Comparison of the chemistry and diversity of endophytes isolated from wild-harvested and greenhouse-cultivated yerba mansa (Anemopsis californica)
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Abstract
With this study, we explored the identity and chemistry of fungal endophytes from the roots of yerba mansa [Anemopsis californica (Nutt.) Hook. & Arn. (Saururaceae)], a botanical traditionally used to treat infection. We compared the diversity of fungal endophytes isolated from a wild-harvested A. californica population, and those from plants cultivated for one year in a greenhouse environment. The wild-harvested population yielded thirteen fungal strains (eleven unique genotypes). Of the extracts prepared from these fungi, four inhibited growth of Staphylococcus aureus by >25% at 20 µg/mL, and three inhibited growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by ≥20% at 200 µg/mL. By comparison, A. californica roots after one year of cultivation in the greenhouse produced only two unique genotypes, neither of which displayed significant antimicrobial activity. The fungus Chaetomium cupreum isolated from wild-harvested A. californica yielded a new antimicrobial spirolactone, chaetocuprum (1). An additional fourteen known compounds were identified using LC-MS dereplication of the various fungal endophytes. This study provides new insights into the identity and chemistry of A. californica fungal endophytes, and demonstrates the importance of considering growing conditions when pursuing natural product drug discovery from endophytic fungi.
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1. Introduction

Historically, it has been assumed that the biologically active principles of botanical medicines are plant secondary metabolites. However, plants are teeming with microbial
symbionts, including endophytes, microbes that live asymptomatically within plant tissue. Endophytes can produce an array of biologically active secondary metabolites, and the potential influence of these compounds on the biological activity of botanicals has been a topic of recent interest (Tan and Zaou, 2001; Strobel and Daisy, 2003; Strobel et al., 2004). Fungal endophytes can, in some cases, produce biologically active compounds. For example, endophytic fungi play a role in the production of hallucinogenic ergot alkaloids in morning glories (genera Ipomoea) (Ahimsa-Müller et al., 2007) and ergot and insecticidal loline alkaloids in tall fescue grass (genera Festuca and Lolium) (Siegel et al., 1990). To further complicate matters, gene transfer can occur between plants and endophytes, such that microbes may acquire the ability to produce the same compounds originally produced by the host plant, or vice versa (El-Elimat et al., 2014b; Kusari et al., 2009; Taghavi et al., 2005). Additionally, the presence of particular microbes may alter the growth and/or secondary constituent profile of the host plant (Eaton et al., 2010; Naveed et al., 2014). In light of this, it is becoming increasingly apparent that endophytes are a potentially important topic of consideration when investigating the biological activity of botanicals.

Endophytes can be transmitted either vertically, from parent to progeny through seeds, or horizontally, entering plant tissue from the environment (Rodriguez et al., 2009). Vertically transmitted endophytes often engage in mutualistic relationships with their hosts, and can be very closely associated with particular plant species (Saikkonen et al., 2004). Horizontally transmitted endophytes, on the other hand, may be more representative of the environment surrounding the plant than of the particular plant species. Because of horizontal endophyte transmission, it is likely that the same genus and species of a plant grown in different environments can have different endophyte profiles (Brem and Leuchtmann, 2002; Saikkonen et al., 2004; Scharf, 1996).

With this study, we focused on fungal endophytes from the botanical medicine Anemopsis californica (Nutt.) Hook. & Arn. (Saururaceae), which is commonly known as yerba mansa. Anemopsis californica was used by the Shoshoni, Pima, Mahuna, Chumash, Paiute, and Costanoan tribes of North America to treat inflammation and infection in wounds and to control pain (Bocek, 1984; Curtin, 1984; Timbrook, 1987; Romero, 1954; Train et al., 1978). This plant is still used today for the treatment of infections, and although it has been sparsely studied, there are several reports of antimicrobial (Bussey et al., 2014; Medina et al., 2005) or cytotoxic (Daniels et al., 2006; Kaminski et al., 2010) activities associated with A. californica extracts or constituents. To date, however, there have been no investigations of the endophyte profile of A. californica plants. Thus, we sought to isolate endophytes from the roots of this botanical and evaluate their antimicrobial activity and chemical composition. As part of this study, we also compared the diversity of fungal endophytes from a wild population of A. californica immediately after harvest and also after one year of cultivation in a greenhouse environment.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Influence of environment on fungal diversity

