surrounding areas (defined by Iversen (1944) as the area within a circle of 20 km and within a vertical distance of 40 m). Because of the long period of this study (*ca.* 50 years) it was not possible to update all the climate data from all the original stations. However, due to the large geographical distances between the climatic stations used in this study, some minor variation arising from the use of nearby surrogate climate stations instead of abandoned original stations is relatively insignificant, especially when considering the potential differences in proportion to changes in microhabitats within the 20 km circle considered representative for the thermosphere of the plants (see Iversen 1944). Furthermore, the data used in the thermal correlation diagram revealed no systematic discrepancy in terms of magnitude, or direction of change in status or position, between the subset of original and surrogate stations (see Electronic Appendix A). Therefore, although not all the original climate stations survived the time span covered in this study, the dataset is considered sufficiently reliable for the purpose of the study. Also, the observed change, especially at winter temperatures, accords well with the latest IPCC findings reporting a 0.6–1.0 °C per decade warming for the period between 1976–2000 in the area of southern Scandinavia (Folland & Karl 2001).

Figure 1. Distribution of *Ilex aquifolium* and the 0 °C-January iseline at different times. (a) Former range of *I. aquifolium* (dark grey shading) based on Enquist (1924) and Meusel *et al.* (1965), iseline based upon Walter & Straka (1970), symbols based upon Iversen (1944); for symbol legends see figure 2. (b) Modelled range of *I. aquifolium* in the recent past (1931–60; vertical shading), iseline as in (a). (c) Former range of *I. aquifolium* (dark grey shading) as in (a); iseline updated for 1981–2000 based on Mitchell *et al.* (2004), symbols updated; for symbol legends see figure 2. (d) Former range of *I. aquifolium* (dark grey shading) complemented with the simulated species' distribution under a moderate climate change based on 1981–2000 climate data (diagonal shading), iseline as in (c); triangles represent locations with new actual occurrences of *I. aquifolium*.

Although we could have based our resurvey on records from several local vegetation monitoring programmes, it was necessary to verify all notifications of (potential) *Ilex*-occurrences in the field, because, for example, in

some places we identified *Mahonia aquifolium* instead of the expected *I. aquifolium*. All the localities with previous occurrences of *Ilex* reported by Iversen (1944) were confirmed. In addition, we also found new areas with

Figure 2. Thermal correlation diagram with Iversen (1944) values (grey symbols) and updated values (black symbols); the arrows link the values of corresponding stations. For details see text and Electronic Appendix A.

holly that were reported *Ilex*-free at the time of Iversen's investigation. In some cases, new individuals were considered to be escapees from planted garden individuals. However, in that regard, Iversen in his study also included a category named '*Ilex* strayed into woods from gardens' (cf. Iversen 1944, p. 471). Such subspontaneous occurrences are not in opposition to our approach, rather, they are in agreement with the methodology and findings of the original study. Such events provide opportunities for *Ilex* to keep pace with the rate of climate change by reducing the time-lag that may be due to, for example, dispersal limitations (Svenning & Skov 2004; cf. also Pott 1990; Leemans 1996) and/or interrupted migration routes (Skov & Svenning 2004), thus allowing a species the chance to occupy its new potential range immediately.

The observed north- and northeastward range expansion tracks the increased warming measured at local climate stations. Whenever a station which previously was reported *Ilex*-free advanced to or crossed the thermal limit curve in the thermal correlation diagram, a new occurrence of holly was found in the field in the surrounding area. Furthermore, both the historic and the present northern margin of *Ilex* distribution in Europe remains related to the 0 °C-isoline (figure 1a,c). STASH model

output showed very clearly that, in the past, *Ilex* has filled a great portion of its potential range (figure 1b). Furthermore, the new occurrences of *I. aquifolium* overlap with the potential range of this species under the recent moderate climate change predicted by the model using climate data of the last two decades only (see figure 1d; cf. also Banuelos *et al.* 2004 for Denmark). However, the lower kappa value resulting from the comparison of the expected and the actual shift in distribution of the last two decades suggests that *Ilex*, probably for chorological reasons, has not yet fully occupied its climatically determined potential new range predicted by the model (cf. also Svenning & Skov 2004).

