Expression of the leucocyte common antigen-related (LAR) tyrosine phosphatase is regulated by cell density through functional E-cadherin complexes

Javelle R. SYMONS, Charles M. LEVEA and Robert A. MOONEY¹

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY 14642, U.S.A.

The leucocyte common antigen-related phosphatase (LAR) has been implicated in receptor tyrosine kinase signalling pathways while also displaying cell-density-dependency and localization to adherens junctions. Whereas physiological substrates for LAR have not been identified unequivocally, β -catenin associates with LAR and is a substrate *in vitro*. With the implication that LAR may play a role in regulating E-cadherin-dependent cell–cell communication and contact inhibition, the relationship of LAR with E-cadherin was investigated. LAR expression increased with cell density in the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and in Ln 3 cells derived from the 13762NF rat mammary adenocarcinoma. LAR protein levels decreased rapidly when cells were replated at a low density after attaining high expression of LAR at high cell density. COS-7 cells displayed comparable density-dependent regulation of LAR expression when transiently expressing exogenous LAR under

the control of a constitutively active promoter, indicating that the regulation of expression is not at the level of gene regulation. Disrupting homophilic E-cadherin complexes by chelating extracellular calcium caused a marked decrease in LAR protein levels. Similarly, blocking E-cadherin interactions with saturating amounts of E-cadherin antibody (HECD-1) also led to a rapid and pronounced loss of cellular LAR. In contrast, mimicking cell-surface E-cadherin engagement by plating cells at low density on to dishes coated with HECD-1 resulted in a 2-fold increase in LAR expression compared with controls. These results suggest that density-dependent regulation of LAR expression is mediated by functional E-cadherin and may play a role in density-dependent contact inhibition by regulating tyrosine phosphorylation in E-cadherin complexes.

Key words: β -catenin, MCF-7.

INTRODUCTION

E-cadherin is a member of a family of calcium-dependent transmembrane proteins which play a central role in cell–cell communication and contact inhibition [1,2]. These functions are mediated by homophilic binding between extracellular domains of E-cadherin expressed on neighbouring cells and localized to adherens junctions. The intracellular domain of E-cadherin contains binding sites for several proteins, including β -catenin, γ -catenin (plakoglobin) and p120 catenin. Tight cell–cell adhesion is achieved through these protein–protein interactions, which form a bridge to the actin filaments. The E-cadherin intracellular domain binds β -catenin, which in turn binds α -catenin. It is thought that α -catenin interacts directly or indirectly (via α -actinin) with the F-actin bundles, linking the complex to the actin cytoskeleton and forming a stable structure when laterally clustered [3].

Loss of E-cadherin in transformed epithelial cells has been correlated with increased tumour invasiveness *in vitro* and with tumour progression *in vivo* [4,5]. In breast cancer, loss of functional E-cadherin complexes correlates with a loss of the epithelial phenotype and increased invasiveness [6]. E-cadherin and the proteins within the cadherin complex are thought to be post-translationally modified to provide a mechanism for rapid turnover and modulation of the functional state. Several of these proteins, including p120 catenin, E-cadherin, γ -catenin (plakoglobin) and β -catenin, are apparently regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation [7–9]. Whereas tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin appears not to promote its uncoupling from cell adhesion complexes directly [10], it may be involved in a mechanism for

disruption of functional E-cadherin complexes. Studies *in vitro* have identified several protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) that bind to and alter the phosphorylation state of β -catenin. Among the kinases, the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor and erb-B2 associate with β -catenin *in vitro* and *in vivo* [11,12]. A β -catenin mutant lacking its N-terminal half, including much of the central armadillo motifs, cannot bind E-cadherin but binds to erb-B2. This mutant inhibits the tyrosine phosphorylation of endogenous β -catenin associated with the E-cadherin complex [12]. Cells transfected with this deletion mutant display decreased transforming growth factor- α -dependent migration *in vitro* and decreased metastasis *in vivo*. The hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) receptor/c-Met receptor has been shown to co-localize and associate with E-cadherin and β -catenin in epithelial tumour cells [13]. Following c-Met activation with HGF/scatter factor, tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin occurs and cell–cell contacts are disrupted [14]. The Src kinase has been implicated in this HGF-dependent process [15]. Rat fibroblasts become metastatic and lose their compact aggregating morphology when transformed with v-Src [16]. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors restore tight cell–cell adhesion. β -Catenin is the most significantly increased tyrosine-phosphorylated protein of the cadherin-associated proteins in the presence of v-Src [16]. Despite these observations, tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin as a mechanism for disruption of functional E-cadherin complexes remains controversial [10].

