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Abstract

There are calls across America for police to re-imagine themselves as “guardians” rather than “warriors” in the performance of their innumerable duties. The contentious history of police attitudes and practices surrounding encounters with people affected by mental illnesses can be understood through the lens of this wider push toward guardianship. At least as far back as the de-institutionalization of mental health care and the profound lack of community-based resources to fill service deficits, the role of police as mental health interventionists has been controversial and complex. This paper reviews the first wave of reform efforts designed to re-shape police sensibilities and practices in the handling of mental health-related encounters. We argue that such efforts, centred on specialized training and cooperative agreements with the health care sector, have advanced a guardian mindset through improved knowledge and attitudes about mental health vulnerabilities and needs. Building on the progress made, we suggest there are critical opportunities for a new wave of efforts that can further advance the guardianship agenda. We highlight three such opportunities: (1) Enhancing experiences of procedural justice during mental health-related encounters; (2) Building the evidence base through integrated data sets; and (3) Balancing a “case-based” focus with a “place-based” focus.
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Introduction

There are calls across America for police to re-imagine themselves as “guardians” rather than “warriors” in the performance of their innumerable duties (Rahr & Rice, 2015). The guardian mindset – centred on the role of police as protectors – sits in opposition to a “warrior” ethos conveying the view that police are “at war” with those they serve. This call for cultural change in police work is a key thread woven throughout the recent report of the President’s Task Force on 21st Century Policing (2015). The urgency of this call stems from a series of tragic police encounters that have illuminated deep ruptures between police and communities across the nation. The Report emphasizes deficits in the public’s trust and in the legitimacy of American law enforcement.
The contentious history of police attitudes and practices surrounding encounters with people affected by mental illnesses can be understood through the lens of this wider push toward guardianship. Those critical of police as mental health interventionists have for decades urged a shift in mindset toward health and welfare-oriented values during routine order maintenance and crime control activities that sometimes include the handling of crisis situations exacerbated by substance use and misuse. Efforts to transform police decision-making within a public health frame are correspondingly decades old, with the first wave of reforms initiated in the 1980s in the wake of fatal encounters that could have ended differently.

Arguably, the story of police transformation dates back even earlier to the 1960s with the de-institutionalization of mental health services and the profound lack of community-based resources to fill the gaps in mental health care (Manderscheid, Atay, & Crider, 2009; Slate, Buffington-Vollum, & Johnson, 2013). In the ensuing decades, reformers set out to re-shape the policing ethos in a way that embraced their expanded public health role. This was critical in light of a troubling trend toward “re-institutionalizing” people with mental illnesses in the criminal justice system (Wood, Swanson, Burris, & Gilbert, 2011). By the 1980s, a central mechanism of reform was (and continues to be) specialized police training designed to improve officers’ knowledge of and attitudes toward mental health vulnerabilities while shaping their decision-making in favour of appropriate de-escalation techniques. This training focus has been supported by cross-system collaborations and cooperative arrangements between police and mental health “drop-off” facilities best equipped to perform psychiatric assessments in a timely manner.

This paper reviews this story of reform efforts and looks into the future. It takes stock of what has been accomplished in fostering a guardian mindset in the handling of mental-health related encounters. It then explores critical opportunities for advancing the next wave of transformation needed to more fully align the missions of law enforcement and public health. We argue that specialized training – supported by cross system collaboration and cooperative health care agreements - is a necessary, but not sufficient element in advancing the effectiveness and legitimacy of police as “incidental” (Burris et al., 2010) mental health interventionists. In this paper, we make the argument for three needed areas of progress which tie in to wider developments in evidence-based policing and community trust-building. These are: (1) Enhancing experiences of procedural justice during mental health-related encounters and (2) Building the evidence base through integrated data sets; (3) Balancing a case-based focus with a place-based focus.

The (not-so) “secret social service”

The public health aspects of everyday police work are traditionally under-valued and even worse, unacknowledged. Four decades ago, Punch characterized law enforcement as the “secret social service” (Punch, 1979). This was because the welfare-oriented roles of police were usually out of view, “largely undocumented” (Punch, 1979, p. 102) and therefore misunderstood by policy-makers, researchers and the general public. Punch explained that “[t]his lacuna in our understanding of police work conspires to make of the police a ‘secret
social service’ because obligations in this grey area remain diffuse and unspecified while operational practice is largely hidden from scrutiny and evaluation” (Punch, 1979, p. 102).