Northern range limitation by climatic parameters has not only been reported from plant species (e.g. Woodward 1987; Graves & Reavey 1996; Woodward *et al.* 2004; see also Walther 2004), it is also of importance for other taxa such as insects (e.g. Hill *et al.* 1999; Addo-Bediako *et al.* 2000; Thomas *et al.* 2001), birds (e.g. Thomas & Lennon 1999; Forsman & Monkkonen 2003; Brommer 2004) and mammals (e.g. Humphries *et al.* 2002), and thus is an important ecological feature in temperate regions. The present resurvey of the distribution of a climatically limited species and the reanalysis of closely related local

climatic measurements revealed a coherent shift in both species' distribution and climate with the same spatio-temporal resolution. This gives high confidence to the conclusion that the changing climate is the responsible driver for the observed northward range expansion. Consequently, this reported species' shift is more than just an ecological 'fingerprint', it is an ecological 'footprint' of recent climate change.

Floristic data were kindly provided by T. Tyler (Projekt Skånes Flora, Sweden), L. Jonsell (Projekt Upplands Flora, Sweden), S. Svensson (Municipality of Gotland, Sweden), C.-A. Hæggström (Department of Ecology, University of Helsinki, Finland), G. Weimarck (Göteborg, Sweden), P.H. Salvesen (The Norwegian Arboretum, University of Bergen, Norway), A. Skogen (University of Bergen, Norway), J. Kollmann & J.M. Bañuelos (Department of Ecology, Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark), J. Lawesson (Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Aarhus, Denmark), G. Stachowiak (Salzwedel, Germany), D. Frank (Halle, Germany), R. May (German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation, Bonn, Germany), W. Härdtle (Institute of Ecology and Environmental Chemistry, University of Lüneburg, Germany), M. Diekmann (Vegetation Ecology and Conservation Biology, University of Bremen, Germany); and climatic data by K. Boqvist (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden), W. Ernst (Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany), L. Ågren (Ecological Research Station of Uppsala University, Färjestaden, Sweden), S. Kristiansen (Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway), K.M. Erhardi (Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark), T. Mitchell (Tyndall Centre for Climate Research, Norwich, United Kingdom), T. Carter & S. Fronzek (Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland). We also thank G. Marion and S. Bierman (BIOSS, Edinburgh, United Kingdom) for statistical advice and two anonymous referees for helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript. Funding by the following agencies is kindly acknowledged: German Research Foundation (Project WA 1523/5-1), and the EC (within the FP 6 Integrated Project 'ALARM'; GOCE-CT-2003-506675).