Several PTPs have also been shown to associate with cadherin complexes [17–21]. PTP activity appears to be necessary for strong cell–cell adhesion since cell–cell contact can be disrupted by treatment with the PTP inhibitor pervanadate [22]. Studies *in*

Abbreviations used: EGF, epidermal growth factor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; LAR, leucocyte common antigen-related phosphatase; PTP, protein tyrosine phosphatase; E-subunit, extracellular subunit; P-subunit, PTP-domain-containing subunit.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail robert_mooney@urmc.rochester.edu).

in vitro have demonstrated that leucocyte common antigen-related phosphatase (LAR) associates with the N-terminus of β -catenin and the closely related γ -catenin [17]. β -Catenin has also been shown to be a substrate for LAR *in vitro* [23]. These data suggest that LAR may play a role in the maintenance of cell–cell contacts by regulating the tyrosine-phosphorylation state of one or more proteins in the E-cadherin complex. Here, we expand upon the earlier report that LAR is regulated by cell density [24]. Functional E-cadherin complexes are shown to regulate expression of LAR through a mechanism that does not require changes in rates of transcription. Regulation of LAR expression may be a mechanism by which E-cadherin complexes control their own functional state.

EXPERIMENTAL

Cell lines

COS-7 green monkey kidney cells were obtained from the A.T.C.C. Ln 3 rat mammary adenocarcinoma cells were a generous gift from Dr Carl McGary (University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, U.S.A.). MCF-7 cells were provided by Dr P. J. Simpson-Haidaris (University of Rochester).

Plasmids and transfections

The mouse E-cadherin construct was a generous gift from Masatoshi Takeichi of Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, and has been described previously [25]. Human LAR cDNA (kindly provided by Michel Streuli of the Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, U.S.A.) was cloned into the pcDNA3 vector in-frame with a 5' Myc/His tag. Bacterial transformation was performed using subcloning efficiency DH5 α from Life Technologies. Plasmid preparations were performed using Qiagen maxi-prep kits according to their protocol. DNA concentration and purity were measured by UV spectroscopy. Transient transfections of cell lines were performed using the Eugene 6 reagent (Roche) under serum-free conditions as described by the manufacturer. Transfected cells were maintained for 36 h before experimentation.

Antibodies and reagents

Mouse monoclonal antibodies specific for β -catenin, E-cadherin and the LAR E-subunit were from Transduction Laboratories. The anti-phosphotyrosine antibody 4G10 was from Upstate Biotechnology. Antibody for the LAR P-subunit (PTP-domain-containing subunit) was provided by Dr Barry Goldstein of Thomas Jefferson University (Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.). Antibodies to human E-cadherin (HECD-1) and rat E-cadherin (DECMA-1) were from Zymed. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma unless otherwise indicated.

Immunoprecipitations and Western blotting

When direct analysis of cell lysates was appropriate, cells were scraped into Laemmli's lysis buffer (156 mM Tris/HCl, 5% SDS and 2.5% glycerol) at 100 °C. After normalizing samples based on protein using the Markwell–Lowry protein assay, proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE.

When immunoprecipitation of proteins was required, cells were scraped into lysis buffer [1 M Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 2 M NaCl, 1 M NaF, 10% Triton X-100, 200 mM PMSF, benzamide, tetrasodium pyrophosphate, *p*-nitrophenylphosphate, pervanadate and a protease-inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem)]. Lysates were passed 10 times through an 18-gauge needle. Samples were protein-normalized using the Bradford assay. The immunopre-

cipitating antibody was pre-bound to Protein G–Sepharose beads (Amersham Bioscience) before lysates were added at 4 °C for 1–4 h. Beads were washed three times with Triton wash buffer (1% Triton X-100, 100 mM Tris/HCl and 150 mM NaCl) before immunoprecipitates were eluted with boiling Laemmli sample buffer (78 mM Tris/HCl, 2.5% SDS, 2.5% glycerol and 2 mM dithiothreitol). Samples were transferred to either PVDF or nitrocellulose membranes and analysed by Western blotting. The blots were developed with either Amersham Bioscience or Pierce chemiluminescence reagents.

Cell-density-dependent plating assays

Following propagation to confluence, cells were rinsed, dispersed with 0.25% trypsin for 1–5 min and resuspended in standard serum-containing media. Cells were pooled and concentrated by centrifugation. Aliquots were re-plated at high (> 85%) or low (< 30%) density in 100 mm diam. dishes. At appropriate time points, cells were lysed and protein expression analysed by Western blotting as described above.

Disruption of E-cadherin complexes

MCF-7 cells were grown to confluence in 24-well dishes. Cell–cell contact was disrupted with either EGTA or HECD-1, a mouse monoclonal anti-E-cadherin antibody. For EGTA treatment, EGTA was added at 5 mM with a media change followed by incubations for 6 h or less. Incubations of 18 h and longer were found to be cytotoxic. To block E-cadherin more specifically, MCF-7 cells were incubated in media containing the E-cadherin-blocking antibody, HECD-1, at 1, 5 or 10 μ g/ml for 18–24 h. Cells were examined by light microscopy at several time points to ensure cell viability and to record morphological changes induced by treatment. Protein expression was analysed as described above.

