Published in final edited form as:

Anesthesiology. 2006 June ; 104(6): 1124–1130.

Fructose Administration Increases Intraoperative Core Temperature by Augmenting Both Metabolic Rate and the Vasoconstriction Threshold

Toshiki Mizobe, M.D., Ph.D.^{*}, Yasufumi Nakajima, M.D., Ph.D.[#], Hiroshi Ueno, M.D., Ph.D.[#], and Daniel I. Sessler, M.D.[§]

^{*} Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine.

[#] Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine.

[§] Chair, Department of *OUTCOMES RESEARCH*, Cleveland Clinic Foundation; L&S Weakley Professor and Director, *OUTCOMES RESEARCH* Institute, University of Louisville.

Abstract

Background—We tested the hypothesis that intravenous fructose ameliorates intraoperative hypothermia both by increasing metabolic rate and the vasoconstriction threshold (triggering core temperature)

Methods—40 patients scheduled for open abdominal surgery were divided into two equal groups and randomly assigned to intravenous fructose infusion ($0.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ for 4 h, starting 3 h before induction of anesthesia and continuing for 4 hours) or an equal volume of saline. Each treatment group was subdivided: esophageal core temperature, thermoregulatory vasoconstriction, and plasma concentrations were determined in half, and oxygen consumption was determined in the remainder. Patients were monitored for 3 h after induction of anesthesia.

Results—Patient characteristics, anesthetic management, and circulatory data were similar in the four groups. Mean final core temperature (3 h after induction of anesthesia) was $35.7 \pm 0.4^\circ\text{C}$ (mean $\pm$ SD) in the fructose group and $35.1 \pm 0.4^\circ\text{C}$ in the saline group ($P=0.001$). The vasoconstriction threshold was greater in the fructose ($36.2 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$) than in the saline group ($35.6 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$; $P<0.001$). Oxygen consumption immediately before anesthesia induction in the fructose group ($214 \pm 18 \text{ ml/min}$) was significantly greater than in the saline group ($181 \pm 8 \text{ ml/min}$, $P<0.001$). Oxygen consumption was 4.0 L greater in the fructose patients during 3 hours of anesthesia; the predicted difference in mean-body temperature based only on the difference in metabolic rates was thus only 0.4°C . Epinephrine, norepinephrine, and angiotensin II concentrations, and plasma renin activity were similar in each treatment group.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Toshiki Mizobe, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Anesthesiology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kawaramachi Hirokoji, Kamigyo-ku, Kyoto 602-8566, Japan. E-mail: toshim@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp TEL: +81-75-251-5633. FAX: +81-75-251-5843. On the world wide web: www.or.org.

Received from Department of Anesthesiology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; and Department of *OUTCOMES RESEARCH*, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH; and the *OUTCOMES RESEARCH* Institute and Department of Anesthesiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY.

Summary Statement: Preoperative fructose infusion increases core temperature by augmenting both metabolic heat production and increasing the vasoconstriction threshold. Two-thirds of the difference in core temperature resulted from increased metabolic rate in the patients given fructose with the remainder presumably resulting from vasoconstriction-induced constraint of metabolic heat to the core thermal compartment.

Supported by NIH Grant GM 061655 (Bethesda, MD), the Gheens Foundation (Louisville, KY), the Joseph Drown Foundation (Los Angeles, CA), and the Commonwealth of Kentucky Research Challenge Trust Fund (Louisville, KY). Mallinckrodt Anesthesiology Products, Inc. (St. Louis, MO) donated the thermocouples we used. None of the authors has a financial relationship with any company related to this research. Nancy Alsip, Ph.D. (University of Louisville) provided editorial assistance.

Conclusions—Preoperative fructose infusion helped maintain normothermia by augmenting both metabolic heat production and increasing the vasoconstriction threshold.

