New EMBO Member's Review

The many faces of protease–protein inhibitor interaction

Jacek Otlewski*, Filip Jelen, Malgorzata Zakrzewska and Arkadiusz Oleksy

Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

Proteases and their natural protein inhibitors are among the most intensively studied protein–protein complexes. There are about 30 structurally distinct inhibitor families that are able to block serine, cysteine, metallo- and aspartyl proteases. The mechanisms of inhibition can be related to the catalytic mechanism of protease action or include a mechanism-unrelated steric blockage of the active site or its neighborhood. The structural elements that are responsible for the inhibition most often include the N- or the C-terminus or exposed loop(s) either separately or in combination of several such elements. During complex formation, no major conformational changes are usually observed, but sometimes structural transitions of the inhibitor and enzyme occur. In many cases, convergent evolution, with respect to the inhibitors' parts that are responsible for the inhibition, can be inferred from comparisons of their structures or sequences, strongly suggesting that there are only limited ways to inhibit proteases by proteins.

The EMBO Journal (2005) 24, 1303–1310. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600611; Published online 3 March 2005

Subject Categories: structural biology; proteins

Keywords: inhibition; protease; protein inhibitor; protein–protein recognition

Introduction

A strong inhibition of an active protease by a protein appears to be a paradox. Nevertheless, proteinaceous inhibitors of proteolytic enzymes comprise the largest and structurally most diverse group of naturally occurring enzyme inhibitors. A comprehensive list of 48 inhibitor families has been recently published (Rawlings *et al*, 2004) and is available at <http://merops.sanger.ac.uk>. Inhibitor structures, modes of inhibition, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters, and the nature of the enzyme–inhibitor complexes are surprisingly diversified. On the other hand, in many cases, convergence of structure and/or function can be observed, pointing to the fact that there is a limited number of inhibition modes.

*Corresponding author. Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Wrocław, ul. Tamka 2, 50-137 Wrocław, Poland. Tel.: +48 71 3752 824; Fax: +48 71 3752 608; E-mail: otlewski@protein.pl

Received: 9 July 2004; accepted: 9 February 2005; published online: 3 March 2005

Some protein folds support structural elements that are responsible for unrelated types of inhibition. For example, the β -barrel fold is involved in inhibition of cysteine, serine and metalloproteases (Rzychon *et al*, 2003), knottins are responsible for inhibition of serine and metalloproteases (Bode *et al*, 1989), bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI)-like proteins can inhibit serine proteases through two unrelated mechanisms (Wei *et al*, 1998) and proteins belonging to Bowman–Birk family can inhibit serine or cysteine proteases (Hatano *et al*, 2002). The above-mentioned folds are rigid and stable, which might reflect the fact that high resistance to proteolysis of the inhibitor framework is useful in supporting the inhibitory epitopes.

Inhibition of the concave protease active site is usually achieved by docking of an exposed structural element of the inhibitor, like a single loop or a protein terminus, either independently or in combination of two or more such elements. Since inhibitors are proteins, inhibition in many cases is linked to the mechanism of peptide bond cleavage observed in protein substrates. Besides the protein inhibitors discussed in this review, proteases can also be effectively inhibited by prosegments that catalyze folding of mature enzymes (Khan and James, 1998). The inhibitors are usually specific toward one of four mechanistic classes of proteases (serine, cysteine, aspartic or metalloproteases), with protein inhibitors of threonine and glutamyl proteases (Fujinaga *et al*, 2004) remaining yet to be discovered (Table I). In this review, we discuss well-documented mechanisms of inhibition, supported by the spatial structures of respective complexes. We focus on those features of inhibitors that allow them to escape regular proteolysis.

Mechanism-based inhibitors

Inhibition through tight Michaelis complex

A noncovalent protease–inhibitor complex, highly similar to the enzyme–substrate interaction, is a very common way of inhibition. This type of protease inactivation arose many times during the evolution of 18 families of serine protease canonical inhibitors, but there is evidence that it is also utilized to inhibit cysteine and metalloproteases (Table I).

The most intensively studied example of substrate-like interaction is canonical inhibitors of serine proteases (Figure 1A(1)). The majority of the inhibitors are rigid, stable, purely β -sheet or mixed α/β proteins, but they can also be α -helical or irregular proteins rich in disulfide bonds. It is intriguing that in all these families, the loops are of a very similar, canonical conformation, despite completely different amino-acid sequences of the P_3 – P_3' segments among different families and also between individual members of a family (Bode and Huber, 1992).