RESULTS

LAR protein levels change with cell density

Our previous studies indicated that LAR protein expression is cell-density-dependent [24]. In light of the observed association of LAR with constituents of the adherens junctions [17,23,26] and the defect in terminal differentiation of the mammary gland in LAR-knockout mice [27], the current study was initiated to explore the mechanism of cell-density-dependency of LAR using breast cancer cell lines. LAR protein levels were examined in the human MCF-7 breast carcinoma cell line and in a rat mammary adenocarcinoma cell line, Ln 3. Each cell type was grown for several passages at either high (85–100% confluence) or low (10–30% confluence) cell density. The MCF-7 cells were additionally examined at 40–60% confluence (medium density). Cell lysates were normalized for protein and separated on SDS/PAGE gels. In the human MCF-7 cell line, LAR protein increased markedly from low to high density as detected by Western blotting using an antibody to the LAR E-subunit (Figure 1A). It was possible that the difference in LAR E-subunit expression did not reflect expression of the catalytically active P-subunit. For example, the E-subunit alone may have been lost at low cell density due to proteolytic cleavage or shedding of this extracellular domain [26]. However, when MCF-7 lysates were probed with an antibody to the catalytic or P-subunit of LAR, comparable density-dependent changes in LAR expression were observed. Similarly, the highly metastatic Ln 3 cells, derived from the 13762NF rat mammary adenocarcinoma [28], exhibited a large increase in LAR protein from low to high density when detected with the P-subunit antibody (Figure 1B). The less

Figure 1 Effect of cell density on LAR and E-cadherin expression

MCF-7 (**A**) and Ln 3 (**B**) cell lines were grown for several passages at high (> 85%), medium (approx. 40–60%), or low (< 30%) confluence. After cell lysis, proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. LAR subunit expression in MCF-7 cells (**A**) and expression of LAR and E-cadherin in Ln 3 cells (**B**) were detected by Western blotting as described in the Experimental section. β -Catenin was used as a loading control in (**A**).

metastatic Ln 2 cells, also derived from the 13762NF tumour, showed a progressive increase in LAR levels from low to high density similar to the Ln 3 cell line (results not shown). Analysis of E-cadherin levels as a function of cell density paralleled LAR levels in Ln 3 cells.

LAR levels respond to rapid changes in cell density

The following investigation examined the impact of acute changes in cell density on LAR expression. Cells were grown to confluence before being dissociated, pooled and replated at either high or low density. Within 4 h of replating, LAR protein levels decreased markedly when replated at low density. In contrast, replating at high density preserved the pre-existing LAR expression (Figure 2). E-cadherin levels decreased in parallel with those of LAR when cells were exposed to low-cell-density conditions. In contrast, β -catenin, which was used as a loading control, showed no density-dependent changes, though a small increase was observable as a function of time after replating. The decreased expression of LAR reflected changes in both the E- and P-subunits. Whereas the E-subunit potentially could have been influenced by shedding, the similar density-dependent changes in LAR observed in Ln 3 cells (Figure 2B) probed with either a P-subunit or E-subunit antibody argue that decreased cell density initiated the loss of total cellular LAR protein.

The above investigation demonstrated that LAR levels decreased markedly within 4 h of an acute decrease in cell density.

Figure 2 Rapid decrease in LAR expression with a decrease in cell density

MCF-7 (**A**) and Ln 3 (**B**) cells, which had been maintained at confluence, were resuspended with trypsin and replated at either high (> 85%) or low (< 30%) confluence for either 4 or 6 h. Changes in expression of LAR E-subunit (**A**), LAR P-subunit (**B**), E-cadherin (**A**) and β -catenin (**A**) were determined by Western blotting. Ctrl, control.

Next the response to an acute increase in cell density was investigated. Cells were maintained at 10–30% confluence for several days before harvesting and replating at high density. LAR protein expression was analysed by Western blotting at subsequent times as indicated in Figure 3. LAR was modestly increased within 8 h of replating at high density and continued to increase more substantially from 8 to 24 h. By 24 h, the level of LAR protein reached that of the high-density control cells (results not shown). Because MCF-7 cells do not proliferate rapidly and the cells had been plated at high density, the increase in LAR protein expression was not likely to be due to cell

Figure 3 Slow increase in LAR expression with a rapid increase in cell density

MCF-7 cells were maintained at low density (< 30%) for several passages. Following resuspension with trypsin, pooled cells were replated at high cell density. After replating, LAR, E-cadherin and β -catenin expression were determined by Western blotting at the indicated time points.

Figure 4 Density-dependent regulation of ectopically expressed LAR

COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with a human LAR cDNA construct as described in the Experimental section. At 36 h post-transfection, cells were resuspended with trypsin, pooled and replated at either high (> 85%) or low (< 30%) confluence for 6 h. Experiments with the low-confluence condition were performed in duplicate. LAR expression was determined by Western blotting.

proliferation. The more modest increase in β -catenin and the absence of an increase over the time period (12–24 h) that corresponds to the large increases in LAR and E-cadherin supports this conclusion. Interestingly, the most substantial increases in LAR protein expression occurred subsequent to the formation of cell–cell contacts. As observed by light microscopy, the MCF-7 cells began to spread at 4–6 h and visible cell–cell contacts were observable at 8–10 h. Whereas LAR levels increased substantially after 8 h, maximum levels were not reached for an additional 16 h. Thus the slow rate of increase in LAR mass with an acute increase in cell density is in marked contrast to the very rapid loss of LAR with acute decrease in cell density. It is logical to speculate that *de novo* synthesis of LAR, and perhaps other proteins, is required to mediate the observed changes. Finally, increases in LAR protein levels paralleled those of E-cadherin in response to the increase in cell density. From the above data, we hypothesize that LAR protein levels increase with the formation of functional cell–cell contacts.

