Phenotypic responses to mechanical stress in fibroblasts from tendon, cornea and skin

Jennifer R. MACKLEY*†, Joji ANDO‡, Pawel HERZYK§ and Steven J. WINDER*¹

*Department of Biomedical Science, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield, S10 2TN, U.K., †Department of Biological Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, U.K., ‡Department of Biomedical Engineering, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan, and §The Sir Henry Wellcome Functional Genomics Facility, Institute of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K.

Primary fibroblasts isolated from foetal mouse cornea, skin and tendon were subjected to linear shear stress and analysed for morphological parameters and by microarray, as compared with unstimulated controls. Approx. 350 genes were either up- or down-regulated by a significant amount, with 51 of these being common to all three cell types. Approx. 50% of altered genes in tendon and cornea fibroblasts were changed in common with one of the other cell types, with the remaining approx. 50% being specific to tendon or cornea. In skin fibroblasts, however, less than 25% of genes whose transcription was altered were specific only to skin. The functional spectrum of genes that were up- or down-regulated was diverse, with apparent house-keeping genes forming the major category of up-regulated genes. However, a significant number of genes associated with cell

adhesion, extracellular matrix and matrix remodelling, as well as cytokines and other signalling factors, were also affected. Somewhat surprisingly, in these latter categories the trend was towards a reduction in mRNA levels. Verification of the mRNA quantity of a subset of these genes was performed by reverse transcriptase PCR and was found to be in agreement with the microarray analysis. These findings provide the first in-depth analysis of phenotypic differences between fibroblast cells from different tissue sources and reveal the responses of these cells to mechanical stress.

Key words: fibroblast, mechanical stimulation, mechanotransduction, microarray, phenotype, shear stress.

INTRODUCTION

Fibroblasts are stromal cells that constitute the predominant cell type in mesenchymal tissues and are considered to be the primary source of most ECM (extracellular matrix) components [1]. The ECM is composed of a variety of proteins, including collagens, glycosaminoglycans, proteoglycans and adhesive proteins such as fibronectin and laminin, all of which are secreted by fibroblasts and are eventually assembled into an organized meshwork based upon the functional requirement of the given tissue. Fibroblasts are also capable of producing and secreting various proteolytic enzymes, such as collagenases [2,3] and serine proteases [4], as well as some of their specific inhibitors [5], which allows fibroblasts to exert further control on the composition and turnover of the ECM. In addition to the synthesis and regulation of the ECM, fibroblasts play essential roles in wound healing and have been found to be involved in the pathogenesis of fibrotic processes [6].

Despite their broad functional roles and widespread use in culture, fibroblasts are very poorly defined. To date, there is no known marker for fibroblasts, mainly due to the fact that all potential markers have also been identified in other members of the connective-tissue-cell family, such as osteoblasts, smooth muscle cells and adipocytes [7]. To make matters more complicated, the term ‘fibroblast’ sometimes refers to a phenotype or a fibroblast-like cell, rather than a specific cell type. This morphological definition is based upon the fact that fibroblasts are

traditionally considered to have a relatively uniform morphology, appearing elongated and spindle-shaped, with clear leading and trailing edges, a morphology sometimes shared in cells that are not of fibroblast origin.

The observation that all fibroblasts are created morphologically equal [1] has since been shown to be untrue, as demonstrated by the morphological differences observed between fibroblasts analysed *in vivo* and those cultured *in vitro* [8,9]. Phenotypic plasticity in fibroblasts is further supported by findings that fibroblasts isolated from distinct tissues demonstrate unique behaviour in culture, such as sensitivity to trypsin and EDTA, replication rate, saturation density, attachment efficiency and proliferative capacity [10–13], discernible morphology [14–16], differential synthesis of ECM proteins [11,13,17] and distinct cell-surface antigen presentation and surface receptors [18,19].

In order to test the hypothesis that fibroblasts from different tissues are phenotypically distinct from one another, we have subjected tendon, skin and corneal fibroblasts to mechanical stimulation by fluid flow, a technique previously shown to alter morphology, cell adhesion, calcium transients, gene expression, cell alignment and protein secretion in fibroblasts [20–23]. Following stimulation, microarray technology and semi-quantitative RT (reverse transcriptase)–PCR were used to analyse the transcriptional responses of the cells. From this study, it is apparent that fibroblasts demonstrate unique gene expression in response to an identical stimulus, supporting the possible differentiative capacity of fibroblasts from diverse tissues.

Abbreviations used: *Angptl4*, angiopoietin-like 4; *Crp1*, gene encoding cysteine- and glycine-rich protein; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; ECM, extracellular matrix; *Enpp2*, ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 2; FCS, foetal calf serum; FDR, false discovery rates; Gsta, glutathione S-transferase, Alpha; *Hmox1*, haem oxygenase (decycling) 1; LIM, an acronym of the three gene products Lin-11, Isl-1 and Mec-3; *Lpp*, LIM domain containing preferred translocation partner in lipoma; *Mlck*, myosin, light polypeptide kinase; RP, rank products; RT, reverse transcriptase.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed (email s.winder@sheffield.ac.uk).

Microarray data has been deposited in the NIH Gene Expression Omnibus repository under accession number GSE3486.

EXPERIMENTAL

Fibroblast isolation and culture

All cell culture reagents were obtained from Gibco (Paisley, U.K.). Embryos used for fibroblast isolation were obtained from a time-mated CD1 mouse. At 19-days post conception, the pregnant mouse was killed by CO₂ asphyxiation and immediately swabbed with 70 % (v/v) ethanol in a sterile hood. Tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts were isolated according to Spector et al. [24]. Cells were maintained in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium) supplemented with 15 % (v/v) FCS (foetal calf serum) in a 5 % (v/v) CO₂ humidified atmosphere at 37 °C, and were subcultured when they reached approx. 80 % confluence. Cells were cultured until five population doublings, at which point they were seeded on to a 1 % (w/v) gelatin-coated glass plate (7 cm × 10 cm) and allowed to adhere for approx. 7 h before stimulation.

Mechanical stimulation

Fluid flow was applied to cells using a parallel plate flow chamber as described previously [25]. Wall shear stress (τ_w) was calculated according to the equation $\tau_w = 6\mu Q/(bh^2)$, where μ is the fluid viscosity (0.001 Pa × s), Q is the fluid flow rate (ml/s), and b and h are the width (5.5 cm) and height (0.04 cm) of the flow channel respectively. The assembled system was maintained at 37 °C in a 5 % (v/v) CO₂ humidified incubator. In this study, tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts were subjected to a shear stress of 0.1 dyn/cm² for 14 h, with a flow perfusate of DMEM supplemented with 2 % (v/v) FCS and 1 % (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin.