Table 1 Structural and mechanistic features of protein inhibitors of proteases

Protease type	Inhibitor	Representatives	Major features of inhibition	Inhibitor size
Serine	Canonical inhibitors	BPTI, OMTKY3, eglin c, CMTI I	Often extremely tight and fast, noncovalent interaction resembling Michaelis complex, direct blockage of the active site, no conformational changes, antiparallel β -sheet between enzyme and inhibitor, similar mode of interaction through canonical protease binding loop in 18 different inhibitor scaffolds, moderate size of interface, utmost role of P_1 residue, additive effects on association energy	3–21 kDa per domain
	Noncanonical inhibitors	Hirudin, TAP, ornithodorin	Extremely strong, fast and specific interaction so far known for factor X_a and thrombin only, two-step kinetics, inhibition of the active site through inhibitor's N-terminus forming parallel β -sheet with enzyme active site, large interface composed of two interaction areas	6–8 kDa per domain
	Serpins	α -1-Antitrypsin, antithrombin	Irreversible covalent acyl-enzyme complex, mouse-trap mechanism, huge conformational changes in inhibitor, important role of P_1 position, suicide inhibition, disruption of protease active site	45–55 kDa
Cysteine	Cystatins	Chicken cystatin, cystatin C, stefin B, kininogen	Extremely tight but not specific, reversible and noncovalent inhibition, interaction through a wedge formed by two hairpin loops and N-terminus, catalytic Cys25 accessible in complex, important interactions through P_2 position	11–13 kDa, up to 60–120 kDa (kininogen)
	Thyropins	p41, equistatin	Very tight inhibition, mechanism similar to cystatins but often more specific, unusual inhibition of cysteine and aspartic proteases at different domains of equistatin	7 kDa per domain
	Bromelain inhibitors	BI-VI	Moderately strong inhibition at low pH and no inhibition at neutral pH, structural resemblance to canonical inhibitors of Bowman-Birk family	6–8 kDa
	Staphostatins	Staphostatin B	Moderately strong inhibition, inhibition mechanism resembling that of canonical inhibitors, inhibitor structure different from cystatins, unusual conformation of conserved Gly at P_1 , substrate-like orientation of inhibitor, large area of interaction, importance of P_1' position	11 kDa
	IAP	XIAP, cIAP1	Highly specific inhibition, reversible tight binding kinetics, inhibition also through interdomain flexible linker region as nonproductive binding in orientation opposite to that of substrates	9 kDa per BIR domain
		CrmA, PI-9 p35	Highly specific inhibition, similar to serpin mechanism-based inactivation Nonspecific inhibition, irreversible acyl-enzyme, distortion of active site, p35 N-terminus shields catalytic Cys360 from water molecules, gross conformational changes in inhibitor	38 kDa 35 kDa
Metallo		PCI, LCI	Tight enzyme-product complex, inhibition through C-terminal segment, key role of Val38 (P_1), no conformational changes in inhibitor upon complexation	4 kDa
		SMPI	Moderately specific inhibitor, inhibition mechanism resembling standard mechanism of canonical inhibitors of serine proteases, temporary inhibition, rigid protease binding loop	11 kDa
		<i>P. aeruginosa</i> inhibitor, <i>E. chrysanthemi</i> inhibitor	Both tight and weak inhibition observed, major interactions through five N-terminal residues, N-terminal amino group forms a coordinative bond to catalytic Zn, in analogy to TIMPs	15 kDa
		TIMP1–4	Tight but not highly specific noncovalent interaction, N-terminus and five inhibitor loops form wedge contacting the active site, bidental coordination of catalytic Zn through N-terminus, major interactions through P_1' residue, moderate conformational changes in inhibitor upon complexation	20–22 kDa
Aspartic		IA ₃	Strong, highly specific and fully unique type of inhibition, fully unfolded in free state, forms long helix in the complex comprising only N-terminal half of inhibitor, noncovalent complex	8 kDa
		PI-3	Strong but not highly specific, antiparallel β -sheet formed between enzyme and inhibitor, no conformational changes	17 kDa

BPTI: bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor; OMTKY3: turkey ovomucoid third domain; CMTI I: *Cucurbita maxima* trypsin inhibitor 1; TAP: tick anticoagulant peptide; BI-VI, bromelain inhibitor VI from pineapple; IAP: inhibitor of apoptosis; XIAP: X-linked IAP; cIAP1: cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein 1; BIR: baculoviral IAP repeat; CrmA: cytokine response modifier A; PI-9: protease inhibitor 9; PCI: potato carboxypeptidase inhibitor; LCI: leech carboxypeptidase inhibitor; SMPI: *Streptomyces* proteinaceous metalloprotease inhibitor; TIMP: tissue inhibitors of metalloproteases; IA₃: inhibitor of aspartic protease from yeast; PI-3, *Ascaris suum* pepsin inhibitor 3.

The mode of the canonical inhibitor-serine protease interaction is presumed to be adopted also by a productively bound protein substrate. The loop is usually of higher dynamics in the uncomplexed state and becomes significantly rigidified upon complex formation with the protease. Several intermolecular hydrogen bonds of constant pattern are

formed between the canonical loop and the enzyme active site, including a short antiparallel β -sheet between P_3 - P_1 and the 214–216 segment (in the chymotrypsin family), two hydrogen bonds between the carbonyl oxygen of P_1 and the amides of the oxyanion binding hole and a short contact between the P_1 carbonyl carbon and the catalytic serine.