Density-dependent changes in LAR levels do not require changes in the synthetic rate

To address the possibility that the difference in LAR levels at varying densities was due to differing rates of transcription, we used a method similar to that of Gebbink et al. [29]. COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with LAR cDNA under the control of a constitutively active promoter. The transfected cells were incubated for 24 h, dissociated and replated at either high (> 85%) or low (< 30%) density. The cells were lysed 24 h later and LAR expression was analysed. Since exogenous LAR expression is under the control of a constitutively active promoter, the transcription rate of LAR remains constant whether cells are at high or low densities. Changes in LAR levels would be due to altered rates of protein degradation or mRNA stability and translation. As shown in Figure 4, LAR expression in transfected COS cells, which express no detectable endogenous LAR, increased severalfold with increasing cell density. Thus regulation of LAR transcription rate is not responsible for density-dependent LAR expression. Ectopically expressed LAR in COS cells appeared as a doublet by Western blotting. One potential explanation is that these bands represent alternatively spliced isoforms of the extracellular domain of LAR. Zhang et al. [30] have described a 27 bp exon encoding a nine amino acid sequence in the E-subunit of LAR that is alternatively spliced. This group has shown that expression of these isoforms is expressed in a developmentally regulated pattern in the brain. While the co-expression of two LAR isoforms is not observed routinely in transfection experiments, doublets of the E-subunit have been observed by Western blotting following transfection [31,32]. An

Figure 5 Effect of EGTA-dependent disruption of cell–cell contacts on expression of LAR and E-cadherin

Confluent MCF-7 cells were treated with 5 mM EGTA for the time periods indicated and then harvested. LAR, E-cadherin and β -catenin (loading control) expression were determined in the cell lysates using Western blotting.

alternative explanation for the presence of a doublet in LAR Western blots is that one or both represent *in vitro* proteolytic fragments. The ratio of the two immunoreactive bands remained relatively constant at approx. 1.0 among samples and between experiments. This and the absence of a doublet with endogenous LAR argue for a cell-dependent processing rather than an *in vitro* artifact, such as proteolysis.

LAR protein levels are altered by disruption of E-cadherin-mediated cell–cell contact

We hypothesized that cell-density-dependent increases in LAR protein expression were due to a signal mediated by E-cadherin-dependent cell–cell contact. To pursue this possibility, MCF-7 cells were treated with EGTA to disrupt cell–cell contact through chelation of the extracellular calcium required for E-cadherin homophilic binding between cells. Following EGTA treatment, the cells were examined by light microscopy to document morphological changes. By 1 h of treatment with EGTA, MCF-7 cells became rounded and detached from one another. At 6 h, MCF-7 cells had no cell–cell contacts, but the cells remained attached to the culture dishes. By 18 h, cells had begun to lift from the dish and marked cell death was apparent. Cells were lysed at these various time points (excluding 18 h) and protein-normalized lysates were separated on SDS/PAGE gels. Western blotting for the LAR E-subunit revealed that LAR was expressed in high amounts at 0, 1 and 2 h, but decreased sharply after 2 h (Figure 5). After 6 h LAR protein was undetectable (results not shown). E-cadherin protein levels were also examined to determine whether loss of cell–cell contact induced by EGTA also caused changes in E-cadherin expression. E-cadherin protein was also high at 0 and 1 h, but began to decrease by 2 h (Figure 5). As a control for protein loading, cell lysates were probed for β -catenin. There was no change in β -catenin protein expression at any time point (Figure 5). Whereas both E-cadherin and LAR levels decreased with EGTA treatment, the decrease in E-cadherin commenced at an earlier time point than that of LAR. This indicates that total cellular E-cadherin does not closely correlate with LAR under these conditions. LAR did, however, decrease in response to disruption of cell–cell contacts. What is not assessed here is the impact of calcium chelation on the cellular clearance mechanisms for E-cadherin and LAR. It has been demonstrated, for example, that calcium mobilization with

Figure 6 Effect of E-cadherin-blocking antibody on expression of LAR and E-cadherin

Confluent MCF-7 cells were treated for 24 h with media containing the E-cadherin-blocking antibody HECD-1 at the concentrations indicated ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) or the IgG control (ctrl). Cells were harvested at 24 h and LAR and E-cadherin expression were determined in cell lysates using Western blotting.

Figure 7 Effect of ectopic E-cadherin expression on density-dependent regulation of LAR

COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with constructs for LAR, E-cadherin (E-Cad) or null vector (Ctrl) as indicated. After 48 h, cells were resuspended and replated at high (lanes 1, 3, 5 and 7) or low density (lanes 2, 4, 6 and 8) for 6 h. LAR expression was determined using Western blotting.