Keywords

Anesthesia; Fructose; Oxygen consumption, Thermoregulation; Temperature

Introduction

Intraoperative hypothermia results initially from an internal redistribution of body heat, which causes the largest part of the reduction in body temperature during the first hour of anesthesia;¹ subsequently, hypothermia results largely from heat loss exceeding metabolic heat production.² In patients who become sufficiently hypothermic, further reduction in core temperature is limited by re-activation of thermoregulatory vasoconstriction.³

Perioperative hypothermia is associated with numerous adverse outcomes including morbid myocardial outcomes,⁴ coagulopathy,⁵ surgical wound infections,⁶ prolonged recovery,⁷ and prolonged hospitalization.⁶ It is therefore routine for anesthesiologists to prevent perioperative hypothermia unless hypothermia is specifically indicated.

Intraoperative forced-air heating is by far the most common warming strategy.^{8,9} However, other strategies can be adopted to help maintain normothermia. This includes pharmacologic methods of reducing the magnitude of redistribution hypothermia,¹⁰ along with pre-warming^{11,12} and other non-pharmacologic methods.¹³

An alternative approach is to pharmacologically increase metabolic heat production, thus reducing the disparity between heat production and loss. The primary basis for this approach is the increase in energy expenditure that follows ingestion or infusion of certain nutrients, a response known as diet-induced thermogenesis.^{14,15} It is well established, for example, that amino acid infusions induce perioperative thermogenesis and help prevent hypothermia.^{16,17} But interestingly, amino acid infusions also slightly increase all major autonomic thermoregulatory defense thresholds and resting core temperature.¹⁸ Amino acids thus have both metabolic and thermoregulatory properties that help maintain intraoperative normothermia.

Fructose is known to provoke the greatest thermogenesis among various carbohydrates.^{19,20} Fructose also provokes dietary-induced thermogenesis in awake healthy volunteers, and does so far better than glucose.¹⁵ We thus tested the hypothesis that intravenous fructose increases metabolic heat production in anesthetized humans. We also tested the hypothesis that fructose, like amino acids, increases the vasoconstriction threshold and thus has a thermoregulatory as well as metabolic contribution to maintaining perioperative normothermia.

Materials and Methods

With approval by the Review Board on Human Experiments of Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine and written informed consent, 40 patients were enrolled in this prospective, randomized, double-blinded study. All were ASA Physical Status 1 or 2, aged 29–61 years, and scheduled for open lower abdominal surgery. None was obese, febrile, or receiving vasodilators or medications likely to alter thermoregulation; none had a history of thyroid disease or dysautonomia.

Randomization

Patients were randomly allocated to two treatment groups: fructose infusion (n=20) and saline infusion (n=20). In the fructose infusion group (Fructon, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory Inc., Tokushima, Japan), infusions were given according to the manufacturer's instructions at a rate of $0.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, for 4 h (starting 3 h before the induction of anesthesia) by using electric infusion pump (TE-172, Terumo Corp, Tokyo). In the control group, the same amount of saline solution was administered. The infusion was started in all patients at 06:00 AM.

Each group divided into two subgroups (n=10): one for the measurement of esophageal core temperature and the thermoregulatory vasoconstriction threshold (thermoregulatory outcomes) and the other for the measurement of oxygen consumption (metabolic outcome). Patients were allocated to treatment based on a four-way randomization based on computer-generated codes that were maintained in sequentially numbered envelopes; the envelopes were opened three hours before surgery.

Anesthetic Protocol

This study was generally performed as previously described (7,9,10). Briefly, all operations were performed between 09:00 AM and 13:00 PM. Patients fasted for more than 8 h before the surgery. Anesthesiologists and investigators were blinded to treatment.

Ambient temperature was maintained at 24°C and relative humidity at 40%. We allowed 30 minutes for the patients to become acclimated to the operating room environment; during this time an 18-g catheter was inserted into a left antecubital vein for administration of ambient-temperature acetated Ringer's solution at a rate of $10 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. A 22-g catheter was inserted into the left radial artery for blood pressure monitoring and blood sampling. Additionally, an epidural catheter was inserted via the L1-L2 or the L2-L3 vertebral interspace with the patient in lateral position.

