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Abstract:

Objective: Safe midwifery staffing levels on delivery suites is a priority area for any maternity service. Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using a scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine delivery suite escalation level and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required.

Setting: A maternity unit of a University-affiliated tertiary referral hospital.

Design: Over a 12-month period, specifically designed scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. The 80th percentile of the demand was used to determine optimal capacity.

Results: A total of 1160 scoring sheets were completed. Average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range 3-11). Average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). The 80th percentile for demand was calculated to be 11.

Conclusion: This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system and can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing on delivery suite.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.
Birth Rate Plus (BR+) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite.

DOMUS provides a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite and appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.

Benefit could be added through an evaluation of the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability.

INTRODUCTION:

Appropriate levels of midwifery staffing on delivery suites tend to be an on-going concern across maternity units in the UK. It has implications on the safety and quality of intrapartum care provided and impacts on staff morale, job satisfaction, staff sickness rates and their recruitment and retention within maternity services.[1-3] One to one midwifery care for women in labour is a standard of maternity care recommended by the Royal College of Midwives (RCM) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) in their joint document “Safer Childbirth”[4] and by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).[5] Determining staffing capacity on any acute service delivery department is usually based on average patient turnover using retrospective data and does not necessarily reflect real-time fluctuations in demand. Indeed, activity can vary throughout the week and even from time to time during one day.

Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.[6] The main aim of escalation is to provide a rapid, consistent and
 predictable resolution of the triggering situation thereby reducing the risk of further escalation and allowing resumption of normal working as quickly as possible. The labour ward escalation policy, discussed in this report, was originally produced in response to a request from the Trust board clinical governance committee to ensure safe staffing levels on labour ward at all times, particularly in the event of heightened clinical activity and to provide clear guidance to key staff about actions required to mitigate any potential clinical risks which are frequently associated with a busy work environment. Therefore, to ensure validity and effectiveness of this tool, it was imperative to ensure that the measure of clinical activity is objective, clinically plausible and reproducible.

Birth Rate Plus (BR⁺) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite (DS). The principle is based on the knowledge that women with higher care needs require more than 1:1 midwife time to care for them whilst on labour ward[7] In an attempt to reduce the subjectivity and determine the level of escalation of DS in a busy maternity unit, we conceived the idea of using a modified BR⁺ scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine DS escalation level. To our knowledge, this idea and the development of the escalation policy preceded the NPSA labour ward scorecard.[8] The main aims of the DOMUS study were to assess the feasibility of using a modified BR⁺ scoring approach to determine the level of DS escalation and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of
midwifery staff required to deliver a high quality service using a validated capacity and demand model.

METHODS:
The study was undertaken in the maternity unit of a University affiliated tertiary referral hospital that serves a population of approximately 600,000 with an in-house level 3 neonatal unit and a delivery rate of approximately 6000 maternities per annum. Throughout the time of the study, the RCM recommended staffing standard of 28 births: 1 whole time equivalent (wte) midwife was maintained. During the study period there was always an aim to have 12 midwives at any given shift. However, for various reasons, staffing pressures throughout the unit were encountered.

The escalation policy was originally introduced in June 2009. It was initially planned to score capacity and demand (Figure 1) twelve hourly. However, following a 6-month pilot phase, DS staff felt that twice daily scoring failed to capture the activity pattern and trends. This led to an update in the policy with instigation of a six hourly scoring system. An adapted BR+ was used as an objective measure for demand. The main reason for the modification was to ensure that it is suitable for all women who are cared for on delivery suite. At the time of the study most of the women undergoing a prostaglandin induction of labour (PGL-IOL) were admitted to labour ward for the duration of their induction and subsequent labour. However, IOL is not represented as a category in BR+, hence, a new category was added to the score sheet for women undergoing PGL-IOL. Although 1:1 care is not required during the early stages of induction, women would still need some degree of midwifery input while on the unit. Scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators
4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. A traffic light system was used to display the escalation level on the labour ward board for all staff to be aware (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Escalation level determined by ration of dependency score to number of staff per shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff number (C) – Dependency score (D)</th>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Clinical impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or more staff &gt; dependency score C - D = ≥2</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Uncompromised staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = 1</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Near full utilization of available capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C – D = -1 but likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The unit cannot accommodate intra-uterine transfers or planned admissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C – D = -1 but un-likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>Senior staff involvement to mobilise staff from other areas to alleviate potential unit closure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Capacity (Staffing level); D: Demand (Dependency Score)

Data were entered into an excel spreadsheet and analysed. Capacity and demand was studied by drawing the data on a control chart using Statistical Process Control (SPC). SPC provides an objective measure of capacity and demand by providing a visual representation of the performance of a process, showing data points against
calculated upper and lower control limits (UCL and LCL). We set the UCL and LCL at 3 Standard Deviations from the mean.

Capacity (Staff resources) is typically set at the mean of the demand; this means that 50% of the time there will be more demand than capacity. However, this policy results in a delay for some patients receiving attention and consequently queuing. Resourcing capacity at the UCL would prevent delay. Nevertheless, due to the large variation in demand this would lead to over resourcing and inefficient utilisation of available staff. Therefore, it is generally recognised that, to optimise utilisation of Staff resources (capacity) and ensure that there is flexibility in the system in the event of heightened demand, whilst also avoiding wastage at times of reduced workload, the 80th percentile (rather than UCL or LCL) of the demand variation should be used to determine capacity.

RESULTS:
A total of 1160 of the potential 1568 (74%) scoring sheets were completed between June 2009 and July 2010. The average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range: 3-11). Dependency scores varied between different days of the week and at different times of the day. The average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). Weekend demand was lower compared to the weekday activity. The 80th percentile of midwifery staff available and patient dependency scores for each shift by weekdays are presented in Figure 2.
There was heightened clinical activity midweek throughout the year. The 22:00 hour shift showed persistently higher dependency scores compared to 10:00 hour shifts; the latter were normally well staffed.

The unit escalation level according to the scored sheets throughout the study period is presented in figure 3. The unit reached escalation level 4 on 17 occasions in the 12 month period analysed. A level 4 escalation triggered senior clinical and managerial staff involvement to mobilise midwives from other areas to alleviate the risk of potential unit closure. The unit closed on 4 occasions during the study period and this ranged from 3 hours to a maximum 9 hours per event. We can only speculate that closure happened in spite of the above measures because it was still not feasible to downgrade the level of escalation.

Control charts are suitable for normal distributions where 99.72% of the variation falls between 3 standard deviations above and below the mean. This was plotted (count) and found to be the case as seen in figure 4. Using the formula: \((UCL - LCL) \times 80\%) + LCL\), the 80th percentile of the demand variation was calculated to be 11.

**DISCUSSION:**

Midwifery staffing shortage is an ongoing concern nationally. In spite of a rise in midwifery recruitment, this increase in capacity has not kept pace with the increasing demands on maternity services. Moreover, the imposition of European working time directives and reductions in the number of doctors in training necessitates team working changes and the adoption of different organisational models.\[3-5\]
There has been a rise in birth rate especially in women with co-morbidities such as obesity, older women and those born outside the UK.\[^6\] Therefore, it is not surprising that one of the key recommendations of the King’s Fund inquiry into the safety of maternity services was to ensure that maternity units have structures in place to gather data on their unit’s activity and demand.\[^7\] The issue of safe staffing levels has never been under the spotlight more than following the publication of the Francis report which recommended that NICE develops “evidence-based tools for establishing the staffing needs of each service” in the NHS.\[^8\] It is recognised that out of hours obstetrics is a major source of risk. Data from several studies have shown higher rates of neonatal death in women who deliver out of hours, variations in staff deployment have been implicated as one of the causes for this disparity.\[^9\]-\[^13\] Staff planning and deployment in any acute service faces the challenge of fluctuating demand. Various dependency scores have been developed and utilised in Acute Medicine, especially Accident and Emergency departments and Intensive care Units.\[^14\]-\[^18\] However, delivery suite is unique in that it has to deal with a combination of a physiological process that is at best changeable and acute ‘unpredictable’ potentially serious medical and surgical demands that can rapidly change over a relatively short period of time whilst catering for relatively predictable elective work running in parallel.