Figure 8 E-cadherin-dependent increase in LAR expression

MCF-7 cells were plated at low density on to culture dishes coated with either anti-E-cadherin antibody (HECD-1) or rabbit IgG as a control. Cells had been maintained at low density for the previous 48 h. After 12 h, cells were harvested and LAR expression determined by Western blotting. Quantitative results represent the means $\pm$ S.D. from three experiments each performed in duplicate.

ionophore A23187 induces proteolysis of cellular LAR [26]. EGTA blocks this ionophore-dependent proteolytic process. It is possible that chelation of calcium with EGTA in our experiments

retarded the clearance of LAR that was otherwise initiated by the disruption and clearance of E-cadherin.

Since expression levels of LAR and E-cadherin were observed to change in parallel under most, though not all, conditions, we sought to determine whether a more direct relationship between the two proteins could be established. The homophilic cadherin-mediated cell-cell interactions are the molecular sensors of cell density. Thus we hypothesized that functional E-cadherin interactions, not necessarily total cellular E-cadherin content, may be responsible for the density-dependent change in LAR protein levels. To determine whether functional cadherin complexes control density-dependent LAR expression, we inhibited extracellular cadherin interactions directly by using an E-cadherin-specific monoclonal blocking antibody, HECD-1. Confluent MCF-7 cells were treated with 1, 5 or 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of HECD-1 to inhibit cell-cell contact. When the cells were analysed for morphological changes, light microscopy revealed that 5 and 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ HECD-1 caused greater than half of the cells to round up (results not shown). When 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ antibody was used, the cells maintained their cell-cell adhesive properties. Western blotting showed a marked decrease in LAR expression when HECD-1 was added at 5 or 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ as compared with the control (Figure 6). Thus cadherin complexes mediate the expression of LAR protein. Under the same conditions, E-cadherin protein levels were not reduced, indicating that functional E-cadherin interactions rather than just cellular E-cadherin expression regulated LAR expression.

E-cadherin alone is not sufficient for the regulation of LAR

Since inhibition of E-cadherin homophilic interactions resulted in decreased LAR expression, we hypothesized that functional E-cadherin complexes were responsible for density-dependent regulation of LAR expression, perhaps by sequestering LAR at adherens junctions and decreasing its turnover. To examine this possibility, COS-7 cells were transiently co-transfected with mouse E-cadherin and human LAR. Transfection efficiency of E-cadherin was approx. 30–40% as assessed by immunocytochemistry. Whereas we did not have an appropriate antibody to determine the transfection efficiency of LAR, Western blotting revealed cellular expression levels of LAR that exceeded those of primary hepatocytes and several hepatoma cell lines, including HepG2 cells. It was assumed that the transfection efficiency of E-cadherin was sufficient to influence the cellular level of transfected LAR if such an interaction was occurring. While the results were variable and the data in Figure 7 show some increase in LAR levels with co-expression of E-cadherin, it was concluded that exogenous E-cadherin did not consistently alter the exogenous expression of LAR in these cells (Figure 7). Nevertheless, protein levels of exogenously expressed LAR continued to be regulated by cell density in the co-transfected COS-7 cells (see also Figure 4), suggesting that E-cadherin alone is not sufficient to regulate LAR expression.

Activation of E-cadherin complexes is sufficient to increase LAR expression

Functional E-cadherin complexes appear to be involved in the regulation of LAR expression. Perhaps ectopic expression of E-cadherin did not increase LAR levels because it did not appreciably increase the amount of functional E-cadherin complexes. To more directly investigate the role of functional E-cadherin complexes in the regulation of LAR levels, MCF-7 cells that had been maintained at low density for a minimum of 48 h were replated on culture dishes coated with either the E-cadherin antibody HECD-1 or rabbit IgG. The work of

Lambert et al. [33] has shown that interactions of cells with anti-cadherin-antibody-coated surfaces will mimic cadherin-mediated cell contact formation, recruitment of β -catenin, α -catenin and p120, and initiate cytoskeleton reorganization. Here, cells were plated at low density with little opportunity for cell-cell contact. Relative to LAR expression in control cells, LAR increased 2-fold in cells plated on the anti-E-cadherin antibody (Figure 8). Cell proliferation did not differ between the two sets of conditions during the course of the incubation.