Supporting Punch’s observations was a pioneering network of researchers (Banton, 1964; Goldstein, 1977; Muir, 1977; Skolnick, 1993; Westley, 1970; Wilson, 1968) that illuminated this social service function through careful attention to officers’ decisions as they navigated an innumerable array of situations on the street. This body of work was not narrowly focused on officers’ enforcement of the law, but more broadly on the ways in which they handled a gamut of situations using formal and informal means at their disposal. An important theme across this research was the observation that police were first and foremost situational problem-solvers. In every time-space moment, officers chose from a repertoire of “peacekeeping” interventions, many of which did not involve the use of legal sanctions (Bittner, 1967b).

The handling of mental health-related encounters was no different. Bittner’s work in particular honed in on this area of police work (Bittner, 1967a), which revealed larger parallels with his other work on “skid row” policing (Bittner, 1967b). Bittner showed us that officers experienced a rather profound tension between what they were authorized to do in handling situations and what they often chose to do to dispose of matters and move on to the next problem. He explained that police know the law, and are experienced in the intricacies of its application, but they rely predominantly on their craft knowledge, or “area knowledge” (Bittner, 1970), which informs “provisional solutions” to problems (Bittner, 1990). When it came to handling mental health crises, officers routinely exercised “psychiatric first aid” (Bittner, 1967a), a process of communication and interaction designed to bring “safety and normalcy” to the situation (Bittner, 1967a, p. 288). Psychiatric first aid formed part of a larger orientation toward “peacekeeping” rather than “law enforcement” in the narrow conception of the term. Our recent observations of police work in contemporary Chicago echoed Bittner’s findings, and invoked Punch’s insights into the “grey” area of social service work:

[P]olice pay careful attention to negotiating peace with both complainants/callers and call subjects, often within a grey area that exists between the restricted options of invoking criminal law (arrest) and using civil law as grounds for emergency apprehension. In many cases, officers choose not to coerce a solution, but to align interests in the negotiation of peace (citation retracted)

In the ensuing years since Bittner’s early findings, questions about the effects of police decision-making on long-term mental health outcomes became more important. As the effects of de-institutionalization accumulated, police experienced the work burden associated with gaps in community resources, coupled with increasingly stringent civil commitment criteria that limited their dispositional options (Wood et al., 2011). In this larger social context, Teplin extended Bittner’s line of inquiry on the factors influencing police decision-making during mental health-related encounters (Teplin, 1984a, 1984b, 2000; Teplin & Pruett, 1992). Echoing Bittner, Teplin and Pruett found that officers generally preferred to handle matters informally. However, there was a disproportionate use of arrest with individuals manifesting signs of mental illness (1992). Arrest, in such cases, was often perceived as the only means of bringing a situation under control. As well, arrest was
sometimes the only option available when the criteria for civil commitment were not met and citizens were demanding that something be done to bring peace. Additionally, arrest was seen as a viable option for those known to be too dangerous for a hospital setting or had a criminal charge pending (Teplin & Pruett, 1992).

In a phenomenon Lamb and colleagues described as “mercy booking”, officers perceived detention in jail as the only available access point to psychiatric treatment (Lamb, Weinberger, & DeCuir, 2002). Indeed, in the United States, only persons who are incarcerated are constitutionally guaranteed adequate health care (Ruger, Ruger & Annas, 2015). The implementation of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (2010) has expanded access, but falls well short of a guarantee. Thus, for many, jail and prison are the only places they are able to access psychiatric care.

In this light, the criminalization of mental illness (Slate et al., 2013) was in large part a byproduct of limited dispositional options for police. At the same time, however, the manner in which police handled mental health-related encounters came under increased scrutiny during the late decades of the 20th century. A series of encounters – involving numerous police agencies within America and beyond – resulted in fatal outcomes for the citizens involved (Cotton & Coleman, 2010; Dupont & Cochrane, 2000). This cumulative set of events sparked a concern with the mindset of police in relation to their roles as health interventionists. Using the current language of the Task Force on 21st Century Policing, questions were raised about whether officers truly embraced a guardian mindset in their dealings with people experiencing mental illnesses. In the next section, we review efforts to transform the policing ethos and improve police decision-making during encounters.