The first question we sought to explore was whether changes in growing conditions would alter the endophyte profile of A. californica plants. Given the commonness of horizontal
transmission, (Rodriguez et al., 2009) we expected that this would be the case. To test this experimentally, we isolated endophytes from two different batches of A. californica roots (Table 1). One batch of roots was harvested directly from a wild population and the other came from the same wild population but was allowed to grow in a greenhouse for one year prior to harvest. The difference in diversity of the fungal collections from the wild population and greenhouse samples is striking. The former yielded a diverse array of at least seven distinct fungal endophytes (Table 1). In stark contrast, the roots that had grown for one year in the greenhouse yielded only two fungal species, *Phomopsis columnaris* and *Ilyonectria robusta*. Both of these fungal species have been known to infect and kill plants by either causing root rot (*I. robusta*) or stem death (*P. columnaris*) (Cabral et al., 2012; Farr et al., 2002; Roy and Mulder, 2014). Interestingly, *P. columnaris* was the only fungus found to be present in both the field samples and the greenhouse samples. This fungus was isolated only once from the field samples, but repeatedly (10 times) from the greenhouse samples. Our data suggest that cultivation in the greenhouse for one year caused a loss in fungal richness in the *A. californica* root samples. Thus, the diversity of fungal endophytes obtained from botanical samples can vary greatly depending on environment/method of cultivation. This is an important point for consideration in natural product drug discovery efforts from fungal endophytes.

### 2.2. Antimicrobial activity of *A. californica* endophytes

A number of the endophyte extracts from batch 1 (wild-harvested roots) displayed pronounced antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Extracts of *Colletotrichum coccodes*, *Cylindrocarpon* sp., *Chaetomium cupreum*, and *Aspergillus* sp. all inhibited *S. aureus* growth by >25% at a concentration of 20 µg/mL. By comparison, extracts of the two fungi isolated from the greenhouse cultivated *A. californica* exhibited only weak antimicrobial activity (8% for *Phomopsis columnaris*, no activity for *Ilyonectria robusta*).

Most of the endophytes were either weakly active or completely inactive against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, even though the concentration tested was 10-fold higher (200 µg/mL) than that used for the *Staphylococcus aureus* growth inhibition assays. It is well known that the Gram-negative bacterium *P. aeruginosa* is less susceptible to antimicrobial agents than are Gram-positive bacteria (Balode et al., 2013; Henwood et al., 2001; Rodriguez-Rojas et al., 2012), so this result was not surprising. However, two of the endophytes from batch 1, *Cylindrocarpon* sp. and *Chaetomium cupreum*, displayed activity against both *S. aureus* (≥26%) and *P. aeruginosa* (≥22%). Thus, these fungi were chosen as starting material for isolation of antimicrobial compounds (Section 2.3).

### 2.3. Isolation and activity of compounds from *Chaetomium cuprum* and *Cylindrocarpon* sp

Bioassay-guided isolation from a scaled up extract of *Chaetomium cuprum* yielded one new compound (1), which we named chaetocuprum. The structure of this compound was confirmed by preparation of its methyl ester derivative (2), as described in Section 3.7. In addition, the known compound cochliodone A (3) was also isolated from *C. cuprum*. NMR and accurate mass data for this compound matched those reported previously (Phonkerd et al., 2008). Finally, two known compounds, equisetin (4) and 5'-epiequisetin (5), were
isolated from Cylindrocarpon sp. Spectroscopic data from these compounds matched literature reports (Phillips et al., 1989).