Figure 1 Examples of protease-inhibitor complexes. (A) Serine protease-inhibitor complexes: (1) canonical: trypsin-CMTI (PDB: 1PPE), (2) serpin: trypsin- α -1-antitrypsin (1EZK), (3) noncanonical: α -thrombin-haemedin (1E0F). (B) Cysteine protease-inhibitor complexes: (1) cathepsin H-stefin A (1NB5), (2) caspase-7-XIAP (1I51), (3) caspase-8-p35 (1I4E). (C) Metalloprotease-inhibitor complexes: (1) *Serratia marcescens* metalloprotease-*Erwinia chrysanthemi* inhibitor (1SMP), (2) membrane-type MMP-1-TIMP-2 (1BQQ), (3) human carboxypeptidase A2-LCI (1DTP). (D) Aspartic protease-inhibitor complexes: (1) porcine pepsin-PI-3 (1F34), (2) proteinase A-IA3 (1DPJ). Three-dimensional structures of proteases are represented by yellow ribbons with water accessibility surface colored in pale green. Secondary structure elements of inhibitors are marked in blue (β -sheets), red (α -helices) and magenta (coils). The inhibition types of particular enzyme:inhibitor pairs are given in parentheses.

In the crystal structures of all enzyme-inhibitor complexes, the latter bond is shorter than the van der Waals distance, however, not short enough to form a tetrahedral adduct.

The conserved mode of recognition between the protease binding loop and the enzyme active site allows many different serine proteases (belonging both to the chymotrypsin and subtilisin families) of different specificities to be inhibited by turkey ovomucoid third domain (Ardelt and Laskowski, 1985). This is true also for other inhibitors. Eglin c inhibits 14 serine proteases with the association constants greater than 10^8 M^{-1} (Laskowski and Qasim, 2000). A huge, billion-fold, difference between the association constants exists for the interaction between 13 P₁ mutants of BPTI and trypsin, and again the crystal structures of the respective complexes show an identical mode of recognition (Helland *et al*, 1999, 2003). The complementary character of the convex binding loop of the inhibitor and the concave active site of the enzyme ensures a high level of predictivity of amino-acid substitutions within the amino-acid sequence of a particular inhibitor for the association energy with the enzyme (Lu *et al*, 2001). Further, quantitatively similar effects of amino-acid substitutions at the same position of the canonical loop in representatives of different inhibitor families on the association energy with a single protease were found (Qasim *et al*, 1997; Krowarsch *et al*, 1999).

Although canonical inhibitors form stable, crystallizable complexes with cognate enzymes, the P₁-P₁' peptide bond (the reactive site), located in the center of the canonical loop, can be selectively hydrolyzed by the enzyme. The conformation of the cleaved inhibitor is very similar to the intact form, except for local structural changes near the P₁-P₁' peptide bond (Musil *et al*, 1991). Upon mixing of the cleaved form with the enzyme, resynthesis of the reactive site peptide bond occurs, leading to a complex that is identical to that formed between an intact inhibitor and the enzyme (Ardelt and Laskowski, 1985; Helland *et al*, 1999). However, the cleavage and resynthesis reactions are surprisingly slow and the hydrolysis equilibrium constant is usually not far away from unity at neutral pH. This means that intact and cleaved inhibitors are of similar thermodynamic stability. Interestingly, while formation of an acyl-enzyme between the enzyme and the inhibitor proceeds fast, a delay occurs at the subsequent deacylation step due to the tightly bound amino (leaving) group, oriented such as to inhibit the deacylation step and favoring the resynthesis of the P₁-P₁' peptide bond (Radisky and Koshland, 2002).

A mechanism based on hydrolysis/resynthesis of a single peptide bond, the dominant feature of canonical inhibitors, has been also proposed for *Streptomyces* metalloproteinase inhibitor (SMPI) (Tate *et al*, 1998). Its reactive site loop is rigid in the free inhibitor and, in analogy to canonical inhibitors, highly complementary to the active site of the enzyme. The exact nature of the complex, however, must await crystal structure determination of the enzyme-inhibitor complex.

Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteases (TIMPs) also interact with their target matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) in a substrate-like manner. They avoid cleavage through an unrelated mechanism based on the displacement of the catalytic water molecule from the enzyme active site. These inhibitors are built of two domains: an N-terminal domain, composed of

about 125 amino-acid residues, and a more flexible C-terminal domain of about 65 residues, each domain stabilized by three disulfide bonds and together forming an elongated edge (Gomis-Ruth *et al*, 1997). This edge, formed by the N-terminus and four loop segments, binds to the active site cleft of the cognate MMP (Fernandez-Catalan *et al*, 1998) (Figure 1C(2)). About 75% of the contacts are made by the N-terminus and the so-called connector loop. Isolated N-terminal domains are stable and block the activity of various MMPs (Murphy *et al*, 1991). The N-terminus (Cys1-Pro5) binds to the MMP active site in a substrate-like orientation. The most essential feature of the inhibitory complex is a bidentate coordination of the catalytic Zn²⁺ by the α -amino and carbonyl groups of Cys1, and interaction of the same amino group and the Thr/Ser2 side chain with the catalytic glutamate. These interactions lead to the displacement of the catalytic water molecule. Thus, although the N-terminal residues 1-4 bind in a productive, substrate-like fashion, the cleavage cannot occur due to the inhibitor-induced distortion of the catalytic apparatus.