Anesthesia was induced by intravenous administration of 2 mg/kg propofol and 0.15 mg/kg vecuronium bromide, and maintained with 0.4% isoflurane and 66% nitrous oxide in oxygen. An intravenous infusion of vecuronium, initially set to $0.025 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, was adjusted to maintain one or two twitches in response to supramaximal stimulation of the ulnar nerve at the wrist. Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to maintain end-tidal Pco_2 between 35 and 40 mmHg. After an initial dose of 7 ml of 1% lidocaine without epinephrine into the epidural catheter, 0.25% bupivacaine at a rate of 5 mL/h was infused for the remainder of surgery. Patients were covered with a cotton sheet preoperatively, and by drapes during surgery, but were allowed to cool passively.

Measurements

Blood pressure, heart rate, oxygen saturation, end-tidal Pco_2 , and end-tidal isoflurane concentrations were recorded at 5-min intervals. Upper- and lower-body sensory block levels were evaluated after emergence from anesthesia by response to cold sensation.

In the 20 patients assigned to thermoregulatory measurements (10 with fructose infusion and 10 with saline), distal esophageal temperature (core) was measured for 3 h after induction of anesthesia with a thermistor (Mon-a-therm, Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO). The tip of the probe was inserted one-fourth the distance of the subjects' standing height from the external nares. The thermistor probes were calibrated in the same environment as that of experiments by using distilled water. The resolution of the temperature measurement system was about 0.024°C.

We also recorded forearm-minus-fingertip, skin-temperature gradients.²¹ Briefly, thermistor probes for skin temperature measurement were attached to the right forearm halfway between

the elbow and the wrist and to the right index finger (opposite the nail bed). To quantify thermoregulatory peripheral vasoconstriction, we calculated the forearm-minus-fingertip temperature gradient because a positive temperature gradient is closely correlated with reduction in blood flow in acral regions and less affected by ambient temperature than fingertip temperature alone. Temperatures were recorded at 5-min intervals. In the thermoregulatory measurement, anesthesia was performed by using an anesthetic machine (Aestiva 5, Datex-Ohmeda, Madison, USA) with 6 L/min of fresh gas flow.

In the 20 patients assigned to oxygen consumption measurement (10 with fructose infusion and 10 with saline), oxygen consumption as well as CO₂ production and respiratory quotient (RQ) were measured before fructose infusion and during surgery using a Deltatrac (Datex Instrumentarium, Helsinki, Finland). The Deltatrac Metabolic Monitor is an open-system, indirect calorimetry device equipped with a fast differential paramagnetic oxygen sensor to measure a differential signal between inspired and expired gases, an accurate CO₂ sensor with a resolution of 0.01%, and a gas dilution system to measure flow. Canopy mode and respiratory mode were used for awake and anesthetized patients, respectively. Anesthesia was performed by using Servo Ventilator 900C equipped with isoflurane vaporizer 952 (Maquet Critical Care, Solna, Sweden), which expiratory outlet was connected to Deltatrac. Each measurement was performed over a period of 5 minutes, obtaining one measurement every minute. All sets of 5 single measurements showed an SD of less than 10%, so effects of a fluctuating FiO₂ on metabolic measurements could be excluded. The mean of these single measurements was employed as the data at 15 min interval. All calibrations (gas calibration, pressure calibration, and flow calibration) were performed just before every patient measurement by using the calibration kit supplied by the manufacturer according to the manufacturer's manual. During measurement of oxygen consumption, anesthesia was maintained with 1% isoflurane in 33% oxygen without nitrous oxide. Measurements were averaged over 1-min intervals.

Among patients assigned to the thermoregulatory measurements, blood was sampled from the radial artery 20, 90, and 180 minutes after induction of anesthesia. Samples were immediately centrifuged at 4°C, and aliquots of the plasma were stored at -80°C until assayed. Plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography with an electrochemical detector after alumina extraction. Radioimmunoassay kits were used to evaluate plasma renin activity (Renin RIABEAD; Dainabot, Tokyo, Japan) and plasma angiotensin II concentrations (Angiotensin II, Nichols Institute, CA). Also, the samples were used for the measurements for blood gas data and blood glucose level by using ABL 725 (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark).

Data Analysis

As in previous studies, we defined the vasoconstriction threshold as the core temperature that triggered a rapid increase in the skin-temperature gradient. The threshold was determined *post hoc* for each patient by an investigator blinded to treatment. Once the threshold was reached, thermal responsiveness (gain) was defined by the slope of a regression between the skin-temperature gradient and core temperature in each individual.