The first wave of police reform

The increased scrutiny that began in the final decades of the 20th Century stimulated attention to the development of strategies to improve officer training and models of interagency cooperation to support safer and more effective responses to persons with mental illnesses. Several models emerged in the United States. A survey of police agencies conducted in the late 1990s (Borum et al 1998, 1999) found that these models tended to fall onto one of three categories: 1) interagency agreements with mental health agency –based mobile crisis teams; 2) mental health clinicians embedded in police agencies to provide consultation to officers in the field; and 3) specially trained police officers that provide initial crisis response in the field and liaise with mental health providers to resolve calls. While research on models that fall within the first two categories is scant, there is a growing literature on the Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) model, which is a police-based model that includes specialized training for officers and significant cross-system and community collaboration (Compton et al 2008).

CIT, sometimes called the Memphis Model, was first developed in Memphis, TN following the police shooting of a man who it turned out had been diagnosed with schizophrenia. The model includes partnerships between police, mental health agencies, advocates and persons with lived experience; forty hours of specialized training for officers who volunteer to become CIT officers; designated points of access to emergency psychiatric assessment and
care; and changes to policies and procedures to facilitate a more effective response to persons experiencing mental health crisis (Dupont, Cochran & Pilsbury, 2007). The CIT Centre at the University of Memphis estimates that over 3000 jurisdictions (including several outside of the United States) are implementing CIT programs (University of Memphis CIT Centre, 2016). However, it is not clear how many of these jurisdictions are implementing the full CIT model (beyond the 40 hours of training).

While a randomized controlled trial of CIT effectiveness has yet to be conducted, there is a growing body of research that suggests CIT can impact important outcomes related to officer knowledge and attitudes, safety, diversion from arrest and linkage to services. We have the strongest evidence for the impact of CIT training on improving officer knowledge, attitudes and self-efficacy related to responding to persons with mental illnesses (Compton, et al 2006, 2008, 2011, 2014; Ellis, 2014; Ritter et al, 2010; Wells & Shafer, 2006). There is also evidence that these improvements maintain over time after the training, particularly for more experienced officers (Compton & Chien, 2008). Overall, these findings are suggestive of a stronger guardianship orientation as a result of the training.

In terms of safety outcomes, there is some evidence that suggests CIT may reduce use of force. Compton and colleagues presented officers with scenarios containing increasing levels of subject resistance/combatative behaviour and found that CIT officers were less likely to endorse force as effective compared with officers that were not CIT certified (Compton et al 2011). In a study of Chicago’s CIT program, Morabito and colleagues (2012) found that CIT officers used less force with more resistant subjects. While they did not have a comparison group, Skeem and Bibeau (2008) examined CIT call forms from the Las Vegas Police Department and found that CIT officers used less force than they legally could have when responding to persons with mental illnesses. Additionally, DuPont and Cochran (2000) have reported reductions in injuries to officers and civilians following implementation of CIT in Memphis, TN.

In terms of call resolution, the evidence of the impact of CIT on arrests is less consistent, with some studies finding CIT reduces arrests of persons with mental illnesses (Compton et al 2014b; Franz & Borum, 2011; Steadman et al 2000) and studies in different jurisdictions not detecting an impact (Teller, et al 2006; Watson et al 2010). However, the studies that did not find an impact on arrests did find that CIT increased linkage to services (hospital transport or other linkage) (Teller et al 2006; Watson et al 2010) suggesting that in some cases, CIT may be serving to link individuals to services instead of no intervention. The extent to which this may prevent future police contacts and arrests is unknown.

Initially, CIT programs sought to train officers and develop relationships and workable protocols with psychiatric emergency receiving facilities, often times hospital emergency departments, so that officers could divert symptomatic individuals from arrest and to the hospital. More recently, CIT programs are collaborating with community partners to create options that allow officers to divert individuals from arrest/jails AND hospital emergency departments, as both are expensive and difficult environments to provide services to persons experiencing mental health crises. This includes establishment of crisis triage centres, respite beds and co-responder linkage teams.
While the CIT model, with or without enhancements is most recognized and considered a “Best Practice” model, other promising models have emerged. Like CIT, these models include mental health crisis response training and rely heavily on public health-law enforcement partnerships and collaboration. The United States Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) has recognized several jurisdictions implementing specialized policing responses as Law Enforcement/Mental Health Learning sites (Council of State Governments, 2016), which include the Los Angeles (CA) Police Department and the Madison (WI) Police Department. The Los Angeles Police Department is implementing a multi-layered approach that includes mental health crisis response training, co-responder teams, follow-up linkage teams and a crisis triage desk that officers are required to call for persons experiencing mental health crises. The Madison Police Department utilizes mental health liaison officers that work within their assigned districts with mental health providers, advocates, families and consumers to proactively problem solve, address system issues, and link people to needed services. Other elements of their approach include the approximately 60 hours of crisis management and mental health intervention training that all officers receive in the academy, and ongoing work with community partners.