Staff deployment on delivery suites has customarily been determined by resource allocation and ‘average’ patient turnover retrieved from historic data. The average number of staff available per shift on delivery suite throughout the monitored year was 7, which was identical to the average dependency score for the monitored shifts during the same time period. This would imply that staffing levels were appropriate
for the studied period. However, calculating staff numbers based on the average activity runs the risk of failing to meet the demands on 50% of the occasions. This is due to the fact that averages tend to smoothen out the peaks and troughs (variations) in the activity pattern and hence do not portray demand in real time. Indeed this is demonstrated in Figure 4, where the dependency scores for our delivery suite were plotted for the whole year on a control chart depicting the variation in the activity on delivery suite. Basing staffing levels on averages would have entailed deployment of seven midwives per shift to staff the delivery suite. Interestingly, as explained above, this would result in staff shortages over half the times above and below this average (red line). Using SPC, we were able to monitor and study demand by drawing the data on a control chart (Figure 4). Determining staffing levels based on the UCL ensures that the calculated number will be safe to cover 99.7% of the expected demand. However, this can potentially lead to problems of over-resourcing and wastage, this being the main driver to set the capacity to 80% of the demand.\textsuperscript{[9]} This level optimises staff usage, prevent undue resourcing while allowing flexibility in the system to deal with variations in the day-to-day activity with a consequent improvement in the safety environment on labour ward. This concept was originally generated from the queuing theory postulated by A K Erlang, a Danish telecommunication engineer.\textsuperscript{[19]} Since its conception, the model has now been widely applied in different contexts including traffic engineering, factories, computing and shops. There is an increasing use of this capacity/demand model in heath care to compute resource allocation on wards, outpatient clinics and Accident and Emergency Departments.\textsuperscript{[19-21]} This study demonstrates that delivery suites are not an exception.
Following the feeling amongst staff members that some midwives overestimated the dependency score with a consequent increase in occasions of and severity of levels of escalation the comparison of midwifery vs investigator coding demonstrated that there was an element of truth in this perception. However, midwives also seemed to underestimate activity when shifts were very busy and should have been categorised as escalation level 4 (red) where they were categorised as level 3 (amber). We can only speculate the reasons for these variations, which could be related to awareness that the high level of activity was transient and did not warrant evoking the level 4 escalation procedure. It is also possible that staff were consciously or unconsciously trying to avoid the administrative complexities of documenting a level 4 escalation and the potential of unit closure or that some staff members find it easier to choose the middle category rather than the extremes. Nevertheless, this should not have been an issue with employment of a well-defined objective scoring system.

There are some potential limitations to our study. The scoring sheets were introduced after an initial pilot and staff training. Nonetheless, we did not evaluate the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability. However, this was introduced as a departmental quality and safety intervention and the scoring system was deemed easy to follow with simple instructions especially as it was largely based on the BR$^+$ scoring system which most midwives were familiar with. Missing data were identified in 26% of the shifts overall. It is plausible that the reasons for missing data are related to lack of time to complete the scoring sheets because of workload, concerns that consistently low activity scores may compromise the number of staff allocated to future shifts or resistance to yet another paper filling exercise.$^{[14]}$ It is important to note that the percentage of
missing shifts was not uniformly distributed between the 4 time points with the 04:00 hours shift showing the maximum deficit of 47%. This issue could potentially be a source of bias, however, our sample was representative and consistent enough throughout the studied period for us to be confident in our assumptions. This view is further supported by NPSA[22] recommendation that plotting of activity over a three-month period would give sufficient information to extrapolate the data for the whole year.

CONCLUSION:
This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that if used properly, can determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system that takes into account capacity and demand. This tool can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.
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**Figure 1:** Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

**Figure 2:** 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days

**Figure 3:** Unit escalation level throughout the study period

**Figure 4:** Dependency scores for the study period
References:


Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

Following delivery all women on labour ward will continue to contribute to the overall score for at least one hour according to their individual care needs.
Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days.
Figure 3: Unit escalation level throughout the study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.8% 21.2% 70.5% 1.5%
Figure 4: Dependency scores for the study period
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Abstract:

Objective: Safe midwifery staffing levels on delivery suites is a priority area for any maternity service. Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using a scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine delivery suite escalation level and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required.

Setting: A maternity unit of a University-affiliated tertiary referral hospital.

Design: Over a 12-month period, specifically designed scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. The 80th percentile of the demand was used to determine optimal capacity.

Results: A total of 1160 scoring sheets were completed. Average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range 3-11). Average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). The 80th percentile for demand was calculated to be 11.

Conclusion: This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system and can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing on delivery suite.

Strengths and limitations of this study:
Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.

Birth Rate Plus (BR+) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite.

DOMUS provides a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite and appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.

Benefit could be added through an evaluation of the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability.
INTRODUCTION:

Appropriate levels of midwifery staffing on delivery suites tend to be an on-going concern across maternity units in the UK. It has implications on the safety and quality of intrapartum care provided and impacts on staff morale, job satisfaction, staff sickness rates and their recruitment and retention within maternity services.[1-3] One to one midwifery care for women in labour is a standard of maternity care recommended by the Royal College of Midwives (RCM) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) in their joint document “Safer Childbirth”[4] and by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).[5]

Determining staffing capacity on any acute service delivery department is usually based on average patient turnover using retrospective data and does not necessarily reflect real-time fluctuations in demand. Indeed, activity can vary throughout the week and even from time to time during one day.

Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.[6] The main aim of escalation is to provide a rapid, consistent and predictable resolution of the triggering situation thereby reducing the risk of further escalation and allowing resumption of normal working as quickly as possible. The labour ward escalation policy, discussed in this report, was originally produced in response to a request from the Trust board clinical governance committee to ensure safe staffing levels on labour ward at all times, particularly in the event of heightened clinical activity and to provide clear guidance to key staff about actions required to mitigate any potential clinical risks which are frequently associated with a busy work
environment. Therefore, to ensure validity and effectiveness of this tool, it was imperative to ensure that the measure of clinical activity is objective, clinically plausible and reproducible.

Intrapartum scoring based on mother and baby care needs was originally developed in 1986 where the score reflected the level of clinical complexity and hence demand. The methodology was applied in several maternity services and extensive validation of the dependency scores was done. More recently, Birth Rate Plus (BR+) was developed and validated as a real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite (DS). The principle is based on the knowledge that women with higher care needs require more than 1:1 midwife time to care for them whilst on labour ward.\[7,8\] Hence, the total BR+ score at anytime on labour ward determines the minimum number of midwives expected to be available to provide intrapartum care at that time point. In an attempt to reduce the subjectivity and determine the level of escalation of DS in a busy maternity unit, we conceived the idea of using a modified BR+ scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level (dependency score) based on demand and use this score to determine DS escalation level. To our knowledge, this idea and the development of the escalation policy preceded the NPSA labour ward scorecard.\[9\] The main aims of the DOMUS study were to assess the feasibility of using a modified BR+ scoring approach to determine the level of DS escalation and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required to deliver a high quality service using a validated capacity and demand model.
METHODS:

The study was undertaken in the maternity unit of a University affiliated tertiary referral hospital that serves a population of approximately 600,000 with an in-house level 3 neonatal unit and a delivery rate of approximately 6000 maternities per annum. Throughout the time of the study, the RCM recommended staffing standard of 28 births: 1 whole time equivalent (wte) midwife was maintained. During the study period there was always an aim to have 12 midwives at any given shift. However, for various reasons, staffing pressures throughout the unit were encountered.

