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Abstract

BACKGROUND—Previous studies have demonstrated that antidepressant medication and electroconvulsive therapy increase occipital cortical GABA in major depressive disorder (MDD), but, a small pilot study failed to show a similar effect of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) on occipital GABA. In light of these findings we sought to determine if baseline GABA levels predict treatment response and to broaden the analysis to other metabolites and neurotransmitters in this larger study.

METHODS—40 MDD outpatients received baseline $^1$H-MRS, and 30 subjects completed both pre- and post-CBT $^1$H-MRS. Nine CBT non-responders completed an open-label medication phase followed by an additional/third $^1$H-MRS. The magnitude of treatment response was correlated with occipital amino acid neurotransmitter levels.

RESULTS—Baseline GABA did not predict treatment outcome. Furthermore, there was no significant effect of CBT on GABA levels. However, we found a significant group x time interaction ($F_{1,28} = 6.30, p = 0.02$), demonstrating reduced glutamate in CBT responders with no significant glutamate change in CBT non-responders.
CONCLUSIONS—These findings corroborate the lack of effect of successful CBT on occipital cortical GABA levels in a larger sample. A reduction in glutamate levels following treatment, on the other hand, correlated with successful CBT and antidepressant medication response. Based on this finding and other reports, decreased occipital glutamate may be an antidepressant response biomarker. Healthy control comparator and non-intervention groups may shed light on the sensitivity and specificity of these results.
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INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) has the highest worldwide morbidity among neuropsychiatric disorders across all socioeconomic strata[1–3]. Standard treatment for unipolar depression includes antidepressant medications, device-based therapies and/or psychotherapy. At present, the selection of treatment modality for an individual patient is based primarily on patient preference and/or therapist orientation instead of a more personalized pathophysiological approach. Due to the heterogeneous nature of MDD, there remains a clinical need for trait and/or state-specific biomarkers to assist in antidepressant treatment prediction and response assessment.

The two manualized psychotherapies with the largest evidence base in MDD are interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). Both psychotherapies were recommended as first-line treatments in the 2010 iteration of the American Psychiatric Association’s (APA) practice guideline for MDD[4]. Additionally, CBT is associated with lower risk of relapse after remission compared to antidepressant medications [5–8]. Although a multi-site study initially suggested that CBT might be less effective in patients with severe depression[9], a subsequent meta-analysis did not support this claim[10]. As illness severity, therefore, is not a reliable predictor of response, several studies have stratified subjects into depressive subtypes as a putative enrichment strategy. Stewart et al.[11] reported that atypical depression responded more robustly to cognitive therapy than other depressive subtypes. In contrast, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis dysfunction (a biometric of melancholic depression) predicted a poorer treatment response to CBT and other psychosocial interventions[12, 13]. Yet, due to discrepancies in the literature[14], it remains inconclusive if depressive subtype reliably predicts antidepressant outcomes.

As a result of the discrepancies in the literature with demographic and clinical predictors, several investigators have instead focused on neurobiological measures that might predict and/or correlate with antidepressant treatment outcomes. Several studies have demonstrated that currently depressed outpatients have lower levels of the inhibitory amino acid neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, and occipital and prefrontal cortices[15–19]. We previously reported that a subgroup of MDD subjects exhibited markedly reduced occipital GABA concentrations[18]. Nearly 50% of MDD
subjects had occipital GABA levels below the level found in greater than 95% of healthy subjects. The reduced GABA content was especially prominent in patients diagnosed with melancholic depression [18]. Other studies have reported a normalization of occipital cortex GABA in response to somatic interventions [selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [20] and ECT[21]]. In contrast, a preliminary study from our group[22] showed no significant cortical GABA change following a successful 12-week course of once-weekly CBT. However, this study was small (n=8 completers) and did not investigate other amino acid neurotransmitters and their precursors, e.g. glutamate and glutamine.

Alterations in the glutamate system in MDD have been described by PET, protein biochemistry and MRS. Examples of such alterations include decreased metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5 (mGluR5) expression in MDD brain based on both PET (with the mGluR5-selective radioligand [11C]ABP688) and immunoblotting in postmortem samples[23], as well as reduced prefrontal cortical glutamate receptor subunit NR2A and NR2B expression and the excitatory postsynaptic density protein, PSD-95, relative to matched psychiatrically healthy subjects[24]. Prior MRS studies, have shown brain region specific alterations of glutamate and/or glutamine levels, i.e. increased glutamate/glutamine in the occipital cortex [18, 25] but decreased glutamate/glutamine levels in the prefrontal cortex[19, 26], anterior cingulate cortex[27, 28] and left amgydala[29].