RNA isolation and purification

RNA extractions were carried out with the Absolutely RNA RT-PCR Miniprep kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolated total RNA was quantified and qualified by measuring its absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm. RNA samples were stored at -80 °C until use.

Microarray analysis

RNA processing and microarray analysis was carried out at the Sir Henry Wellcome Functional Genomics Facility (University of Glasgow, U.K.). RNA samples from three separate control and stimulated experiments for each of the three tissue types were analysed on the Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Expression Set 430 (Affymetrix, High Wycombe, U.K.) using standard Affymetrix protocols.

Statistical analysis

Gene expression in the stimulated and control groups for each of the three tissues were compared using FunAlyse, a newly established automated pipeline in the Sir Henry Wellcome Functional Genomics Facility (http://www.gla.ac.uk/functionalgenomics/rp/affy_analysis.html). As a first step of this analysis, all 18 samples were normalized using the RMA (robust multichip average) method [26] implemented as module affy 1.2 in the Bioconductor library (<http://www.bioconductor.org/>). Subsequently, differentially expressed genes were identified using the RP (rank products) method [27], which is particularly powerful for experiments involving a small number of biologically replicated samples. For every comparison, this method ranks the genes according to differential expression measured by the non-parametric RP-statistic and assesses the statistical significance by producing FDR (false discovery rates). The differentially expressed functional gene classes assigned using GeneOntology

annotations (<http://www.geneontology.org/>) were identified using iterative group analysis [28]. The A and B arrays of the Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Expression Set 430 were analysed separately.

The RP-generated lists of differentially expressed genes cut using an FDR of 10 % were further compared manually to identify the highest up-regulated and lowest down-regulated genes in one or more cell lines. Altered genes were manually classified into one of nine broad functional groups based upon their functional annotation in the SOURCE [29], GenBank® [30] and Mouse Genome Informatics [31] databases.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR

RNA isolated from mechanically stimulated and control fibroblasts was reverse transcribed and amplified using the Titan One Tube RT-PCR System (Roche, East Sussex, U.K.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA (10 ng) was used as the template in all reactions. The reverse transcription reaction was carried out at 50 °C for 30 min, followed by denaturation for 2 min at 94 °C. The thermocycling conditions included 35 cycles of 10 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 45–65 °C (depending on the melting temperature of the primer pairs used), 45 s at 68 °C, which was increased by 5 s for each cycle during cycles 11–25, and a final, prolonged elongation cycle of 7 min at 68 °C. PCR was performed using primers specific for each target gene (Supplementary Table 1 at <http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj396/bj3960307add.htm>) and conditions were chosen such that all of the RNAs analysed were in the exponential phase of amplification. Aliquots of the PCR products were electrophoresed on 1 % (w/v) agarose gels containing 80 ng/ml ethidium bromide, and photographed. The integrated density of the resultant bands was quantified for each sample (NIH Image).

Western-blot analysis

Western blotting was used to confirm the developmental origin of isolated fibroblasts as well as to evaluate levels of lumican, dyxin [LIM (an acronym of the three gene products Lin-11, Isl-1 and Mec-3) and cysteine-rich domains 1], CRP1 (cysteine-rich protein 1) and neogenin, because the genes encoding these proteins were shown to be differentially regulated with shear stress. Cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, 1 % (w/v) SDS, 10 % (v/v) glycerol] containing protease inhibitors [1 μ M pepstatin, 1 mM PMSF, 100 μ M Tos-Phe-CH₂Cl (tosylphenylalanylchloromethane), 260 μ M tosylarginine methyl ester, 10 mM benzamidine] and sonicated on ice. The protein concentration of cell extracts was quantified using the Micro BCA (bicinchoninic acid) Protein Assay (Perbio, Cramlington, U.K.), and 10 μ g were separated by SDS/12 % PAGE [32] and electrophoretically transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Western blotting was carried out as described previously [33] with one of eight primary antibodies: mouse monoclonal anti-desmin (1:200; Sigma); guinea pig polyclonal anti-keratin (1:200; Sigma); goat polyclonal anti-vimentin (1:400; Sigma); rabbit polyclonal anti-lumican (1:500; a generous gift from Professor A. Oldberg, University of Lund, Sweden); rabbit polyclonal anti-dyxin (1:50; kindly provided by Dr R. Crosbie, University of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A.); mouse monoclonal anti-CRP1 (1:100; BD Biosciences); rabbit polyclonal anti-neogenin (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology); or goat polyclonal anti-actin (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), which was used as loading control. Blots were developed with an appropriate secondary antiserum and detected with chromogenic or enhanced chemiluminescence substrates as described previously [33].

Microscopy

Morphology of control and stimulated fibroblasts was assessed by phase-contrast microscopy on a DM IRE2 instrument with Plan $\times 10/0.3$ objective (Leica) at room temperature (23 °C). Images were captured with a DC350F CCD camera (Leica) using Leica QFluoro software (version 1.2.0) and Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

RESULTS

Isolated cells are of mesenchymal origin and demonstrate fibroblastic morphology

Because there is no known universal marker for fibroblasts, we used the presence and/or absence of intermediate filament proteins to substantiate the mesenchymal origin of the isolated tendon, corneal and skin cell lines. Cell lysates of HeLa, Swiss NIH 3T3 cells, C2C4 myoblasts and the isolated tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts were resolved on 12 % polyacrylamide gels and immunoblotted for desmin, keratin and vimentin (Figure 1A). The isolated cell lines lack desmin and keratin intermediate filaments, markers for cells of muscle and epithelial origin respectively, demonstrating a lack of any substantial contamination by these cell types. Tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts did, however, contain a significant amount of vimentin, an intermediate filament prevalent in cells of mesenchymal origin. Cells appeared to have a typical fibroblast-like morphology in culture, with a flattened irregular outline; on contact-inhibition, cells remained in the same overall pattern with no significant polarization or change in density as seen with many epithelial-derived cells (results not shown; see also Figure 1B).

In order to investigate the possible differential responses of tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts, all three cell lines were subjected to mechanical stimulation by shear stress. Cells were subcultured until five population doublings, seeded on to gelatin-coated glass plates, and were either subjected to 0.1 dyn/cm² of laminar fluid flow for 14 h (stimulated), or maintained under standard tissue culture conditions (control). Both control and stimulated fibroblasts from each cell line were imaged after treatment (Figure 1B). Upon initial inspection, it is evident that the magnitude of stimulation did not displace cells from their substrate, and tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts maintained typical elongated, spindle-like morphology even after stimulation. Furthermore, it does not appear that the stimulated fibroblasts re-oriented in the direction of flow. Rather, cells seem to be randomly oriented on the gelatin-coated substrate and display no overt morphological changes as a consequence of the mechanical stimulation (Figure 1B).

Tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts demonstrate differential gene regulation when subjected to an identical mechanical stimulus

To gain a comprehensive sense of the genetic responses of tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts to mechanical stimulation, gene regulation was examined in stimulated and control cells by microarray analysis. Following stimulation, cells were lysed directly on the glass plate, and total RNA was isolated and amplified before analysis. The probe array used was the GeneChip Mouse Expression Set 430, which enabled the simultaneous quantification of expression of 34 000 genes in parallel.

Microarray analysis revealed that 344 genes were significantly differentially regulated with stimulation (Figure 2A). Of these altered genes, 15 % were common to all three cell lines, 17 % were identified in two of the three cell lines and 69 % were differentially regulated in one cell line only. Interestingly, 34 % and 27 % of the total genes identified were found to be unique

Figure 1 Confirmation of mesenchymal origin of isolated fibroblasts and morphological examination after mechanical stimulation

(A) Lysates of cultured mouse tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts were resolved by SDS/12 % PAGE and submitted to immunoblot analysis with the indicated intermediate filament antisera. Lysates of HeLa cells, NIH 3T3 cells, and C2C4 myoblasts serve as positive controls for keratin, vimentin and desmin respectively. Fibroblast cells from tendon, cornea and skin expressed only vimentin, demonstrating a lack of contamination by epithelial or muscle tissues. (B) Tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts were seeded on to gelatin-coated glass plates and placed either in a single-well plate (control) or subjected to 0.1 dyn/cm² fluid flow for 14 h (stimulated). Cells were subsequently imaged with phase-contrast microscopy in order to examine cell directionality and morphology. The arrow indicates the direction of fluid flow. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

to either tendon or corneal fibroblasts respectively. In contrast, only 7 % of the genes identified were unique to skin fibroblasts, suggesting a more specific phenotypic expression in tendon and corneal cells than in skin. Upon closer inspection, it was apparent that tendon and corneal fibroblasts have approx. 6 % of the identified genes in common, whereas tendon and skin fibroblasts share 8 % of differentially regulated genes. Given that corneal and skin fibroblasts maintain only 3 % of the altered genes in common, this suggests the possibility that skin and corneal fibroblasts demonstrate the most differential phenotypic response to stimulation.

Figure 2 Total number and functional classification of genes up- and down-regulated in tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts following mechanical stimulation

mRNA isolated from mechanically stimulated tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts was subjected to microarray analysis. **(A)** Venn diagram representing 344 up- and down-regulated genes in tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts. The number of genes identified in a given cell line is indicated, and overlap regions denote genes common to the indicated cell lines. **(B)** Number and functional classification of genes up- or down-regulated in three fibroblast cell lines in response to stimulation. Genes were categorized into one of nine broad categories based upon their functional annotation (GenBank®, SOURCE and MGI databases). **(C)** Proportional contribution of the functional classifications of genes to the total numbers of genes up- or down-regulated in response to fluid flow in each individual cell type. Key applies to **(B)** and **(C)**.

In order to examine the transcriptional response of these cell lines to stimulation more carefully, altered genes were manually classified into one of nine broad functional groups based upon their functional annotation in the SOURCE [29], GenBank® [30] and Mouse Genome Informatics [31] databases. An automated Iterative Group Analysis classification system [28] was also used with qualitatively similar results (results not shown). Upon initial inspection, it was clear that the functional spectrum of altered genes was diverse; transcripts were found to be implicated in apoptosis, cell division, ECM and cytoskeletal remodelling, housekeeping, cell signalling, stress response, transcription and

cellular transport (Figure 2B). Tendon fibroblasts demonstrated approximately equal numbers of up- and down-regulated genes with stimulation, whereas the majority of genes were down-regulated in corneal fibroblasts. When compared with the other two cell lines, skin fibroblasts showed a significantly lower number of altered genes with stimulation, the majority of which were up-regulated. It is also clear that housekeeping genes comprise the major category of up-regulated genes, whereas a significant number of genes associated with cell division, ECM and cytoskeletal remodelling, as well as signalling factors, showed reductions in mRNA levels.

Figure 3 Validation of microarray data by semi-quantitative RT-PCR

Mouse skin, tendon, and corneal fibroblasts were subjected to 14 h of laminar flow at a rate of 0.1 dyn/cm². Total RNA was isolated from three replicate experiments of control (C) and stimulated (S) tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts and subjected to reverse transcription for 14 genes of interest. Samples were taken during the linear phase of amplification, resolved by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The intensity of the resultant bands was quantified (using NIH *Image* software). Genes are represented as being up- or down-regulated in skin (Sk), tendon (T) or corneal (Co) fibroblasts by less than 2-fold (—/+), greater than or equal to 2-fold, but less than 10-fold (—/+), or greater than or equal to 10-fold (—/+). As a means of comparison, the fold-change in gene expression as determined by microarray analysis (where statistically significant) is listed alongside the semi-quantitative integrated density results from RT-PCR.

The proportional contribution of the functional spectrum of differentially regulated genes was also calculated (Figure 2C). Upon consideration of the total number of altered genes, the proportion of altered apoptosis- and transcription-related genes was within 3% in all three cell lines. Tendon and corneal fibroblasts showed a significantly higher proportion of alterations in genes involved in cell division and ECM and cytoskeleton remodelling as compared with skin fibroblasts. Furthermore, corneal fibroblasts demonstrated a lower contribution of genes involved in signalling when compared with the other two cell lines. Of the genes differentially regulated with stimulation in tendon and corneal fibroblasts, approx. 4% were involved in transport. This is in contrast to the contribution that was demonstrated by skin fibroblasts (approx. 8%). Signalling- and housekeeping-related genes were altered in similar proportions in tendon and skin fibroblasts, whereas the proportion of signalling-related genes that changed with stimulation in corneal fibroblasts was markedly less. Of the transcripts implicated in stress responses, skin fibroblasts demonstrated the largest proportion, followed by tendon and then corneal cells.

If differentially up- and down-regulated genes are considered separately, it becomes apparent that the majority of housekeeping-, stress-response- and transport-related transcripts are up-regulated with stimulation, whereas apoptosis-, cell division- and signalling-related genes are largely down-regulated. Tendon fibroblasts have the largest proportion of up-regulated genes

involved in ECM/cytoskeletal remodelling, whereas skin fibroblasts have a markedly larger number of up-regulated genes involved in signalling and transport. As far as down-regulated genes are concerned, all three cell lines show a similar proportion of genes involved in apoptosis and transport, yet maintain differences in the proportions of other functional classifications. Tendon fibroblasts, for example, have a significantly higher proportion of ECM/cytoskeletal-remodelling-, housekeeping- and signalling-related genes down-regulated with stimulation, whereas skin fibroblasts have a higher proportion of up-regulated stress response- and transcription-related genes.