Following agreement from the Trust clinical governance board, piloting the escalation policy was originally introduced in June 2009. It was initially planned to score capacity and demand (Figure 1) twelve hourly. However, following a 6-month pilot phase, DS staff felt that twice daily scoring failed to capture the activity pattern and trends. This led to an update in the policy with instigation of a six hourly scoring system. An adapted BR⁺ was used as an objective measure for demand. The main reason for the modification was to ensure that it is suitable for all women who are cared for on delivery suite. At the time of the study most of the women undergoing a prostaglandin induction of labour (PGL-IOL) were admitted to labour ward for the duration of their induction and subsequent labour. However, IOL is not represented as a category in BR⁺ (as an intrapartum scoring system it only accounts for women in labour), hence, a new category was added to the score sheet for women undergoing PGL-IOL. Although 1:1 care is not required during the early stages of induction, women would still need some degree of midwifery input while on the unit. Scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00,
10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score determined by the number and clinical needs of women on DS (Demand) in relation to the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. A traffic light system was used to display the escalation level on the labour ward board for all staff to be aware (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Escalation level determined by ration of dependency score to number of staff per shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff number (C) – Dependency score (D)</th>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Clinical impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or more staff &gt; dependency score</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Uncompromised staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = ≥2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = 1</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Near full utilization of available capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C - D = -1 but likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The unit cannot accommodate in–utero transfers or planned admissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C - D = -1 but un-likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>Senior staff involvement to mobilise staff from other areas to alleviate potential unit closure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Capacity (Staffing level); D: Demand (Dependency Score)

Data were entered into an excel spreadsheet and analysed. Capacity and demand was studied by drawing the data on a control chart using Statistical Process Control (SPC).[^9] SPC provides an objective measure of capacity and demand by providing a visual representation of the performance of a process, showing data points against
calculated upper and lower control limits (UCL and LCL). We set the UCL and LCL at 3 Standard Deviations from the mean.

Capacity (Staff resources) is typically set at the mean of the demand; this means that 50% of the time there will be more demand than capacity. However, this policy results in a delay for some patients receiving attention and consequently queuing. Resourcing capacity at the UCL would prevent delay. Nevertheless, due to the large variation in demand this would lead to over resourcing and inefficient utilisation of available staff. Therefore, it is generally recognised that, to optimise utilisation of Staff resources (capacity) and ensure that there is flexibility in the system in the event of heightened demand, whilst also avoiding wastage at times of reduced workload, the 80\textsuperscript{th} percentile (rather than UCL or LCL) of the demand variation should be used to determine capacity.

RESULTS:

A total of 1160 of the potential 1568 (74\%) scoring sheets were completed between June 2009 and July 2010. The average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range: 3-11). Dependency scores varied between different days of the week and at different times of the day. The average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). Weekend demand was lower compared to the weekday activity. The 80\textsuperscript{th} percentile of midwifery staff available and patient dependency scores for each shift by weekdays are presented in Figure 2.
There was heightened clinical activity midweek throughout the year. The 22:00 hour shift showed persistently higher dependency scores compared to 10:00 hour shifts; the latter were normally well staffed.

The unit escalation level according to the scored sheets throughout the study period is presented in figure 3. The unit reached escalation level 4 on 17 occasions in the 12 month period analysed. A level 4 escalation triggered senior clinical and managerial staff involvement to mobilise midwives from other areas to alleviate the risk of potential unit closure. The unit closed on 4 occasions during the study period and this ranged from 3 hours to a maximum 9 hours per event. We can only speculate that closure happened in spite of the above measures because it was still not feasible to downgrade the level of escalation.

Control charts are suitable for normal distributions where 99.72% of the variation falls between 3 standard deviations above and below the mean. This was plotted (count) and found to be the case as seen in figure 4. Using the formula: \((UCL - LCL) \times 80\% + LCL\)^2, the 80th percentile of the demand variation was calculated to be 11.

**DISCUSSION:**

Midwifery staffing shortage is an ongoing concern nationally. In spite of a rise in midwifery recruitment, this increase in capacity has not kept pace with the increasing demands on maternity services. Moreover, the imposition of European working time directives and reductions in the number of doctors in training necessitates team working changes and the adoption of different organisational models.[3-5]
There has been a rise in birth rate especially in women with co-morbidities such as obesity, older women and those born outside the UK.\cite{6} Therefore, it is not surprising that one of the key recommendations of the King’s Fund inquiry into the safety of maternity services was to ensure that maternity units have structures in place to gather data on their unit’s activity and demand.\cite{10} The issue of safe staffing levels has never been under the spotlight more than following the publication of the Francis report which recommended that NICE develops “evidence-based tools for establishing the staffing needs of each service” in the NHS.\cite{11} It is recognised that out of hours obstetrics is a major source of risk. Data from several studies have shown higher rates of neonatal death in women who deliver out of hours, variations in staff deployment have been implicated as one of the causes for this disparity.\cite{12-16} Staff planning and deployment in any acute service faces the challenge of fluctuating demand. Various dependency scores have been developed and utilised in Acute Medicine, especially Accident and Emergency departments and Intensive care Units.\cite{17-22} However, delivery suite is unique in that it has to deal with a combination of a physiological process that is at best changeable and acute ‘unpredictable’ potentially serious medical and surgical demands that can rapidly change over a relatively short period of time whilst catering for relatively predictable elective work running in parallel.

Staff deployment on delivery suites has customarily been determined by resource allocation and ‘average’ patient turnover retrieved from historic data. The average number of staff available per shift on delivery suite throughout the monitored year was 7, which was identical to the average dependency score for the monitored shifts during the same time period. This would imply that staffing levels were appropriate
for the studied period. However, calculating staff numbers based on the average activity runs the risk of failing to meet the demands on 50% of the occasions. This is due to the fact that averages tend to smoothen out the peaks and troughs (variations) in the activity pattern and hence do not portray demand in real time. Indeed this is demonstrated in Figure 4, where the dependency scores for our delivery suite were plotted for the whole year on a control chart depicting the variation in the activity on delivery suite. Basing staffing levels on averages would have entailed deployment of seven midwives per shift to staff the delivery suite. Interestingly, as explained above, this would result in staff shortages over half the times above and below this average (red line). Using SPC, we were able to monitor and study demand by drawing the data on a control chart (Figure 4). Determining staffing levels based on the UCL ensures that the calculated number will be safe to cover 99.7% of the expected demand. However, this can potentially lead to problems of over-resourcing and wastage, this being the main driver to set the capacity to 80% of the demand. This level optimises staff usage, prevent undue resourcing while allowing flexibility in the system to deal with variations in the day-to-day activity with a consequent improvement in the safety environment on labour ward. This concept was originally generated from the queuing theory postulated by A K Erlang, a Danish telecommunication engineer.\[23\] Since its conception, the model has now been widely applied in different contexts including traffic engineering, factories, computing and shops. There is an increasing use of this capacity/demand model in health care to compute resource allocation on wards, outpatient clinics and Accident and Emergency Departments.\[23-25\] This study demonstrates that delivery suites are not an exception.
Following the feeling amongst staff members that some midwives overestimated the dependency score with a consequent increase in occasions of and severity of levels of escalation the comparison of midwifery versus investigator coding demonstrated that there was an element of truth in this perception. However, midwives also seemed to underestimate activity when shifts were very busy and should have been categorised as escalation level 4 (red) where they were categorised as level 3 (amber). We can only speculate the reasons for these variations, which could be related to awareness that the high level of activity was transient and did not warrant evoking the level 4 escalation procedure. It is also possible that staff were consciously or unconsciously trying to avoid the administrative complexities of documenting a level 4 escalation and the potential of unit closure or that some staff members find it easier to choose the middle category rather than the extremes. Nevertheless, this should not have been an issue with employment of a well-defined objective scoring system.

There are some potential limitations to our study. The scoring sheets were introduced after an initial pilot and staff training. Nonetheless, we did not evaluate the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability. However, this was introduced as a departmental quality and safety intervention and the scoring system was deemed easy to follow with simple instructions especially as it was largely based on the BR* scoring system which most midwives were familiar with. Missing data were identified in 26% of the shifts overall. Unfortunately, we did not collect information regarding reasons for not completing a score sheet hence our proposed reasons for not completion are only speculative. It is plausible that the reasons for missing data are related to lack of time to complete.
the scoring sheets because of workload, concerns that consistently low activity scores may compromise the number of staff allocated to future shifts or resistance to what could be perceived as a paper filling exercise. Indeed, if true, some of these reasons could be a source of bias. However, it is important to note that the percentage of missing shifts was not uniformly distributed between the 4 time points with the 04:00 hours shift showing the maximum deficit of 47%. This issue could potentially be a source of bias, however, our sample was representative and consistent enough throughout the studied period for us to be confident in our assumptions. This view is further supported by NPSA recommendation that plotting of activity over a three-month period would give sufficient information to extrapolate the data for the whole year.