DISCUSSION

In this study, LAR protein expression was shown to be responsive to cell density in mammary carcinoma cell lines, and functional E-cadherin complexes appeared to be the essential mediators or density sensors for this process. Additionally, transcriptional regulation did not appear to play a role in the changes in LAR expression. While the current study demonstrates that LAR levels are under the control of the E-cadherin complex, it has also been shown that LAR levels are sensitive to calcium ionophore and phorbol ester treatments [26]. Under the influence of these agents, LAR and the closely related PTP σ were shown to undergo proteolytic cleavage and subsequent shedding of their E-subunit. Loss of the E-subunit was followed by internalization of the catalytic P-subunit as shown by immunohistochemistry. The ultimate fate of the P-subunit was not determined, though P-subunit remained in the cytosol 4 h after treatment. The E-cadherin-dependent changes in LAR levels that are described in the current study with MCF-7 and Ln 3 cells indicate that comparable proportions of the P- and E-subunits are lost from cells in 4 h with a decrease in cell-cell contact. Although it cannot be ruled out that the E-subunit is being shed prior to the loss of the P-subunit, the ultimate result of the loss of cell-cell contact via decreased E-cadherin homophilic interactions is a loss of both subunits of the LAR protein. Using conditions that were similar to those used in the present study (i.e. disruption of cell-cell adhesion), Aicher et al. [26] showed that EGTA treatment of A431 cells resulted in internalization of β -catenin, plakoglobin and LAR. In this case, the entire LAR molecule was internalized (i.e. E- and P-subunits). While this internalization is assumed to lead to degradation, no comparison of the rates of loss of cellular LAR and E-cadherin were performed. Nonetheless, this report supports our conclusion that disruption of cell-cell adhesion results in removal of LAR and supports a mechanism by which the entire LAR molecule is internalized and degraded in response to a decrease in functional E-cadherin complexes.

LAR is one of several PTPs whose expression levels are influenced by cell density. We reported previously that levels of LAR, PTP1B and SHP2 (SH2-domain-containing PTP2) increase with cell density in the rat McArdle hepatoma cell line, RH7777 [24]. PTP DEP-1 (high-cell-density-enhanced PTP1) was first characterized by its increased expression and activity in high-density cultures [34]. PTP μ has been shown to be up-regulated with increasing cell density through homophilic binding between its extracellular domains expressed on adjacent cells [29]. This is not dissimilar from the mode of interaction of the cadherin family of transmembrane proteins. It has been hypothesized that PTP μ is up-regulated at high density because its homophilic interactions stabilize the PTP at the cell surface [29] and prolong its half-life. In contrast to this mechanism for stabilization of PTPs at high cell density, there is no evidence that the extracellular domain of LAR exhibits homophilic binding capability. Thus there is likely to be a mechanism other than homophilic interactions for density-dependent regulation of LAR. One report

indicates, however, that one splice variant of LAR can bind to laminin-nidogen complexes via its extracellular domains [35]. This has not, however, been shown to affect the half-life of LAR in cells. Finally, our studies indicate that regulation of transcription is not an essential step in E-cadherin-mediated regulation of LAR expression. Density-dependent LAR expression is observed even when ectopic LAR expression is driven by a constitutive promoter.

Based on published data [17,23,26] and our current observations, we support a model in which LAR is sequestered in functional E-cadherin complexes by an association that stabilizes LAR and prolongs its half-life. In the absence of or in response to disruption of these E-cadherin-dependent associations, LAR is subject to a rapid turnover, as we have observed when cells are moved to low-cell-density conditions after being maintained for several days under conditions of high cell-cell contact (i.e. high density). However, our data suggest that LAR does not associate directly with E-cadherin. When both LAR and E-cadherin were ectopically expressed in COS cells, E-cadherin expression had little or no effect on the level of LAR expression. The ectopic expression of E-cadherin may not form additional functional complexes with which to sequester additional LAR. Nonetheless, the inability of E-cadherin to affect LAR levels when both are ectopically expressed in COS at low cell density indicates that E-cadherin alone is insufficient to modulate LAR levels and suggests that other components of a functional E-cadherin complex are required for the density-dependent regulation of LAR.

Whereas E-cadherin expression alone is not sufficient for modulating density-dependent increases in LAR expression, E-cadherin is necessary for this process in MCF-7 cells. This was demonstrated with cells that were plated on to a surface coated with the HECD-1 antibody. Interactions between anti-cadherin antibodies and cell-surface cadherin have been shown to mimic cell-contact formation, cadherin clustering, recruitment of the components of the activated cadherin complex and cytoskeleton rearrangement [33]. In our investigations, such antibody-mediated activation was accompanied by a 2-fold increase in LAR expression. These data demonstrate that the density-dependent increase in LAR is related directly to functional E-cadherin interactions.

Whereas LAR does not appear to associate directly with E-cadherin, evidence both *in vivo* and *in vitro* supports a direct interaction between LAR and β -catenin [17,23]. Since β -catenin is an integral component in the E-cadherin complex, along with α -catenin, plakoglobin (γ -catenin) and p120 catenin, its physical association with LAR may explain the correlation of LAR expression with functional E-cadherin complexes. Kypta et al. [17] first reported the association of LAR with β -catenin in PC12 cells and indicated that the N-terminal domain of β -catenin is necessary for this association. Neither the armadillo domains of β -catenin nor association with α -catenin are necessary for an association *in vitro*. Muller et al. [23] have shown more recently that β -catenin is a substrate for LAR *in vitro*. They observed that ectopic expression of LAR inhibits epithelial cell migration induced by EGF. This was associated with a decrease in the free, uncomplexed pool of β -catenin and in the tyrosine phosphorylation of this population of β -catenin. It has been suggested by several groups [16,36,37] that tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin may disrupt the interaction of the E-cadherin complex with the actin cytoskeleton. While this remains controversial, increased free β -catenin may indicate disruption of E-cadherin complexes. LAR may regulate this process, which is important to cell migration by controlling phosphorylation of β -catenin.