While we have growing research on the effectiveness of CIT, we do not have research to judge if it is better than other models or which model is most effective for specific outcomes, and most likely, no one size fits all. What is clear is that there is growing acknowledgement of the guardian public health role that police play in responding to persons with mental illnesses, in crisis or otherwise. CIT and the other promising models recognize that while training officers is important, collaborating across systems is essential for ongoing problem-solving and the development of effective crisis response. Thus, while all officers should receive training related to recognizing mental illness and basic de-escalation skills, simply making CIT training mandatory for all officers, as some have suggested, is unlikely to achieve the desired outcomes unless combined with collaboration and locally based problem solving. We would also argue that there is an important role for officers who self-identify (volunteer) to serve a specialist role and take on additional responsibility for responding to mental health related calls and working across systems to provide effective crisis response. This could be as a CIT officer (e.g. Memphis Model CIT program), a Mental Health Liaison (e.g. Madison, Wisconsin), or a member of a co-responder team (e.g. Los Angeles, California).

Critical opportunities for the next wave

Although first wave efforts have strengthened law enforcement-public health partnerships while improving officer knowledge and attitudes about health vulnerabilities, persons with serious mental illnesses continue to be significantly overrepresented among jail and prison populations, with recent studies estimating that 16–17% of the jail and prison populations in the United States have a serious mental illness (Ditton, 1999; Steadman, Osher, Robbins, Case & Samuals, 2009). Additionally, a report from the Treatment Advocacy Centre using 2011 police shooting data estimated that at least one quarter of persons shot and killed by police have a severe mental illness, giving them a 16 times greater likelihood of being killed by police than individuals without severe mental illnesses (Fuller, Lamb, Biasotti & Snook, 2015). Thus, there are calls for a next wave of real-world diversion models that look beyond...
immediate call resolutions toward long-term outcomes for ill or vulnerable individuals repeatedly encountering the police.

We suggest that critical opportunities for improving police interventions with people affected by mental illness can be located within a wider narrative about the future of policing in the 21st century. This narrative centres on ways of achieving a balance between effective, evidence-based policing and high quality police encounters. In the sections that follow, we outline three areas of focus that should constitute the next wave of interventions with people affected by mental illnesses: (1) Enhancing experiences of procedural justice; (2) Building the evidence base through integrated data sets; and (3) Balancing a “case-based” focus with a “place-based” focus.

**Enhancing experiences of procedural justice during mental health-related encounters**

The President’s Task Force Report outlines the necessary conditions for fostering a guardianship culture in policing. One of these elements is citizen experiences of “procedural justice” during routine encounters with officers. The theory of procedural justice arose in part out of a concern with the limits of deterrence and the threat of punishment as a mechanism for gaining voluntary compliance with legal authorities and the laws they enforce. The path to compliance is police legitimacy, which is enhanced when people experience police encounters as procedurally just. To create the experience of procedural justice, citizens must perceive they are being treated with dignity, respect, fairness and transparency, are given a “voice” during the interaction, and sense trustworthy motives (Tyler, 2004). A now robust evidence base demonstrates that procedural justice is a pre-condition for wider beliefs in police legitimacy, and ultimately, long-term voluntary compliance with the law (Mazerolle, Bennett, Davis, Sargeant, & Manning, 2012; Sunshine & Tyler, 2003; Tyler, 2003, 2004; Tyler, 2006; Tyler & Fagan, 2008; Tyler & Huo, 2002).

The distinct value of procedural justice to mental health-related encounters has been explored in several studies, including one by Watson and colleagues (2007). They found that perceptions of procedural justice are particularly critical for stigmatized populations (2007) and in situations in which they feel the most vulnerable such as mental health crises or arrests (Watson & Angell, 2013). Participants in the study reported feeling very vulnerable in encounters with police (Watson et al, 2008) and perceived procedural justice was associated with lower levels of resistance, greater cooperation with police in the encounter and greater willingness to cooperate with police in the future. (Watson et al 2010; Watson & Angell, 2013).