CONCLUSION:

This study highlights the feasibility of using a simple tool to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system that takes into account capacity and demand. Moreover, this tool can be used to determine the appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward. However, further work is required to assess stakeholders acceptability, inter-rater reliability and escalation trigger levels in different types of maternity units prior to wider use.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days

Figure 3: Unit escalation level throughout the study period

Figure 4: Dependency scores for the study period
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Abstract:

Objective: Safe midwifery staffing levels on delivery suites is a priority area for any maternity service. Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using a scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine delivery suite escalation level and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required.

Setting: A maternity unit of a University-affiliated tertiary referral hospital.

Design: Over a 12-month period, specifically designed scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. The 80th percentile of the demand was used to determine optimal capacity.

Results: A total of 1160 scoring sheets were completed. Average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range 3-11). Average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). The 80th percentile for demand was calculated to be 11.

Conclusion: This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system and can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing on delivery suite.

Strengths and limitations of this study:
• Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.

• Birth Rate Plus (BR+) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite.

• DOMUS provides a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite and appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.

• Benefit could be added through an evaluation of the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability.

INTRODUCTION:

Appropriate levels of midwifery staffing on delivery suites tend to be an ongoing concern across maternity units in the UK. It has implications on the safety and quality of intrapartum care provided and impacts on staff morale, job satisfaction, staff sickness rates and their recruitment and retention within maternity services.¹-³ One to one midwifery care for women in labour is a standard of maternity care recommended by the Royal College of Midwives (RCM) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) in their joint document “Safer Childbirth”⁴ and by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).⁵ Determining staffing capacity on any acute service delivery department is usually based on average patient turnover using retrospective data and does not necessarily reflect real-time fluctuations in demand. Indeed, activity can vary throughout the week and even from time to time during one day.
Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The main aim of escalation is to provide a rapid, consistent and predictable resolution of the triggering situation thereby reducing the risk of further escalation and allowing resumption of normal working as quickly as possible. The labour ward escalation policy, discussed in this report, was originally produced in response to a request from the Trust board clinical governance committee to ensure safe staffing levels on labour ward at all times, particularly in the event of heightened clinical activity and to provide clear guidance to key staff about actions required to mitigate any potential clinical risks which are frequently associated with a busy work environment. Therefore, to ensure validity and effectiveness of this tool, it was imperative to ensure that the measure of clinical activity is objective, clinically plausible and reproducible.

Intrapartum scoring based on mother and baby care needs was originally developed in 1986 where the score reflected the level of clinical complexity and hence demand. The methodology was applied in several maternity services and extensive validation of the dependency scores was done. More recently, Birth Rate Plus (BR⁺) was developed and is a validated as a, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite (DS). The principle is based on the knowledge that women with higher care needs require more than 1:1 midwife time to care for them whilst on labour ward. Hence, the total BR⁺ score at anytime on labour ward determines the minimum number of midwives expected to be available to provide intrapartum care at that time point. In an attempt to reduce the subjectivity and determine the level of escalation of DS in a busy maternity unit, we
conceived the idea of using a modified BR\(^*\) scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level \((dependency\ \text{score})\) based on demand and use this \textit{score} to determine DS escalation level. To our knowledge, this idea and the development of the escalation policy preceded the NPSA labour ward scorecard.\(^{[98]}\)

The main aims of the DOMUS study were to assess the feasibility of using a \textit{modified} BR\(^*\) scoring approach to determine the level of DS escalation and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required to deliver a high quality service using a validated capacity and demand model.

\textbf{METHODS:}

The study was undertaken in the maternity unit of a University affiliated tertiary referral hospital that serves a population of approximately 600,000 with an in-house level 3 neonatal unit and a delivery rate of approximately 6000 maternities per annum. Throughout the time of the study, the RCM recommended staffing standard of 28 births: 1 whole time equivalent (wte) midwife was maintained. During the study period there was always an aim to have 12 midwives at any given shift. However, for various reasons, staffing pressures throughout the unit were encountered.

\textit{Following agreement from the Trust clinical governance board, piloting the The escalation policy was originally introduced in June 2009. It was initially planned to score capacity and demand (Figure 1) twelve hourly. However, following a 6-month pilot phase, DS staff felt that twice daily scoring failed to capture the activity pattern and trends. This led to an update in the policy with instigation of a six hourly scoring
system. An adapted BR+ was used as an objective measure for demand. The main reason for the modification was to ensure that it is suitable for all women who are cared for on delivery suite. At the time of the study most of the women undergoing a prostaglandin induction of labour (PGL-IOL) were admitted to labour ward for the duration of their induction and subsequent labour. However, IOL is not represented as a category in BR+(as an intrapartum scoring system it only accounts for women in labour), hence, a new category was added to the score sheet for women undergoing PGL-IOL. Although 1:1 care is not required during the early stages of induction, women would still need some degree of midwifery input while on the unit. Scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score determined by the number and clinical needs of women on DS (Demand) and in relation to the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. A traffic light system was used to display the escalation level on the labour ward board for all staff to be aware (Table 1).

Table 1: Escalation level determined by ration of dependency score to number of staff per shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff number (C) – Dependency score (D)</th>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Clinical impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or more staff &gt; dependency score</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Uncompromised staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D ≥2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = 1</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Near full utilization of available capacity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data were entered into an excel spreadsheet and analysed. Capacity and demand was studied by drawing the data on a control chart using Statistical Process Control (SPC). SPC provides an objective measure of capacity and demand by providing a visual representation of the performance of a process, showing data points against calculated upper and lower control limits (UCL and LCL). We set the UCL and LCL at 3 Standard Deviations from the mean.

Capacity (Staff resources) is typically set at the mean of the demand; this means that 50% of the time there will be more demand than capacity. However, this policy results in a delay for some patients receiving attention and consequently queueing.

Resourcing capacity at the UCL would prevent delay. Nevertheless, due to the large variation in demand this would lead to over resourcing and inefficient utilisation of available staff. Therefore, it is generally recognised that, to optimise utilisation of Staff resources (capacity) and ensure that there is flexibility in the system in the event of heightened demand, whilst also avoiding wastage at times of reduced workload, the 80th percentile (rather than UCL or LCL) of the demand variation should be used to determine capacity.
RESULTS:

A total of 1160 of the potential 1568 (74%) scoring sheets were completed between June 2009 and July 2010. The average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range: 3-11). Dependency scores varied between different days of the week and at different times of the day. The average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). Weekend demand was lower compared to the weekday activity. The 80th percentile of midwifery staff available and patient dependency scores for each shift by weekdays are presented in Figure 2.

There was heightened clinical activity midweek throughout the year. The 22:00 hour shift showed persistently higher dependency scores compared to 10:00 hour shifts; the latter were normally well staffed.

The unit escalation level according to the scored sheets throughout the study period is presented in figure 3. The unit reached escalation level 4 on 17 occasions in the 12 month period analysed. A level 4 escalation triggered senior clinical and managerial staff involvement to mobilise midwives from other areas to alleviate the risk of potential unit closure. The unit closed on 4 occasions during the study period and this ranged from 3 hours to a maximum 9 hours per event. We can only speculate that closure happened in spite of the above measures because it was still not feasible to downgrade the level of escalation.

Control charts are suitable for normal distributions where 99.72% of the variation falls between 3 standard deviations above and below the mean. This was plotted
and found to be the case as seen in figure 4. Using the formula: \((UCL - LCL) x 80\% + LCL\)^2, the 80th percentile of the demand variation was calculated to be 11.

**DISCUSSION:**

Midwifery staffing shortage is an ongoing concern nationally. In spite of a rise in midwifery recruitment, this increase in capacity has not kept pace with the increasing demands on maternity services. Moreover, the imposition of European working time directives and reductions in the number of doctors in training necessitates team working changes and the adoption of different organisational models.[3-5]

There has been a rise in birth rate especially in women with co-morbidities such as obesity, older women and those born outside the UK.[6] Therefore, it is not surprising that one of the key recommendations of the King’s Fund inquiry into the safety of maternity services was to ensure that maternity units have structures in place to gather data on their unit’s activity and demand.[7] The issue of safe staffing levels has never been under the spotlight more than following the publication of the Francis report which recommended that NICE develops “evidence-based tools for establishing the staffing needs of each service” in the NHS.[8] It is recognised that out of hours obstetrics is a major source of risk. Data from several studies have shown higher rates of neonatal death in women who deliver out of hours, variations in staff deployment have been implicated as one of the causes for this disparity.[9-12] Staff planning and deployment in any acute service faces the challenge of fluctuating demand. Various dependency scores have been developed and utilised in Acute Medicine, especially Accident and Emergency departments and Intensive care Units.[13-22] However, delivery suite is unique in that it has to deal with a
combination of a physiological process that is at best changeable and acute ‘unpredictable’ potentially serious medical and surgical demands that can rapidly change over a relatively short period of time whilst catering for relatively predictable elective work running in parallel.