The strong interactions between the serralsin group of metalloproteases and their bacterial inhibitors from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Hege *et al*, 2001) and *Erwinia chrysanthemi* (Baumann *et al*, 1995) resemble those described for the TIMP-MMP complexes (Figure 1C(1)). Although TIMPs and the two bacterial inhibitors are structurally unrelated, both groups of inhibitors form similar substrate-like interactions and coordinative bonds to the catalytic zinc utilizing the N-terminal residue. The length of the N-terminal trunk allows precise and intimate interaction between the N-terminal residue and the zinc ion. A closer approach is prevented by the body of the inhibitors forming an eight-stranded antiparallel β -barrel (Hege *et al*, 2001).

Enzyme-product complex

Inhibition through the formation of a stable enzyme-product complex is known for potato carboxypeptidase A inhibitor (CPI) (Rees and Lipscomb, 1982) and leech carboxypeptidase inhibitor (LCI) (Reverter *et al*, 2000) (Figure 1C(3)). Both inhibitors are structurally unrelated (39 versus 66 amino-acid residues, respectively), but their C-terminal tails, which are flexible in free inhibitors (Reverter *et al*, 2000), are similar (-Pro-Tyr-Val-Gly/Glu), suggesting convergent evolution. Indeed, these inhibitors recognize a carboxypeptidase in a similar way, with the C-terminal residue (Gly and Glu, respectively) cleaved off, but still present in either complex. The strength of both complexes is similar, independently of the presence of the C-terminal residue, suggesting that the inhibitors bind in a similar manner at the pre- (substrate-like) and postcleavage (product-like) stages. In the crystal structures of both complexes, the crucial interaction is made by a Val residue (present at P₁'), including the coordination of the catalytic zinc by its carboxylate. There is some analogy to canonical inhibitors of serine proteases: in both types of inhibition, slow cleavage of the P₁-P₁' peptide bond occurs, the product of the hydrolytic reaction is active as inhibitor and the amine group dissociation is blocked.

The pepsin inhibitor 3 (PI-3) from the intestinal parasite *Ascaris suum* is also able to form a stable enzyme-product type of complex. The inhibitor is unspecific as it forms complexes with a number of aspartyl proteases. PI-3 is built of two subdomains, each composed of antiparallel β -sheets

flanked by an α -helix (Ng *et al*, 2000). The N-terminal β -strand forms an antiparallel β -sheet with one strand of the protease active site flap. This leads to the formation of an extensive eight-stranded β -sheet spanning both proteins (Figure 1D(1)). The N-terminal β -strand of the inhibitor is essential for inhibition: Gln1 is positioned near both catalytic aspartates, and it is likely that its α -amino group is close enough to one of the catalytic aspartates to exclude the catalytic water molecule. Gln1 together with Phe2 and Leu3 occupies the S_1 '– S_3 ' pockets of the enzyme. Since an additional Thr residue is present at the PI-3 N-terminus prior to incubation with pepsin, it can be inferred that the complex is of the enzyme–product type and the N-terminal threonine is cutoff during complex formation.

Acyl-enzyme intermediate

Compared with the thermodynamically stable Michaelis-like complex, inhibition through formation of an acyl-enzyme is a dynamic and irreversible process leading to a kinetically trapped intermediate. This type of inhibition can only occur for serine and cysteine proteases, which hydrolyze the peptide bond through an acyl- and thioacyl-enzyme intermediate, respectively. Interestingly, cross-class reactivity, covering both classes of proteases, has been demonstrated in a number of cases (Gettins, 2002; Stennicke *et al*, 2002). Inhibitors that can form a stable acyl-enzyme complex are large, single-domain proteins that undergo a highly cooperative transition destroying the active site of the protease before deacylation can take place. In this group of inhibitors, the reactive center loop (RCL) is flexible, exposed and long to make it a good substrate.

The classic examples of inhibition through this mechanism are serpins, 45–55 kDa proteins that share about 35% sequence homology and a remarkably common fold composed of three β -sheets and eight or nine α -helices forming a single domain (Gettins, 2002). Unlike typical proteins, serpins are metastable in their active state and undergo a huge structural transition to a stable conformation upon complex formation with a target protease. The initial recognition of the exposed RCL is similar as in the case of canonical inhibitors, and the protease attacks the P_1 – P_1' bond as a potential substrate. At this stage, there are no conformational changes either in the protease or in the serpin, and the conformation of the RCL is canonical (Ye *et al*, 2001). The subsequent attack by the catalytic Ser residue on the serpin 'bait' P_1 – P_1' peptide bond leads to an acyl-enzyme intermediate. In a sharp contrast to canonical inhibitors, the newly formed amino group now easily dissociates from the active site and the fully unconstrained RCL is inserted into β -sheet A, flipping the covalently attached protease to the opposite side of the serpin, over 70 Å from the initial recognition site (Huntington *et al*, 2000) (Figure 1A(2)). In this covalent and irreversible complex, the acyl linkage between the two macromolecules does not affect serpin, but over one-third of the enzyme molecule is severely deformed, including plucking of its catalytic serine and breakage of the interactions maintaining the active site conformation. Thus, the serpin inhibitory mechanism fully depends on rapid main β -sheet A expansion and subsequent incorporation of the RCL before the hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme can occur. Biochemical (Huntington *et al*, 1997) and structural (Aertgeerts *et al*, 1995) studies have shown that the rate of loop insertion is critical for