Baseline values were averaged over the 30 minutes immediately preceding induction of general anesthesia. Intraoperative values were presented over time or first averaged within each patient, and then averaged among the patients in each group.

The difference in oxygen consumption was converted to equivalent metabolic heat production assuming the caloric value of oxygen to be 4.82 kcal/L (respiratory quotient = 0.82). We chose a standard value for the respiratory quotient because the caloric value of oxygen varies only slightly over the full range of respiratory quotients; use of a standard value thus introduces minimal error in the calculation of metabolic heat production.²² The expected difference in

mean body temperature between the two treatment groups was calculated by dividing the difference in metabolic heat production by body weight and the specific heat of humans ($0.83 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$).²³

Thermal responsiveness (gain) and vasoconstriction thresholds were analyzed with general linear regression models for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA with one between factor), followed by Scheffé's multiple comparison tests. The effects of fructose infusion and time on the cardiovascular, thermoregulatory, and hormonal responses were analyzed by general linear regression model procedures for two-way ANOVA with repeated measures (one between and one within factor) and subsequently Scheffé's multiple comparison tests. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SD; $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients in the treatment groups had comparable morphometric and demographic characteristics (Table 1). Because nitrous oxide was not used in the patients in whom oxygen consumption was measured, these patients required more isoflurane. However, anesthetic management was otherwise similar in the patients given fructose or saline (Table 2). Upper and lower body sensory block levels (median, range) were also comparable between the fructose group (T10, T7-T12) and the saline group (T10, T8-12).

Thermoregulatory outcomes

Patients assigned to fructose infusion had significantly greater core temperatures than those given saline starting at 20 min after induction of anesthesia and persisting throughout the measurement period (Fig. 1) Mean core temperature 180 min after induction of anesthesia was $35.7 \pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the fructose group and $35.1 \pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the saline group ($P = 0.001$).

The forearm – fingertip temperature gradients immediately before induction of anesthesia were $3.5 \pm 1.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the saline group and $3.3 \pm 1.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the fructose group. Patients assigned to the fructose infusion group experienced vasoconstriction at a significantly higher esophageal core temperature ($36.2 \pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$) than those in the saline infusion group ($35.6 \pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 2). The gain of vasoconstriction (slope of the forearm-fingertip temperature gradient/core temperature relationship below the threshold) was not significantly altered by the fructose infusion: 10.8 ± 3.4 in the fructose group and 9.0 ± 4.1 in the saline group (Table 2).

Metabolic outcomes

Oxygen consumption before fructose administration was similar in the fructose ($179 \pm 13 \text{ ml/min}$) and saline ($183 \pm 17 \text{ ml/min}$) patients. Oxygen consumption just before induction of anesthesia in the fructose group ($214 \pm 18 \text{ ml/min}$) was significantly greater than in the saline group ($181 \pm 18 \text{ ml/min}$, $P < 0.001$). Additionally, patients given fructose had significantly greater oxygen consumption for 135 min after induction of anesthesia (Fig. 3). Oxygen consumption was 4.0 L greater in the fructose than saline patients over three hours of anesthesia, which corresponded to an expected difference in mean-body temperature of only 0.4°C .

CO_2 production before infusion showed no significant difference between saline group ($147 \pm 19 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$) and fructose group ($142 \pm 16 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$) but increased significantly in the fructose group ($201 \pm 26 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$) just before induction of anesthesia, compared with the saline group ($146 \pm 19 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$) ($p < 0.001$). This increased level was maintained for 135 min after induction of anesthesia. RQ before infusion was similar between the saline group (0.80 ± 0.04) and the fructose group (0.79 ± 0.03). Also, RQ was significantly larger in the fructose group (0.93 ± 0.05) than that in the saline group (0.81 ± 0.03) before induction of anesthesia ($p < 0.001$). The significant increased level was maintained for 180 min after induction of anesthesia.