Considering our preliminary evidence that successful CBT did not affect occipital GABA, and that a selective subgroup of MDD patients appears to have markedly reduced GABA levels, we sought to determine if baseline occipital GABA content could serve as a biomarker for CBT treatment efficacy. Addressing the limitations of our previous study, i.e. the small sample size and the lack of data on other neurotransmitters and metabolites, we increased our sample size of currently depressed MDD outpatients and expanded the panel beyond GABA to other 1H-MRS detectable metabolites, glutamate and glutamine.

Based on these preliminary results[22], our primary hypotheses were that lower baseline GABA levels would predict a poorer antidepressant response to CBT and that a successful 12-week course of CBT would not alter occipital GABA levels. Pilot 1H MRS studies suggesting a relationship between glutamate changes (post-treatment – pre-treatment) and successful antidepressant therapies (either ECT[26, 28–30] or ECT + pharmacotherapy) led to our secondary hypothesis that a successful course of CBT will correlate with cortical glutamate changes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All study procedures were conducted at the Yale Depression Research Program (YDRP) and the Yale Magnetic Resonance Research Center (MRRC). Subjects enrolled in this study provided written informed consent prior to all research-related procedures. The institutional review board/human investigations committee at the Yale University School of Medicine approved all portions of this protocol before initiation. The study was initiated prior to 2007 and was therefore not registered with ClinicalTrials.gov.
Study Design

This longitudinal study enrolled medication-free (≥ 2 weeks for typical monoaminergic antidepressants with the exception of ≥ 4 weeks for fluoxetine), currently depressed outpatients who presented to the clinic for initial screening and evaluation. After obtaining informed consent, subjects received baseline clinical assessments from licensed psychiatric clinicians. All rating scales were conducted by trained research staff. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy ($^1$H-MRS) was obtained for baseline occipital cortical amino acid measurements. Then, participants received structured CBT as outlined in Beck et al.[31]. The psychotherapy consisted of 50-minute individual sessions on a once-weekly basis for 12 weeks. Two therapists (L.F. and M.F., who were trained and certified at the Beck Institute) provided the manualized psychotherapy. The Cognitive Therapy Rating Scale[32] was used to assess audiotaped copies of the sessions to ensure treatment integrity, evaluate therapist competency and provide quality control. After the end of the 12-week therapy course, all clinical assessments and $^1$H-MRS were repeated (Fig. 1A). CBT non-responders were then offered an additional six weeks of a SSRI. At the end of this brief pharmacotherapy phase, a third $^1$H-MRS was obtained (Fig. 1A).

Subjects

All subjects were 18–65 years old and met DSM-IV-TR criteria for MDD in a current major depressive episode. Diagnosis was determined by face-to-face psychiatric evaluation and confirmed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID)[33]. Exclusion criteria were as follows: a current or past diagnosis of MDD with psychotic features, active suicidal ideation, a history of suicidal behavior in the preceding two years, current use of psychotropic medications, a history of or current uncontrolled medical or neurological problems, an alcohol or illicit substance use disorder within the preceding six months, current illicit substance use (as confirmed by urine toxicology), a history of psychiatric illness due to confirmed general medical condition(s), a history of primary personality disorder, and a history of psychotic spectrum illness. Predefined exit criteria for clinical deterioration were defined as 1.) a 25% increase over baseline BDI score at any time over the course of the trial; or 2.) an increase in passive suicidal ideation or the onset of active suicidal ideation at any time over the course of the trial. No subjects were terminated for clinical deterioration, and the reasons for in-protocol termination are presented in Fig. 1B.

Clinical Assessments and Rating Scales

Clinical assessments included comprehensive medical, neurological and psychiatric evaluations by experienced psychiatric research clinicians. Baseline blood and urine testing included the following: complete blood count (CBC), glucose, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, electrolytes, liver and thyroid function tests, rapid plasma reagin (RPR), urinalysis, urine toxicology and urine pregnancy test (if applicable). Within three days prior to the first $^1$H-MRS scan, a battery of clinician-administered psychiatric assessments was completed including the 24-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS$_{24}$)[34] and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A)[35] and other measures of maladaptive cognition/rumination – Dysfunctional Attitude Survey (DAS), Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS) and
Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS) (Table 2). Thereafter, the clinician-administered HDRS24 was repeated every 4 weeks and the self-reported Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)[36] was repeated on a weekly basis to monitor clinical response. HAM-A was repeated at the end of both the psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy phases.