inhibition. There are many examples of serpins that use overlapping reactive centers to inhibit two or more serine proteases (Potempa *et al*, 1988; Irving *et al*, 2002). Accordingly, the length of the inserted RCL can vary depending on the inhibited protease. Even more surprisingly, a single serpin can show dual mechanistic class reactivity encompassing serine and cysteine proteases, using different reactive centers (Al-Khunaizi *et al*, 2002). This is in a sharp contrast to the constant location of the reactive site of canonical inhibitors, which is precisely defined by the shape and constant length of the canonical loop and always serves as a single recognition site (Ardelt and Laskowski, 1985).

In many aspects, canonical inhibitors and serpins show opposite features. In the former group, the cleavage of the reactive site does not produce conformational changes due to constraints from the neighboring structural elements, like disulfide bond(s), proline(s), hydrogen bonds and/or large hydrophobic side chains that all stiffen the P_1 – P_1' reactive site. The binding loop is relatively short and enzymatic religation of the newly released amino and carboxyl groups is kinetically favorable. The free energies of intact and cleaved form are comparable. In contrast, the RCL loop of serpins is poorly constrained and long, between 14 and 19 amino acids (Gettins, 2002). The RCL length strongly affects the protease–serpin complex stability: if too long, less plucking stress is applied on the protease active site, but if too short, steric conflicts between the enzyme and β -sheet A effectively block the loop insertion. The sequence restriction of the loop is low in the P_7 – P_1 region, but it is pronounced in the hinge region responsible for the effectiveness of the insertion. Further, proteolysis of a single peptide bond within the RCL loop of serpin leads to a dramatic structural rearrangement and an enormous increase of over 30 kcal mol^{−1} in the stability of the cleaved form (Im *et al*, 2000).

The mechanism of inhibition of caspases by the baculovirus p35 protein is similar to that of serpins, as it represents mechanism-based inactivation through the formation of a covalent thiol ester (Xu *et al*, 2001). In detail, however, the molecular rearrangement of the inhibitor upon cleavage of the peptide bond is much less profound (Figure 1B(3)). The cleavage of the P_1 – P_1' peptide bond located in the exposed loop allows the amino segment of the cleaved loop to move and bury. As a result, the N-terminal strand of p35 that contains the Cys2 residue is released. In the complex, Cys2 is inserted into the active site, sterically blocking the access of the hydrolytic water molecule. However, compared to serpins, the conformational transition of the inhibitor is less dramatic and the protease structure is not affected.

Inhibition through a nonproductive binding

Nonproductive binding appears to be a relatively simple mechanism of escaping proteolysis. Interestingly, it was developed to control precisely apoptosis (Stennicke *et al*, 2002). Inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) are widely distributed proteins built of tandemly arranged BIR (baculoviral IAP repeat) domains that are able to inhibit specifically caspases. Surprisingly, a direct role in the inhibition is played by a flexible linker connecting the BIR domains, which serves to block the active site of caspase-3 and -7 in the case of the archetypal XIAP (X-linked IAP) inhibitor (Chai *et al*, 2001)

(Figure 1B(2)). Compared with a regular substrate or covalent peptide inhibitor, the linker binds to the active site in a reverse orientation. Again, a case of convergence of inhibition mechanisms can be found, as in the structures of all zymogens of papain-like cysteine proteases, the prosegment covers the active site in a nonproductive orientation (Khan and James, 1998). In the caspase–XIAP complex, the S_1 pocket, which determines the selectivity for caspase substrates, remains empty and the crucial interactions are mediated through the P_4 residue. The binding is nonproductive, any XIAP carbonyl carbon approaches the catalytic cysteine and the interactions within the oxyanion binding hole are absent. Although the role of BIR domains appears to be passive, the isolated linker is not able to inhibit caspases (Chai *et al*, 2001).

Steric blockage of the protease active site

In several evolutionarily unrelated cases, the polypeptide chain of the inhibitor is able to block the active site of the protease so that neither of its peptide bonds is in direct contact with the catalytic groups. A classic example is inhibition of papain-like cysteine proteases by inhibitors belonging to the superfamily of cystatins (comprising cystatins, stefins and kininogens) (Turk *et al*, 1997). However, thyroglobulin type 1 (Guncar *et al*, 1999) and probably also other inhibitors (Rigden *et al*, 2002) share clear similarities with cystatins in their mode of interaction with the cysteine enzymes. The protease binding site of cystatins (Bode *et al*, 1988) and stefins (Stubbs *et al*, 1990) is composed of two hairpin loops (the first containing the conserved and functionally essential QVVAG sequence) and an N-terminal segment. Together, they form a hydrophobic wedge that is highly complementary to the active site of the archetypal cysteine protease papain, leading to extremely tight, rigid and fast inhibition (Figure 1B). The mechanism of inhibition does not involve the catalytic Cys25, which is too far away from the N-terminal segment to attack it. Interestingly, cystatins are also able to form somewhat weaker complexes with cysteine exopeptidases, as revealed by the crystal structure of the stefin A–cathepsin H complex (Jenko *et al*, 2003) (Figure 1B(1)). In this case, a number of conformational changes involving in particular the N-terminal segment are required for tight complex formation.

The functionally important example of direct active site blockage also includes noncanonical inhibitors of serine proteases. These inhibitors insert their N-terminal tail into the enzyme active site forming a short parallel β -sheet with enzyme residues 214–216, in contrast to the canonical inhibitors, which interact through the exposed binding loop and form an antiparallel β -sheet. The noncanonical inhibitors have developed in hematophagous animals as anticoagulants

to inhibit either thrombin or factor X_a . Both proteases possess functionally important surface patches that are recognized by additional segments of noncanonical inhibitors: an acidic C-terminal tail or a homologous domain. These extensive secondary interactions significantly increase the contact area and contribute to the surprisingly high strength, speed and specificity of the recognition.

The classic example is recognition of thrombin by the leech inhibitor hirudin. The N-terminus of the globular domain of hirudin contacts the active site through the above-mentioned parallel β -sheet, while the acidic C-terminal tail is recognized by the anionic fibrinogen recognition exosite (Grutter *et al*, 1990). In haemedin from a land-living leech, the interaction through the N-terminus is similar, but the acidic C-terminal segment interacts with the heparin binding surface (Richardson *et al*, 2000) (Figure 1A(3)).

In the case of the two-domain ornithodorin, the N-terminus binds the active site in a noncanonical mode while the C-terminal domain is recognized by the fibrinogen recognition exosite (van de Locht *et al*, 1996). Both domains of ornithodorin resemble a canonical inhibitor, BPTI, but their canonical binding loops are distorted and do not contact the enzyme. The only known noncanonical inhibitor of factor X_a is tick anticoagulant peptide (TAP), again structurally similar to the canonical inhibitor BPTI (Wei *et al*, 1998).

A small yeast protein IA_3 composed of 68 amino acids is able to block the active site of aspartic protease A from the same organism in a highly unusual way. It shows no detectable secondary structure in solution and can be cleaved by many structurally similar aspartic proteases, including pepsin. However, upon complexation with protease A, residues 2–32 of IA_3 adopt an almost perfectly α -helical conformation, revealing that the protease body serves as a folding template (Li *et al*, 2000) (Figure 1D(2)). The nucleophilic water molecule occupies the catalytic position, but no peptide bond of the inhibitor is close enough to be attacked.

This short review attempts to cover the surprisingly diverse group of protein protease inhibitors. With more structural data, we are now starting to better understand the basic molecular mechanisms ensuring inhibitory complex formation. These mechanisms have been classified into just a few types. Can we expect new types of protease complexes in the forthcoming years? The answer to this question is undoubtedly positive, since new proteases are constantly being discovered and protein inhibitors have often coevolved with proteolytic enzymes.

Acknowledgements

Jacek Otlewski thanks the Howard Hughes Medical Institute for generous support.

References

- Aertgeerts K, De Bondt HL, De Ranter CJ, Declerck PJ (1995) Mechanisms contributing to the conformational and functional flexibility of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. *Nat Struct Biol* **2**: 891–897
- Al-Khunaizi M, Luke CJ, Askew YS, Pak SC, Askew DJ, Cataltepe S, Miller D, Mills DR, Tsu C, Bromme D, Irving JA, Whisstock JC, Silverman GA (2002) The serpin SQN-5 is a dual mechanistic-class inhibitor of serine and cysteine proteinases. *Biochemistry* **41**: 3189–3199
- Ardelt W, Laskowski Jr M (1985) Turkey ovomucoid third domain inhibits eight different serine proteinases of varied specificity on the same ...Leu18-Glu19 ... reactive site. *Biochemistry* **24**: 5313–5320
- Baumann U, Bauer M, Letoffe S, Delepelair P, Wandersman C (1995) Crystal structure of a complex between *Serratia marces-*

- cens* metallo-protease and an inhibitor from *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. *J Mol Biol* **248**: 653–661
- Bode W, Engl R, Musil D, Thiele U, Huber R, Karshikov A, Brzin J, Kos J, Turk V (1988) The 2.0 Å X-ray crystal structure of chicken egg white cystatin and its possible mode of interaction with cysteine proteinases. *EMBO J* **7**: 2593–2599
- Bode W, Greyling HJ, Huber R, Otlewski J, Wilusz Y (1989) The refined 2.0 Å X-ray crystal structure of the complex formed between bovine beta-trypsin and CMTI-I, a trypsin inhibitor from squash seeds (*Cucurbita maxima*). Topological similarity of the squash seed inhibitors with the carboxypeptidase A inhibitor from potatoes. *FEBS Lett* **242**: 285–292
- Bode W, Huber R (1992) Natural protein proteinase inhibitors and their interaction with proteinases. *Eur J Biochem* **204**: 433–451
- Chai J, Shiozaki E, Srinivasula SM, Wu Q, Datta P, Alnemri ES, Shi Y, Datta P (2001) Structural basis of caspase-7 inhibition by XIAP. *Cell* **104**: 769–780
- Fernandez-Catalan C, Bode W, Huber R, Turk D, Calvete JJ, Lichte A, Tschesche H, Maskos K (1998) Crystal structure of the complex formed by the membrane type 1-matrix metalloproteinase with the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-2, the soluble procollagenase A receptor. *EMBO J* **17**: 5238–5248
- Fujinaga M, Cherney MM, Oyama H, Oda K, James MN (2004) The molecular structure and catalytic mechanism of a novel carboxyl peptidase from *Scytalidium lignicolum*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**: 3364–3369
- Gettins PG (2002) Serpin structure, mechanism, and function. *Chem Rev* **102**: 4751–4804
- Gomis-Ruth FX, Maskos K, Betz M, Bergner A, Huber R, Suzuki K, Yoshida N, Nagase H, Brew K, Bourenkov GP, Bartunik H, Bode W (1997) Mechanism of inhibition of the human matrix metalloproteinase stromelysin-1 by TIMP-1. *Nature* **389**: 77–81
- Grutter MG, Priestle JP, Rahuel J, Grossenbacher H, Bode W, Hofsteenge J, Stone SR (1990) Crystal structure of the thrombin–hirudin complex: a novel mode of serine protease inhibition. *EMBO J* **9**: 2361–2365
- Guncar G, Pungercic G, Klemencic I, Turk V, Turk D (1999) Crystal structure of MHC class II-associated p41 Ii fragment bound to cathepsin L reveals the structural basis for differentiation between cathepsins L and S. *EMBO J* **18**: 793–803
- Hatano K, Sawano Y, Tanokura M (2002) Structure–function relationship of bromelain isoinhibitors from pineapple stem. *Biol Chem* **383**: 1151–1156
- Hege T, Feltzer RE, Gray RD, Baumann U (2001) Crystal structure of a complex between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* alkaline protease and its cognate inhibitor: inhibition by a zinc-NH2 coordinative bond. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 35087–35092
- Helland R, Czapinska H, Leiros I, Olufsen M, Otlewski J, Smalas AO (2003) Structural consequences of accommodation of four non-cognate amino acid residues in the S1 pocket of bovine trypsin and chymotrypsin. *J Mol Biol* **333**: 845–861
- Helland R, Otlewski J, Sundheim O, Dadlez M, Smalas AO (1999) The crystal structures of the complexes between bovine beta-trypsin and ten P1 variants of BPTI. *J Mol Biol* **287**: 923–942
- Huntington JA, Fan B, Karlsson KE, Deinum J, Lawrence DA, Gettins PG (1997) Serpin conformational change in ovalbumin. Enhanced reactive center loop insertion through hinge region mutations. *Biochemistry* **36**: 5432–5440
- Huntington JA, Read RJ, Carrell RW (2000) Structure of a serpin–protease complex shows inhibition by deformation. *Nature* **407**: 923–926
- Im H, Ahn HY, Yu MH (2000) Bypassing the kinetic trap of serpin protein folding by loop extension. *Protein Sci* **9**: 1497–1502
- Irvine JA, Pike RN, Dai W, Bromme D, Worrall DM, Silverman GA, Coetzee TH, Dennison C, Bottomley SP, Whisstock JC (2002) Evidence that serpin architecture intrinsically supports papain-like cysteine protease inhibition: engineering alpha(1)-antitrypsin to inhibit cathepsin proteases. *Biochemistry* **41**: 4998–5004
- Jenko S, Dolenc I, Guncar G, Dobersek A, Podobnik M, Turk D (2003) Crystal structure of stefin A in complex with cathepsin H: N-terminal residues of inhibitors can adapt to the active sites of endo- and exopeptidases. *J Mol Biol* **326**: 875–885
- Khan AR, James MN (1998) Molecular mechanisms for the conversion of zymogens to active proteolytic enzymes. *Protein Sci* **7**: 815–836
- Krowarsch D, Dadlez M, Buczek O, Krokoszynska I, Smalas AO, Otlewski J. (1999) Interscaffolding additivity: binding of P1 variants of bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor to four serine proteases. *J Mol Biol* **289**: 175–186
- Laskowski M, Qasim MA (2000) What can the structures of enzyme–inhibitor complexes tell us about the structures of enzyme substrate complexes? *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1477**: 324–337