Staff deployment on delivery suites has customarily been determined by resource allocation and ‘average’ patient turnover retrieved from historic data. The average number of staff available per shift on delivery suite throughout the monitored year was 7, which was identical to the average dependency score for the monitored shifts during the same time period. This would imply that staffing levels were appropriate for the studied period. However, calculating staff numbers based on the average activity runs the risk of failing to meet the demands on 50% of the occasions. This is due to the fact that averages tend to smooth out the peaks and troughs (variations) in the activity pattern and hence do not portray demand in real time. Indeed this is demonstrated in Figure 4, where the dependency scores for our delivery suite were plotted for the whole year on a control chart depicting the variation in the activity on delivery suite. Basing staffing levels on averages would have entailed deployment of seven midwives per shift to staff the delivery suite. Interestingly, as explained above, this would result in staff shortages over half the times above and below this average (red line). Using SPC, we were able to monitor and study demand by drawing the data on a control chart (Figure 4). Determining staffing levels based on the UCL ensures that the calculated number will be safe to cover 99.7% of the expected demand. However, this can potentially lead to problems of over-resourcing and wastage, this being the main driver to set the capacity to 80% of the demand. This level optimises staff usage, prevent undue resourcing while
allowing flexibility in the system to deal with variations in the day-to-day activity with a consequent improvement in the safety environment on labour ward. This concept was originally generated from the queuing theory postulated by A K Erlang, a Danish telecommunication engineer.\textsuperscript{[18-23]} Since its conception, the model has now been widely applied in different contexts including traffic engineering, factories, computing and shops. There is an increasing use of this capacity/demand model in heath care to compute resource allocation on wards, outpatient clinics and Accident and Emergency Departments.\textsuperscript{[18,21,23-25]} This study demonstrates that delivery suites are not an exception.

Following the feeling amongst staff members that some midwives overestimated the dependency score with a consequent increase in occasions of and severity of levels of escalation the comparison of midwifery versus investigator coding demonstrated that there was an element of truth in this perception. However, midwives also seemed to underestimate activity when shifts were very busy and should have been categorised as escalation level 4 (red) where they were categorised as level 3 (amber). We can only speculate the reasons for these variations, which could be related to awareness that the high level of activity was transient and did not warrant evoking the level 4 escalation procedure. It is also possible that staff were consciously or unconsciously trying to avoid the administrative complexities of documenting a level 4 escalation and the potential of unit closure or that some staff members find it easier to choose the middle category rather than the extremes. Nevertheless, this should not have been an issue with employment of a well-defined objective scoring system.
There are some potential limitations to our study. The scoring sheets were introduced after an initial pilot and staff training. Nonetheless, we did not evaluate the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability. However, this was introduced as a departmental quality and safety intervention and the scoring system was deemed easy to follow with simple instructions especially as it was largely based on the BR+ scoring system which most midwives were familiar with. Missing data were identified in 26% of the shifts overall.

Unfortunately, we did not collect information regarding reasons for not completing a score sheet hence our proposed reasons for not completion are only speculative. It is plausible that the reasons for missing data are related to lack of time to complete the scoring sheets because of workload, concerns that consistently low activity scores may compromise the number of staff allocated to future shifts or resistance to what could be perceived as yet another paper filling exercise. Indeed, if true, some of these reasons could be a source of bias. However,\(^\text{[24]}\) It is important to note that the percentage of missing shifts was not uniformly distributed between the 4 time points with the 04:00 hours shift showing the maximum deficit of 47%. This issue could potentially be a source of bias, however, our sample was representative and consistent enough throughout the studied period for us to be confident in our assumptions. This view is further supported by NPSA\(^\text{[22]}\) recommendation that plotting of activity over a three-month period would give sufficient information to extrapolate the data for the whole year.

CONCLUSION:

This study stresses highlights the importance and feasibility of using usefulness of a simple tool that if used properly, can determine the level of escalation on delivery
suite based on an objective scoring system that takes into account capacity and demand. Moreover, this tool can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward. However, further work is required to assess stakeholders acceptability, inter-rater reliability and escalation trigger levels in different types of maternity units prior to wider use.
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Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

Following delivery all women in labour ward will continue to contribute to the overall score for at least one hour according to their individual care needs.

Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet
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Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days.

Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days.
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Figure 3: Unit escalation level throughout the study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: Dependency scores for the study period
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Abstract:

Objective: Safe midwifery staffing levels on delivery suites is a priority area for any maternity service. Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using a scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine delivery suite escalation level and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required.

Setting: A maternity unit of a University-affiliated tertiary referral hospital.

Design: Over a 12-month period, specifically designed scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. The 80th percentile of the demand was used to determine optimal capacity.

Results: A total of 1160 scoring sheets were completed. Average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range 3-11). Average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). The 80th percentile for demand was calculated to be 11.

Conclusion: This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system and can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing on delivery suite.
Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.
- Birth Rate Plus (BR+) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite.
- DOMUS provides a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite and appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.
- Benefit could be added through an evaluation of the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability.
INTRODUCTION:

Appropriate levels of midwifery staffing on delivery suites tend to be an on-going concern across maternity units in the UK. It has implications on the safety and quality of intrapartum care provided and impacts on staff morale, job satisfaction, staff sickness rates and their recruitment and retention within maternity services.[1-3] One to one midwifery care for women in labour is a standard of maternity care recommended by the Royal College of Midwives (RCM) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) in their joint document “Safer Childbirth”[4] and by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).[5] Determining staffing capacity on any acute service delivery department is usually based on average patient turnover using retrospective data and does not necessarily reflect real-time fluctuations in demand. Indeed, activity can vary throughout the week and even from time to time during one day.

Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.[6] The main aim of escalation is to provide a rapid, consistent and predictable resolution of the triggering situation thereby reducing the risk of further escalation and allowing resumption of normal working as quickly as possible. The labour ward escalation policy, discussed in this report, was originally produced in response to a request from the Trust board clinical governance committee to ensure safe staffing levels on labour ward at all times, particularly in the event of heightened clinical activity and to provide clear guidance to key staff about actions required to
mitigate any potential clinical risks which are frequently associated with a busy work environment. Therefore, to ensure validity and effectiveness of this tool, it was imperative to ensure that the measure of clinical activity is objective, clinically plausible and reproducible.

Intrapartum scoring based on mother and baby care needs was originally developed in 1986 where the score reflected the level of clinical complexity and hence demand. The methodology was applied in several maternity services and extensive validation of the dependency scores was done. More recently, Birth Rate Plus (BR+) was developed and validated as a real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite (DS). The principle is based on the knowledge that women with higher care needs require more than 1:1 midwife time to care for them whilst on labour ward.[7 8] Hence, the total BR+ score at anytime on labour ward determines the minimum number of midwives expected to be available to provide intrapartum care at that time point. In an attempt to reduce the subjectivity and determine the level of escalation of DS in a busy maternity unit, we conceived the idea of using a modified BR+ scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level (dependency score) based on demand and use this score to determine DS escalation level. To our knowledge, this idea and the development of the escalation policy preceded the NPSA labour ward scorecard.[9 10] The main aims of the DOMUS study were to assess the feasibility of using a modified BR+ scoring approach to determine the level of DS escalation and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the
actual number of midwifery staff required to deliver a high quality service using a validated capacity and demand model.

METHODS:
The study was undertaken in the maternity unit of a University affiliated tertiary referral hospital that serves a population of approximately 600,000 with an in-house level 3 neonatal unit and a delivery rate of approximately 6000 maternities per annum. Throughout the time of the study, the RCM recommended staffing standard of 28 births: 1 whole time equivalent (wte) midwife was maintained. During the study period there was always an aim to have 12 midwives at any given shift. However, for various reasons, staffing pressures throughout the unit were encountered.