Chaetocuprum (1) is a spirolactone, which demonstrates some structural similarities to a fungal metabolite (6) that has been reported from Pseuodourachniotus roseus (Garrity et al., 1991). The chemistry of the Chaetomium genus has been investigated previously, (Asai et al., 2013; Panthama et al., 2014) but only two spirolactones have been isolated from this genus. There are a few other examples of natural products containing spirolactone ring systems, including spiroramakone A, aranorosinols, and melettinins (Angawi et al., 2005; Fuse et al., 2013; Roy et al., 1993).

2.3.1 Structure elucidation of chaetocuprum (1)—The molecular formula of chaetocuprum (1) was determined to be C_{24}H_{33}NO_8 (9 unsaturations) on the basis of NMR and HRESIMS data. Inspection of the \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR data (Table 2, Table S2, and Figures S1–S4) in CDCl\(_3\) and CD_3OD revealed the presence of a methyl singlet, five methine signals, including four oxymethines corresponding to two epoxide units, one olefinic proton, twenty-two methylene protons, and one exchangeable proton. Additionally, \(^13\)C NMR data indicated the presence of an oxygenated quaternary carbon, a non-protonated olefinic carbon, and four carbonyl carbons.

The \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR signals (Table 2) for H-6 (\(\delta\)H 3.65; \(J\) = 4.0 Hz, \(\delta\)C 56.3), H-7 (\(\delta\)H 3.89; \(J\) = 4.0, 2.1 H\(_z\), \(\delta\)C 52.6), H-8 (\(\delta\)H 4.03; \(J\) = 4.0, 2.1 H\(_z\), \(\delta\)C 57.9), and H-9 (\(\delta\)H 3.58; \(J\) = 4.0 H\(_z\), \(\delta\)C 55.5) were indicative of a pair of epoxide groups. Analysis of HSQC, HMBC, and COSY NMR data established the adjacent location of two epoxide units.

HMBC correlations from H-6, H-8, and H-9 to C-10 (\(\delta\)C 196.7) supported the placement of C-8–C-9 epoxide unit at a position alpha to the ketone carbonyl carbon. HMBC correlations from H-6 to an oxygenated quaternary carbon (C-5; \(\delta\)C 83.5) and C-10 suggested the presence of a six-membered ring. Key HMBC correlations from methylene protons H2-4 (\(\delta\)H 2.94 and \(\delta\)H 2.49) to C-5, C-6, and C-10 were consistent with the linkage of this group to C-5. Additional correlations from H2-4 to an ester carbonyl carbon (C-2; \(\delta\)C 173.6) and the adjoining methine carbon (C-3; \(\delta\)C 48.9) in conjunction with the chemical shift of C-5, supported the presence of a lactone, thereby forming a spirocyclic ring system.

HMBC correlations from an exchangeable proton NH (\(\delta\)H 6.34) to C-3, C-1’ (\(\delta\)C 169.7), and C-2’ (\(\delta\)C 129.4) were also observed when the NMR spectra were collected in CDCl\(_3\), establishing the linkage of the amide group to C-3. Key correlations from methyl group protons H3-15’ (\(\delta\)H 1.82) to C-1’, C-2’ (\(\delta\)C 129.4), and C-3’ (\(\delta\)C 139.3) extended the side chain to include an \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated olefin. A single spin system including protons H-3’ to H-13’ was identified primarily by analysis of the COSY NMR data. The remaining NMR data were consistent with the presence of a ten-carbon aliphatic chain. A terminal carboxylic acid group (C-14; \(\delta\)C 177.0) accounted for the remaining unsaturation and carbon count, thereby completing the assignment of the gross structure of 1.

Compound 1 was treated with excess trimethylsilyldiazomethane (TMSCHN\(_2\)) and the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum for the product (2) showed a methyl singlet at \(\delta\)H 3.65 for the newly formed
methoxy group (H$_3$-16'), confirming that 1 contained a carboxylic acid group (Figures S-6 – S-8). However, two new doublets ($\delta_H$ 3.05 and $\delta_H$ 2.85; H$_2$-11) with coupling constants of 4.4 Hz were also observed. Additionally, the $^{13}$C NMR signal for the ketone carbon in 1 was replaced by a signal at $\delta_c$ 49.7 (C-11) in 2. Analysis of HSQC and HMBC data were consistent with the assignment of these doublets to the methylene protons resulting from epoxidation of the ketone carbonyl group. HMBC correlations from H$_2$-11 to C-5 ($\delta_c$ 81.2), C-9 ($\delta_c$ 56.6), and C-10 ($\delta_c$ 59.8) confirmed the formation of a geminal epoxide in 2. HRESIMS data [m/z 492.2578 (M+H)$^+$] were consistent with the molecular formula (C$_{26}$H$_{37}$NO$_8$) of 2.

NOESY correlations between H$_3$-15' ($\delta_H$ 1.81) and H-4' ($\delta_H$ 2.17) allowed the assignment of E-configuration for the C-2'–C-3' double bond in 1. No correlations were observed between H$_3$-15' and H-3'. NOESY correlations of H-6 with H-7, as well as H-8 with H-9 were consistent with the presence of syn epoxide units. NOESY correlations between H-7 and H-8 were also observed. However, the relative orientation of the two epoxide groups in the ring system and conclusive assignment of the overall relative configuration of chaetocuprum could not be made solely on the basis of NOESY data. Unfortunately, crystallization attempts were also unsuccessful.

2.3.2. Antimicrobial activity of pure compounds—Antimicrobial activity was evaluated for the compounds (1, 4, and 5) that were isolated in sufficient quantity (Table 3). None of these were active against _P. aeruginosa_ (MIC >200 µg/mL). Compound 1 inhibited growth of _S. aureus_ (IC$_{50}$ of 50 µg/mL), but complete growth inhibition was not achieved against this organism (MIC > 50 µg/mL, the highest concentration tested). The activity of equisetin (4) agreed with literature (MIC of 1 µg/mL against _S. aureus_ and inactive against _P. aeruginosa_) (Burmeister et al., 1974; Hellwig et al., 2002). 5’-epiequisetin (5) has not been previously evaluated for antimicrobial effects, and it demonstrated an MIC of 1 µg/mL against _S. aureus_. The positive controls for the antimicrobial assays were berberine (for _S. aureus_) and ciprofloxacin (for _P. aeruginosa_), which demonstrated MICs of 150 µg/mL and 0.125 µg/mL, respectively, consistent with previous reports (Chalkley and Koornhof, 1985; Ettefagh et al., 2011).

2.4. Additional compounds from _A. californica_ endophytes

As a complementary approach to isolation for identifying chemical constituents of endophyte extracts, all extracts were subjected to LC-MS-MS analysis, and the data were compared to a library of high-resolution mass spectrometry data from fungal compounds, as described previously (El-Elimat et al., 2013a). Using this approach, eleven additional known compounds (Table 1, see structures in Figure S9) were identified. These data suggest that the endophytes not subjected to isolation efforts also biosynthesize structurally diverse secondary metabolites.
3. Experimental

3.1. General experimental procedures

UV spectra were measured by using a Varian Cary 100 Bio UV–vis spectrophotometer. Optical rotation was measured on a Rudolph Research Autopol III polarimeter. ECD data were collected on an Olis DSM 17 CD spectrophotometer. The NMR spectra were recorded in both CDCl$_3$ and CD$_3$OD with references peaks ($\delta_H$ 7.24/ $\delta_C$ 77.2 for CDCl$_3$ and $\delta_H$ 3.31/ $\delta_C$ 49.2 for CD$_3$OD). NMR experiments were conducted using an Agilent-700, JEOL ECA-500, and/or ECS-400 spectrometers (700, 500 or 400 MHz for $^1$H and 175, 125 or 100 MHz for $^{13}$C; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The HRESIMS data was collected on a Thermo LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. Flash chromatography was conducted using a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash Rf system with a RediSep Rf Si-gel Gold column (4 g silica 40 µm). A Varian ProStar HPLC system equipped with ProStar 210 pumps and a ProStar 335 photodiode array detector was used for reversed-phase preparative separations, with a Phenomenex Gemini-NX C18 column (5 µm, 120Å; 250 × 21.2 mm) and the Galaxie Chromatography Workstation Software (version 1.9.3.2). Analytical separations were performed with a Gemini-NX C18 column (5 µm, 120Å; 250 × 4.6 mm) from Phenomenex. For antimicrobial assays, the optical density at 600 nm was read using a POLARstar Optima microplate reader. Mießler-Hinton broth, ciprofloxacin (purity >98% by HPLC), and berberine (purity >98% by HPLC) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Other reagents were purchased from Fisher Scientific.

3.2. Plant material

*Aemopsis californica* (Nutt.) Hook. & Arn. (Saururaceae) plants were collected with permission by Amy Brown of Apache Creek Ranch in Santa Fe, NM (35°35' 56.40"N, 105°50' 27.22"W). A voucher specimen (NCU602027) was deposited in the University of North Carolina Herbarium, and was authenticated by Amy Brown. Fungi were isolated from surface sterilized fresh root samples.

3.3. Endophyte isolation and identification

Isolation of fungal endophytes was performed using methods outlined previously (El-Elimat et al., 2014b; Figueroa et al., 2014). For molecular identification of fungal endophytes isolated from yerba mansa, the internal transcribed spacer region of the ribosomal RNA gene (ITS) was sequenced using methods described previously (El-Elimat et al., 2013b; El-Elimat et al., 2013c; El-Elimat et al., 2014a; El-Elimat et al., 2014b; Figueroa et al., 2014; Figueroa et al., 2013). The ITS sequences from all strains were deposited in GenBank and are listed in Table S1.

3.4. Endophyte culture and extraction

A solid, grain-based medium was used to grow small-scale cultures of fungi in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks as previously described (Ayers et al., 2011; Figueroa et al., 2012). Each fungal culture was chopped and shaken overnight (16 h at 100 rpm) in a 1:1 MeOH:CHCl$_3$ solution, subjected to vacuum filtration, and washed with small volumes of MeOH. The filtrate was stirred in a 1:4:5 ratio of MeOH:CHCl$_3$:H$_2$O for 2 h. After separating the
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organic and aqueous layers, both layers were evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The organic layer was then resuspended in a 1:1:2 mixture of MeOH:CH₃CN:hexane. The MeOH:CH₃CN and hexane layers were separately dried under vacuum. The residue from the MeOH:CH₃CN layer was used for bioassays, dereplication, isolation, and chemical profile comparison between the endophytes and the A. californica extract.

3.5. Isolation

The first stage separations of extracts from Chaetomium cupreum and Cylindrocarpon sp. were conducted with normal-phase flash chromatography (4 g silica gel column) at an 18 mL/min flow rate with a 35 min hexane:CHCl₃:MeOH gradient. In the first stage of separation for Chaetomium cupreum (GenBank accession no. KM816761, Figure S10), four fractions were obtained using normal phase chromatography. Fraction 2 (144 mg) was subjected to a second stage of purification using a reversed phase preparative HPLC with a Gemini-NX C18 column at a 21 mL/min flow rate. A linear CH₃CN:H₂O gradient starting from 30:70 to 90:10 over 20 min yielded cochliodone A (3), which eluted at 17 min (1 mg, 98 % purity, 0.0004% yield). Fraction 3 was also subjected to the same gradient, and produced sub-fraction 2 (75 mg). This fraction was then purified with an isocratic solvent composition of 50:50 CH₃CN: H₂O on a preparative HPLC with a Gemini-NX C18 column at a 21 mL/min flow rate over 20 min. Chaetocuprum (1), eluted at 16 min (13 mg, 98.5 % purity, 0.0052% yield) and 5'-epiequisetin (5) at 12.7 min (25 mg, 98 % purity, 0.010% yield).

3.6. Chaetocuprum (1)

Oil; [α]₂₀^样品 = − 24. (c 0.09, CH₃OH); ECD (72 µM, CH₃OH) λ_{max} (Δε) 214 (+29), 230 (−8), and at 297 (−8), UV/Vis (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 224 (3.5). ¹H and ¹³C NMR data: See Tables 2 and S2; Key NOESY correlations (H-# → H-#): H-4_α ↔ H-3, 4_β; H-4_β ↔ H-3, 4_α, 6; H-6 ↔ H-4_β; 7; H-7 ↔ H-6, 8; H-8 ↔ H-7, 9; H-9 ↔ H-8; H-3' ↔ H₂-4', 5'; H₃-15' ↔ H₂-4'; HRESIMS obsd. m/z 464.2268 [M+H]^+, calcd for C₂₄H₃₄NO₈, 464.2284.

3.7. Preparation of 10,11-epoxychaetocuprum methyl ester (2)

A sample of 1 (3 mg) was dissolved in 200–300 µL of methanol and 2 M solution of TMSCHN₂ in diethyl ether was added dropwise until the yellow color of the TMSCHN₂ solution persisted. After stirring for 4 hours at RT, the sample was dried under air. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR and then purified by semi-preparative RP-HPLC [CH₃CN/H₂O (with 0.1% formic acid): 60–100% CH₃CN over 15 min] to yield 2 (1.0 mg; tR 14 min).
3.8. 10,11-Epoxychaetocuprum methyl ester (2)

Oil; [α]22D = +10, (c 0.05, CH3OH); UV/Vis (CH3OH) λmax (log ε) 221 (3.5), NMR data (CD3OD; 700 MHz) δ 6.41 (dt, 1.2, 7.4, H-3'), 4.42 (t, 10.2, H-3), 3.82 (dd, 2.2, 4.4, H-8), 3.78 (dd, 2.2, 4.2, H-7), 3.65 (s, H3-16'), 3.54 (d, 4.2, H-6), 3.05 (d, 4.4, Hα-11), 2.98 (d, 4.2, H-9), 2.91 (dd, 10.2, 13.4, Hα-4), 2.85 (d, 4.4, Hβ-11), 2.36 (dd, 9.9, 13.4, Hβ-4), 2.31 (t, 7.5, H2-13'), 2.19 (m, H2-4'), 1.83 (s, H3-15'), 1.60 (m, H2-12'), 1.46 (m, H2-5'), 1.32 (m; H2-6'–H2-11'); 13C NMR (CD3OD; 175 MHz) δ 176.1 (C-14'), 175.7 (C-2), 171.7 (C-1'), 139.3 (C-3'), 130.9 (C-2'), 81.2 (C-5), 59.8 (C-10), 57.5 (C-6), 56.6 (C-9), 53.9 (C-8), 53.4 (C-7), 52.0 (C-16'), 50.4 (C-3), 49.7 (C-11), 35.6 (C-4), 34.8 (C-13'), 30.2 (C-11'), 29.8 (C-5'), 29.3 (C-4'), 26.0 (C-12'), 12.5 (C-15'), Chemical shifts for five carbons (C-6'–C-10') could not be assigned with confidence but are listed here: δ 30.6, 30.54, 30.53, 30.38, and 30.35; Key HMBC correlations (H# → C-#): H-3 → C-2, 4, 1'; H3-4 → C-2, 3, 5, 6, 10; H-6 → 5, 8, 10; H-7 → C-6, 8; H-8 → C-7, 9; H-9 → C-5, 7, 10, 11 (wk); H2-11 → C-5, 9, 10; H-3' → C-1', 2' (wk), 4', 5', 15'; H-4' → C-2', 3', 5'; H-5' → C-3', 4'; H-12' → C-13', 14'; H-13' → C-11', 12', 14'; H-15' → C-1', 2', 3'; H-16' → C-14'; HRESIMS obsd. m/z 492.2578 [M+H]+, calcd for C26H38NO8, 492.2592.

3.9. LC-MS dereplication

Each fungal endophyte extract was analyzed with LC-MS-MS in the positive and negative ion modes, using a dereplication method described in detail previously (El-Elimat et al., 2013a).

3.10. Antimicrobial assays

Broth microdilution assays to evaluate antimicrobial susceptibility were performed according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (2012). *S. aureus* (strain NCTC 8325-4), (Novick, 1967) and *P. aeruginosa* (strain NCTC 12903) were used for biological testing. In separate experiments, single colony inocula of *S. aureus* or *P. aeruginosa* were grown to log phase in Müeller-Hinton broth and were adjusted to a final assay dilution of 1.0 × 10⁵ CFU/mL based on OD₆₀₀ of 0.11 for both bacteria. The negative control consisted of 2% DMSO in broth (vehicle), ciprofloxacin served as the positive control with *P. aeruginosa*, and berberine was used as the positive control with the *S. aureus*. All treatments and controls were prepared in triplicate wells. For background subtraction, additional wells were included containing the samples without bacteria. OD₆₀₀ was measured after incubation for 18 h at 37 °C. MIC was defined as the concentration at which no statistically significant difference was observed between the negative control and treated samples. IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration at which there is a 50% decrease in growth observed between the negative control and the treated samples.
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Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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References


*Phytochem Lett*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 01.


Train, P.; Henrichs, JR.; Archer, WA. Medicinal Uses of Plants by Indian Tribes of Nevada. Lawrence, Mass: Quarterman Publications; 1978.
HIGHLIGHTS

- Fourteen fungal endophytes were isolated from yerba mansa (*Anemopsis californica*).
- *Chaetomium cupreum* yielded a new antimicrobial spirolactone, chaetocuprum.
- Endophyte diversity was higher from wild-harvested than cultivated roots.
- Results indicate the importance of source material for endophyte drug discovery.
Figure 1.
Chaetocuprum (1), 10,11-epoxychaetocuprum methyl ester (2), cochliodone A (3), equisetin (4), 5'-epiequisetin (5), and a fungal metabolite (6) isolated from *Pseudoarachniotus roseus* by Merck & Co., Inc. Compound 2 is not a natural product and was prepared by chemical reaction.
Table 1

Endophytic fungi isolated from *Anemopsis californica* roots, their constituents, and the antimicrobial activities of their extracts. Extracts were tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* at a concentration of 20 µg/mL and against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a concentration of 200 µg/mL. The positive controls for the antimicrobial assays were berberine for *S. aureus* (MIC 150 µg/mL) and ciprofloxacin for *P. aeruginosa* (MIC 0.125 µg/mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTU identification&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Origin/Abundance&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Compounds identified&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th><em>S. aureus</em> inhibition (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th><em>P. aeruginosa</em> inhibition (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field</strong></td>
<td><strong>Greenhouse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colletotrichum coccodes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 ± 1.6</td>
<td>11 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>verticillin A, 11'-deoxyverticillin A</td>
<td>21 ± 1.7</td>
<td>29 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocreales sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrocarpon sp.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>equisit*, 5'-epiquesit*</td>
<td>38 ± 0.6</td>
<td>24 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaetomium cupreum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>chaetocuprum*, cochliodone A*</td>
<td>26 ± 1.5</td>
<td>79 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>37 ± 1.7</td>
<td>15 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>apicidin*, apicidin A*, apicidin D&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;*</td>
<td>9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpotrichiellaceae sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sordariales sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 ± 4.6</td>
<td>2 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 ± 1.2</td>
<td>20 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocreales sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>3 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemania serpens</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phomopsis columnaris</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>acemonidin C, trichothecinol B, AGI-7, (E)-8-(3-oct-2-enoyl)oxiran-2-yloctanoic acid, 5,8-epidioxyergosta-6,9(11),22-trien-3-ol</td>
<td>8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>14 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ilyonectria robusta</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>chermesimonone A</td>
<td>0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Operational taxonomic unit (OTU). GenBank accession numbers for the isolated fungi are included in Table S1. Isolates were grouped based on 98% ITS rDNA sequence similarity and identified using BLAST search implemented via web platform PlutoF hosted on the UNITE database.