RT-PCR and quantification

In order to validate the changes in gene expression identified by microarray analysis, a subset of 14 genes was examined by semi-quantitative RT-PCR, representing eight down-regulated and six up-regulated genes. These particular genes were chosen based upon their differential regulation in one or more cell lines and/or possible role in ECM biogenesis or cytoskeletal organization. RNA previously isolated from three replicate mechanical stimulation experiments for each cell line was used as a template for RT-PCR. The resultant products were electrophoresed and the volume integration of each product, visualized by ethidium bromide staining, was quantified using NIH (National Institutes of Health) *Image* software (Figure 3). In all cases, the generated

Figure 4 Expression of ECM- and cytoskeleton-related proteins in mechanically stimulated fibroblasts

Western-blot analysis of control (C) and stimulated (S) tendon, corneal, and skin fibroblasts. Cell lines were subjected to 14 h of laminar flow at a rate of 0.1 dyn/cm². Western blots were probed with the antibodies against the indicated proteins; immunoblotting with an antibody directed against β -actin serves as a loading control. Detection was by enhanced chemiluminescence.

RT-PCR products migrated at their expected size, and semi-quantitative RT-PCR substantiated the up- or down-regulation of transcription initially revealed by the microarray data; the direction of regulation (i.e. up- or down-regulation) was conserved in all cases between RT-PCR and the microarray data. On occasion, the relative magnitude of regulation determined by RT-PCR appeared to be different between different genes, but not within genes with respect to the microarray data. This was partly due to the limitations of determining a useful dynamic range for the number of PCR cycles in the RT-PCR that could be applied across all samples. For example, the RNA levels determined by RT-PCR for neogenin and *Serpinb1b* [serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor, clade B, member 1b] were relatively low. Consequently, upward changes with stimulation were disproportionately large compared with genes with a more robust RT-PCR signal, such as *Gsta4* (glutathione S-transferase, Alpha 4) and *Hmox1* [haem oxygenase (decycling) 1]. Accordingly, quantification of the RT-PCR products are listed in a semi-quantitative manner (Figure 3).

In stimulated fibroblasts, protein levels do not directly correlate with mRNA levels, but do change

In an effort to gain a more global understanding of the response of fibroblasts to mechanical stimulation, levels of expression of four proteins were also investigated (Figure 4 and Table 1). To achieve this, control and mechanically stimulated fibroblasts were lysed immediately after treatment and sonicated, and the protein concentration of the resulting lysate was determined. Equal concentrations of lysates were electrophoresed and subsequently visualized by Western blotting, using antibodies directed to lumican, dyxin, CRP1 and neogenin, and quantified using a β -actin loading control.

The anti-lumican antibody identified four distinct bands, indicating proteins with apparent molecular masses of 73, 62, 30 and 26 kDa, corresponding to the intact glycoprotein, an

Table 1 Quantification of immunoblotting of ECM/cytoskeleton-related proteins differentially regulated with stimulation

Values are presented as the means $\pm$ S.E.M. fold change between stimulated and control cells.

Protein	Species (kDa)	Tendon	Cornea	Skin
Lumican	62	6.07 $\pm$ 1.16	3.50 $\pm$ 0.49	7.21 $\pm$ 4.80
Dyxin	40	1.98 $\pm$ 0.44	1.24 $\pm$ 0.19	2.08 $\pm$ 0.69
CRP1	20	-3.79 $\pm$ 1.62	-3.08 $\pm$ 0.93	-2.78 $\pm$ 1.22
Neogenin	193	-	-2.98 $\pm$ 0.97	2.16 $\pm$ 1.15

alternatively glycosylated form, and two isoforms of the core protein typically found in fibroblasts respectively [34,35]. After quantification, it appears that stimulation has no effect on the levels of the 73, 30 or 26 kDa species in all three cell lines. However, the 62 kDa species, corresponding to the alternatively deglycosylated form of lumican, showed an increase of approx. 6-, 3.5-, and 7-fold with stimulation in tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts respectively. The apparent increase in the 62 kDa lumican species is at odds with the decreased levels of lumican mRNA with stimulation, as determined by both microarray and RT-PCR. However, the appearance of an alternative glycosylated form upon stimulation is in itself interesting. This points to a post-translational pathway that has effects in a different direction from the transcriptional control, which may reveal an important functional insight into the role of lumican. This aspect of the study warrants further investigation.

The anti-dyxin antibody revealed a band of approx. 40 kDa, which is in agreement with previous reports [36]. After quantification and normalization against β -actin, all three cell lines appeared to demonstrate an increase in protein expression with stimulation. Skin and tendon fibroblasts showed the greatest increase in protein levels, with an increase of approx. 2-fold when compared with controls. This increase in dyxin protein levels is in contrast to reduced amounts of mRNA transcripts following stimulation.

Probing cell lysates with the CRP1 antisera revealed a band of approx. 20 kDa. Levels of transcripts encoding CRP1 were found to decrease in stimulated tendon fibroblasts, and this trend correlated with a concomitant 3.8-fold decrease in CRP1 protein levels. Furthermore, despite the fact that differential expression of *Crp1* mRNA was not shown to be significantly altered in stimulated corneal or skin fibroblasts, levels of CRP1 protein in these cell lines was also shown to decrease approx. 3-fold.

Neogenin immunoblots revealed a prominent band at approx. 193 kDa; this most probably differs from the protein's theoretical molecular mass of 150 kDa because of glycosylation [37]. Shear stress resulted in the differential regulation of neogenin by skin and corneal fibroblasts. Neogenin was found to increase approx. 2-fold upon the application of mechanical stimulation in skin fibroblasts, which correlated well with a 1.67-fold increase in neogenin transcript levels, as determined by microarray analysis. Corneal fibroblasts, on the other hand, displayed an almost 3-fold decrease in neogenin following stimulation. In this case, the decrease in protein levels occurs without any apparent change in gene expression. Tendon fibroblasts did not show any change in neogenin protein levels with stimulation.

DISCUSSION

Although the word fibroblast is a relatively generic term applied to connective tissue cells of diverse origins, these cells nonetheless

Table 2 Genes differentially regulated in tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts with mechanical stimulation

The gene expression profiles of tendon (T), corneal (C) and skin (S) fibroblasts were assessed as described in the Experimental section. The tabulated genes were manually clustered into the indicated functional groups based upon their annotation. The numerical intensity values shown are the fold-changes calculated by the FunAllyse software as antilog of mean between-chip log-ratios: positive values correspond to up-regulation whilst negative values correspond to down-regulation. Triplicate microarray experiments were performed with each fibroblast cell line.

