Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2003 April 17; 42(15): 1734–1737.

Diastereoselective Temporary Silicon-Tethered Ring-Closing-Metathesis Reactions with Prochiral Alcohols: A New Approach to Long-Range Asymmetric Induction**

P. Andrew Evans and Jian Cui

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405 (USA), Fax: (+1)812-855-8300

E-mail: paevans@indiana.edu

Gerald P. Buffone

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 (USA)

Keywords

cyclization; diastereoselectivity; medium-sized rings; metathesis; silicon

The ability to control stereochemistry in substrates where the reactive elements are distal represents a fundamentally important area of investigation that is commonly referred to as long-range asymmetric induction.[1,2] Although there are numerous examples of this phenomenon, a significant limitation with this type of process is the ability to predict the manner in which the chiral group attains proximity to the reactive site in order to translate stereochemical information. The inherent challenge associated with this requirement provided the incentive for the development of a new process where the elements of stereocontrol could be conserved in a predictable manner.[1,2] Herein, we describe a new approach to long-range asymmetric induction using the diastereoselective temporary silicon-tethered (TST) ring-closing-metathesis (RCM) reaction of mixed bisalkoxy silanes **1**, derived from an allylic and prochiral alcohol, for the construction of *cis*-1,4-silaketals **2** (Scheme 1; $n = 0$). This methodology was also extended to higher homologues (where $n=1-4$), which resulted in the formation of the opposite *trans* diastereoisomer.[3-8]

We envisioned that the TST-RCM of the mixed bisalkoxy silane **1** (Scheme 1; $n = 0$) should proceed through the favored transition state illustrated in Figure 1. The basis for this hypothesis was the assumption that the substituents (R') on silicon would result in nonbonding interactions with the pseudoaxial propenyl moiety in the disfavored transition state, and thereby prefer the formation of the *cis*-1,4-silaketal **2**. The potential advantage of this approach is that the reactive elements involved in diastereoselection are conserved irrespective of ring size, which should translate into a convenient stereochemical relay, provided the relative orientation of the substituents is maintained in the medium rings. Moreover, the ability for ring-closing metathesis to facilitate the formation of medium and large rings should allow the application of this concept to higher homologues.

[**]We sincerely thank the National Institutes of Health (GM54623) for generous financial support. We also thank Johnson and Johnson for a Focused Giving Award, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals for the Creativity in Organic Chemistry Award, and Novartis Pharmaceuticals for an Academic Achievement Award. The Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation is thanked for a Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Award (P.A.E.).

[unk]Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

Preliminary studies examined the feasibility of this hypothesis for 1,4-stereocontrol (Scheme 2) through the examination of various substituents on silicon[9,10] and metal alkylidene catalysts,[11] as outlined in Table 1. Initial studies determined that the steric nature of the substituents on the silicon tether were indeed crucial in terms of silaketal construction, overall efficiency, and the level of diastereoselection, in which the diisopropylsilane proved optimal (Table 1; entry 3 versus 1 and 2). Treatment of the triene **7a** (R=2-naphthyl (Np), R' = *i*Pr) with Grubbs' catalyst **10** at reflux in dichloromethane furnished the silaketals **8a** and **9a** in 75% yield, with $\geq 99:1$ diastereoselectivity favoring **8a** (Table 1; entry 3).[12] Additional studies explored the effects of more reactive metal alkylidene catalysts, in which the second-generation Grubbs catalyst **11** and the molybdenum-based Schrock catalyst **12** proved significantly inferior for this particular transformation (Table 1; entries 4 and 5).[11]