- Li M, Phyllip LH, Lees WE, Winther JR, Dunn BM, Wlodawer A, Kay J, Gustchina A (2000) The aspartic proteinase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* folds its own inhibitor into a helix. *Nat Struct Biol* **7**: 113–117
- Lu SM, Lu W, Qasim MA, Anderson S, Apostol I, Ardelt W, Bigler T, Chiang YW, Cook J, James MN, Kato I, Kelly C, Kohr W, Komiyama T, Lin TY, Ogawa M, Otlewski J, Park SJ, Qasim S, Ranjbar M, Tashiro M, Warne N, Whatley H, Wiecek M, Wiecek M, Wilusz T, Wynn R, Zhang W, Laskowski Jr M (2001) Predicting the reactivity of proteins from their sequence alone: Kazal family of protein inhibitors of serine proteinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**: 1410–1415
- Murphy G, Houbrechts A, Cockett MI, Williamson RA, O'Shea M, Docherty AJ (1991) The N-terminal domain of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases retains metalloproteinase inhibitory activity. *Biochemistry* **30**: 8097–8102
- Musil D, Bode W, Huber R, Laskowski Jr M, Lin TY, Ardelt W (1991) Refined X-ray crystal structures of the reactive site modified ovomucoid inhibitor third domains from silver pheasant (OMSVP3*) and from Japanese quail (OMJPQ3*). *J Mol Biol* **220**: 739–755
- Ng KK, Petersen JF, Cherney MM, Garen C, Zalatoris JJ, Rao-Naik C, Dunn BM, Martzen MR, Peanasky RJ, James MN (2000) Structural basis for the inhibition of porcine pepsin by *Ascaris* pepsin inhibitor-3. *Nat Struct Biol* **7**: 653–657
- Potempa J, Shieh BH, Travis J (1988) Alpha-2-antiplasmin: a serpin with two separate but overlapping reactive sites. *Science* **241**: 699–700
- Qasim MA, Ganz PJ, Saunders CW, Bateman KS, James MN, Laskowski Jr M (1997) Interscaffolding additivity. Association of P1 variants of eglin c and of turkey ovomucoid third domain with serine proteinases. *Biochemistry* **36**: 1598–1607
- Radisky ES, Koshland Jr DE (2002) A clogged gutter mechanism for protease inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 10316–10321
- Rawlings ND, Tolle DP, Barrett AJ (2004) Evolutionary families of peptidase inhibitors. *Biochem J* **378**: 705–716
- Rees DC, Lipscomb WN (1982) Refined crystal structure of the potato inhibitor complex of carboxypeptidase A at 2.5 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol* **160**: 475–498
- Reverter D, Fernandez-Catalan C, Baumgartner R, Pfander R, Huber R, Bode W, Vendrell J, Holak TA, Aviles FX (2000) Structure of a novel leech carboxypeptidase inhibitor determined free in solution and in complex with human carboxypeptidase A2. *Nat Struct Biol* **7**: 322–328
- Richardson JL, Kroger B, Hoeffken W, Sadler JE, Pereira P, Huber R, Bode W, Fuentes-Prior P (2000) Crystal structure of the human alpha-thrombin–haemadin complex: an exosite II-binding inhibitor. *EMBO J* **19**: 5650–5660
- Rigden DJ, Mosolov VV, Galperin MY (2002) Sequence conservation in the chagasin family suggests a common trend in cysteine proteinase binding by unrelated protein inhibitors. *Protein Sci* **11**: 1971–1977
- Rzychon M, Filipek R, Sabat A, Kosowska K, Dubin A, Potempa J, Bochtler M (2003) Staphostatins resemble lipocalins, not cystatins in fold. *Protein Sci* **12**: 2252–2256
- Stennicke HR, Ryan CA, Salvesen GS (2002) Reprival from execution: molecular basis of caspase inhibition. *Trends Biochem Sci* **27**: 94–101
- Stubbs MT, Laber B, Bode W, Huber R, Jerala R, Lenarcic B, Turk V (1990) The refined 2.4 Å X-ray crystal structure of recombinant human stefin B in complex with the cysteine proteinase papain: a novel type of proteinase inhibitor interaction. *EMBO J* **9**: 1939–1947
- Tate S, Ohno A, Seeram SS, Hiraga K, Oda K, Kainosho M (1998) Elucidation of the mode of interaction of thermolysin with a proteinaceous metalloproteinase inhibitor, SMPI, based on a model complex structure and a structural dynamics analysis. *J Mol Biol* **282**: 435–446
- Turk B, Turk V, Turk D (1997) Structural and functional aspects of papain-like cysteine proteinases and their protein inhibitors. *Biol Chem* **378**: 141–150

- van de Locht A, Stubbs MT, Bode W, Friedrich T, Bollschweiler C, Hoffken W, Huber R (1996) The ornithodorin–thrombin crystal structure, a key to the TAP enigma? *EMBO J* **15**: 6011–6017
- Wei A, Alexander RS, Duke J, Ross H, Rosenfeld SA, Chang CH (1998) Unexpected binding mode of tick anticoagulant peptide complexed to bovine factor Xa. *J Mol Biol* **283**: 147–154
- Xu G, Cirilli M, Huang Y, Rich RL, Myszka DG, Wu H (2001) Covalent inhibition revealed by the crystal structure of the caspase-8/p35 complex. *Nature* **410**: 494–497
- Ye S, Cech AL, Belmares R, Bergstrom RC, Tong Y, Corey DR, Kanost MR, Goldsmith EJ (2001) The structure of a Michaelis serpin–protease complex. *Nat Struct Biol* **8**: 979–983