Despite this initial work, questions about how precisely procedural justice is experienced during moments of crisis or behavioural disturbance merit further study. This knowledge gap is especially apparent in relation to situations involving drug and/or alcohol use, where experiences of procedural justice may contain distinct, unmeasured dimensions. Furthermore, less is known about how best to support officers in providing procedurally just responses to people experiencing mental or behavioural health crises. CIT training is believed to facilitate more compassionate and procedurally just responses; however, this has not been directly tested. Outside of a procedural justice framework, mental health scholars have identified particular aspects of the police encounter experience that must be handled.
with great care, such as mode of conveyance to a psychiatric facility (wagon versus car) (Herrington, Clifford, Lawrence, Ryle, & Pope, 2009). The question of whether or not mode of conveyance links conceptually to experiences of dignity may provide a useful line of inquiry.

Validated survey instruments for measuring procedural justice are publically available for use in replication studies (Murphy, 2009; Tyler, 2006), but surveys may not be optimal methods (at least exclusively) for testing and refining the theory with people affected by mental illnesses. Ethnographic and interview-based studies are also necessary as methods to validate existing procedural justice constructs and measures. A few studies have employed both interview and survey based methods (Watson & Angell, 2007) and a validated measure of procedural justice in these encounters, the Police Contact Experience Survey, is available (Watson, et al 2010). Findings from this initial work has important implications not only for the specialized training of police, but also for other emergency responders, medical personnel, outreach workers and others intervening at some point during an encounter. Tyler and Mentovich make the case that procedural justice is just as critical to securing voluntary compliance with the directives of health authorities as it is to the directives of criminal justice authorities (Tyler & Mentovich, 2013).

In short, an explicit commitment to enhancing experiences of procedural justice during mental health-related encounters could help to build on the gains achieved by CIT and CIT-enhanced programs in fostering a guardian ethos among police.

**Building the evidence through integrated data sets**

As mentioned above, the extent to which CIT may prevent future police contacts and arrests is unknown. In other words, the question of whether or not CIT serves to reduce the “cycling” of people with mental illnesses through the criminal justice and/or behavioural health systems has not been answered. In his critique of CIT, Jeffrey Geller writes that “[f]or many folks who are the “beneficiaries” of frequent police pickups which lead to jail, emergency room, psychiatric hospital, or shelter, the immediate outcome is irrelevant. In a short time they’ll be back on the streets, and the play will repeat itself. For far too many, “CIT” might just as well stand for Consecutive Interventions without Treatment” (Geller, 2008).

The current lack of data on consumer outcomes is a result of system fragmentation or “parallelism” (Normore, Ellis, & Bone, 2015; Wolff, 1998). Not only is there variation across police agencies in terms of data collected on call resolutions (Cotton & Coleman, 2010), health and justice data systems rarely speak to one another in order to track both the incidence and outcomes of mental health-related encounters (Victoria Police, 2007). This leaves police officers operating in a knowledge vacuum, unclear as to whether their methods of handling encounters lead to tangible improvements in consumers’ lives. At present, police decision-making is guided in large part by situational circumstances, including their local knowledge of the person, the community context (including available resources), any associated criminal behaviour, and imminent safety and security considerations (Morabito, 2007). This fragmented system problem therefore contributes to the view that officers can, at best, decide upon a provisional solution that avoids criminalization.
From a cross-systems perspective, it is well known that particular sub-groups of people affected by mental illness, substance use and homelessness cycle repeatedly through both our criminal justice and health institutions (Constantine, Robst, Andel, & Teague, 2012). Since multiple vulnerabilities do overlap (Normore et al., 2015), the problem of system fragmentation becomes ever more complex, with data from various social services (e.g. homeless outreach) being relevant in assessing outcomes for individuals holistically. The widest lens through which to view long-term outcomes would be one which accepts the “social determinants” of mental health as interconnected risk factors (Compton & Shim, 2015).