Table 2 summarizes the scope of the TST-RCM, under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 3). The construction of the mixed bisalkoxy silane **7** was achieved from the requisite allylic alcohol **4**, through treatment with excess diisopropyldichlorosilane, to afford the monoalkoxychlorosilane **5**, followed by the removal of the excess silylating agent and addition of the prochiral alcohol **6** (Scheme 2). The diastereoselective TST-RCM proved remarkably tolerant to a range of aryl, linear, and branched alkyl substituents (Table 2; entries 1-7), albeit with lower conversion for the α -branched allylic alcohol derivatives (Table 2; entry 4). Moreover, in nearly all cases the bisalkoxy silanes **7a—i** were recovered and could be resubmitted to the reaction conditions. This transformation is also tolerant of both benzyloxymethyl and carboalkoxy substituents (Table 2; entries 8-9).[13]

We envisioned that the application of diastereoselective TST-RCM to higher homologues would extend this concept, and provide a general method for long-range asymmetric induction. Table 3 summarizes the results of this study. Treatment of the trienes **13a—d** with the second-generation Grubbs catalyst **11** in refluxing dichloromethane furnished, after hydrogenation, the saturated silaketal intermediates **14/15a—d**, with the *trans* isomers **15a—d** favored (Table 3; entries 1-4).[14] The unsaturated silaketals were reduced in this particular case to remove the *E* and *Z* isomers and simplify the stereochemical analysis. The origin of diastereoselectivity is consistent with the previous model, albeit with the caveat that the pseudoaxial/equatorial positions are reversed in the medium rings (Figure 2). Hence, the preferred transition state in the medium rings also places both substituents in pseudoequatorial positions, thus avoiding the steric interaction derived from the axial isopropyl group on the silicon tether.

Additional studies demonstrated that the RCM is consistent with a kinetic reaction that affords the more thermodynamically stable product. Although there is evidence for reversibility in the formation of **15a** and **15b**, the *trans* isomer appears to be the major product throughout the course of the reaction, as outlined in Figure 3. Periodic analysis of the reaction revealed that the diastereoselectivity for **15a** and **15b** decreases and increases respectively with time at 95% conversion.[15] Hence, the level of stereocontrol in these reactions may be optimized accordingly. For example, the diastereoselectivity for the formation of **15a** can be improved

to approximately 18:1, provided the reaction is quenched after about 10 min at room temperature.

In conclusion, diastereoselective TST-RCM provides a useful approach to 1,4-, 1,5-, and 1,6-stereocontrol, and thus represents a new strategy for long-range asymmetric induction. This method facilitates the construction of *cis*-1,4-silaketals **8a—i** (Scheme 1; d.r. $\geq 20:1$), whereas the extension of this concept to homologated alkenyl alcohols (Table 3; $n = 1-4$), results in reversal in diastereoselectivity favoring the *trans* isomers **15a—d**. The ability to systematically examine medium-ring stereocontrol in this manner should provide a greater understanding of the conformational factors that control diastereoselection in these systems.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- [1]. For recent reviews on long-range asymmetric induction, see: a) Sailes H, Whiting A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2000:1785–1805. b) Mikami K, Shimizu M, Zhang H, CMaryanoff B. Tetrahedron 2001;57:2917–2951 and references therein
- [2]. For recent examples of long-range asymmetric induction, see: a) Date M, Tamai Y, Hattori T, Takayama H, Kamikubo Y, Miyano S. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2001:645–653. b) Hudson RDA, Anson CE, Mahon MF, Stephenson GR. J. Organomet. Chem 2001;630:88–103. c) O'Malley SJ, Leighton JL. Angew. Chem 2001;113:2999–3001. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2001;40:2915–2917. d) Carson MW, Kim G, Danishefsky SJ. Angew. Chem 2001;113:4585–4588. Angew. Chem. Int.