Arterial blood gas measurements including pH and base excess (BE), and blood glucose level were similar between the groups receiving fructose and those receiving saline during the study (data not shown). In addition there were no significant differences in plasma epinephrine, norepinephrine, or angiotensin II concentrations, or plasma renin activity (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The thermic effect of nutrition is divided into an obligatory component representing the theoretical metabolic costs for processing and storing ingested nutrients and a facultative component representing increased systemic energy expenditure.²⁴ Facultative thermogenesis is best characterized for amino acids which increase metabolic rate, thereby augmenting perioperative core temperature.^{16,25,26} Typically, amino acids increase metabolic rate by about 50% during anesthesia,²⁶ which is greater than the increase observed in unanesthetized humans.¹⁴ In this study, we showed about 20 % increase in metabolic rate by fructose during anesthesia.

Amino acids, though, are hardly the only nutrient that can induce thermogenesis. Fructose, a natural carbohydrate found in fruit and honey, also induces facultative thermogenesis. Although fructose and glucose are both hexose monosaccharides, their metabolism differs considerably.²⁷ Much glucose is metabolized by peripheral muscle tissue whereas fructose is metabolized entirely in the liver.²⁸ Fructokinase, which is an enzyme responsible for the phosphorylation of fructose, is ten times more active than the analogous enzymes glucokinase and hexokinase which phosphorylate glucose.²⁹ Fructose is thus metabolized more rapidly than glucose because it bypasses phosphofructokinase which is normally the rate-limiting enzyme for glycolysis.³⁰ Fructose induces a small increase in insulin concentration, which permits hepatic glucose production to continue at lower concentrations than it does with glucose alone. Fructose is therefore a better substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis than glucose.

Among the common carbohydrates, fructose produces the most diet-induced thermogenesis.^{19,20} For example, Tappy et al.¹⁵ observed that the increment in energy expenditure after oral fructose ingestion was 62% greater than that observed with a comparable dose of glucose in awake healthy volunteers. They also reported that oral fructose increased oxygen consumption by 11% compared with the basal level in awake state. Similarly, infusion of fructose at a rate of 50 mmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ increased thermogenesis by 7.5%.³¹ A consequence is that fructose administration increases core temperature in unanesthetized volunteers, although glucose administration does not.¹⁴ Overall, fructose administration is reported to be associated with an approximately 10% increase in metabolic rate in unanesthetized humans. It is advantageous for fructose administration to show a large thermic effect with little change in blood glucose and insulin concentrations. The increases in glucose and insulin were reported to be significantly smaller with the fructose meal when compared with the glucose meal.^{15, 27} This study did not show any significant difference of blood glucose between the groups, though insulin concentration was not measured.

In our patients, fructose increased intraoperative oxygen consumption by about 20%. This enhanced metabolic response to fructose administration may simply result from the fact that we used intravenous rather than oral administration. However, it is also possible that, as with amino acids, general anesthesia *per se* enhances the metabolic response to fructose administration. The mechanism by which either type of nutrition might enhance diet-induced thermogenesis remains speculative, but may involve central thermoregulation system, because these nutrients alter the thermoregulatory vasoconstriction threshold.

Over the three-hour anesthetic period, the metabolic rate was 19 kcal greater in the patients given fructose; this corresponds to a predicted increase in mean-body temperature of 0.4°C,

which is less than the actual difference of 0.6°C in core temperature after three hours of anesthesia. Assuming accurate metabolic rate and core temperature measurements, the disproportionate increase in core temperature must have resulted from an alteration in the internal distribution of body heat. Specifically, the increase core temperature that was not explained by hypermetabolism in the fructose group must have resulted from an increase in the core-to-peripheral tissue temperature gradient.

Body heat is not normally evenly distributed: instead, the core thermal compartment is usually 2–4°C warmer than peripheral tissues¹ — a gradient that is required by the Second Law of Thermodynamics if metabolic heat is to be dissipated to the environment. The temperature gradient between the core and peripheral thermal compartments at steady state is determined by environmental conditions, metabolic rate, and the vasomotor tone. In our patients, environmental conditions were similar in each group and the effects of metabolism *per se* on heat production were accounted for above. That leaves vasomotor tone as the remaining major influence.