Following agreement from the Trust clinical governance board, piloting the escalation policy was originally introduced in June 2009. It was initially planned to score capacity and demand (Figure 1) twelve hourly. However, following a 6-month pilot phase, DS staff felt that twice daily scoring failed to capture the activity pattern and trends. This led to an update in the policy with instigation of a six hourly scoring system. An adapted BR$^+$ was used as an objective measure for demand. The main reason for the modification was to ensure that it is suitable for all women who are cared for on delivery suite. At the time of the study most of the women undergoing a prostaglandin induction of labour (PGL-IOL) were admitted to labour ward for the duration of their induction and subsequent labour. However, IOL is not represented as a category in BR$^+$ (as an intrapartum scoring system it only accounts for women in labour), hence, a new category was added to the score sheet for women undergoing PGL-IOL. Although 1:1 care is not required during the early stages of induction,
women would still need some degree of midwifery input while on the unit. Scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score determined by the number and clinical needs of women on DS (Demand) in relation to the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. A traffic light system was used to display the escalation level on the labour ward board for all staff to be aware (Table 1).

Table 1: Escalation level determined by ration of dependency score to number of staff per shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff number (C) – Dependency score (D)</th>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Clinical impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or more staff &gt; dependency score C - D = ≥2</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Uncompromised staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = 1</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Near full utilization of available capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = D</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The unit cannot accommodate in– utero transfers or planned admissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C – D = -1 but likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – D = ≤-2 Or C – D = -1 but un-likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>Senior staff involvement to mobilise staff from other areas to alleviate potential unit closure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Capacity (Staffing level); D: Demand (Dependency Score)

Data were entered into an excel spreadsheet and analysed. Capacity and demand was studied by drawing the data on a control chart using Statistical Process Control.
SPC provides an objective measure of capacity and demand by providing a visual representation of the performance of a process, showing data points against calculated upper and lower control limits (UCL and LCL). We set the UCL and LCL at 3 Standard Deviations from the mean.

Capacity (Staff resources) is typically set at the mean of the demand; this means that 50% of the time there will be more demand than capacity. However, this policy results in a delay for some patients receiving attention and consequently queuing.

Resourcing capacity at the UCL would prevent delay. Nevertheless, due to the large variation in demand this would lead to over resourcing and inefficient utilisation of available staff. Therefore, it is generally recognised that, to optimise utilisation of Staff resources (capacity) and ensure that there is flexibility in the system in the event of heightened demand, whilst also avoiding wastage at times of reduced workload, the 80th percentile (rather than UCL or LCL) of the demand variation should be used to determine capacity.

RESULTS:

A total of 1160 of the potential 1568 (74%) scoring sheets were completed between June 2009 and July 2010. The average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range: 3-11). Dependency scores varied between different days of the week and at different times of the day. The average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). Weekend demand was lower compared to the weekday activity. The 80th percentile of midwifery staff available and patient dependency scores for each shift by weekdays are presented in Figure 2.
There was heightened clinical activity midweek throughout the year. The 22:00 hour shift showed persistently higher dependency scores compared to 10:00 hour shifts; the latter were normally well staffed.

The unit escalation level according to the scored sheets throughout the study period is presented in figure 3. The unit reached escalation level 4 on 17 occasions in the 12 month period analysed. A level 4 escalation triggered senior clinical and managerial staff involvement to mobilise midwives from other areas to alleviate the risk of potential unit closure. The unit closed on 4 occasions during the study period and this ranged from 3 hours to a maximum 9 hours per event. We can only speculate that closure happened in spite of the above measures because it was still not feasible to downgrade the level of escalation.

Control charts are suitable for normal distributions where 99.72% of the variation falls between 3 standard deviations above and below the mean. This was plotted (count) and found to be the case as seen in figure 4. Using the formula: $(UCL - LCL) \times 80\% + LCL[12 \ 13]$, the $80^{th}$ percentile of the demand variation was calculated to be 11.

**DISCUSSION:**

Midwifery staffing shortage is an ongoing concern nationally. In spite of a rise in midwifery recruitment, this increase in capacity has not kept pace with the increasing demands on maternity services. Moreover, the imposition of European working time directives and reductions in the number of doctors in training necessitates team working changes and the adoption of different organisational models.[14 15]
There has been a rise in birth rate especially in women with co-morbidities such as obesity, older women and those born outside the UK. Therefore, it is not surprising that one of the key recommendations of the King's Fund inquiry into the safety of maternity services was to ensure that maternity units have structures in place to gather data on their unit’s activity and demand.[16 17] The issue of safe staffing levels has never been under the spotlight more than following the publication of the Francis report which recommended that NICE develops “evidence-based tools for establishing the staffing needs of each service” in the NHS.[18] It is recognised that out of hours obstetrics is a major source of risk. Data from several studies have shown higher rates of neonatal death in women who deliver out of hours, variations in staff deployment have been implicated as one of the causes for this disparity.[19-23] Staff planning and deployment in any acute service faces the challenge of fluctuating demand. Various dependency scores have been developed and utilised in Acute Medicine, especially Accident and Emergency departments and Intensive care Units.[24-27] However, delivery suite is unique in that it has to deal with a combination of a physiological process that is at best changeable and acute ‘unpredictable’ potentially serious medical and surgical demands that can rapidly change over a relatively short period of time whilst catering for relatively predictable elective work running in parallel.

Staff deployment on delivery suites has customarily been determined by resource allocation and ‘average’ patient turnover retrieved from historic data. The average number of staff available per shift on delivery suite throughout the monitored year was 7, which was identical to the average dependency score for the monitored shifts
during the same time period. This would imply that staffing levels were appropriate for the studied period. However, calculating staff numbers based on the average activity runs the risk of failing to meet the demands on 50% of the occasions. This is due to the fact that averages tend to smoothen out the peaks and troughs (variations) in the activity pattern and hence do not portray demand in real time. Indeed this is demonstrated in Figure 4, where the dependency scores for our delivery suite were plotted for the whole year on a control chart depicting the variation in the activity on delivery suite. Basing staffing levels on averages would have entailed deployment of seven midwives per shift to staff the delivery suite. Interestingly, as explained above, this would result in staff shortages over half the times above and below this average (red line). Using SPC, we were able to monitor and study demand by drawing the data on a control chart (Figure 4). Determining staffing levels based on the UCL ensures that the calculated number will be safe to cover 99.7% of the expected demand. However, this can potentially lead to problems of over-resourcing and wastage, this being the main driver to set the capacity to 80% of the demand. This level optimises staff usage, prevent undue resourcing while allowing flexibility in the system to deal with variations in the day-to-day activity with a consequent improvement in the safety environment on labour ward. This concept was originally generated from the queuing theory postulated by A K Erlang, a Danish telecommunication engineer.[28] Since its conception, the model has now been widely applied in different contexts including traffic engineering, factories, computing and shops. There is an increasing use of this capacity/demand model in health care to compute resource allocation on wards, outpatient clinics and Accident and Emergency Departments.[28-30] This study demonstrates that delivery suites are not an exception.
Following the feeling amongst staff members that some midwives overestimated the dependency score with a consequent increase in occasions of and severity of levels of escalation the comparison of midwifery versus investigator coding demonstrated that there was an element of truth in this perception. However, midwives also seemed to underestimate activity when shifts were very busy and should have been categorised as escalation level 4 (red) where they were categorised as level 3 (amber). We can only speculate the reasons for these variations, which could be related to awareness that the high level of activity was transient and did not warrant evoking the level 4 escalation procedure. It is also possible that staff were consciously or unconsciously trying to avoid the administrative complexities of documenting a level 4 escalation and the potential of unit closure or that some staff members find it easier to choose the middle category rather than the extremes. Nevertheless, this should not have been an issue with employment of a well-defined objective scoring system.