Ed 2001;40:4453–4456.d) Flamme EM Roush WRJ. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:13644–13645 and references therein [PubMed: 12431072]

- [3]. For recent reviews on ring-closing metathesis, see: a) Grubbs RH, Chang S. Tetrahedron 1998;54:4413–4450. b) Pandit UK, Overkleeft HS, Borer BC, Bieräugel H. Eur. J. Org. Chem 1999:959–968. c) Fürstner A. Angew. Chem 2000;112:3140–3172. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000;39:3012–3043 and references therein
- [4]. Fu GC, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1992;114:5426–5427.
- [5]. For a stereospecific approach to C₂-symmetrical 1,4-diols, see: a) Evans PA, Murthy VS. J. Org. Chem 1998;63:6768–6769. [PubMed: 11672287] b) Lobbel M, Köll P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000;11:393–396.
- [6]. For examples of silicon-tethered ring-closing-metathesis cross-coupling reactions, see: a) Hoye TR, Promo MA. Tetrahedron Lett 1999;40:1429–1432. b) Boiteau J-G, Van deWeghe P, Eustache J. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:239–242. c) Harrison BA, Verdine GL. Org. Lett 2001;3:2157–2159. [PubMed: 11440568]
- [7]. For a related example of an enantioselective RCM using a prochiral alcohol, see: Kiely AF, Jernelius JA, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:2868–2869. [PubMed: 11902866]
- [8]. For examples of diastereoselective ring-closing-metathesis reactions, see: a) Huwe CM, Velder J, Blechert S. Angew. Chem 1996;108:2542–2544. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1996;35:2376–2378. b) Burke SD, Müller M, Beaudry CM. Org. Lett 1999;1:1827–1829. [PubMed: 10836042] c) Oguri H, Sasaki S-Y, Oishi T, Hiramata M. Tetrahedron Lett 1999;40:5405–5408. d) Lautens M, Hughes G. Angew. Chem 1999;111:160–162. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1999;38:129–131. e) Lloyd-Jones GC, Murray M, Stentiford RA, Worthington PA. Eur. J. Org. Chem 2000:975–985. f) Wallace DJ, Cowden CJ, Kennedy DJ, Ashwood MS, Cottrell IF, Dolling U-H. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;41:2027–2029. g) Schmidt B, Westhus M. Tetrahedron 2000;56:2421–2426. h) Stoianova DS, Hanson PR. Org. Lett 2000;2:1769–1772. [PubMed: 10880222] i) Fukuda Y, Sasaki H, Shindo M, Shishido K. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:2047–2049. j) Ogasawara M, Nagano T, Hayashi T. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:9068–9069. [PubMed: 12149008]
- [9]. For reviews on temporary silicon-tethered strategies, see: a) Fensterbank L, Malacria M, Sieburth SM. Synthesis 1997:813–854. b) Gauthier DR Jr, Zandi KS, Shea KJ. Tetrahedron 1998;54:2289–2338.
- [10]. Sieburth SM, Fensterbank L. J. Org. Chem 1992;57:5279–5281.
- [11]. a) Schrock RR, Murdzek JS, Bazan GC, Robbins J, DiMare M, O'Regan M. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1990;112:3875–3886. b) Schwab P, Grubbs RH, Ziller JW. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1996;118:100–110. c) Scholl M, Ding S, Lee CW, Grubbs RH. Org. Lett 1999;1:953–956. [PubMed: 10823227]
- [12]. The major stereoisomer was confirmed from nOe experiments in each case (**8a-i**). Details are available in the Supporting Information
- [13]. The silyl tether can be readily removed in each case to afford the corresponding diol. For example, treatment of **8f** with 5% aqueous HF at room temperature furnished the bisallylic 1,4-diol **16** in 99% yield
- [14]. Although Grubbs' catalyst **10** was not optimal for the larger rings, it also furnished **15** as the major diastereoisomer, which confirmed that the catalyst was not responsible for the reversal in selectivity
- [15]. The diastereoselectivities in Figure 3, refer to crude product ratios, whereas the selectivities in Table 3 are for the purified silaketals **14a-d** and **15a-d**

Scheme 1.

General approach to the diastereoselective TST-RCM reactions with alkenyl and prochiral alcohols.