Inspiration can be drawn from current efforts at linking data systems and identifying those with acute vulnerabilities. For instance, in the U.S. state of New Jersey, the Camden Coalition of Healthcare Providers through their ARISE initiative (Administrative Records Integration for Service Excellence) links police administrative data (i.e. arrests, citation, calls for service) and hospital claims data (i.e. emergency room and critical care visits) and has so far found powerful evidence of “cross-sector high utilizers” – those encountering both police and healthcare systems with regularity, and at great costs to both systems (Camden ARISE, 2015). These data, in conjunction with other analyses performed globally (Eriksson et al., 2013), provide opportunities for practitioners and researchers to experiment with new street-level interventions that may better target the risk factors of high utilizers. Clearly, there is a minefield of privacy issues related to cross system data-sharing, but they are not insurmountable. In the United States, the Bureau of Justice Assistance, along with the Council of State Governments, has published a technical assistance guide titled “Information Sharing in Criminal Justice-Mental Health Collaborations” (Petrila & Fader-Towe, 2010), and programs such as ARISE have developed strategies that protect individual privacy.

Balancing a case-based focus with a place-based focus

A commitment to assessing the long-term health and justice outcomes of individuals with police involvement reflects a wider commitment to “epidemiological criminology” (Akers & Lanier, 2009; Akers, Potter, & Hill, 2012; Waltermaurer & Akers, 2013), where the fields of criminology and public health converge around theories, methods and policy interventions. Complementing this “case-based” approach is another promising area of convergence; a “place-based” approach which focuses on the geography of mental health-related encounters with police. Understanding where public health problems cluster, and why, has been at the heart of epidemiologic inquiry. More recently, criminologists have adopted a place-based perspective (Weisburd, Bernasco, & Bruinsma, 2009; Weisburd, Groff, & Yang, 2012) in order to understand not only where crime concentrates and why, but also to guide more informed operational decisions about where to allocate finite police resources.

As a conceptual variation of crime “hotspots” (Sherman, Gartin, & Buerger, 1989), Wood and Beierschmitt explored what they described as “hotspots of vulnerability” through a spatial analysis of locations where police pick up persons in crisis for conveyance to a psychiatric facility (Wood & Beierschmitt, 2014). Their analysis confirmed the hypothesis that mental health-related calls (at least calls that resulted in police transport) are not equally distributed across the city. In a related vein, Hibdon and Groff (2014) performed geo-spatial...
analyses of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) calls to identify “hot places” of drug use. Although the narcotics crime data collected by police illuminates street segments where drug-related crime is concentrated, Hibdon and Groff show that geo-referenced EMS data can help paint a fuller, more varied and richer picture of the spatial distribution of drug use and addiction.

Future studies of hot spots or hot places of vulnerability can help police agencies specifically and first responder communities more generally understand the spatial relationships between crime, mental health and substance use vulnerabilities. This geographic perspective can be used to inform cross-sector place-based interventions designed to align public safety and public health objectives. Although public health advocates are familiar with place-based approaches such as the establishment of needle and syringe programs for injection drug users (Werb et al., 2013; Ivsins, 2012), place-based interventions to address mental illness in particular should be given greater attention. Such interventions could include the targeted deployment of CIT or other specialist police officers in conjunction with intensive case management, outreach and follow-up services at vulnerable hot spots.

Conclusion

In the spirit of a wider commitment to strengthen a guardian mindset in policing, strides have been made in shifting the knowledge and attitudes of officers in their role as mental health interventionists. Cultural change in policing is invariably challenging, policing styles and occupational stereotypes do vary across officers and organizations (Wilson, 1968), and departmental missions and philosophies can oscillate with political regime change. Nevertheless, programs like CIT and its variants have spurred a generation of more sensitive and reflective officers. CIT-trained officers are now more skilled at choosing carefully from a repertoire of diversionary and non-punitive options in their handling of mental health-related encounters. There is hope in the idea that the tide of re-institutionalization through criminal justice is slowly being reversed.

Notwithstanding this progress, the cross-system environments within which officers perform their work can militate against their desire to affect better outcomes for people affected by mental illnesses. Although police operate at the nexus point of law enforcement, mental health and social services, there are insufficient cross-sector arrangements for governing their work. Police continue to operate in an institutional vacuum, unclear whether their efforts to assist people in crisis yield meaningful public health and safety outcomes. The critical need to look beyond the proximal outcomes of police encounters and adopt a long-term view of cross-sector service effectiveness is fuelling current efforts to advance the “next generation” of reforms (Epperson et al., 2011). Optimism can be drawn from the knowledge that the fields of policing and public health are beginning to align. A scholarly commitment to integrating theories and methods, combined with a cross-system commitment to reducing fragmentation, can lead to improved long-outcomes for those with mental health and co-occurring vulnerabilities.
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