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ABSTRACT
Anaesthesia for patient with pituitary adenoma posted for non-neurosurgical surgeries is a challenge to the anaesthesiologist with the risk of sudden change in intracranial dynamics during administration of spinal anaesthesia or during stress response of general anaesthesia. There is a chance of increase in tumour size during antenatal period. A careful assessment of pituitary function and a screening of visual field and fundus examination are essential to rule out any mass effect. We are presenting the anaesthetic management of patient with pituitary macroadenoma posted for elective caesarean section done under epidural anaesthesia due to its rarity.

CASE REPORT
A 29-year-old primigravida with oligohydraminious was admitted at 37th week of gestation for elective Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS). While she was being evaluated for infertility, she was diagnosed to have hypothyroidism, hyperprolactinemia secondary to pituitary macroadenoma. She was on Carbogolin 0.5 mg twice a week, started six months before conceiving and continued till 7th month of pregnancy. There were no clinical signs suggestive of raised intracranial tension fundus was normal on ophthalmoscopic examination. Airway, system examination was normal. Routine haematological, biochemical and hormonal investigations were normal. CT-Scan [Table/Fig-1] and MRI [Table/Fig-2] taken one month before conception showed enlarged anterior pituitary with well-defined adenoma (11x12mm) confined to Sellaturcica (occupying right compartment) with mild deviation of infundibular stalk to the left. The lesion was abutting the medial wall of the cavernous internal carotid artery on the right side, with no features suggestive of invasion.

Patient was informed regarding the anaesthetic options and peri-operative risk involved in regional and general anaesthesia. She preferred to remain awake during delivery. Aspiration prophylaxis was given 30 min before surgery. Patient was monitored using electrocardiogram, pulse-oximeter and non-invasive blood pressure. Under aseptic precaution an 18 G epidural catheter was placed at L3-L4 inter space using 16G Tuohy needle. Epidural space confirmed with loss of resistance technique and test dose of 3 ml of 1.5 % lignocaine with adrenaline 5µg/ml was given. Nine ml of 2% lignocaine was given in incremental doses after negative aspiration for blood and CSF. A wedge was placed under right side of pelvis to minimize aorto-caval compression.

A sensory level of T4 was achieved at six minutes. A 3.2 kg baby was delivered with Apgar score of eight at birth. The patient was hemodynamically stable. Postoperative analgesia was continued via epidural catheter with infusion of Fentanyl and Bupivacaine. Postoperative period was uneventful.

DISCUSSION
Knowledge of the normal anatomy and physiology of pituitary gland is necessary to understand the pathophysiological effects relevant to anaesthesia and for appropriate preoperative preparation, intra and postoperative management of complications [1].
Pituitary tumours may present in different conditions such as, hormonal hyper secretory syndrome like hyperprolactinomas, acromegaly and Cushings disease or with mass effect causing visual disturbance and signs of raised intracranial tension. Sometimes they present with nonspecific symptoms like infertility, headache, epilepsy, pituitary hypo secretion or may be detected during imaging for some other condition [2]. During pregnancy, the pituitary gland undergoes global hyperplasia and volume may increase by 45% [3]. Tumour cells in patients with prolactinomas and that with growth hormone secreting tumour may exhibit symptomatic enlargement during pregnancy, either due to the growth-promoting effect of oestrogens or due to high levels of circulating progesterone that occur with gestation. Based on their size prolactinomas are classified into macroadeno (>1 cm) or microadenoma (< 1 cm) [4]. Medical management of prolactinomas is curative in 95% cases, in which prolactin concentration is restored to normal with dopamine agonist, bromocriptine. Carbergoline is an alternative to bromocriptine.

A careful assessment of pituitary tumor and a screening of visual field and fundus examination are essential to rule out any mass effect.

Mass effect of a tumour on adjacent structures is more likely to occur with non-functioning macroadenomas. By compression of pituitary gland in sellarurcica by haemorrhage into pituitary (pituitary apoplexy) or very rarely by postpartum pituitary infarction (Sheehan’s syndrome). Compression of optic chiasms results in bitemporal hemianopia. In pituitary apoplexy, third cranial nerve palsy can occur. Large tumours can cause obstruction to cerebrospinal fluid flow leading to increase in intracranial pressure [1] which may be acute and fatal in the perinat period. We avoided general anaesthesia in this patient because of the possible risk of an acute increase in intracranial pressure associated with laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. The patient preferred regional anaesthesia as well. Also an awake patient would alert early in the event of an intracranial complication. There is only one report of a subarachnoid block being used in a pregnant patient with glioblastoma for an emergency section due to foetal distress [5]. We avoided spinal anaesthesia because of possible decrease in intracranial pressure due Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) leak in postoperative period, if multiple attempts were made.

Akashi N et al., [6] also described a similar case where, caesarean section was successfully performed with epidural anaesthesia, the pituitary tumour was removed trans-sphenoidally under general anaesthesia. Therefore, we decided to go ahead with epidural anaesthesia. There are reports of safe use of epidural block for labour analgesia and caesarean section in patients with intracranial tumours [7,8]. However, Hilt et al., [9] demonstrated that CSF pressure rises significantly even with 10 ml of bupivacaine administration in the epidural space in patients with reduced intracranial compliance. We had taken care to administer the epidural drug slowly to minimise this effect and there was no adverse event in the intra and post-partum period. There is a possible risk of accidental dural puncture during placement of epidural catheter. Performance of epidural by an experienced anaesthesiologist and use of ultrasound, if available, to measure the depth of needle placement may minimise this risk to a large extent.

CONCLUSION

The epidural anaesthesia may be used safely for caesarean section in patients with pituitary macroadenoma. However, we suggest that the epidural placement should be performed by an experienced anaesthesiologist preferably with ultrasonographic guidance.

REFERENCES