compared with eastern Germany after reunification, the decrease in overall maternal mortality after reunification probably reflects more incomplete reporting. The observed emergence of a higher relative risk among unmarried women is less likely to be an artefact: the definition of marital status in data for eastern Germany has remained unchanged over the study period, and a change in the completeness of reporting of maternal deaths is unlikely to be associated with marital status.

Two phenomena, however, show a distinct temporal association with, and could thus help to explain, the emergence of unmarried status as a marker of increased maternal risk in eastern Germany: changes in support programmes for pregnant women and mothers, and socioeconomic changes. Support measures in the former East Germany included cash incentives to women who regularly attended prenatal care; a follow up of women who did not attend⁴; and a guaranteed job for women with children. Single mothers were guaranteed social protection and support by the constitution.⁵ After reunification these measures were eliminated. In eastern Germany in particular, job security is now very low and the number of crèches is declining sharply. Concurrently, being unmarried became associated with lower socioeconomic status, as was already the case in the former West Germany.^{2,5} For example, in unified Germany, 22% of unmarried mothers but only 2% of married mothers with children aged under 18 years received social welfare payments in 1996.¹

After reunification, unmarried mothers in the study area emerged as a group with higher maternal risk and lower socioeconomic status, similar to unmarried women in the former West Germany.¹ As an increasing proportion of all births occur outside marriage both in Germany and in many other countries, further research is needed to establish to what degree the special support services in the former East Germany contributed towards a measure of health equity among pregnant women, regardless of marital status.

We thank Ms Köhn of the Statistical Office in Berlin and Mr Hammer of the Federal Statistical Office in Wiesbaden for providing the data on live births and mortality. Matthias Borchert gave helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

Contributors: The authors jointly conceptualised the study, reviewed background literature, interpreted the findings and drafted the article. OR collated the dataset, performed the statistical analysis, and is the guarantor.

Funding: None.

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Razum O, Jahn A, Blettner M, Reitmaier P. Trends in maternal mortality ratio among women of German and non-German nationality in West Germany, 1980 to 1996. *Int J Epidemiol* (in press).
- 2 Habich R, Krause P, Armut. In: Statistisches Bundesamt, ed. *Datenreport 1997*. Bonn: Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung, 1997:515-25. (Schriftenreihe, No 340.)
- 3 Welsch H. Mütterliche Mortalität. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 1989;245:321-8.
- 4 Greenberg RA. Maternal and child health services policy in the German Democratic Republic. *J Public Health Policy* 1984;5:118-30.
- 5 Drauschke P, Stolzenburg M. Alleinerziehende Frauen nach der Wende im Ostteil Berlins. Was sie gewinnen und was sie verlieren. *Bulletin des Zentrums für interdisziplinäre Frauenforschung* 1993;6:50-8.

(Accepted 17 June 1999)

A lesson to be learnt

A word out of place

Some two years ago we looked after a man in his late 40s who had had unremitting pleural pain for several weeks. His chest radiograph revealed marked pleural thickening in the distribution of his pain and he had a history of exposure to asbestos dust. We suspected mesothelioma and told him and his family that this was a possible diagnosis and requested permission to carry out a biopsy.

Neither our patient nor his relatives had heard of mesothelioma, but while he was in hospital and unwell his wife busied herself finding out about the disease. She quickly concluded that the diagnosis of mesothelioma was, unfortunately, likely and that this being the case, she preferred her husband to be spared the knowledge of the worst aspects of the condition. She was also worried that the biopsy would have complications, especially seeding of the tumour along the needle track, should the diagnosis have turned out to have been as we feared.

The task fell to me to discuss the various choices regarding further investigation and treatment with the patient, his wife, and their adult children. I had had chance to build up some rapport with all of them as he had by then been in the hospital some time. The first few minutes the conversation went well. I then turned to the options for follow up in the community should we opt not to perform a biopsy. At this point I mentioned Macmillan nurses and I quickly saw the patient's wife become uneasy and leave the room. I rapidly made some excuse to slip out as I knew she would be waiting for me outside.

I had not realised that our patient might equate mention of the Macmillan team with a diagnosis of cancer. While he had not seemed to bat an eyelid when I alluded to Macmillan nurses, his wife was upset at what had happened and blamed me for hinting at a malignant disease. Over the course of a long chat with her and her daughter in the corridor, during which my bleep rang

continuously, we determined to discontinue the conversation and not to mention the misunderstanding further.

It was decided not to proceed with the biopsy and, sadly, a few weeks later our patient died. It was subsequently shown that he had had mesothelioma. I remain friendly with our patient's widow who now works tirelessly with the Mesothelioma Society and has raised thousands of pounds for research.

I learnt several valuable lessons from this experience. A family's wishes should be respected where possible, however difficult that may be. While you have a responsibility to relatives, your primary concern must always be to the patient. In this situation I was happy to avoid overt discussion of cancer because we had only a suspicion of its presence. If, however, the patient had directly asked whether malignant disease had been a possibility I would have been unable to lie to him, whatever the relatives' feelings. Words must be chosen with the utmost care during interactions with patients and relatives especially at times of great emotion. Getting on well with patients and those around them engenders understanding and harmony as well as mutual trust. No matter how bad a disagreement, it is important to work with relatives for what is in the best interest of the patient.

Solomon Almond, *senior registrar, Liverpool*

We welcome articles up to 600 words on topics such as *A memorable patient, A paper that changed my practice, My most unfortunate mistake*, or any other piece conveying instruction, pathos, or humour. If possible the article should be supplied on a disk. Permission is needed from the patient or a relative if an identifiable patient is referred to. We also welcome contributions for "Endpieces," consisting of quotations of up to 80 words (but most are considerably shorter) from any source, ancient or modern, which have appealed to the reader.