1H-MRS Acquisition and Processing

The occipital cortex was selected in our initial MRS protocols due to technical limitations for the detection of amino acid neurotransmitters in other brain areas that have been more extensively studied in depression, *e.g.* the prefrontal cortex and amygdala. Since those initial studies, our group has consistently demonstrated decreased GABA[17, 18] and increased glutamate[18] levels with differential effects from successful antidepressant therapy[20–22, 37] (but not full restoration[25, 38]) in the MDD occipital cortex. Therefore, we continued to utilize the occipital cortex as our region of interest (ROI) in this study. 1H-MRS was acquired with a slight modification of the J-edited method previously described[18] (two editing pulses were inserted into the sequence instead of one). Briefly, metabolite levels were measured in an occipital cortex ROI – primarily in V1 in a 13.5 cc voxel (3.0 x 1.5 x 3.0 cm) placed across the midline of the brain centered 1.5 cm from the dura. Cortical GABA content was determined using J-editing, where sub-spectra were acquired with 8,192 data points over a 410 millisecond acquisition, a 2.5 second repetition time, and a TE of 68 millisecond with a 4-Tesla (4T) Bruker spectrometer, averaging data in 20 second blocks for a total of 20 minutes. Glutamate and glutamine were measured simultaneously using the unedited subspectra of the J-editing acquisition.

The unedited and the J-edited difference subspectra were fitted simultaneously using a basis set of metabolite spectra measured with the J-editing acquisition sequence. The metabolites fitted were aspartate, GABA, glutamate, N-acetylaspartate (NAA), N-acetylaspartyglutamate (NAAG), creatine, phosphocreatine, myoinositol, scylloinositol, choline, phosphorylcholine, and glycerophosphorylcholine. The subspectrum obtained without the editing pulse was fitted simultaneously with the J-edited difference spectrum of GABA. Because of limited resolution *in vivo*, the results for NAA and NAAG were combined and recorded as NAA; creatine and phosphocreatine were combined and recorded as creatine (Cr); and the three choline-containing compounds were combined and recorded as choline (Cho). This implementation had no macromolecular contamination of GABA [37], so the basis set for fitting did not include a macromolecular signal. The level of aspartate, though present in the spectra, was poorly determined at the echo time of 68 milliseconds and, therefore, was not used. Uncertainties of individual measurements were determined using a Monte-Carlo analysis as previously described [37, 39]. The means of percent solid tissue in the acquired voxel were not different between groups or assessment time points (*p* > 0.1). Thus, no co-variance for tissue content was included. The means of Cr/water were not different between groups or assessment time points (*p* > 0.1). As such, the concentration of brain metabolites was estimated assuming a Cr concentration of 9 mmol/kg.

Statistical Analysis

Prior to conducting each analysis, the distribution of outcome measures was examined using probability plots and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistics. Response status was determined...
by 50% or more reduction in last observed HDRS\textsubscript{24} scores. Paired t-test and related-samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests were used to examine pre- and post-treatment changes. General linear model (GLM) repeated-measures analyses were constructed as needed. Age and sex were considered as covariates in all models. Spearman’s Rank Order was used for correlational analyses. All tests were two-tailed, with a significance level set at $p \leq 0.05$. False Discovery Rate correction for multiple comparisons was used when appropriate ($p_{\text{adjusted}}$).

RESULTS

A total of 50 subjects were enrolled [30 women, mean (±SD) age 42.6±11.4]. Of these, 42 subjects had a successful baseline \textsuperscript{1}H-MRS scan and had at least one treatment follow-up visit (≥4 weeks of CBT). 33 subjects had a successful post-CBT \textsuperscript{1}H-MRS scan, and 30 subjects had both baseline and post-CBT \textsuperscript{1}H-MRS scans (Fig 1B). 14 CBT non-responders then entered the open-label pharmacotherapy phase, of which nine completed the 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} \textsuperscript{1}H-MRS and all clinical assessments (Fig. 1B). The demographic and clinical features of the study sample entering and completing both the CBT and SSRI phases are provided in Table 1.