REFERENCES

- Addo-Bediako, A., Chown, S. L. & Gaston, K. J. 2000 Thermal tolerance, climatic variability and latitude. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **267**, 739–745. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1065.)
- Andergassen, S. & Bauer, H. 2002 Frost hardiness in the juvenile and adult life phase of ivy (*Hedera helix* L.). *Plant Ecol.* **161**, 207–213. (doi:10.1023/A:1020365422879.)
- Bañuelos, M. J., Kollmann, J., Hartvig, P. & Quevedo, M. 2004 Modelling the distribution of *Ilex aquifolium* at the north-eastern edge of its geographical range. *Nord. J. Bot.* **23**, 129–142.
- Begon, M., Harper, J. L. & Townsend, C. R. 1996 *Ecology—individuals, populations and communities*, 3rd edn. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Berger, S. 2003 *Ilex aquifolium*—Bioindikator für Klimaveränderung? MSc thesis, Institute of Geobotany, University of Hannover.
- Brommer, J. E. 2004 The range margins of northern birds shift polewards. *Ann. Zool. Fenn.* **41**, 391–397.
- Callaach, R. 1983 Untersuchungen zur Biologie und Vergesellschaftung der Stechpalme (*Ilex aquifolium*). Dissertation, University of Kassel.
- Cohen, J. 1960 A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educ. Psychol. Meas.* **20**, 37–46.
- Crozier, L. 2003 Winter warming facilitates range expansion: cold tolerance of the butterfly *Atalopedes campestris*. *Oecologia* **135**, 648–656. (doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1219-2.)
- Enquist, F. 1924 Sambandet mellan klimat och växtgränser. *Geol. Fören. Förhandl.* **46**, 202–213.
- Fielding, A. H. & Bell, J. F. 1997 A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. *Environ. Conserv.* **24**, 38–49.
- Fischer, W. 1965 Über Wassergehalt und Standortverhältnisse bei einigen wintergrünen atlantischen Pflanzenarten an der Ostgrenze ihrer Verbreitung in NW-Brandenburg. Diss. Dtsch. Akad. Landwirtschaftswiss., Berlin.
- Folland, C. K. & Karl, T. R. 2001 Observed climate variability and change. In *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis* (ed. J. T. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell & C. A. Johnson), pp. 99–181. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Forsman, J. T. & Monkkonen, M. 2003 The role of climate in limiting European resident bird populations. *J. Biogeogr.* **30**, 55–70. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00812.x.)
- Grabherr, G., Gottfried, M. & Pauli, H. 1994 Climate effects on mountain plants. *Nature* **369**, 448. (doi:10.1038/369448a0.)
- Graves, J. & Reavey, D. 1996 *Global environmental change—plants, animals and communities*. Essex: Longman.
- Hill, J. K., Thomas, C. D. & Huntley, B. 1999 Climate and habitat availability determine twentieth century changes in a butterfly's range margins. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **266**, 1197–1206. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0763.)
- Hill, J. K., Thomas, C. D., Fox, R., Telfer, M. G., Willis, S. G., Asher, J. & Huntley, B. 2002 Responses of butterflies to twentieth century climate warming: implications for future ranges. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **269**, 2163–2171. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2134.)
- Holmboe, J. 1913 Kristtornen i Norge. *Bergens Museums Arbok* **7**, 1–91.
- Hughes, L. 2000 Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already apparent? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **15**, 56–61. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01764-4.)
- Humphries, M. M., Thomas, D. W. & Speakman, J. R. 2002 Climate-mediated energetic constraints on the distribution of hibernating mammals. *Nature* **418**, 313–316. (doi:10.1038/nature00828.)
- Iversen, J. 1944 *Viscum, Hedera* and *Ilex* as climatic indicators. A contribution to the study of past-glacial temperature climate. *Geol. Fören. Förhandl.* **66**, 463–483.
- Jensen, M. N. 2003 Consensus on ecological impacts remains elusive. *Science* **299**, 38. (doi:10.1126/science.299.5603.38.)
- Landis, J. R. & Koch, G. C. 1977 The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* **33**, 159–174.
- Larcher, W. 2003 *Physiological plant ecology*, 4th edn. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Leemans, R. 1996 Biodiversity and global change. In *Biodiversity—a biology of numbers and difference* (ed. K. J. Gaston), pp. 367–387. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Loesener, T. 1919 Über die Aquifoliaceen, besonders über *Ilex*. *Mitt. Dtsch. Dendrol. Ges.* **28**, 1–66.
- Meusel, H., Jäger, E. & Weinert, E. 1965 *Vergleichende Chorologie der zentraleuropäischen Flora*. Jena: Fischer.
- Mitchell, T. D., Carter, T. R., Jones, P. D., Hulme, M. & New, M. 2004 A comprehensive set of high-resolution grids of monthly climate for Europe and the globe: the observed record (1901–2000) and 16 scenarios (2001–2100). *Tyndall Centre Working Papers* **55**, July 2004. (Available at: http://www.tyndall.ac.uk/publications/working_papers/wp55.pdf.)
- New, M., Hulme, M. & Jones, P. D. 1999 Representing twentieth century space–time variability. Part I. Development of a 1961–90 mean monthly terrestrial climatology. *J. Clim.* **12**, 829–856.