The vascular response to cold exposure is dominated by arteriovenous shunts in fingers and toes.³² Although the shunts themselves are distal, constriction is effective in constraining metabolic heat to the core thermal compartment, thus increasing the core-to-peripheral tissue-temperature gradient.³ In fact, the threshold for vasoconstriction was 0.6°C greater in the patients given fructose (although gain was well preserved, once vasoconstriction was activated). Our results thus suggest that patients given fructose remained warmer both because fructose increased metabolic heat production and because arteriovenous shunt vasoconstriction constrained some metabolic heat to the core thermal compartment. Why fructose should increase the vasoconstriction threshold remains unknown, but is analogous to the increase we observed previously with amino acid infusion during spinal anesthesia¹⁷ and in unanesthetized volunteers,¹⁸ suggesting that the increase may be a function of intraoperative nutrition rather than fructose *per se*.

The facultative component of diet-induced thermogenesis reportedly results from augmented central activation of the sympathoadrenal system.^{14,24} We were unable to identify significant differences in plasma epinephrine, norepinephrine, or angiotensin II concentrations, or in plasma renin activity after fructose infusion. While these data might be taken to suggest that activation of the sympathoadrenal system was not the cause of fructose-induced thermogenesis, it is at least equally likely that plasma catecholamine concentrations poorly reflect turnover in the liver. Consistent with this theory, high-carbohydrate diets are known to increase norepinephrine turnover in rat liver.³³

In summary, these findings suggest that preoperative fructose infusion helps maintain intraoperative normothermia by maintaining a higher metabolic rate and increasing the threshold triggering thermoregulatory vasoconstriction. The protection offered by fructose infusion was similar to that observed previously with amino acids, suggesting that fructose is a reasonable alternative.

References

1. Matsukawa T, Sessler DI, Sessler AM, Schroeder M, Ozaki M, Kurz A, Cheng C. Heat flow and distribution during induction of general anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1995;82:662–673. [PubMed: 7879935]
2. Hynson J, Sessler DI. Intraoperative warming therapies: A comparison of three devices. *J Clin Anesth* 1992;4:194–199. [PubMed: 1610573]
3. Kurz A, Sessler DI, Christensen R, Dechert M. Heat balance and distribution during the core-temperature plateau in anesthetized humans. *Anesthesiology* 1995;83:491–499. [PubMed: 7661349]