There are some potential limitations to our study. The scoring sheets were introduced after an initial pilot and staff training. Nonetheless, we did not evaluate the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability. However, this was introduced as a departmental quality and safety intervention and the scoring system was deemed easy to follow with simple instructions especially as it was largely based on the BR\textsuperscript{*} scoring system which most midwives were familiar with. Missing data were identified in 26% of the shifts overall. Unfortunately, we did not collect information regarding reasons for not completing a score sheet hence our proposed reasons for non-completion are only speculative. It
is plausible that the reasons for missing data are related to lack of time to complete
the scoring sheets because of workload, concerns that consistently low activity
scores may compromise the number of staff allocated to future shifts or resistance to
what could be perceived as a paper filling exercise. Indeed, if true, some of these
reasons could be a source of bias. However, It is important to note that the
percentage of missing shifts was not uniformly distributed between the 4 time points
with the 04:00 hours shift showing the maximum deficit of 47%. This issue could
potentially be a source of bias, however, our sample was representative and
consistent enough throughout the studied period for us to be confident in our
assumptions. This view is further supported by NPSA[9] recommendation that
plotting of activity over a three-month period would give sufficient information to
extrapolate the data for the whole year. Moreover, this study supports the view
echoed in the recent NICE guideline relating to safe staffing which stresses that
there is no single optimal nurse-to-patient ratio that can be applied across the board,
however, each ward has to determine safe levels of staffing depending on their
individual daily circumstances to ensure safe patient care.[31]

CONCLUSION:

This study highlights the feasibility of using a simple tool to determine the level of
escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system that takes into
account capacity and demand. Moreover, this tool can be used to determine the
appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a
labour ward. However, further work is required to assess stakeholders acceptability,
inter-rater reliability and escalation trigger levels in different types of maternity units
prior to wider use.
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**Figure Legend**

**Figure 1:** Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

**Figure 2:** 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days

**Figure 3:** Unit escalation level throughout the study period

**Figure 4:** Dependency scores for the study period
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Abstract:

Objective: Safe midwifery staffing levels on delivery suites is a priority area for any maternity service. Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures. The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using a scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level based on demand and use this to determine delivery suite escalation level and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the actual number of midwifery staff required.

Setting: A maternity unit of a University-affiliated tertiary referral hospital.

Design: Over a 12-month period, specifically designed scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score (Demand) and the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. The 80th percentile of the demand was used to determine optimal capacity.

Results: A total of 1160 scoring sheets were completed. Average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range 3-11). Average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). The 80th percentile for demand was calculated to be 11.

Conclusion: This study stresses the importance and usefulness of a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system and can also be used to determine the appropriate staffing on delivery suite.

Strengths and limitations of this study:
- Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.

- Birth Rate Plus (BR+) is a validated, real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite.

- DOMUS provides a simple tool that can be used to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite and appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward.

- Benefit could be added through an evaluation of the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability.
INTRODUCTION:

Appropriate levels of midwifery staffing on delivery suites tend to be an on-going concern across maternity units in the UK. It has implications on the safety and quality of intrapartum care provided and impacts on staff morale, job satisfaction, staff sickness rates and their recruitment and retention within maternity services.[1-3] One to one midwifery care for women in labour is a standard of maternity care recommended by the Royal College of Midwives (RCM) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) in their joint document “Safer Childbirth”[4] and by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).[5] Determining staffing capacity on any acute service delivery department is usually based on average patient turnover using retrospective data and does not necessarily reflect real-time fluctuations in demand. Indeed, activity can vary throughout the week and even from time to time during one day.

Escalation policies are tools that provide an operational response to emergency pressures.[6] The main aim of escalation is to provide a rapid, consistent and predictable resolution of the triggering situation thereby reducing the risk of further escalation and allowing resumption of normal working as quickly as possible. The labour ward escalation policy, discussed in this report, was originally produced in response to a request from the Trust board clinical governance committee to ensure safe staffing levels on labour ward at all times, particularly in the event of heightened clinical activity and to provide clear guidance to key staff about actions required to
mitigate any potential clinical risks which are frequently associated with a busy work environment. Therefore, to ensure validity and effectiveness of this tool, it was imperative to ensure that the measure of clinical activity is objective, clinically plausible and reproducible.

Intrapartum scoring based on mother and baby care needs was originally developed in 1986 where the score reflected the level of clinical complexity and hence demand. The methodology was applied in several maternity services and extensive validation of the dependency scores was done. More recently, Birth Rate Plus (BR+) was developed and validated as a real-time objective measure of the number of midwives required to provide a high quality maternity service at any point in time on delivery suite (DS). The principle is based on the knowledge that women with higher care needs require more than 1:1 midwife time to care for them whilst on labour ward.[7 8] Hence, the total BR+ score at anytime on labour ward determines the minimum number of midwives expected to be available to provide intrapartum care at that time point. In an attempt to reduce the subjectivity and determine the level of escalation of DS in a busy maternity unit, we conceived the idea of using a modified BR+ scoring system to contemporaneously assess the required staffing level (dependency score) based on demand and use this score to determine DS escalation level. To our knowledge, this idea and the development of the escalation policy preceded the NPSA labour ward scorecard.[9 10] The main aims of the DOMUS study were to assess the feasibility of using a modified BR+ scoring approach to determine the level of DS escalation and utilise the information generated regarding clinical activity (Demand) and staffing levels (Capacity) to generate unit-specific calculation for the
actual number of midwifery staff required to deliver a high quality service using a validated capacity and demand model.

METHODS:

The study was undertaken in the maternity unit of a University affiliated tertiary referral hospital that serves a population of approximately 600,000 with an in-house level 3 neonatal unit and a delivery rate of approximately 6000 maternities per annum. Throughout the time of the study, the RCM recommended staffing standard of 28 births: 1 whole time equivalent (wte) midwife was maintained. During the study period there was always an aim to have 12 midwives at any given shift. However, for various reasons, staffing pressures throughout the unit were encountered.

Following agreement from the Trust clinical governance board, piloting the escalation policy was originally introduced in June 2009. It was initially planned to score capacity and demand (Figure 1) twelve hourly. However, following a 6-month pilot phase, DS staff felt that twice daily scoring failed to capture the activity pattern and trends. This led to an update in the policy with instigation of a six hourly scoring system. An adapted BR+ was used as an objective measure for demand. The main reason for the modification was to ensure that it is suitable for all women who are cared for on delivery suite. At the time of the study most of the women undergoing a prostaglandin induction of labour (PGL-IOL) were admitted to labour ward for the duration of their induction and subsequent labour. However, IOL is not represented as a category in BR+ (as an intrapartum scoring system it only accounts for women in labour), hence, a new category was added to the score sheet for women undergoing PGL-IOL. Although 1:1 care is not required during the early stages of induction,
women would still need some degree of midwifery input while on the unit. Scoring sheets were completed by delivery suite shift co-ordinators 4 times a day (04:00, 10:00, 16:00 and 22:00 hours). Based on the dependency score determined by the number and clinical needs of women on DS (Demand) in relation to the number of midwifery staff available (Capacity), an escalation level was determined for each shift. A traffic light system was used to display the escalation level on the labour ward board for all staff to be aware (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Escalation level determined by ration of dependency score to number of staff per shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff number (C) – Dependency score (D)</th>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Clinical impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or more staff &gt; dependency score C - D = ≥2</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Uncompromised staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - D = 1</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Near full utilization of available capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or C – D = -1 but likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The unit cannot accommodate in–utero transfers or planned admissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – D = ≤ -2 Or C – D = -1 but un-likely to improve within 2 hours.</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>Senior staff involvement to mobilise staff from other areas to alleviate potential unit closure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Capacity (Staffing level); D: Demand (Dependency Score)

Data were entered into an excel spreadsheet and analysed. Capacity and demand was studied by drawing the data on a control chart using Statistical Process Control
(SPC).[11] SPC provides an objective measure of capacity and demand by providing a visual representation of the performance of a process, showing data points against calculated upper and lower control limits (UCL and LCL). We set the UCL and LCL at 3 Standard Deviations from the mean.

Capacity (Staff resources) is typically set at the mean of the demand; this means that 50% of the time there will be more demand than capacity. However, this policy results in a delay for some patients receiving attention and consequently queuing.

Resourcing capacity at the UCL would prevent delay. Nevertheless, due to the large variation in demand this would lead to over resourcing and inefficient utilisation of available staff. Therefore, it is generally recognised that, to optimise utilisation of Staff resources (capacity) and ensure that there is flexibility in the system in the event of heightened demand, whilst also avoiding wastage at times of reduced workload, the 80th percentile (rather than UCL or LCL) of the demand variation should be used to determine capacity.