- New, M., Hulme, M. & Jones, P. D. 2000 Representing twentieth century space–time variability. Part 2. Development of 1901–96 monthly grids of terrestrial surface climate. *J. Clim.* **13**, 2217–2238.
- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. 2003 A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**, 37–42. (doi:10.1038/nature01286.)
- Parmesan, C., *et al.* 1999 Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature* **399**, 579–583. (doi:10.1038/21181.)
- Peterken, G. F. & Lloyd, P. S. 1967 Biological flora of the British Isles. *J. Ecol.* **55**, 841–858.
- Pott, R. 1990 Die nacheiszeitliche Ausbreitung und heutige pflanzensoziologische Stellung von *Ilex aquifolium* L. *Tuexenia* **10**, 497–512.
- Prentice, I. C., Cramer, W., Harrison, S., Leemans, R., Monserud, R. A. & Solomon, A. M. 1992 A global biome model based on plant physiology and dominance, soil properties and climate. *J. Biogeogr.* **19**, 117–134.
- Root, T. L., Price, J. T., Hall, K. R., Schneider, S. H., Rosenzweig, C. & Pounds, J. A. 2003 Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* **421**, 57–60. (doi:10.1038/nature01333.)
- Runge, F. 1950 Die Standorte der Hülse (*Ilex aquifolium* L.) in der Umgebung des Naturschutzgebietes "Heiliges Meer" bei Hopsten (Westf.). *Natur und Heimat* **10**, 65–77.
- Sakai, A. 1982 Freezing resistance of ornamental trees and shrubs. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* **107**, 572–581.
- Sitte, P., Weiler, E. W., Kadereit, J. W., Bresinsky, A. & Körner, C. 2002 *Strasburger—Lehrbuch der Botanik*, 35th edn. Heidelberg, Berlin: Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.
- Skov, F. & Svenning, J. C. 2004 Potential impact of climatic change on the distribution of forest herbs in Europe. *Ecography* **27**, 366–380. (doi:10.1111/j.0906-7590.2004.03823.x.)
- Svenning, J.-C. & Skov, F. 2004 Limited filling of the potential range in European tree species. *Ecol. Lett.* **7**, 565–573. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00614.x.)
- Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C. & Cramer, W. 1996 A bioclimatic model for the potential distributions of north European tree species under present and future climates. *J. Biogeogr.* **23**, 203–233.
- Thomas, C. D. & Lennon, J. J. 1999 Birds extend their ranges northwards. *Nature* **399**, 213. (doi:10.1038/20335.)
- Thomas, C. D., Bodsworth, E. J., Wilson, R. J., Simmons, A. D., Davies, Z. G., Musche, M. & Conradt, L. 2001 Ecological and evolutionary processes at expanding range margins. *Nature* **411**, 577–581. (doi:10.1038/35079066.)
- Walter, H. & Straka, H. 1970 *Arealkunde—Floristisch-historische Geobotanik*, 2nd edn. Stuttgart: Ulmer.
- Walther, G.-R. 2004 Plants in a warmer world. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **6**, 169–185. (doi:10.1078/1433-8319-00076.)
- Walther, G.-R., Burga, C. A. & Edwards, P. J. (eds) 2001 *"Fingerprints" of climate change—adapted behaviour and shifting species ranges*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C., Fromentin, J.-M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bairlein, F. 2002 Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **416**, 389–395. (doi:10.1038/416389a.)
- Woodward, F. I. 1987 *Climate and plant distribution*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Woodward, F. I., Lomas, M. R. & Kelly, C. K. 2004 Global climate and the distribution of plant biomes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **359**, 1465–1476. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2004.1525.)

The supplementary Electronic Appendix is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3119> or via <http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk>.