4. Frank SM, Fleisher LA, Breslow MJ, Higgins MS, Olson KF, Kelly S, Beattie C. Perioperative maintenance of normothermia reduces the incidence of morbid cardiac events: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 1997;277:1127–1134. [PubMed: 9087467]
5. Schmied H, Kurz A, Sessler DI, Kozek S, Reiter A. Mild intraoperative hypothermia increases blood loss and allogeneic transfusion requirements during total hip arthroplasty. *Lancet* 1996;347:289–292. [PubMed: 8569362]
6. Kurz A, Sessler DI, Lenhardt RA. Study of wound infections and temperature group. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shorten hospitalization. *N Engl J Med* 1996;334:1209–1215. [PubMed: 8606715]
7. Lenhardt R, Marker E, Goll V, Tschernich H, Kurz A, Sessler DI, Narzt E, Lackner F. Mild intraoperative hypothermia prolongs postoperative recovery. *Anesthesiology* 1997;87:1318–1323. [PubMed: 9416715]
8. Kurz A, Kurz M, Poeschl G, Faryniak B, Redl G, Hackl W. Forced-air warming maintains intraoperative normothermia better than circulating-water mattresses. *Anesth Analg* 1993;77:89–95. [PubMed: 8317754]
9. Negishi C, Hasegawa K, Mukai S, Nakagawa F, Ozaki M, Sessler DI. Carbon-fiber and forced-air warming are comparably effective. *Anesth Analg* 2003;96:1683–1687. [PubMed: 12760996]
10. Ikeda T, Kazama T, Sessler DI, Toriyama S, Niwa K, Shimada C, Sato S. Induction of anesthesia with ketamine reduces the magnitude of redistribution hypothermia. *Anesth Analg* 2001;93:934–8. [PubMed: 11574360]
11. Hynson JM, Sessler DI, Moayeri A, McGuire J, Schroeder M. The effects of pre-induction warming on temperature and blood pressure during propofol/nitrous oxide anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1993;79:219–228. [PubMed: 8342834]
12. Camus Y, Delva E, Just B, Lienhart A. Leg warming minimizes core hypothermia during abdominal surgery. *Anesth Analg* 1993;77:995–999. [PubMed: 8214740]
13. Nakajima Y, Mizobe T, Takamata A, Tanaka Y. Baroreflex modulation of peripheral vasoconstriction during progressive hypothermia in anesthetized humans. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2000;279:R1430–6. [PubMed: 11004013]
14. Brundin T, Wahren J. Effects of i. v amino acids on human splanchnic and whole body oxygen consumption, blood flow, and blood temperatures. *Am J Physiol* 1994;266:E396–402. [PubMed: 8166259]
15. Tappy L, Randin JP, Felber JP, Chiolerio R, Simonson DC, Jequier E, DeFronzo RA. Comparison of thermogenic effect of fructose and glucose in normal humans. *Am J Physiol* 1986;250:E718–24. [PubMed: 3521319]
16. Sellden E, Lindahl SG. Amino acid-induced thermogenesis reduces hypothermia during anesthesia and shortens hospital stay. *Anesth Analg* 1999;89:1551–6. [PubMed: 10589647]
17. Kasai T, Nakajima Y, Matsukawa T, Ueno H, Sunaguchi M, Mizobe T. Effect of preoperative amino acid infusion on thermoregulatory response during spinal anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 2003;90:58–61. [PubMed: 12488380]
18. Nakajima Y, Takamata A, Matsukawa T, Sessler DI, Kitamura Y, Ueno H, Tanaka Y, Mizobe T. Effect of amino acid infusion on central thermoregulatory control in humans. *Anesthesiology* 2004;100:634–9. [PubMed: 15108979]
19. Macdonald I. Differences in dietary-induced thermogenesis following the ingestion of various carbohydrates. *Ann Nutr Metab* 1984;28:226–30. [PubMed: 6476787]
20. Sharief NN, Macdonald I. Differences in dietary-induced thermogenesis with various carbohydrates in normal and overweight men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1982;35:267–72. [PubMed: 6801959]
21. Rubinstein EH, Sessler DI. Skin-surface temperature gradients correlate with fingertip blood flow in humans. *Anesthesiology* 1990;73:541–545. [PubMed: 2393139]
22. Pike RL, Brown ML: Nutrition, an Integrated Approach, 3rd Edition. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1984, pp 765–766
23. Burton AC. Human calorimetry: The average temperature of the tissues of the body. *J Nutr* 1935;9:261–280.

24. Astrup A, Simonsen L, Bulow J, Madsen J, Christensen NJ. Epinephrine mediates facultative carbohydrate-induced thermogenesis in human skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257:E340–5. [PubMed: 2782399]
25. Sellden E, Lindahl SG. Postoperative nitrogen excretion after amino acid-induced thermogenesis under anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1998;87:641–6. [PubMed: 9728846]
26. Sellden E, Lindahl SG. Amino acid-induced thermogenesis to prevent hypothermia during anesthesia is not associated with increased stress response. *Anesth Analg* 1998;87:637–40. [PubMed: 9728845]
27. Schwarz JM, Schutz Y, Piolino V, Schneider H, Felber JP, Jequier E. Thermogenesis in obese women: effect of fructose vs. glucose added to a meal. *Am J Physiol* 1992;262:E394–401. [PubMed: 1566826]
28. Heinz F, Lamprecht W, Kirsch J. Enzymes of fructose metabolism in human liver. *J Clin Invest* 1968;47:1826–32. [PubMed: 4385849]
29. Mayes PA, Laker ME. Effects of acute and long-term fructose administration on liver lipid metabolism. *Prog Biochem Pharmacol* 1986;21:33–58. [PubMed: 3523502]
30. Van den Berghe G. Fructose: metabolism and short-term effects on carbohydrate and purine metabolic pathways. *Prog Biochem Pharmacol* 1986;21:1–32. [PubMed: 3523498]
31. Schwarz JM, Acheson KJ, Tappy L, Piolino V, Muller MJ, Felber JP, Jequier E. Thermogenesis and fructose metabolism in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1992;262:E591–8. [PubMed: 1350420]
32. Hales JRS: Skin arteriovenous anastomoses, their control and role in thermoregulation, Cardiovascular Shunts: Phylogenetic, Ontogenetic and Clinical Aspects. Edited by Johansen K, Burggren W. Copenhagen, Munksgaard, 1985, pp 433–451
33. Young JB, Landsberg L. Effect of diet and cold exposure on norepinephrine turnover in pancreas and liver. *Am J Physiol* 1979;236:E524–33. [PubMed: 443373]

Fig. 1.

