them harder to detect) it could cause an apparent excess stroke incidence and survival advantage in black people.

Alternatively, can the findings be explained by residual confounding? Black patients in the south London register were younger (by about 10 years), had a higher proportion of lacunar ischaemic strokes (which have a very low case fatality), and were more likely to be admitted to hospital and be cared for on a stroke unit,⁵ all of which would tend to improve survival. However, the survival advantage persisted after adjustment for demographic variables, socioeconomic status, prior risk factors and their management, stroke severity, and acute stroke management. Adjustment for stroke severity in particular may have been incomplete as analyses were stratified by the main pathological types of stroke (ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage, and subarachnoid haemorrhage), but it is unclear whether adjustment for the distributions of ischaemic stroke subtypes was undertaken.

However, the combination of differential case ascertainment and residual confounding could probably not explain all of the difference in survival, so what could explain a genuine ethnic difference? Subgroup analyses found that the difference was confined to older patients and those with minimal disability before their stroke,⁵ but as only 166 black patients died this could be a chance finding. The authors propose that better control of risk factors among black patients may partly explain their better survival, and that the migrant population from Africa and the Caribbean may be particularly healthy.⁵ But this would not explain the increased incidence of stroke in black people. Like studies in the US,^{11 12} the south London register found a higher prevalence of hypertension and diabetes and a lower prevalence of ischaemic heart disease and atrial fibrillation in black stroke patients than in white ones. Such differences in risk factors may differentially influence particular causes of death after stroke, such as recurrent stroke or myocardial infarction. Finally, black patients could have better community care provision than white patients. Although the south London register's researchers have found no clear difference in

the provision of NHS care after stroke between ethnic groups,¹³ they have not yet studied the care provided by families and other social networks, which may differ between ethnic groups.

The results are intriguing, and should encourage further studies of these possible explanations in south London and elsewhere.

Cathie Sudlow *clinical senior lecturer*

Division of Clinical Neurosciences and Medical Genetics Section,
University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh
EH4 2XU
(cathie.sudlow@ed.ac.uk)

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Sacco RL, Boden-Albala B, Gan R, Chen X, Kargman DE, Shea S, et al. Stroke incidence among white, black, and Hispanic residents of an urban community: the Northern Manhattan Stroke Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;147:259-68.
- 2 Kissela B, Schneider A, Kleindorfer D, Khoury J, Miller R, Alwell K, et al. Stroke in a biracial population. The excess burden of stroke among blacks. *Stroke* 2004;35:426-31.
- 3 Wolfe CDA, Rudd AG, Howard R, Coshall C, Stewart J, Lawrence E, et al. Incidence and case fatality rates of stroke subtypes in a multiethnic population: the South London stroke register. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;72:211-6.
- 4 Hartmann A, Rundek T, Mast H, Paik MC, Boden-Albala B, Mohr JP, Sacco RL. Mortality and causes of death after first ischemic stroke. The northern Manhattan stroke study. *Neurology* 2001;57:2000-5.
- 5 Wolfe CDA, Smeeton NC, Coshall C, Tilling K, Rudd AG. Survival differences after stroke in a multiethnic population: follow-up study with the south London stroke register. *BMJ* 2005;331:431-3.
- 6 Tilling K, Sterne JAC, Wolfe CDA. Estimation of the incidence of stroke using a capture-recapture model including covariates. *Int J Epidemiol* 2001;30:1351-9.
- 7 Barer D. Commentary: Estimation of the incidence of stroke using a capture-recapture model including covariates. *Int J Epidemiol* 2001;30:1359-60.
- 8 Coull AJ, Silver LE, Bull LM, Giles MF, Rothwell PM, on behalf of the Oxford Vascular (OXVASC) study. Direct assessment of completeness of ascertainment in a stroke incidence study. *Stroke* 2004;35:2041-7.
- 9 Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Warlow C, Jones L, McPherson K, et al. A prospective study of acute cerebrovascular disease in the community: the Oxfordshire community stroke project 1981-6. 1. Methodology, demography and incident cases of first-ever stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1988;51:1373-80.
- 10 Rothwell PM, Coull AJ, Giles MF, Howard SC, Silver LE, Bull LM, et al. Change in stroke incidence, mortality, case-fatality, severity, and risk factors in Oxfordshire, UK from 1981 to 2004 (Oxford vascular study). *Lancet* 2004;363:1925-33.
- 11 Sacco RL, Boden-Albala B, Abel G, Lin I-F, Elkind M, Hauser A, et al. Race-ethnic disparities in the impact of stroke risk factors. The northern Manhattan stroke study. *Stroke* 2001;32:1725-31.
- 12 McGruder HF, Malarcher AM, Antoine TL, Greenlund KJ, Croft JB. Racial and ethnic disparities in cardiovascular risk factors among stroke survivors. United States 1999-2001. *Stroke* 2004;35:1557-61.
- 13 McKeivitt C, Coshall C, Tilling K, Wolfe C. Are there inequalities in the provision of stroke care? Analysis of an inner-city stroke register. *Stroke* 2005;36:315-20.