Gene description	Function	Cell Line			GenBank® assession number
		T	C	S	
Highest up-regulated genes					
Haem oxygenase (decycling) 1 (<i>Hmox1</i>)	Housekeeping	20.2	25.3	18.2	NM_010442
Glutathione S-transferase, Alpha 2 (<i>Gsta2</i>)	Stress response	18.7	27.9	13.3	NM_008182
Neoplastic progression 3 (<i>Npn3</i>)	Transcription	11.9	9.8		NM_029688
Angiopoietin-like 4 (<i>Angptl4</i>)	Housekeeping	11.4		8.6	NM_020581
Glutamate-cysteine ligase, modifier subunit (<i>Gclm</i>)	Housekeeping	11.1	9.3	8.5	NM_008129
Heat-shock protein 1A (<i>Hsp72</i>)	Stress response		9.0		NM_010479
Proliferin (<i>Pif</i>)	Signalling			14.0	NM_031191
Lowest down-regulated genes					
CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta (<i>Cebpd</i>)	Transcription	−4.3			NM_007679
Carbonic anhydrase 3 (<i>Car3</i>)	Housekeeping	−4.3			NM_007606
Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 20 (<i>Ccl20</i>)	Signalling	−4.0		−2.5	NM_016960
Pentaxin-related gene (<i>Ptx3</i>)	Stress response	−4.0		−2.6	NM_008987
Early growth response 3 (<i>Egr3</i>)	Transcription	−3.5			NM_018781
Forkhead box P2 (<i>Foxp2</i>)	Transcription		−3.6		NM_053242
Follistatin (<i>Fst</i>)	Signalling		−3.4		NM_008046
Cyclin E2 (<i>Ccne2</i>)	Cell division		−3.3		NM_009830
GULP, engulfment adaptor PTB domain containing 1 (<i>Gulp1</i>)	Apoptosis		−3.3		BB138485
Solute carrier family 4 (anion exchanger), member 4 (<i>Slc4a4</i>)	Transport		−3.1		NM_018760
Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 5 (<i>Cxcl5</i>)	Signalling			−4.9	NM_009141
Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (<i>Tslp</i>)	Signalling			−2.8	NM_021367
Lipocalin 2 (<i>Lcn2</i>)	Housekeeping			−2.6	NM_008491
Highest up-regulated genes found in only one cell line					
Dual specificity phosphatase 4 (<i>Dusp4</i>)	Signalling	4.8			NM_176933
Xanthine dehydrogenase (<i>Xdh</i>)	Housekeeping	4.5			NM_011723
Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 4 (<i>Map3k4</i>)	Signalling	3.9			NM_011948
Dystonin (<i>Dst</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	3.7			NM_133833
Growth arrest specific 5 (<i>Gas5</i>)	Cell division	3.5			NM_013525
γ-Aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein-like 1 (<i>Gabarapl1</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		4.4		NM_020590
Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B3 (<i>Akr1b3</i>)	Housekeeping		3.7		BB469763
Sphingosine kinase 1 (<i>Sphk1</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		3.3		NM_011451
Cytochrome b ₅ (<i>Cyb5</i>)	Housekeeping		2.9		NM_025797
Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 2 (<i>Enpp2</i>)	Housekeeping		2.8		NM_015744
BTB (POZ) domain containing 11 (<i>Btbd11</i>)	Transcription			3.5	BC072592
Neogenin (<i>Neo</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton			2.7	NM_008684
Secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (<i>Slpi</i>)	Stress response			2.5	NM_011414
Endothelin receptor type B (<i>Ednrb</i>)	Signalling			2.5	NM_007904
Centaurin, γ 2 (<i>Centg2</i>)	Transport			2.5	NM_178119
Lowest down-regulated genes found in only one cell line					
Carbonic anhydrase 3 (<i>Car3</i>)	Housekeeping	−4.3			NM_007606
ELAV (embryonic lethal, abnormal vision, <i>Drosophila</i>)-like 2 (Hu antigen B) (<i>Elavl2</i>)	Cell division	−3.0			NM_207685
Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14 (<i>Cxcl14</i>)	Signalling	−3.0			NM_019568
Early growth response 2 (<i>Egr2</i>)	Transcription	−3.0			NM_010118
Receptor activator of NF-κB (nuclear factor κB) ligand (<i>Rankl</i>)	Signalling	−2.9			NM_011613
Forkhead box P2 (<i>Foxp2</i>)	Transcription		−3.6		NM_053242
Solute carrier family 4, member 4 (<i>Slc4a4</i>)	Transport		−3.1		NM_018760
RAD51 homologue (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>) (<i>Rad51</i>)	Stress response		−2.7		NM_011234
Nik (Nck-interacting kinase)-related kinase (<i>Nrk</i>)	Signalling		−2.7		NM_013724
Anillin, actin-binding protein (<i>Anln</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		−2.6		NM_028390
Lipocalin 2 (<i>Lcn2</i>)	Housekeeping			−2.6	NM_008491
Odd-skipped related 1 (<i>Drosophila</i>) (<i>Osr1</i>)	Transcription			−2.3	NM_011859
Endothelin 1 (<i>Edn1</i>)	Signalling			−2.3	NM_010104
Genes of interest identified in one cell line					
Lumican (<i>Lum</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	−2.5			NM_008524
LIM and cysteine-rich domains 1 (<i>Dyxin</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		−2.5		NM_144799
Cysteine and glycine-rich protein 1 (<i>Crp1</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	−2.4			NM_007791
Myosin, light polypeptide kinase (<i>Mlck</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	−2.0			NM_139300
LIM domain containing preferred translocation partner in lipoma (<i>Lpp</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		−2.1		NM_178665
FERM (four-point-one, ezrin, radixin, moesin) domain containing 3 (<i>Frdm3</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton		−2.0		NM_172869
A disintegrin and metalloprotease domain 33 (<i>ADAM33</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	2.1			NM_033615
Chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan 4 (<i>Cspg4</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	3.4			NM_139001
Genes of interest identified in all cell lines					
A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 5 (<i>Adamts5</i>)	ECM/cytoskeleton	−1.8	−2.2	−1.9	NM_011782
Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor, clade B, member 1b (<i>Serpinb1b</i>)	Housekeeping	5.6	4.5	6.7	NM_173052
Glutathione S-transferase, Alpha 4 (<i>Gsta4</i>)	Stress response	6.6	4.3	5.9	NM_010357

possess features specific to their tissue of origin. In the present study we have attempted to define the genes in common and the genes that define that specificity by examining the response of fibroblasts from different tissues to mechanical stress.