As shown in Table 2, CBT exerted a significant antidepressant effect ($p < 0.001$), resulting in an average 41% reduction in HDRS\textsubscript{24} scores and 38% response rate (≥50% reduction from baseline HDRS\textsubscript{24}). CBT also had a significant effect on self-rated depressive symptoms (BDI) and anxiety symptoms (HAM-A), dysfunctional attitude (DAS), and hopelessness (BHS). CBT, however, did not affect total scores on the Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS) (Table 2). In CBT non-responders who entered the pharmacotherapy phase, SSRI\textsubscript{s} exerted a significant antidepressant effect ($p = 0.02$), leading to an average 31% reduction in HDRS\textsubscript{24} scores and 36% response rate (Table 2).

**Association of baseline amino acid neurotransmitter levels with treatment response**

Baseline GABA did not correlate with delta HDRS\textsubscript{24} (post-treatment minus pre-treatment) over the CBT period ($r_s = -0.08$, $p = 0.66$). There was also no association between baseline glutamate and delta HDRS\textsubscript{24} ($p = 0.59$). However, baseline glutamine levels were negatively correlated with delta HDRS\textsubscript{24} ($p = 0.38$, $p = 0.03$), although this correlation did not survive correction for multiple comparisons ($p_{\text{adjusted}} = 0.06$). In addition, baseline GABA, glutamate, and glutamine did not differentiate CBT responders and non-responders ($p > 0.05$). Pre-SSRI GABA, glutamate and glutamine were also not significantly correlated with HDRS\textsubscript{24} change over the pharmacotherapy phase ($p > 0.1$).

**Relationship between amino acid neurotransmitter changes and the efficacy of CBT**

A repeated-measure GLM with GABA as the dependent variable, time of scans (pre-CBT vs. post-CBT) as the within-subject factor, and group (responders vs. non-responders) as the between-subjects factor showed no time ($p = 0.39$), group ($p = 0.79$), or group-by-time interactive effects ($p = 0.96$). A repeated-measure GLM with glutamate as the dependent variable, time of scans (pre-CBT vs. post-CBT) as the within-subject factor, and group...
(responders vs. non-responders) as the between-subjects factor found a significant group x
time interaction ($F_{(1,28)} = 6.30, p = 0.02$), demonstrating reduced glutamate in CBT responders with no significant change in CBT non-responders (Fig. 2A). The time effect was significant ($F_{(1,28)} = 5.42, p = 0.03$) but there was no group effect ($p = 0.98$). Secondary analyses including gender and age, as covariates in the same repeated-measure GLM, showed no gender effects, no time x gender interaction, no age effect, and no time x age interaction (all $p > 0.05$). Over the 12-week CBT course, there was a significant correlation between delta glutamate and delta HDRS$_{24}$ ($r_s = 0.43$, $p_{adjusted} = 0.02$), delta BDI ($r_s = 0.46$, $p_{adjusted} = 0.02$; Fig. 2B) and delta HAM-A ($r_s = 0.48$, $p_{adjusted} = 0.02$; Fig. 2C). Secondary partial correlation analyses included gender and age as covariates. Controlling for gender, delta glutamate correlated with delta HDRS$_{24}$ ($r_s = 0.42$, $p = 0.02$), delta BDI ($r_s = 0.46$, $p = 0.01$) and delta HAM-A ($r_s = 0.48$, $p = 0.01$). Controlling for age, delta glutamate correlated with delta BDI ($r_s = 0.37$, $p = 0.046$) and delta HAM-A ($r_s = 0.37$, $p = 0.047$) but not delta HDRS$_{24}$ ($r_s = 0.30$, $p = 0.11$).

Given the small sample completing the pharmacotherapy phase ($n = 9$), no GLM analyses were performed. To assess the relationship between delta glutamate and delta HDRS$_{24}$, we conducted a complementary correlational analysis. During the pharmacotherapy phase, there was a correlation between delta glutamate (post-SSRI – pre-SSRI) and delta BDI ($r_s = 0.69$, $p = 0.04$; Fig. 3A). There was a trend with delta glutamate and HDRS$_{24}$ ($r_s = 0.63$, $p = 0.07$; Fig. 3B), but, unlike CBT, the change in glutamate levels did not correlate with delta HAM-A ($p = 0.23$). Given the small sample size and the exploratory nature of the pharmacotherapy phase, no correction for multiple comparisons was performed.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated amino acid neurotransmitters at baseline, after a 12-week course of CBT, and, in CBT non-responders, a six-week course of antidepressant medication, in a well-characterized sample of currently depressed unipolar major depression subjects. Although several patients had an lengthy course of illness and index episode, our depressed outpatient sample specifically contacted our research clinic based on advertisements for evidence-based psychotherapy in lieu of antidepressant medications. This sample was also relatively treatment-naïve and had lifetime rates of substance misuse consistent with community rates seen in large epidemiological surveys[1, 40]. Therefore, unlike depression effectiveness trials with larger, more heterogeneous samples, e.g Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D)[41] and Combining Medications to Enhance Depression Outcomes (CO-MED)[42], our research sample was generally homogenous, which maximizes effect size in depression treatment trials[43, 44].