Core temperature measured at the distal esophagus (Tes) during surgery. Patients receiving the fructose infusion had significantly greater core temperatures than those receiving saline ($*P = 0.001$) starting 20 min after induction of anesthesia until the end of the monitoring period (180 min after induction). Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD for ten patients in each group.

Fig. 2.

The relationship between the forearm-minus-fingertip temperature gradient ($T_{\text{forearm}} - T_{\text{fingertip}}$) and distal esophageal (core) temperature (T_{es}). Patients assigned to fructose infusion vasoconstricted (increase in the gradient) at a significantly higher core temperature than did those receiving saline infusion ($P < 0.001$). Once started, though, the gain of vasoconstriction (incremental increase in the gradient) was similar in the two groups. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD for ten patients.

Fig. 3.

Change in oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) during the study. Oxygen consumption increased by 35 ± 16 ml/min in the fructose infusion group immediately after infusion. It remained greater than the $\dot{V}O_2$ of the saline infusion group for 140 min after induction of anesthesia (* $P < 0.001$). Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD for ten patients.

Fig. 4.

Plasma epinephrine (E), norepinephrine (NE), plasma renin activity (PRA), and angiotension II (ANGII) serum concentrations during the study. The values did not differ between the infusion groups at any time. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD for the ten patients in whom oxygen consumption was measured.

Table 1

Patient Demographics and Preoperative Values.

	Thermoregulation Measurement Groups		Oxygen Consumption (VO ₂) Groups	
	Saline N=10	Fructose N=10	Saline N=10	Fructose N=10
Age (yr)	52 ± 6.4	45 ± 7.5	47 ± 9.4	47 ± 4.8
Weight (kg)	58 ± 12	52 ± 11	56 ± 4	54 ± 8
Height (cm)	162 ± 14	156 ± 6	159 ± 6	162 ± 8
Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)	85 ± 6	87 ± 18	90 ± 13	92 ± 16
Heart rate (beats per min)	84 ± 6	84 ± 10	82 ± 8	80 ± 15
Core temperature (°C)	36.7 ± 0.3	36.8 ± 0.2	36.7 ± 0.2	36.7 ± 0.3

There were no statistically significant differences between saline and fructose infusion groups. Data presented as mean ± SD for 10 subjects.

Table 2

Intraoperative Data.

	Thermoregulation Measurement Groups		Oxygen Consumption (VO ₂) Groups	
	Saline	Fructose	Saline	Fructose
Final Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)	84 ± 6	78 ± 18	75 ± 15	90 ± 6
Final Heart Rate (beats per min)	70 ± 8	67 ± 12	67 ± 8	78 ± 5
End-tidal Isoflurane (%)	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2
Final core temperature (°C)	35.1 ± 0.4	35.7 ± 0.4*	NA	NA
Vasoconstriction threshold (°C)	35.6 ± 0.3	36.2 ± 0.3**	NA	NA
Vasoconstriction Gain	9.0 ± 4.1	10.8 ± 3.4	NA	NA
Fluid replacement at 180 min (ml)	2133 ± 516	2075 ± 350	1825 ± 200	1950 ± 265
Blood loss at 180 min (g)	238 ± 79	275 ± 93	162 ± 93	138 ± 125
Urine output at 180 min (ml)	326 ± 113	382 ± 183	227 ± 124	294 ± 82

Isoflurane concentrations were greater in the oxygen consumption groups because nitrous oxide was not used in these patients.

* $P = 0.001$ for saline vs. fructose infusion group;

** $P < 0.001$ for saline vs. fructose infusion group. Data are presented as mean ± SD for 10 subjects.