Cardiac impairment or heart failure?

"Heart failure" confuses doctors and patients and needs renaming

There is no disease that you either have or don't have—except perhaps sudden death or rabies. All other diseases you either have a little or a lot of," said Geoffrey Rose.¹ This is true of "heart failure"—everybody can have a bit if they try hard enough, by physical exertion or even by emotional shock.² But, apart from transient induced cardiac overload, the term can be used to mean anything from asymptomatic systolic dysfunction to imminent death from pulmonary oedema. Because of widely varying definitions, the epidemiology of heart failure can become almost uninterpretable, with estimates of its prevalence in the United Kingdom

varying from 500 000 to 3 million.³ Moreover, qualitative studies show that many patients are never told that they have heart failure because doctors are understandably reluctant to use the term.⁴ When a label confuses doctors and impairs communication with patients, it seems sensible to change the label.

The recent increase in interest in heart failure began with interventional studies among highly selected patients. They were mainly men aged 60-65 on average, with a history of myocardial infarction or cardiomyopathy and a left systolic ejection fraction of less than 30-35% as measured by cardiac catheterisation or radionuclide ventriculography. After initial success in

BMJ 2005;331:415-6

treating such patients with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, a series of other drugs were tried, usually by addition and using similar selection criteria. These trials have left us a valuable legacy of evidence on the best ways to slow the progression of systolic heart failure in younger men, mainly because of ischaemia. However, most patients with a syndrome of heart failure are not like this.⁵ Their average age in general practice in the UK is 77,⁶ and they mostly have considerable comorbidity. The proportions of women and men even out with age, as do the proportions with and without systolic dysfunction.

To identify patients with heart failure who correspond to the group for which we have an evidence base, clinicians and service providers have focused on improving access to echocardiography. But echocardiography alone cannot diagnose heart failure: it is not the "gold standard." None of the early and important interventional trials used echocardiography to measure systolic ejection fraction because, although it is relatively cheap and accessible, several other methods are more accurate. Echocardiography is an essential tool in assessing the status and severity of heart failure and provides a wealth of structural and dynamic information. But heart failure remains a clinical diagnosis, and functional status and prognosis bear little relation to the ejection fraction alone. In a recent European study researchers, like many clinicians, used an ejection fraction of 50% to define "systolic dysfunction." They found no difference in 10 year survival among patients with ejection fractions above and below this level.⁷ Similar outcomes have also been reported in hospital patients in the UK, using ejection fraction of 40% as the cut-off point.⁸

Heart failure is found chiefly in elderly people who may or may not have impairment of systolic function that is measurable by echocardiography. As every clinician knows, such patients often go in and out of overt heart failure. Because heart failure is a continuum, its definition should be based on the best marker for prognosis. There is little doubt that the best single marker is the level of the cardiac hormone, B-type natriuretic peptide, in blood: measured on a single occasion, it outperforms all other tests, including the systolic ejection fraction and more comprehensive echocardiographic measures, such as the Tei index.⁹ Measuring B-type natriuretic peptide sequentially to determine average serum concentrations or the rate of their change will probably prove even more predictive because this hormone responds quickly to changes in cardiac load. Moreover, evidence is increasing that this hormone could be a much needed marker of response to treatment for heart failure in individual patients.