The data in the current study now add to this model by demonstrating that cellular levels of LAR are controlled by the

functional E-cadherin complex. Whereas stoichiometric studies were not possible, it is interesting to speculate that cellular LAR levels reflect the number of functional E-cadherin complexes. More specifically, these LAR levels may reflect β -catenin sequestration in the complexes. Muller et al. [23] have shown that free, uncomplexed β -catenin increases with EGF treatment. If this pool of free β -catenin is derived from E-cadherin complexes, might this altered localization affect the associated LAR molecule? In preliminary studies, we have observed that LAR levels decrease in response to EGF in a time course that is consistent with increases in free β -catenin (C. M. LeVeau and R. A. Mooney, unpublished work).

In summary, tyrosine phosphorylation in E-cadherin complexes may be an essential regulatory mechanism to maintain cell-cell contact or to control migration in response to extracellular stimuli. Here we demonstrate that cellular levels of the transmembrane PTP LAR are regulated by functional E-cadherin complexes. The increased LAR levels at high cell density may represent a cellular mechanism to suppress further tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin (and plakoglobin?) in the E-cadherin complex and maintain contact inhibition of growth.

This work was supported in part by a grant (DAMD17-00-1-0423) from the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (to R.A.M.). C.M.L. was supported by a fellowship from the James P. Wilmut Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Gumbiner, B. M. (1996) Cell adhesion: the molecular basis of tissue architecture and morphogenesis. *Cell* **84**, 345–357.
- Vleminckx, K. and Kemler, R. (1999) Cadherins and tissue formation: integrating adhesion and signaling. *Bioessays* **21**, 211–220.
- Nagafuchi, A., Ishihara, S. and Tsukita, S. (1994) The roles of catenins in the cadherin-mediated cell adhesion: functional analysis of E-cadherin- α catenin fusion molecules. *J. Cell. Biol.* **127**, 235–245.
- Mareel, M., Bracke, M. and van Roy, F. (1994) Invasion promoter versus invasion suppressor molecules: the paradigm of E-cadherin. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **19**, 45–67.
- Shiozaki, H., Oka, H., Inoue, M., Tamura, S. and Monden, M. (1996) E-cadherin mediated adhesion system in cancer cells. *Cancer* **77**, 1605–1613.
- Bukholm, I. K., Nesland, J. M., Karsen, R., Jacobsen, U. and Borresen-Dale, A. L. (1998) E-cadherin and α -, β -, and γ -catenin protein expression in relation to metastasis in human breast carcinoma. *J. Pathol.* **185**, 262–266.
- Kanner, S. B., Reynolds, A. B. and Parsons, J. T. (1991) Tyrosine phosphorylation of a 120 kD pp60src substrate upon EGF and PDGF receptor stimulation and in polyomavirus middle T-antigen transformed cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **11**, 713–720.
- Xu, Y. and Carpenter, G. (1999) Identification of cadherin tyrosine residues that are phosphorylated and mediate Shc association. *J. Cell Biochem.* **75**, 264–271.
- Hinck, L., Nathke, I., Papkoff, J. and Nelsen, W. J. (1994) β -catenin: a common target for the regulation of cell adhesion by Wnt-1 and Src signaling pathways. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **19**, 538–542.
- Papkoff, J. (1997) Regulation of complexed and free catenin pools by distinct mechanisms: differential effects of Wnt-1 and v-Src. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 4536–4543.
- Takahashi, K., Suzuki, K. and Tsukatani, Y. (1997) Induction of tyrosine phosphorylation and association of β -catenin with EGF receptor upon tryptic digestion of quiescent cells at confluence. *Oncogene* **15**, 71–78.
- Shibata, T., Ochiai, A., Kanai, Y., Akimoto, S., Gotoh, M., Yasui, N., Machinami, R. and Hirohashi, S. (1996) Dominant negative inhibition of the association between β -catenin and c-erbB-2 by N-terminally deleted β -catenin suppresses the invasion and metastasis of cancer cells. *Oncogene* **13**, 883–889.
- Hiscox, S. and Jiang, W. G. (1999) Association of the HGF/SF receptor, c-met, with the cell-surface adhesion molecule, E-cadherin, and catenins in human tumor cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **261**, 406–411.
- Hiscox, S. and Jiang, W. G. (1999) Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor disrupts epithelial tumour cell-cell adhesion: involvement of β -catenin. *Anticancer Res.* **19**, 509–517.
- Rahim, N., Hung, W., Tremblay, E., Sualnier, R. and Elliott, B. (1998) c-Src kinase activity is required for hepatocyte growth factor-induced motility and anchorage-independent growth of mammary carcinoma cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 33714–33721.
- Matsuyoshi, N., Hamaguchi, M., Taniguchi, S., Nagafuchi, A., Tsukita, S. and Takeichi, M. (1992) Cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion is perturbed by v-src tyrosine phosphorylation in metastatic fibroblasts. *J. Cell Biol.* **118**, 703–714.