Figure 1.
Proposed transition states for 1,4-stereocontrol in the TST-RCM reaction of an allylic alcohol.

Scheme 2.

Preparation of bisalkoxy silanes **7** and the resulting diastereoselective TST-RCM reaction to form the *cis*-1,4-silaketals.

Figure 2.
Proposed transition states for 1,*n*-stereocontrol in the TST-RCM reaction of homologated alkenyl alcohols (where *n*=1-4).

Figure 3. Plot of diastereoselectivity with time for the TST-RCM reactions of **15a–c** at min. 95% conversion. All aliquots were quenched with DMSO and directly hydrogenated prior to GLC analysis.

Table 1
Optimization of the diastereoselective TST-RCM reaction with silicon tether **7a** (R = Np).

Entry	7a ; R' =	Catalyst ^[a]	8a:9a ^{[b],[c]}	Yield of 8a+9a [%]
1	Me	10	23:1	41
2	Ph	10	15:1	70
3	<i>i</i> Pr	10	≥99:1	75
4	<i>i</i> Pr	11	6:1	31 ^[d]
5	<i>i</i> Pr	12	14:1	23 ^[e]

^[a] All reactions (0.1 mmol) were carried out using 10 mol % of the catalyst in dichloromethane (0.05 m) at 40 °C except for entry 5.

^[b] Ratios of diastereoisomers were determined by capillary GLC on aliquots of the crude reaction mixture.

^[c] Authentic standards were prepared independently from the corresponding 1,4-diols.

^[d] The more reactive second-generation catalyst proved less selective in furnishing significant amounts of polymeric and recovered starting material (30 %).

^[e] This reaction was carried out using 10 mol % catalyst in benzene (0.04 m) at room temperature.

Table 2 Scope of the diastereoselective TST-RCM reaction (Scheme 2; R' = *i*Pr).

Entry	4; R =	Yield of 7 [%] ^[a]	8:9 ^{[b][c][d]}	Yield of 8+9 [%]
1	Np (1a)	88	≥99:1	75
2	Ph (1b)	76	≥99:1	90
3	<i>n</i> Pr (1c)	71	29:1	66
4	<i>c</i> -Hex (1d)	85	22:1	54
5	<i>i</i> Bu (1e)	77	34:1	73
6	PhCH ₂ (1f)	86	20:1	82
7	Ph(CH ₂) ₂ (1g)	85	32:1	66
8	BnOCH ₂ (1h)	72	41:1	61
9	BnO ₂ CCH ₂ (1i)	72	40:1	73

^[a]The bisalkoxy silanes were prepared on a 0.5 mmol reaction scale using diisopropyldichlorosilane (10 equiv) and imidazole.

^[b]The meta-thesis reactions were carried out on a 0.05-0.1 mmol reaction scale (0.05 m) using 10-15 mol % of Grubbs' catalyst 10 in dichloromethane at 40 °C.

^[c]Ratios of diastereoisomers were determined by capillary GLC on aliquots of the crude reaction mixture.

^[d]The major stereoisomer was confirmed by nOe experiments in each case.¹²

Table 3
Diastereoselective TST-RCM reactions with homologated alkenyl alcohols.

Entry	Ring size <i>n</i> =	Yield of 13 [%] ^[a]	14 : 15 ^{b-[d]}	Yield of 14 + 15 [%]
1	1(13a)	92	1:11	90
2	2(13b)	68	1:27	92
3	3(13c)	75	1:3	75
4	4(13d)	87	1:3	73

[a] The bisalkoxy silanes were prepared on an analogous reaction scale to those in Table 2.

[b] The RCM reactions (0.1 mmol) were carried out using new Grubbs catalyst **11** (6 mol%) in dichloromethane (0.003M) at 40°C.[14]

[c] Ratios of diastereoisomers were determined by capillary GLC on the saturated silaketals **14** and **15** after hydrogenation.

[d] The major isomer was assigned by analogy to an independently prepared authentic sample.