It is hard to overstate the value of a simple, repeatable, and highly predictive blood test in guiding the treatment of heart failure. Such a test could give patients with heart failure access to the kind of chronic disease management that works successfully in primary care for diabetes and for secondary prevention in coronary heart disease. But before this can become a reality, we need more long term studies of B-type natriuretic peptide as a predictor of response to treatment: several are in progress.¹⁰ Also, by routinely measuring this hormone in patients at risk of heart

failure—those with ischaemic heart disease, high blood pressure, and diabetes—we may be able to prevent or delay the onset of symptomatic heart failure in many of such patients.

B-type natriuretic peptide is a reliable indicator of a struggling heart. Anything which strains or inflames either of the cardiac ventricles increases its serum concentrations, and conversely those levels are not elevated in the absence of ventricular strain or inflammation. In individual patients, further investigation may need to be done to determine the cause of that struggle, but the more we use B-type natriuretic peptide in clinical management, the more we will tend to redefine our concept of "heart failure" and may begin to wonder whether this a helpful label at all.

For doctors, "heart failure" covers a confusingly wide spectrum of illness, whereas for patients it has a deadly ring of finality.¹¹ Failure means the end of hope, and many patients who have been told they have heart failure prefer not to remember the term or let it dominate their lives. This partial denial may have damaging consequences, both psychologically and in terms of adherence to treatment.¹² Given that we already have so much trouble deciding on a definition of heart failure ourselves, it might be kinder, and more accurate, to start calling it cardiac impairment.

Richard Lehman *general practitioner*

Hightown Surgery, Hightown Gardens, Banbury, Oxfordshire
OX16 9DB
richard.lehman@gp-k84059.nhs.uk

Jenny Doust *senior research fellow in clinical epidemiology*

Division of Health Systems, Policy and Practice, University of
Queensland, Level 2, Edith Cavell Building, Royal Brisbane Hospital
Complex, QLD 4029, Australia

Paul Glasziou *director*

Centre for Evidence-Based Practice, Oxford University, Oxford
OX3 7LF

Competing interests: None declared.

- Rose G. *The strategy of preventive medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992:72.
- Wittstein IS, Thiemann DR, Lima JA, Baughman KL, Schulman SP, Gerstenblith G, et al. Neurohumoral features of myocardial stunning due to sudden emotional stress. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:539.
- Cleland JG, Khand A, Clark A. The heart failure epidemic: exactly how big is it? *Eur Heart J* 2001;8:623-6.
- Murray SA, Boyd K, Kendall M, Worth A, Benson TE, Clausen H. Dying of lung cancer or cardiac failure: prospective qualitative interview study of patients and their carers in the community. *BMJ* 2002;325:929-32.
- Masoudi FA, Havranek EP, Wolfe P, Gross CP, Rathore SS, Steiner JF, et al. Most hospitalized older persons do not meet the enrollment criteria for clinical trials in heart failure. *Am Heart J* 2003;146:250-7.
- de Guili F, Khaw KT, Cowie MR, Sutton GC, Ferrari R, Poole-Wilson PA. Incidence and outcome of persons with a clinical diagnosis of heart failure in a general practice population of 696,884 in the United Kingdom. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2005;7:295-302.
- Varela-Roman A, Grigorian L, Bassante P, de la Pena MG, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR. Heart failure in patients with preserved and deteriorated left ventricular ejection fraction. *Heart* 2005;91:489-94.
- Berry C, Hogg K, Norrie J, Stevenson K, Brett M, McMurray J. Heart failure with preserved left ventricular systolic function: a hospital cohort study. *Heart* 2005;91:907-13.
- Doust J, Pietrzak E, Dobson A, Glasziou PP. How well does BNP predict death and cardiac events in patients with heart failure: a systematic review. *BMJ* 2005;330:625-34.
- Richards AM, Troughton R, Lainchbury J, Doughty R, Wright S. Guiding and monitoring of heart failure therapy with NT-proBNP: concepts and clinical studies. *J Card Fail* 2005;11(suppl5):S34.
- Taylor M, Ogden J. Doctors' use of euphemisms and their impact on patients' beliefs about health: an experimental study of heart failure. *Patient Educ Couns* 2005;57:321-6.
- Buetow SA, Coster GD. Do general practice patients with heart failure understand its nature and seriousness, and want improved information? *Patient Educ Couns* 2001;45:181-5.