Of the 344 genes shown to be differentially regulated in the three cell lines, a surprisingly low proportion, 15 %, of these were common to all three and less than a third were shared between any two cell lines. A summary of some of these genes is shown in Table 2. Furthermore, the spectrum of genes that are altered in response to mechanical stress are perhaps not what one might have expected intuitively.

A number of genes were found that have a role in the protection of cells against oxidative stress, a phenomenon generated upon the production of reactive oxygen that can lead to subsequent changes to cellular macromolecules including nucleic acids, proteins and lipids [38]. The overwhelming majority of these genes, *Gsta2*, *Hmox1*, *Gclm* (glutamate–cysteine ligase, modifier subunit), *Akr1b3* (aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B3) and *Slpi* (secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor), were up-regulated in various cell lines after stimulation. Given the method by which these cells were stimulated, it is not surprising that all three cell lines responded by up-regulating oxidative-response genes. An increase in these transcripts most probably serves to protect the cells from reactive oxygen species produced as a consequence of mechanical stimulation. It is not so much the fact that the cells are all mounting a similar stress response that is of particular interest, but rather the differential regulation of unique oxidative-stress genes.

All three cell lines demonstrated the differential regulation of genes implicated in adipocyte differentiation, which is of particular interest given the capability of fibroblast precursors to differentiate along various connective tissue cell-type lineages, such as adipocytes [7]. In the case of *Car3* (carbonic anhydrase 3) or *Lcn2* (lipocalin 2), which have both been found to be expressed at high levels in mature adipocytes [39,40], it appears that stimulation is effectively reinforcing the fibroblast phenotype, since levels of these genes were down-regulated with fluid flow. In contrast, however, *Angptl4* (angiopoietin-like 4) and *Enpp2* (ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 2) have been shown to be up-regulated during adipocyte differentiation [41–43]. Because *Angptl4* and *Enpp2* were both up-regulated in this study in response to stimulation, this hints at the possibility that shear stress is inducing an adipogenic-shift in tendon, corneal and skin fibroblasts. Although this picture is currently conflicting and difficult to interpret, the implication of these genes in mesenchymal differentiation is nonetheless interesting and should be investigated further.

Because the method of stimulation used in this study was mechanical, genes that were implicated in mechanotransduction, or the conversion of mechanical signals into biochemical response via direct or indirect connections between the internal actin cytoskeleton and the ECM, were investigated. Not surprisingly, numerous studies have shown that cells subjected to mechanical stimuli alter both the gene and protein expression of ECM and cytoskeletal components [44–47]. Given the importance of the cytoskeletal–ECM linkage in maintaining cellular integrity and physically resisting mechanical stress, the number of genes altered in this category were surprisingly few, accounting for less than 5 % of genes overall. ECM structure might be expected to be altered in response to shear stress. Two genes in this category were shown to be markedly altered with stimulation, however *Lum* (lumican) was down-regulated, whereas *Cspg4* (chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan 4) was up-regulated.

Of the relatively few genes implicated in ECM/cytoskeleton remodelling, three were found to encode LIM-domain-containing

cytoskeletal adaptor proteins: *Crpl* (cysteine- and glycine-rich protein), *Dyxin* (LIM and cysteine-rich domains 1) and *Lpp* (LIM domain containing preferred translocation partner in lipoma). The LIM domain is implicated in protein–protein interactions, may target proteins to distinct subcellular locations, and is thought to mediate the assembly of multimeric protein complexes [48]. Levels of *Crpl*, which itself has been shown to interact with α -actinin [49], as well as associate with and possibly stabilize adhesion plaques through its binding to zyxin [50,51], were down-regulated in tendon fibroblasts with stimulation. Both *Dyxin* and *Lpp* were found to be down-regulated in corneal fibroblasts after stimulation.

Other genes encoding proteins that either directly or indirectly interacted with the actin cytoskeleton were also found. For example, *Mlck* (myosin light polypeptide kinase) transcripts were reduced in tendon fibroblasts upon stimulation. We have identified *Mlck* previously as a shear-stress-response gene in endothelial cells [52]; however, in this case *Mlck* was up-regulated. Furthermore, in *Mlck*-inhibited cells, myosin light-chain phosphorylation was blocked at the cell periphery but not at the centre of the cell, and zyxin-containing adhesions were not assembled at the cell periphery but focal adhesions were maintained in the centre of the cell. These cells continued to generate membrane protrusions around the cell, but turned over more frequently and migrated less effectively [53]. It is possible, therefore, that tendon fibroblasts demonstrated a reduction in *Mlck* levels in order to reduce migration under such mechanical stresses. Corneal fibroblasts also demonstrated a decrease in the level of transcripts for the *Frm3* [FERM (four-point-one, ezrin, radixin, moesin)-domain containing 3] gene. Interestingly, only one gene implicated in ECM/cytoskeleton remodelling, *Adamts5* (a disintegrin-like and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 5), was common to two or more cell lines after mechanical stimulation, with the rest being unique to one of the three lines tested. This trend is seen only in this functional classification and suggests that ECM/cytoskeleton-related genes may contribute more specifically to the differential response of tendon, corneal and skin cell lines to stimulation.

The fact that protein levels showed such variable correlation to microarray data is not surprising and has been documented in previous reports [54]. Such poor correlations between protein and mRNA levels most probably results from (i) the possibility of regulating protein levels at either transcriptional or translational levels, and/or (ii) the rate of protein turnover *in vivo* [55]. This study provides the first in-depth analysis of the tissue-specific transcriptional response of a cell type to a mechanical stimulation and its comparison with the same cell type in other tissues. These findings will provide an invaluable resource for further study of the factors that control cell- and tissue-specific mechanical stress responses and may provide avenues for the manipulation and improvement of tissue-engineered prostheses and implants for reconstructive surgery.

We are grateful to Professor A. Oldberg, University of Lund, Sweden, and Dr R. Crosbie, University of California, LA, U.S.A., for gifts of antisera. The research was supported by a Medical Research Council Career Establishment Grant to S. J. W. J. R. M. was in receipt of a PhD studentship from the University of Stirling, U.K.

REFERENCES

- Gabbiani, G. and Rungger-Brandle, E. (1981) The Fibroblast. In *Handbook of Inflammation*, pp. 1–50, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Birkedal-Hansen, H., Cobb, C. M., Taylor, R. E. and Fullmer, H. M. (1976) Synthesis and release of procollagenase by cultured fibroblasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **251**, 3162–3168.