- Kypta, R. M., Su, H. and Reichardt, L. F. (1996) Association between a transmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase and the cadherin-catenin complex. *J. Cell. Biol.* **134**, 1519–1529.
- Brady-Kalnay, S. M., Rimm, D. L. and Tonks, N. K. (1995) Receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP μ associates with cadherins and catenins *in vivo*. *J. Cell Biol.* **130**, 977–986.
- Balsamo, J., Leung, T., Ernst, H., Zanin, M., Hoffman, S. and Lilien, J. (1996) Regulated binding of a PTP1B-like phosphatase to N-cadherin: control of cadherin-mediated adhesion by dephosphorylation of β -catenin. *J. Cell. Biol.* **134**, 801–813.
- Keilhack, H., Hellman, U., van Hengel, J., van Roy, F., Godovac-Zimmermann, J. and Bohmer, F. D. (2000) The protein-tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1 binds to and dephosphorylates p120 catenin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 26376–26384.
- Ukropec, J. A., Hollinger, M. K., Salva, S. M. and Woolkalis, M. J. (2000) SHP2 association with VE-cadherin complexes in human endothelial cells is regulated by thrombin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 5983–5986.
- Ozawa, M. and Kemler, R. (1998) Altered cell adhesion activity by pervanadate due to the dissociation of α -catenin from the E-cadherin-catenin complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 6166–6170.
- Muller, T., Choidas, A., Reichmann, E. and Ullrich, A. (1999) Phosphorylation and free pool of β -catenin are regulated by tyrosine kinases and tyrosine phosphatase during epithelial cell migration. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 10173–10183.
- Bleye, L. A., Peng, Y., Ellis, C. and Mooney, R. A. (1999) Dissociation of PTPase levels from their modulation of insulin receptor signal transduction. *Cell Signal.* **11**, 719–725.
- Nose, A., Nagafuchi, A. and Takeichi, M. (1988) Expressed recombinant cadherins mediate cell sorting in model systems. *Cell* **54**, 993–1001.
- Aicher, B., Lerch, M. M., Muller, T., Schilling, J. and Ullrich, A. (1997) Cellular redistribution of protein tyrosine phosphatases LAR and PTP σ by inducible proteolytic processing. *J. Cell Biol.* **138**, 681–696.
- Schaapveld, R., Schepens, J., Robinson, G., Attema, J., Oerlemans, F., Fransen, J., Streuli, M., Wieringa, B., Hennighausen, L. and Hendriks, W. (1997) Impaired mammary gland development and function in mice lacking LAR receptor-like tyrosine phosphatase activity. *Dev. Biol.* **188**, 134–146.
- Neri, A., Welch, D., Kawaguchi, T. and Nicolson, G. L. (1982) Development and biological properties of malignant cell sublines and clones of a spontaneously metastasizing rat mammary adenocarcinoma. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **68**, 507–517.
- Gebbink, M. F. B. G., Zondag, G. C. M., Koningstein, G. M., Feiken, E., Wubbolts, R. W. and Moolenaar, W. H. (1995) Cell surface expression of receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase RPTP μ is regulated by cell-cell contact. *J. Cell Biol.* **131**, 251–260.
- Zhang, J. S., Honkanieni, J., Yang, T., Yeo, T. T. and Longo, F. M. (1998) LAR tyrosine phosphatase receptor: A developmental isoform is present in neurites and growth cones and its expression is regional- and cell-specific. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* **10**, 271–286.
- Zhai, Y., Wirth, J., Kang, S., Welsch, C. W. and Esselman, W. J. (1995) LAR-PTPase cDNA transfection suppression of tumor growth of *neu* oncogene-transformed human breast carcinoma cells. *Mol. Carcinogen.* **14**, 103–110.
- Weng, L.-P., Yuan, J. and Yu, Q. (1998) Overexpression of the transmembrane tyrosine phosphatase LAR activates the caspase pathway and induces apoptosis. *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 247–256.
- Lambert, M., Padilla, F. and Mege, R. M. (2000) Immobilized dimers of N-cadherin-Fc chimera mimic cadherin-mediated cell contact formation: contribution of both outside-in and inside-out signals. *J. Cell Sci.* **113**, 2207–2219.
- Ostman, A., Yang, O. and Tonks, N. K. (1994) Expression of DEP-1, a receptor-like PTP, is enhanced with increasing cell density. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **91**, 9680–9684.
- O'Grady, P., Thai, T. C. and Saito, H. (1998) The laminin-nidogen complex is a ligand for a specific splice isoform of the transmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase LAR. *J. Cell Biol.* **141**, 1675–1684.
- Behrens, J., Vakaet, L., Friis, R., Winterhager, E., van Roy, F., Mareel, M. M. and Birchmeier, W. (1993) Loss of epithelial differentiation and gain of invasiveness correlates with tyrosine phosphorylation of the E-cadherin/ β -catenin complex in cells transformed with a temperature-sensitive v-SRC gene. *J. Cell Biol.* **120**, 757–766.
- Kinch, M. S., Clark, G. J., Der, C. J. and Burridge, K. (1995) Tyrosine phosphorylation regulates the adhesions of ras-transformed preast epithelia. *J. Cell Biol.* **130**, 461–471.