Consistent with our preliminary report ($n = 8$ completers)[22], successful CBT had no effect on occipital cortical GABA levels in this larger sample. Yet, contrary to our *a priori* hypothesis, we did not find a significant relationship between baseline GABA levels and treatment outcome. The lack of CBT effects on cortical GABA measures contrasts with the findings of increased occipital GABA levels following treatment with other evidence-based therapies for depression such as SSRIs[20] and ECT[21]. Disparate effects of psychotherapy and antidepressant medications have previously been observed in the frontal cortex,
cingulate cortex, and hippocampus, \textit{i.e.} CBT relative to the SSRI paroxetine as detected by $^{18}$FDG-glucose positron emission tomography (PET) \cite{45}. These results suggest that distinct neurotransmitter and/or neurocircuitry effects may be responsible for somatic and psychotherapeutic antidepressant response. However, it is also possible that the changes in occipital GABA associated with medications and ECT are not directly related to the antidepressant effects of the treatments. It should also be noted as a potential limitation of this study, that although pre-treatment HDRS$_{24}$ scores resembled those observed in the earlier SSRI study, the current CBT study did not include subjects with melancholic, psychotic or treatment-resistant depression, which typically have been associated with the lowest levels of GABA. Therefore, the subjects in this study may have less room for improvement in GABA levels than the subjects with the more severe depressive subtypes. Nevertheless, in contrast to this hypothesis, healthy subjects (who presumably have normal baseline GABA levels) exhibited \textit{increased} occipital cortical GABA in response to a single intravenous administration of the SSRI citalopram \cite{46}.

We did however observe a robust association between changes in occipital cortex glutamate levels with CBT and treatment response. More specifically, we found the subjects with the greatest reductions in glutamate levels during the CBT treatment period had the largest reductions in depression and anxiety symptom severity, as exemplified by decreased HDRS$_{24}$/BDI and HAM-A. This finding is of interest in light of several previous studies showing evidence of \textit{decreased} prefrontal Glx content (a mixture of MRS-detectable glutamine and glutamate at lower magnetic field strengths) that subsequently normalizes following successful antidepressant treatment of unipolar depression, \textit{i.e.} either ECT\cite{26, 28–30} or ECT + antidepressant medications\cite{29}. Similarly, the rapid but transient antidepressant effect of total sleep deprivation has also been associated with increased Glx in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of male depressed patients with neurovegetative symptoms consistent with melancholia\cite{47}. Our findings, showing decreased levels of occipital cortex glutamate following CBT to be associated with treatment response, appear in contrast to the existing literature. However, studies measuring glutamate or GLX in the occipital cortex have either reported no difference or increased metabolite levels in MDD subjects \cite{18, 25, 48}. Considering the current findings in this light, the decreased glutamate content observed following CBT might reflect a regional normalization similar to what has been reported in the PFC regions.

Although the small number of subjects going on to participate in the pharmacotherapy phase of the study severely limits our ability to draw any firm conclusions, we did find suggestive evidence of a similar correlation between the change in glutamate following 6 weeks of SSRI treatment and clinical response as assessed by measures of depression severity. This data suggests that the change in glutamate occipital cortex content may be associated with clinical improvement regardless of the treatment modality employed. Obviously, this correlative finding will need to be replicated before any more definitive statements can be made.