- 3 Werb, Z. and Burleigh, M. C. (1974) A specific collagenase from rabbit fibroblasts in monolayer culture. *Biochem. J.* **137**, 373–385
- 4 Wilson, E. L., Becker, M. L., Hoal, E. G. and Dowdle, E. B. (1980) Molecular species of plasminogen activators secreted by normal and neoplastic human cells. *Cancer Res.* **40**, 933–938
- 5 Laiho, M., Saksela, O., Andreasen, P. A. and Keski-Oja, J. (1986) Enhanced production and extracellular deposition of the endothelial-type plasminogen activator inhibitor in cultured human lung fibroblasts by transforming growth factor- β . *J. Cell Biol.* **103**, 2403–2410
- 6 Sappino, A. P., Schurch, W. and Gabbiani, G. (1990) Differentiation repertoire of fibroblastic cells: expression of cytoskeletal proteins as marker of phenotypic modulations. *Lab. Invest.* **63**, 144–161
- 7 Wolf, N. S., Penn, P. E., Rao, D. and McKee, M. D. (2003) Intracolon plasticity for bone, smooth muscle, and adipocyte lineages in bone marrow stroma fibroblastoid cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* **290**, 346–357
- 8 Pinto da Silva, P. and Gilula, N. B. (1972) Gap junctions in normal and transformed fibroblasts in culture. *Exp. Cell Res.* **71**, 393–401
- 9 Ross, R. and Greenlee, Jr, T. K. (1966) Electron microscopy: attachment sites between connective tissue cells. *Science* **153**, 997–999
- 10 Banes, A. J., Donlon, K., Link, G. W., Gillespie, Y., Bevin, A. G., Peterson, H. D., Bynum, D., Watts, S. and Dahners, L. (1988) Cell populations of tendon. A simplified method for isolation of synovial cells and internal fibroblasts: confirmation of origin and biologic properties. *J. Orthop. Res.* **6**, 83–94
- 11 Conrad, G. W., Hamilton, C. and Haynes, E. (1977) Differences in glycosaminoglycans synthesized by fibroblast-like cells from chick cornea, heart, and skin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **252**, 6861–6870
- 12 Harper, R. A. and Grove, G. (1979) Human skin fibroblasts derived from papillary and reticular dermis: differences in growth potential *in vitro*. *Science* **204**, 526–527
- 13 Riederer-Henderson, M. A., Gauger, A., Olson, L., Robertson, C. and Greenlee, Jr, T. K. (1983) Attachment and extracellular matrix differences between tendon and synovial fibroblastic cells. *In Vitro* **19**, 127–133
- 14 Brown, T. D., Bottlang, M., Pedersen, D. R. and Banes, A. J. (1998) Loading paradigms – intentional and unintentional – for cell culture mechanostimulus. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* **316**, 162–168
- 15 Conrad, G. W., Hart, G. W. and Chen, Y. (1977) Differences *in vitro* between fibroblast-like cells from cornea, heart, and skin of embryonic chicks. *J. Cell Sci.* **26**, 119–137
- 16 Banes, A. J., Horesovsky, G., Larson, C., Tsuzaki, M., Judex, S., Archambault, J., Zernicke, R., Herzog, W., Kelley, S. and Miller, L. (1999) Mechanical load stimulates expression of novel genes *in vivo* and *in vitro* in avian flexor tendon cells. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* **7**, 141–153
- 17 Evans, C. E. and Trail, I. A. (2001) An *in vitro* comparison of human flexor and extensor tendon cells. *J. Hand Surg. (Br)* **26**, 307–313
- 18 Garrett, D. M. and Conrad, G. W. (1979) Fibroblast-like cells from embryonic chick cornea, heart, and skin are antigenically distinct. *Dev. Biol.* **70**, 50–70
- 19 Koumas, L., King, A. E., Critchley, H. O., Kelly, R. W. and Phipps, R. P. (2001) Fibroblast heterogeneity: existence of functionally distinct Thy 1(+) and Thy 1(–) human female reproductive tract fibroblasts. *Am. J. Pathol.* **159**, 925–935
- 20 van Kooten, T. G., Schakenraad, J. M., van der Mei, H. C. and Busscher, H. J. (1992) Influence of substratum wettability on the strength of adhesion of human fibroblasts. *Biomaterials* **13**, 897–904
- 21 van Kooten, T. G., Schakenraad, J. M., van der Mei, H. C. and Busscher, H. J. (1993) Influence of pulsatile flow on the behaviour of human fibroblasts adhered to glass. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* **4**, 601–614
- 22 Hung, C. T., Allen, F. D., Pollack, S. R., Attia, E. T., Hannafin, J. A. and Torzilli, P. A. (1997) Intracellular calcium response of ACL and MCL ligament fibroblasts to fluid-induced shear stress. *Cell Signal* **9**, 587–594
- 23 Archambault, J. M., Elfervig-Wall, M. K., Tsuzaki, M., Herzog, W. and Banes, A. J. (2002) Rabbit tendon cells produce MMP-3 in response to fluid flow without significant calcium transients. *J. Biomech.* **35**, 303–309
- 24 Spector, D. L., Goldman, R. D. and Leinwand, L. A. (1998) Cells: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- 25 Ohtsuka, A., Ando, J., Korenaga, R., Kamiya, A., Toyama-Sorimachi, N. and Miyasaka, M. (1993) The effect of flow on the expression of vascular adhesion molecule-1 by cultured mouse endothelial cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **193**, 303–310
- 26 Irizarry, R. A., Hobbs, B., Collin, F., Beazer-Barclay, Y. D., Antonellis, K. J., Scherf, U. and Speed, T. P. (2003) Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. *Biostatistics* **4**, 249–264
- 27 Breitling, R., Armengaud, P., Amtmann, A. and Herzyk, P. (2004) Rank products: a simple, yet powerful, new method to detect differentially regulated genes in replicated microarray experiments. *FEBS Lett.* **573**, 83–92
- 28 Breitling, R., Amtmann, A. and Herzyk, P. (2004) Iterative Group Analysis (iGA): a simple tool to enhance sensitivity and facilitate interpretation of microarray experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 34
- 29 Diehn, M., Sherlock, G., Binkley, G., Jin, H., Matese, J. C., Hernandez-Boussard, T., Rees, C. A., Cherry, J. M., Botstein, D., Brown, P. O. and Alizadeh, A. A. (2003) SOURCE: a unified genomic resource of functional annotations, ontologies, and gene expression data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 219–223
- 30 Benson, D. A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J. and Wheeler, D. L. (2004) GenBank: update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, D23–D26
- 31 Blake, J. A., Richardson, J. E., Bult, C. J., Kadin, J. A. and Eppig, J. T. (2003) MGD: the Mouse Genome Database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 193–195
- 32 Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature (London)* **227**, 680–685
- 33 James, M., Nuttall, A., Ilsley, J. L., Ottersbach, K., Tinsley, J. M., Sudol, M. and Winder, S. J. (2000) Adhesion-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of (beta)-dystroglycan regulates its interaction with utrophin. *J. Cell Sci.* **113**, 1717–1726
- 34 Funderburgh, J. L. and Conrad, G. W. (1990) Isoforms of corneal keratan sulfate proteoglycan. *J. Biol. Chem.* **265**, 8297–8303
- 35 Funderburgh, J. L., Funderburgh, M. L., Mann, M. M. and Conrad, G. W. (1991) Unique glycosylation of three keratan sulfate proteoglycan isoforms. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 14226–14231
- 36 Yi, C. E., Bekker, J. M., Miller, G., Hill, K. L. and Crosbie, R. H. (2003) Specific and potent RNA interference in terminally differentiated myotubes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 934–939
- 37 Vielmetter, J., Kayyem, J. F., Roman, J. M. and Dreyer, W. J. (1994) Neogenin, an avian cell surface protein expressed during terminal neuronal differentiation, is closely related to the human tumor suppressor molecule deleted in colorectal cancer. *J. Cell Biol.* **127**, 2009–2020
- 38 Yang, Y., Sharma, R., Cheng, J. Z., Saini, M. K., Ansari, N. H., Andley, U. P., Awasthi, S. and Awasthi, Y. C. (2002) Protection of HLE B-3 cells against hydrogen peroxide- and naphthalene-induced lipid peroxidation and apoptosis by transfection with hGSTA1 and hGSTA2. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **43**, 434–445
- 39 Kim, G., Lee, T., Wynshaw-Boris, A. and Levine, R. L. (2001) Nucleotide sequence and structure of the mouse carbonic anhydrase III gene. *Gene* **265**, 37–44
- 40 Kratchmarova, I., Kalume, D. E., Blagoev, B., Scherer, P. E., Podtelejnikov, A. V., Molina, H., Bickel, P. E., Andersen, J. S., Fernandez, M. M., Bunkenborg, J. et al. (2002) A proteomic approach for identification of secreted proteins during the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes to adipocytes. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **1**, 213–222
- 41 Mandart, S., Zandbergen, F., Tan, N. S., Escher, P., Patsouris, D., Koenig, W., Kleemann, R., Bakker, A., Veenman, F., Wahli, W., Muller, M. and Kersten, S. (2004) The direct peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor target fasting-induced adipose factor (FIAF/PGAR/ANGPTL4) is present in blood plasma as a truncated protein that is increased by fenofibrate treatment. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 34411–34420
- 42 Yoon, J. C., Chickering, T. W., Rosen, E. D., Dussault, B., Qin, Y., Soukas, A., Friedman, J. M., Holmes, W. E. and Spiegelman, B. M. (2000) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma target gene encoding a novel angiopoietin-related protein associated with adipose differentiation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **20**, 5343–5349
- 43 Ferry, G., Tellier, E., Try, A., Gres, S., Naime, I., Simon, M. F., Rodriguez, M., Boucher, J., Tack, I., Gesta, S. et al. (2003) Autotaxin is released from adipocytes, catalyzes lysophosphatidic acid synthesis, and activates preadipocyte proliferation. Up-regulated expression with adipocyte differentiation and obesity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 18162–18169
- 44 Jalali, S., del Pozo, M. A., Chen, K., Miao, H., Li, Y., Schwartz, M. A., Shyy, J. Y. and Chien, S. (2001) Integrin-mediated mechanotransduction requires its dynamic interaction with specific extracellular matrix (ECM) ligands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **98**, 1042–1046
- 45 Roelofsens, J., Klein-Nulend, J. and Burger, E. H. (1995) Mechanical stimulation by intermittent hydrostatic compression promotes bone-specific gene expression *in vitro*. *J. Biomech.* **28**, 1493–1503
- 46 Lambert, C. A., Soudant, E. P., Nusgens, B. V. and Lapiere, C. M. (1992) Pretranslational regulation of extracellular matrix macromolecules and collagenase expression in fibroblasts by mechanical forces. *Lab. Invest.* **66**, 444–451
- 47 Lambert, C. A., Colige, A. C., Munaut, C., Lapiere, C. M. and Nusgens, B. V. (2001) Distinct pathways by the over-expression of matrix metalloproteinases in human fibroblasts by relaxation of mechanical tension. *Matrix Biol.* **20**, 397–408
- 48 Wang, X., Lee, G., Liebhaber, S. A. and Cooke, N. E. (1992) Human cysteine-rich protein: a member of the LIM/double-finger family displaying coordinate serum induction with c-myc. *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**, 9176–9184
- 49 Harper, B. D., Beckerle, M. C. and Pomies, P. (2000) Fine mapping of the α -actinin binding site within cysteine-rich protein. *Biochem. J.* **350**, 269–274