<sup>b</sup>The number of isolates represents the number of times each fungus was isolated from the *A. californica* roots in the relevant batch.

<sup>c</sup>All of the fifteen compounds listed were identified in at least one of the isolates from each fungal species. Compounds indicated with an asterisk (*) were isolated and verified by NMR. The remaining compounds were identified by matching accurate mass, MS-MS, and retention time with standards in a dereplication database (El-Elmat et al., 2013a), and are shown in Figure S9. Some fungi did not produce any of the compounds in the database at detectable levels, but are likely to produce other compounds. Experiments to identify these via isolation are ongoing.

<sup>d</sup>Growth inhibition is expressed as the mean decrease in absorbance at 600nm for triplicate cultures (± standard deviation, SD). In cases where multiple isolations were obtained for the same fungus, the reported inhibition values are means of those for all strains.
Table 2

NMR spectroscopic data (500 MHz for $^1$H NMR and 125 MHz for $^{13}$C NMR, CDCl$_3$) for chaetocuprum (1).$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$\delta_H$ (mult., $J$ in Hz)</th>
<th>$\delta_C$ (mult.)</th>
<th>HMBC ($^1$H→$^{13}$C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.25 (m)</td>
<td>48.9 $d$</td>
<td>C-2, C-4, C-5, C-1'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.94 (dd, 10.2, 14.0)</td>
<td>34.5 $t$</td>
<td>C-2, C-3, C-5, C-6, C-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$\alpha$</td>
<td>4.29 (dd, 10.2, 14.0)</td>
<td>83.5 $s$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.65 (d, 4.0)</td>
<td>56.3 $d$</td>
<td>C-4, C-5, C-7, C-8, C-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.89 (dd, 2.1, 4.0)</td>
<td>52.6 $d$</td>
<td>C-5, C-6, C-8, C-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.03 (dd, 2.1, 4.0)</td>
<td>57.9 $d$</td>
<td>C-6, C-7, C-9, C-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.58 (d, 4.0)</td>
<td>55.5 $d$</td>
<td>C-5, C-7, C-8, C-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>196.7 $s$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>169.7 $s$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1$'$</td>
<td>129.4 $s$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$'$</td>
<td>2.93 (m)</td>
<td>28.7 $d$</td>
<td>C-2', C-3', C-5', C-6'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$'$</td>
<td>1.40 (t, 7.0)</td>
<td>29.0 $t$</td>
<td>C-3', C-4', C-7'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>29.9 $b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>29.4 $b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>29.3 $b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>28.6 $b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>29.4 $b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9$'$</td>
<td>1.30 (m)</td>
<td>29.1 $t$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$'$</td>
<td>1.60 (m)</td>
<td>24.8 $t$</td>
<td>C-10', C-14'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11$'$</td>
<td>2.32 (t, 7.0)</td>
<td>33.7 $t$</td>
<td>C-11', C-14'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12$'$</td>
<td>177.0 $s$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-H</td>
<td>6.34 (d, 4.0)$^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-2, C-3, C-4, C-1', C-2'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15$'$</td>
<td>1.82 (s)</td>
<td>12.7 $q$</td>
<td>C-1', C-2', C-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$NMR data obtained in CD$_3$OD are provided in Table S2.

$^b$ $^{13}$C NMR assignments for C-6’ – C-10’ can be interchanged.

$^c$ The chemical shift is variable; this value was observed in CDCl$_3$ at room temperature.
Table 3
Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and IC_{50} of select fungal metabolites against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Staphylococcus aureus</th>
<th>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC (µg/mL)</td>
<td>IC_{50} (µg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaetocuprum (1)</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equisetin (4)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-Epiequisetin (5)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin (+ control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberine (+ control)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>