Although our study has many strengths, \textit{including} a relatively large and clinically homogenous sample initially requesting evidence-based psychotherapy for the treatment of major depression, longitudinal design, long treatment period (4–6 months total), two
evidence-based treatment modalities (depression-focused CBT and antidepressant pharmacotherapy) with no overlap between treatment periods, the use of neurobiological measures\[49, 50\], and an MRS sequence at 4T that permitted specific quantification of glutamate, there are several limitations to consider. First, as with all proton MRS studies, the measures reflect total tissue content of the metabolites and does not provide any direct information related to amino acid neurotransmission. Second, our selection of a V1 occipital cortical ROI may be viewed by some as a limitation due to the occipital cortex’s primary function in vision (as opposed to other brain regions that have been more widely associated with depression, e.g. prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex and amygdala). However, as cited above, our group and others have demonstrated differential levels of amino acid neurotransmitters with response to successful antidepressant therapies in the occipital cortex. Additionally, there are several recent studies demonstrating abnormal function of the visual cortex in depression that changes \[51, 52\] and possibly predicts treatment outcome \[53\]. A third major limitation of our study is the absence of a non-intervention (“placebo”) group in both the CBT and pharmacotherapy phases to control for non-specific clinical and neuroimaging effects. The lack of a healthy control population does not allow us to directly make metabolite comparisons with non-depressed brains. Additionally, the fact that we excluded psychotic depression and had a limited number of subjects with melancholic or treatment-resistant depression (the subtypes that have been reported to have both the lowest GABA levels and poorest response to CBT\[14, 48, 54\]) limits our ability to generalize the findings to all MDD subtypes. It is unclear if CBT success would also correlate with baseline GABA levels or decreased glutamate in these typically more severely depressed patients. Moreover, it is plausible that there was some level of selection bias because the inclusion criteria required individuals who were not currently taking psychotropic medications. Therefore, it is possible that we had a higher percentage of patients that for a variety of reasons were opposed or hesitant to seeking medication therapy \[51, 52\]. Finally, the SSRI exploratory analysis is hampered by the small sample size (n=9) and data variability.

In conclusion, our observations provide exciting evidence of concordant glutamatergic effects from both evidence-based psychotherapy and antidepressant medication. Mechanistically, the relationship between the changes in occipital cortex glutamate content and successful treatment of depression may reflect several non-exclusive processes including a recovery from an underlying pathology affecting neuronal-glial cell amino acid metabolism \[55–57\], changes in mitochondrial energy metabolism \[58\], or a change in the relative cellular composition of the tissue. Future studies are required to confirm these observations and to identify their underlying neurophysiological bases.
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Figure 1. Study Design and Subject Flow

A. Study Design – Unipolar unmedicated depressed subjects in a current major depressive episode meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria (Phase I) received a baseline $^1$H-MRS before starting 12 weeks of once-weekly structured CBT with clinician-administered psychiatric ratings every four weeks (Phase IIa). A second $^1$H-MRS was performed for all subjects retained at the end of Phase IIa (or after at least eight weeks if the subject dropped-out). CBT non-responders were then offered a 6-week course of an SSRI (Phase IIb). All subjects retained at the end of Phase Ib received a third and final $^1$H-MRS at study exit.

B. Subject Flow – All available data were used in the analysis. Last Observation Carried Forward (LOCF) was used for patients with one post-treatment follow-up visit (at least 4 weeks of CBT). A total of 8 subjects did not have a baseline $^1$H-MRS for the following reasons: contraindication to MRI (N=4), poor quality spectra (N=2), excessive motion artifact (N=1), poor water suppression (N=1). MR contraindication (N=4), poor quality spectra (N=2), exited the study (N=11; 5 started medications, 1 poor adherence to study procedures, 1 no time for appointments, 1 had knee surgery, 1 moved to a different city, and 2 were lost to follow-up). Subjects who completed at least 8 weeks of CBT received the second MRS. Eight subjects exited the study before completing 4 weeks of CBT (4 started medications, 1 moved to a different city, 1 had knee surgery, and 2 were lost to follow-up before receiving any CBT), 3 exited before 8 weeks of CBT (1 started medications, 1 no time for appointments, and 1 poor adherence to study procedures), and 5 exited before 12 weeks of CBT (2 started medications, 1 moved to another city, 1 got a new job, and 1 was lost to follow-up).
Abbreviations: N: number of subjects; $^1$H-MRS: proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy; CBT: cognitive-behavioral therapy.
Unipolar unmedicated depressed subjects in a current major depressive episode received 12 weeks of once-weekly structured CBT with 30 completing both pre- and post-CBT MRS. A. Treatment responders (≥ 50% reduction in HDRS24) showed a significant reduction in occipital glutamate levels [group-by-time interaction (F(1,28) = 6.30, p = 0.02)]. B & C. Similarly, reductions in the secondary depression and anxiety outcome measures, BDI (r_s = 0.46, p = 0.01) and HAM-A (r_s = 0.48, p = 0.01), were positively correlated with decreased occipital glutamate.