-
- 50 Chang, D. F., Belaguli, N. S., Iyer, D., Roberts, W. B., Wu, S. P., Dong, X. R., Marx, J. G., Moore, M. S., Beckerle, M. C., Majesky, M. W. and Schwartz, R. J. (2003) Cysteine-rich LIM-only proteins CRP1 and CRP2 are potent smooth muscle differentiation cofactors. *Dev. Cell* **4**, 107–118.
- 51 Sadler, I., Crawford, A. W., Michelsen, J. W. and Beckerle, M. C. (1992) Zyxin and cCRP: two interactive LIM domain proteins associated with the cytoskeleton. *J. Cell Biol.* **119**, 1573–1587.
- 52 Ando, J., Tsuboi, H., Korenaga, R., Takahashi, K., Kosaki, K., Isshiki, M., Tojo, T., Takada, Y. and Kamiya, A. (1996) Differential display and cloning of shear stress-responsive messenger RNAs in human endothelial cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **225**, 347–351.
- 53 Totsukawa, G., Wu, Y., Sasaki, Y., Hartshorne, D. J., Yamakita, Y., Yamashiro, S. and Matsumura, F. (2004) Distinct roles of MLCK and ROCK in the regulation of membrane protrusions and focal adhesion dynamics during cell migration of fibroblasts. *J. Cell Biol.* **164**, 427–439.
- 54 Lichtinghagen, R., Musholt, P. B., Lein, M., Romer, A., Rudolph, B., Kristiansen, G., Hauptmann, S., Schnorr, D., Loening, S. A. and Jung, K. (2002) Different mRNA and protein expression of matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 1 in benign and malignant prostate tissue. *Eur. Urol.* **42**, 398–406.
- 55 Greenbaum, D., Colangelo, C., Williams, K. and Gerstein, M. (2003) Comparing protein abundance and mRNA expression levels on a genomic scale. *Genome Biol.* **4**, 117.
-

Received 9 January 2006/14 February 2006; accepted 22 February 2006

Published as BJ Immediate Publication 22 February 2006, doi:10.1042/BJ20060057