RESULTS:

A total of 1160 of the potential 1568 (74%) scoring sheets were completed between June 2009 and July 2010. The average staff number throughout the year on any shift was 7 (range: 3-11). Dependency scores varied between different days of the week and at different times of the day. The average dependency score was 7 (range: 1-14). Weekend demand was lower compared to the weekday activity. The 80th percentile of midwifery staff available and patient dependency scores for each shift by weekdays are presented in Figure 2.
There was heightened clinical activity midweek throughout the year. The 22:00 hour shift showed persistently higher dependency scores compared to 10:00 hour shifts; the latter were normally well staffed.

The unit escalation level according to the scored sheets throughout the study period is presented in figure 3. The unit reached escalation level 4 on 17 occasions in the 12 month period analysed. A level 4 escalation triggered senior clinical and managerial staff involvement to mobilise midwives from other areas to alleviate the risk of potential unit closure. The unit closed on 4 occasions during the study period and this ranged from 3 hours to a maximum 9 hours per event. We can only speculate that closure happened in spite of the above measures because it was still not feasible to downgrade the level of escalation.

Control charts are suitable for normal distributions where 99.72% of the variation falls between 3 standard deviations above and below the mean. This was plotted (count) and found to be the case as seen in figure 4. Using the formula: \((UCL - LCL) \times 80\% + LCL\)[12 13], the 80th percentile of the demand variation was calculated to be 11.

**DISCUSSION:**

Midwifery staffing shortage is an ongoing concern nationally. In spite of a rise in midwifery recruitment, this increase in capacity has not kept pace with the increasing demands on maternity services. Moreover, the imposition of European working time directives and reductions in the number of doctors in training necessitates team working changes and the adoption of different organisational models.[14 15]
There has been a rise in birth rate especially in women with co-morbidities such as obesity, older women and those born outside the UK. Therefore, it is not surprising that one of the key recommendations of the King’s Fund inquiry into the safety of maternity services was to ensure that maternity units have structures in place to gather data on their unit’s activity and demand.[16 17] The issue of safe staffing levels has never been under the spotlight more than following the publication of the Francis report which recommended that NICE develops “evidence-based tools for establishing the staffing needs of each service” in the NHS.[18] It is recognised that out of hours obstetrics is a major source of risk. Data from several studies have shown higher rates of neonatal death in women who deliver out of hours, variations in staff deployment have been implicated as one of the causes for this disparity.[19-23] Staff planning and deployment in any acute service faces the challenge of fluctuating demand. Various dependency scores have been developed and utilised in Acute Medicine, especially Accident and Emergency departments and Intensive care Units.[24-27] However, delivery suite is unique in that it has to deal with a combination of a physiological process that is at best changeable and acute ‘unpredictable’ potentially serious medical and surgical demands that can rapidly change over a relatively short period of time whilst catering for relatively predictable elective work running in parallel.

Staff deployment on delivery suites has customarily been determined by resource allocation and ‘average’ patient turnover retrieved from historic data. The average number of staff available per shift on delivery suite throughout the monitored year was 7, which was identical to the average dependency score for the monitored shifts.
during the same time period. This would imply that staffing levels were appropriate
for the studied period. However, calculating staff numbers based on the average
activity runs the risk of failing to meet the demands on 50% of the occasions. This is
due to the fact that averages tend to smoothen out the peaks and troughs
(variations) in the activity pattern and hence do not portray demand in real time.
Indeed this is demonstrated in Figure 4, where the dependency scores for our
delivery suite were plotted for the whole year on a control chart depicting the
variation in the activity on delivery suite. Basing staffing levels on averages would
have entailed deployment of seven midwives per shift to staff the delivery suite.
Interestingly, as explained above, this would result in staff shortages over half the
times above and below this average (red line). Using SPC, we were able to monitor
and study demand by drawing the data on a control chart (Figure 4). Determining
staffing levels based on the UCL ensures that the calculated number will be safe to
cover 99.7% of the expected demand. However, this can potentially lead to problems
of over-resourcing and wastage, this being the main driver to set the capacity to 80%
of the demand. This level optimises staff usage, prevent undue resourcing while
allowing flexibility in the system to deal with variations in the day-to-day activity with
a consequent improvement in the safety environment on labour ward. This concept
was originally generated from the queuing theory postulated by A K Erlang, a Danish
telecommunication engineer.[28] Since its conception, the model has now been
widely applied in different contexts including traffic engineering, factories, computing
and shops. There is an increasing use of this capacity/demand model in heath care
to compute resource allocation on wards, outpatient clinics and Accident and
Emergency Departments.[28-30] This study demonstrates that delivery suites are not
an exception.
Following the feeling amongst staff members that some midwives overestimated the dependency score with a consequent increase in occasions of and severity of levels of escalation the comparison of midwifery versus investigator coding demonstrated that there was an element of truth in this perception. However, midwives also seemed to underestimate activity when shifts were very busy and should have been categorised as escalation level 4 (red) where they were categorised as level 3 (amber). We can only speculate the reasons for these variations, which could be related to awareness that the high level of activity was transient and did not warrant evoking the level 4 escalation procedure. It is also possible that staff were consciously or unconsciously trying to avoid the administrative complexities of documenting a level 4 escalation and the potential of unit closure or that some staff members find it easier to choose the middle category rather than the extremes. Nevertheless, this should not have been an issue with employment of a well-defined objective scoring system.

There are some potential limitations to our study. The scoring sheets were introduced after an initial pilot and staff training. Nonetheless, we did not evaluate the impact of this training on the usability of the scoring system or inter-rater variability. However, this was introduced as a departmental quality and safety intervention and the scoring system was deemed easy to follow with simple instructions especially as it was largely based on the BR⁺ scoring system which most midwives were familiar with. Missing data were identified in 26% of the shifts overall. Unfortunately, we did not collect information regarding reasons for not completing a score sheet hence our proposed reasons for non-completion are only speculative. It
is plausible that the reasons for missing data are related to lack of time to complete the scoring sheets because of workload, concerns that consistently low activity scores may compromise the number of staff allocated to future shifts or resistance to what could be perceived as a paper filling exercise. Indeed, if true, some of these reasons could be a source of bias. However, it is important to note that the percentage of missing shifts was not uniformly distributed between the 4 time points with the 04:00 hours shift showing the maximum deficit of 47%. This issue could potentially be a source of bias, however, our sample was representative and consistent enough throughout the studied period for us to be confident in our assumptions. This view is further supported by NPSA[9] recommendation that plotting of activity over a three-month period would give sufficient information to extrapolate the data for the whole year. Moreover, this study supports the view echoed in the recent NICE guideline relating to safe staffing which stresses that there is no single optimal nurse-to-patient ratio that can be applied across the board, however, each ward has to determine safe levels of staffing depending on their individual daily circumstances to ensure safe patient care.[31]

CONCLUSION:

This study highlights the feasibility of using a simple tool to determine the level of escalation on delivery suite based on an objective scoring system that takes into account capacity and demand. Moreover, this tool can be used to determine the appropriate staffing levels required for the safe and efficient service delivery on a labour ward. However, further work is required to assess stakeholders acceptability,
inter-rater reliability and escalation trigger levels in different types of maternity units prior to wider use.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days

Figure 3: Unit escalation level throughout the study period

Figure 4: Dependency scores for the study period
Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>POINTS</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. &amp; II.</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Progressive (low risk in normal care on MMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Progressive (high risk on Delivery Suite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Spontaneous labour, no risk factors, planned vaginal delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>All of the above with the addition of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Induction of labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eardrops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st degree tear / complicated repairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>All of the above with the addition of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency caesarean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More complicated cases requiring FBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obstetric anaesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Mother and baby require a very high degree of support or intervention (medical / obstetric complications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General anaesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All emergencies caesarean sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All multiple births, intensive care not accounted for by any other factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood transfusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Others outcomes outside TQIPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following delivery all women on labour ward will continue to contribute to the overall score for at least one hour according to their individual care needs.

Figure 1: Dependency scoring system and scoring sheet
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Figure 2: 80th percentile dependency scores (demand) and staff numbers (capacity) throughout the studied period for the 4 shift times by week days.
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Figure 3: Unit escalation level throughout the study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escalation level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 4: Dependency scores for the study period
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