Figure 2. Successful CBT for MDD Is Associated With Decreased Glutamate Levels in the Occipital Cortex

Unipolar unmedicated depressed subjects in a current major depressive episode received 12 weeks of once-weekly structured CBT with 30 completing both pre- and post-CBT MRS. A. Treatment responders (≥ 50% reduction in HDRS24) showed a significant reduction in occipital glutamate levels [group-by-time interaction (F(1,28) = 6.30, p = 0.02)]. B & C. Similarly, reductions in the secondary depression and anxiety outcome measures, BDI (r_s = 0.46, p = 0.01) and HAM-A (r_s = 0.48, p = 0.01), were positively correlated with decreased occipital glutamate.
Figure 3. In CBT Non-Responders, Positive SSRI Response Is Associated With Decreased Glutamate in the Occipital Cortex

Unipolar unmedicated depressed subjects in a current major depressive episode received 12 weeks of once-weekly structured CBT. Of the 14 subjects who entered phase 2 due to CBT non-response, nine completed all neuroimaging and clinical assessments. In the medicated CBT non-responders, reductions in BDI and HDRS were positively correlated with reductions in occipital cortical glutamate.
### Table 1

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>CBT-MRS&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>SSRI-MRS&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median (range)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>45.4 (21–62)</td>
<td>42.4 (21–62)</td>
<td>45.2 (24–58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Illness (y)</td>
<td>20.0 (0.2–45)</td>
<td>15.8 (0.2–45)</td>
<td>29.2 (6–45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Onset (y)</td>
<td>18.0 (6–52)</td>
<td>18.0 (6–52)</td>
<td>13.0 (7–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of MDEs</td>
<td>3 (1–12)</td>
<td>3 (1–7)</td>
<td>5 (1–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ADTs</td>
<td>1 (0–6)</td>
<td>1 (0–6)</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current MDE (y)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.1–27)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.2–19)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Naive</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse History</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Participants treated with CBT, have pre-CBT MRS and presented for at least one follow-up assessment (n = 42).

<sup>b</sup> Participants treated with CBT and completed pre- and post-CBT MRS (n = 30).

<sup>c</sup> Participants treated with SSRI and completed pre- and post-SSRI MRS (n = 9).

**Abbreviations:** CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; MRS: Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy; SSRI: Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor; SEM: Standard Error of (the) Mean; y: years; MDEs: Major Depressive Episodes; ADTs: Antidepressant Treatment (Trials).
Table 2

Effect of CBT and SSRIs on Clinical Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE-CBT</th>
<th>POST-CBT</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDRS&lt;sub&gt;24&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>28.1 ±1.2</td>
<td>16.5 ±1.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>− 4.35</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>25.6 ±1.2</td>
<td>11.6 ±1.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>− 5.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-A</td>
<td>14.7 ±0.8</td>
<td>9.0 ±0.9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>− 4.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS</td>
<td>152 ±5.1</td>
<td>129 ±5.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>− 3.10</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>13.6 ±0.7</td>
<td>7.5 ±0.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>− 4.31</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>25.9 ±0.9</td>
<td>25.3 ±0.7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>− 0.46</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE-SSRI</th>
<th>POST-SSRI</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDRS&lt;sub&gt;24&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>24.5 ±1.7</td>
<td>16.9 ±2.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>− 2.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>17.6 ±2.1</td>
<td>12.4 ±2.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>− 1.91</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-A</td>
<td>12.5 ±1.5</td>
<td>11.4 ±2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>− 0.36</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS</td>
<td>149 ±9.9</td>
<td>124 ±9.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>− 2.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>11.2 ±1.8</td>
<td>7.3 ±1.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>− 2.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>24.3 ±1.2</td>
<td>22.2 ±1.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>− 1.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SEM.

<sub>a</sub>Test statistics from related-samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank test (significance set at p ≤ .05).

**Abbreviations:** SEM: Standard error of mean; HDRS<sub>24</sub>: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; HAM-A: Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; DAS: Dysfunctional Attitude Survey; HS: Hopelessness Scale; RRS: Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS).