Side Chain Conformational Averaging in Human Dihydrofolate Reductase
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ABSTRACT: The three-dimensional structures of the dihydrofolate reductase enzymes from Escherichia coli (ecDHFR or ecE) and Homo sapiens (hDHFR or hE) are very similar, despite a rather low level of sequence identity. Whereas the active site loops of ecDHFR undergo major conformational rearrangements during progression through the reaction cycle, hDHFR remains fixed in a closed loop conformation in all of its catalytic intermediates. To elucidate the structural and dynamic differences between the human and E. coli enzymes, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of side chain flexibility and dynamics in complexes of hDHFR that represent intermediates in the major catalytic cycle. Nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation dispersion experiments show that, in marked contrast to the functionally important motions that feature prominently in the catalytic intermediates of ecDHFR, millisecond time scale fluctuations cannot be detected for hDHFR side chains. Ligand flux in hDHFR is thought to be mediated by conformational changes between a hinge-open state when the substrate/product-binding pocket is vacant and a hinge-closed state when this pocket is occupied. Comparison of X-ray structures of hinge-open and hinge-closed states shows that helix αF changes position by sliding between the two states. Analysis of $J_\gamma$ rotamer populations derived from measurements of $^3J_{OCO}$ and $^3J_{C\gamma N}$ couplings indicates that many of the side chains that contact helix αF exhibit rotamer averaging that may facilitate the conformational change. The $J_\gamma$ rotamer adopted by the Phe31 side chain depends upon whether the active site contains the substrate or product. In the holoenzyme (the binary complex of hDHFR with reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate), a combination of hinge opening and a change in the Phe31 $J_\gamma$ rotamer opens the active site to facilitate entry of the substrate. Overall, the data suggest that, unlike ecDHFR, hDHFR requires minimal backbone conformational rearrangement as it proceeds through its enzymatic cycle, but that ligand flux is brokered by more subtle conformational changes that depend on the side chain motions of critical residues.
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We have recently demonstrated the exquisite sensitivity of $^3J_{C_\gamma CO}$ and $^3J_{C_\gamma N}$ couplings to the average $\chi_1$ dihedral angle conformation and rotamer averaging of side chains in the complexes of ecDHFR that constitute intermediates in the enzymatic cycle. Here, we extend this analysis to hDHFR, with the time scale-independent side chain $\chi_1$ conformational information for $\gamma$-methyl and aromatic residues obtained from $^3J_{C_\gamma CO}$ and $^3J_{C_\gamma N}$ coupling measurements. These coupling-derived rotamer data are augmented by rotamer population estimates based on $^{13}C_{\text{methyl}}$ chemical shifts for leucine residues.

**METHODS**

**Protein Purification and Sample Preparation.** Human DHFR was expressed and purified as described previously. We used the full kinetic schemes for ecDHFR and hDHFR, where hDHFR rates are shown in bold, and ecDHFR rates are shown in parentheses. Black arrows indicate the major catalytic cycle for both ecDHFR and hDHFR. The minor “upper” cycle, shown by blue arrows, is also used by hDHFR because the release of THF from the product complex is much faster than for ecDHFR. Approximately 35% of the product is channeled through the upper branch by human DHFR. Gray arrows show kinetics involving the apoprotein, E, which do not constitute a significant part of the enzymatic cycle for either protein under cellular conditions. ecDHFR kinetic parameters (25 °C, pH 7) were taken from ref 39, and hDHFR parameters (20 °C, pH 7.65) were taken from ref 14. First- and second-order rates are given in units of s$^{-1}$ and μM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively.

---

**Figure 1.** Full kinetic schemes for ecDHFR and hDHFR. hDHFR rates are shown in bold, and ecDHFR rates are shown in parentheses. Black arrows indicate the major catalytic cycle for both ecDHFR and hDHFR. The minor “upper” cycle, shown by blue arrows, is also used by hDHFR because the release of THF from the product complex is much faster than for ecDHFR. Approximately 35% of the product is channeled through the upper branch by human DHFR. Gray arrows show kinetics involving the apoprotein, E, which do not constitute a significant part of the enzymatic cycle for either protein under cellular conditions. ecDHFR kinetic parameters (25 °C, pH 7) were taken from ref 39, and hDHFR parameters (20 °C, pH 7.65) were taken from ref 14. First- and second-order rates are given in units of s$^{-1}$ and μM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively.

---

**Figure 2.** (A) Structure of human DHFR. The Met20 loop (residues 12–27, light blue) remains closed for all hDHFR complexes. The FG loop (residues 139–159) and GH loop (residues 172–175) are colored green and navy, respectively. The adenosine-binding subdomain (residues 40–129) is colored pink for the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 4M6K] and dark red for the hE:NADPH complex (PDB entry 4M6J). The structures are aligned on the loop subdomain to illustrate the motion of the subdomains between the hinge-closed (pink) and hinge-opened (dark red) conformations. The Cα atoms of hinge 1 and hinge 2 are shown as small spheres. The NADP/H coloring is consistent with the adenosine domain: dark red for NADPH and pink for NADP$^+$. Folate is colored yellow. (B) View rotated 90° relative to that in panel A. In the hinge-open conformation (hE:NADPH), helix αF slides toward the active site, relative to the hinge-closed conformation (in the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$, hE:THF:NADP$^+$, and hE:THF complexes). Except for the difference in the ligand, the X-ray structures of the hE:FOL (PDB entry 1DHF) and hE:ddTHF:NADP$^+$ (PDB entry 4M6L) complexes are highly similar to that of the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex. Structures of the ternary complexes and the hE:NADPH complex were from ref 15.
Briefly, hDHFR was expressed in BL21(DE3)(DNAY) cells in M9 minimal medium with 2 mM folate at 37 °C. Different isotope labeling patterns were used for assignment, dynamics, and rotamer experiments. Samples uniformly labeled with $^1$H and $^{15}$N were produced using $[^{15}$N]ammonium chloride (0.5 g/L) and $[^{15}$N]ammonium sulfate (0.5 g/L). All $^J$ coupling experiments were performed with samples uniformly labeled with $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{15}$N and grown in 100% H$_2$O, $[U-^{13}$C$]_{glucose}$ (3 g/L), and $^{15}$N salts. A sample uniformly labeled with $^{15}$C and $^{15}$N and labeled with $^1$H or $^2$H (with a CHD$_2$ dominant methyl isotopomer) was prepared by growth in 100% D$_2$O medium. Samples for $[^{15}$C]methyl CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments were prepared using 100% H$_2$O, $^{15}$N salts, and $[^{13}$C]glucose to achieve the labeling pattern described by Lundstrøm et al.$^{18}$ Samples for the stereochemical assignment of leucine and valine methyls were produced by the method of Neri et al.$^{19}$ using 10% $[^{13}$C]glucose (0.4 g/L) and 90% $[^{15}$C]glucose (3.6 g/L). Cultures (1 L) were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.9 and induced with 1 mM IPTG at 15 °C. Cells were harvested after ∼24 h, and pellets were frozen until they were purified. Cells were lysed in the presence of folate. hDHFR was eluted from a Q-Sepharose column with weak salt (pH 8.5) containing the desired ligands. After concentration of the solution, exchange into the $[U-^{1}$H,13C,15N] in 100% D$_2$O, 600 MHz, and CHD$_2$-selective TOCSY$^{22}$ and HNCA$^{23}$ ($[U-^{13}$C$]_{3}$, $^{1}$H/2H in 10% D$_2$O, 800 MHz). Stereochemical assignments for the h:FOl:NADP$^+$, h:THF, and h:ENADPH complexes were obtained from 10% $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-labeled protein using the CHD$_2$-selective $^{13}$C HSQC experiment described by Otten et al.$^{22}$ in which Leu-$\delta_2$ and Val-$\gamma_2$ resonances have the same phase as Met-$\epsilon$ resonances. Methyl assignments obtained for the h:ENADPH$^+$ complex were used as a starting point for assignments of $^{13}$C methyl resonances in the h:THF and h:ENADPH complexes, which were finalized using $^{13}$C NOESY and $^{15}$N TOCSY experiments for each complex.

$^{13}$C Methyl $R_2$ Relaxation Dispersion Experiments. Relaxation dispersion experiments report on millisecond to microsecond time scale exchange processes. Methyl $^{13}$C CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments were performed on a $[1-^{13}$C,15N]$^*$h:FOl:NADP$^+$ sample at multiple temperatures (280, 300, and 310 K) using a Bruker DRX 800 MHz spectrometer and the pulse schemes described by Skrynnikov et al.$^{24}$ and Lundstrøm et al.$^{25}$ Using $[1^{13}$C]glucose as the sole carbon source leads to isolated $^{13}$C-enriched methyls for most methyl side chains; however, the presence of $^{13}$C-$^{15}$N methyl labeling for Thr-$\gamma_2$ and Ile-$\delta_1$ methyls can interfere with accurate spin relaxation measurements due to the one-bond C–C coupling.$^{18}$ Experiments were performed using a total relaxation period ($T_{CPMG}$) of 40 ms and refocusing delays (1/T$_{CPMG}$) of 100, 200, 400*, 600, 1000, 1400*, 1800, and 1900 s$^{-1}$, where asterisks denote experiments completed in duplicate. Dispersion curves were fit using GLOVE.$^{26}$

Measurement of $^J$ Couplings and Determination of $\chi_1$ Rotamer Populations. The $^J_{C\gamma CO}$ and $^J_{C\gamma N}$ coupling constants describe the average $\chi_1$ orientation of the side chain with respect to the backbone. There are established NMR experiments for measuring $^J_{C\gamma CO}$ and $^J_{C\gamma N}$ coupling constants for Ile, Thr, and Val $\gamma$-methyls$^{27,28}$ and for aromatic residues.$^{29}$ These rely on $^{13}$C HSQC and $^{15}$N HSQC difference spectra, respectively. A reference spectrum has maximal intensity as coupling is not evolved, and a second spectrum, where the coupling of interest is allowed to evolve, results in peak intensities that are attenuated as a function of that coupling. For the methyl-containing residues, the couplings are calculated from the relationship ($I_e - I_i$)/$I_i = 2 \sin^2(\pi J_{C\gamma X}T)$, where $I_i$ is the reference spectrum intensity, $I_e$ is the intensity for the $^J_{C\gamma CO}$ or $^J_{C\gamma N}$ experiment, and the delay $T$ is 28.6 ms. Similarly, for aromatic residues, the couplings are calculated from the relationship $I_e/I_i = \cos(2\pi J_{C\gamma CO}T)$, where $I_e$ is the reference spectrum intensity and $I_i$ is the intensity for the amide of residue $i + 1$ in the $^J_{C\gamma CO}$ experiment or for residue $i$ in the $^J_{C\gamma N}$ experiment. The delay $T$ was 25 ms for $^J_{C\gamma CO}$ experiments and 50 ms for $^J_{C\gamma N}$ experiments. All $^J$ measurements were taken in triplicate or better to reduce the contribution of spectral noise to rotamer calculations.

The measured coupling constants allow for the calculation of $\chi_1$ rotamer populations assuming a three-site jump model according to the following equations$^{12,30}$

$$^J_{\text{meas},C\gamma N} = P_{180}^I F_{15}, C\gamma N + P_{50}^F F_{15}, C\gamma N + P_{60}^I F_{15}, C\gamma N$$

$$^J_{\text{meas},C\gamma CO} = P_{180}^I F_{15}, C\gamma CO + P_{50}^F F_{15}, C\gamma CO + P_{60}^I F_{15}, C\gamma CO$$

$$P_{180} + P_{50} + P_{60} = 1$$

$^{15}$N TOCSY ($[U-^{1}$H,15N] in 10% D$_2$O, 600 MHz), and CHD$_2$-selective TOCSY$^{22}$ and HNCA$^{23}$ ($[U-^{13}$C$]_{3}$, $^{1}$H/2H in 10% D$_2$O, 800 MHz).
where $\tilde{J}_{\text{mean}}^{C\gamma N}$ and $\tilde{J}_{\text{mean}}^{C\gamma CO}$ are the experimentally measured coupling constants; $p_{-60}$, $p_{0}$, and $p_{60}$ are the populations of the respective $\chi_1$ rotamer states; and $J_i$, $J_q$, and $J_h$ for $C\gamma CO$ and $C\gamma N$ are the expected coupling values for the fully populated $180^\circ$, $60^\circ$, and $-60^\circ$ $\chi_1$ rotamers, respectively (Table 1 and Table 2). Values for the $\gamma$-methyl residues are from the parametrizations of Chou et al.48 Plots of each Karplus curve of a residue in one or more complexes have nonoverlapping side chains undergo local averaging about the local $\chi_1$ angle. Values are given with respect to the $\chi_1$ angle. Values for the aromatic residues are given with respect to the $\chi_1$ angle. Values for the aromatic residues are from the parametrizations of Chou et al.48 Plots of each Karplus curve are shown in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information.

Figure S1 of the Supporting Information). Populations were fit by minimizing the squared difference between measured and calculated $J_{C\gamma N}$ and $J_{C\gamma CO}$ couplings for each residue: $\Sigma(1/\sigma_i)^2[(J_{\text{calc}} - J_{\text{mean}})^2]$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation based on three or more measurements of $J_i$. Populations for Val residues represent a simultaneous fit of $\chi_1$ and $\gamma$ couplings. The extent of rotamer averaging is related to the major rotamer population by the relationship $p_{\text{major}} \equiv \max(p_{-60}, p_{0}, p_{60})$, where more rotamer averaging is associated with a smaller $p_{\text{major}}$ value. Population ranges were determined using $\tilde{J} \pm \sigma$. Note that small values of $\tilde{J}$ are prone to larger errors (by percent) when the primary contribution to error is from noise in the NMR spectra. Unique rotamer averaging is present when the $\tilde{J}$ values of a residue in one or more complexes have nonoverlapping error ranges.

While the interpretation of these $\tilde{J}$ coupling values in terms of a three-site rotamer hopping model is generally justified, there are clear cases in which the predicted minor rotamer is not sterically feasible, as was observed also for eCDHFR.12 This is especially evident for some well-packed aromatic residues. In such cases, it is more appropriate to interpret the $\gamma$ couplings in terms of local motions within a rotamer well, where these side chains undergo local averaging about the $\chi_1$ angle, or in terms of a relatively fixed but skewed rotamer (i.e., with a noncanonical $\chi_1$). Case and co-workers have demonstrated that local $\chi_1$ averaging can lead to a broadening of the expected Karplus curves such that the maximal values are reduced and the minimal values are increased.13 Consideration of these issues for individual residues can provide insights into local conformational changes between complexes for residues that can clearly not accommodate the otherwise predicted minor rotamers; $p_{\text{major}}$ remains a proxy for these types of in-well rotamer averaging because it is a measure of the deviation of the $\tilde{J}$ couplings from the expected values for the fully populated staggered rotamers.

Chemical Shift-Derived Rotamer Populations. There has been recent success in correlating $^{13}$C methyl chemical shifts with valine $\chi_1$, leucine and isoleucine $\chi_2$ rotamer populations.32,33 The correlation between the chemical shift and dihedral angle has been largely attributed to a $\gamma$-substituent effect, the influence of an atom in the gauche position three bonds away, based upon early studies of small molecule $^{13}$C chemical shifts.34,35 For leucines, a simple relationship between the chemical shifts of the methyls has been reported

$$\Delta\delta^{(13)} = \delta_{C_{-31}}^{13} - \delta_{C_{-2}}^{13} = -5 + 10p_{\text{trans}}$$

where $p_{\text{trans}}$ is the population of the $\chi_2 = 180^\circ$ rotamer. Despite the nine possible $\chi_1$, $\chi_2$ pairs for leucine, only two of these are significantly sampled in structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB): (180°, 60°) and (−60°, 180°).36 Therefore, knowledge of the $\chi_2$ rotamer is largely predictive of the $\chi_1$ rotamer conformation. This approach was used to determine Leu $\chi_1$, $\chi_2$ rotamer populations in hDHFR based on the $^{13}$C methyl chemical shift assignments for the hE:FOL:NADP+, hE:THF, and hE:NADPH complexes. To account for contributions of neighboring aromatic rings to the $^{13}$C chemical shift, ring current corrections were calculated using Shifts-4.3,37

### RESULTS

Resonance Assignments. Methyl side chain assignments for several intermediates of the hDHFR major enzymatic cycle are summarized in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. The methyl and backbone assignments for all complexes have been deposited in the BioMagResBank. The $^{15}$N HSQC and $^{13}$C HSQC spectra of the hE:THF:NADP+ and hE:FOL:NADP+ complexes are virtually indistinguishable, whereas the spectrum of the hE:THF:NADPH complex shows extensive broadening for resonances of residues near the active site. Complete assignments could not be obtained for this complex. There are substantial chemical shift changes in the hE:NADPH and hE:THF binary complexes for both backbone and side chain atoms relative to the ternary complexes. The average chemical shift differences from the ternary hE:FOL:NADP+ Michaelis model complex are plotted on the structures of the hE:NADPH and hE:THF complexes in Figure 3.

Millisecond Time Scale Methyl Dynamics. We have shown previously using $^{15}$N CPMG $R_2$ relaxation dispersion experiments that there are no detectable millisecond time scale backbone fluctuations in the hE:FOL:NADP+, hE:THF:NADP+, hE:THF, and hE:NADPH complexes.15 To determine whether hDHFR side chains experience microsecond to millisecond time scale conformational fluctuations, such as those observed for eCDHFR, $^{13}$C methyl CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments were performed on the hE:FOL:NADP+ complex at temperatures ranging from 280 to 310 K. A representative set of methyl relaxation dispersion data is shown in Figure S2 of the Supporting Information. While some residues show hints of dispersion at 280 K, e.g., Ile114-Cβ and Ile151-Cγ2, none of the methyl-containing residues show clearly defined $^{13}$C methyl dispersion curves. This is contrary to what was observed for eCDHFR, which exhibits exchange on the microsecond to millisecond time scale for most methyls,38 but is consistent with $^{15}$N CPMG experiments for hDHFR.15 On the basis of these results, and given that the hE:FOL:NADP+ complex exhibits a single set of resonances in HSQC spectra, any conformational exchange processes must be occurring on a time scale faster than the scale that can be detected by CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments and/or involve very small changes in chemical shifts.

$J_{C\gamma CO}$ and $J_{C\gamma N}$ Couplings and Side Chain Rotamer Populations. $\chi_1$ rotamer populations for the complexes

<p>| Table 1. Predicted Values for $J$ Couplings at $\chi_1$ Rotamer Angles of 180°, 60°, and −60° |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>residue type</th>
<th>$J_{C\gamma N}$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$J_{C\gamma CO}$ (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>2.73, 0.50, 0.50</td>
<td>1.09, 1.09, 4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe, Tyr, Trp</td>
<td>2.62, 0.38, 0.38</td>
<td>0.55, 0.55, 4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>0.95, 0.31, 2.01</td>
<td>0.79, 3.83, 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>0.27, 0.73, 1.65</td>
<td>1.18, 3.35, 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val Cγ1</td>
<td>2.00, 0.47, 0.68</td>
<td>0.45, 0.79, 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val Cγ2</td>
<td>0.31, 2.01, 0.95</td>
<td>3.83, 0.45, 0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Expected $\tilde{J}$ values for fully populated staggered rotamers. All values are given with respect to the $\chi_1$ angle. Values for the aromatic residues

Where $\Delta\delta^{(13)} = \delta_{C_{-31}}^{13} - \delta_{C_{-2}}^{13} = -5 + 10p_{\text{trans}}$ (4)
corresponding to the intermediates in the major enzymatic cycle of hDHFR have been determined from $J_{\text{C} \alpha \text{C}} \text{O}$ and $J_{\text{C} \alpha \text{N}}$ coupling constants for Ile, Thr, Val, and aromatic residues. Populations were determined by minimizing the difference between $J_{\text{meas}}$ and $J_{\text{calc}}$ as described in Methods. The rotamer populations at each residue are summarized graphically in Figures S3 and S4 of the Supporting Information. $\chi_2$ rotamer populations for Leu residues were estimated from $^{13}$C methyl chemical shift values according to eq 4. The $\chi_2$ rotamer populations for the Leu residues imply a similar population of rotamers proximal to the ligand binding pockets. Some residues beyond these zones also exhibit variations in rotamer averaging: of particular note are three residues (Tyr156, His127, and Leu97) proximal to helix $\alpha$F, which slides ~2.5 Å between the hinge-closed and hinge-open states of the protein. Rotamer populations determined using the three-site staggered rotamer assumption are indicated for all residues, but as mentioned in Methods, this interpretation may not be appropriate for some well-packed aromatic residues, for which the $J$ couplings are better interpreted as reporting on local motions about the major $\chi_1$ rotamer instead of rotamer hopping. 

**DISCUSSION**

As Dunbrack and co-workers have shown, the backbone dihedral angles are predictive of the primary $\chi_1$ rotamer sampled by side chains. Indeed, the major rotamers for the hDHFR complexes in solution are consistent with the favored rotamer based on the X-ray backbone dihedrals for that complex (Figure S5 of the Supporting Information). $J$ couplings and chemical shift values can provide information about the distributions of rotamer populations sampled in solution, in terms of both staggered rotamer hopping and well rotamer averaging. Analysis of these rotamer distributions in the intermediate complexes of the hDHFR enzymatic cycle provides insights into the structural variation hDHFR undergoes during catalysis.

**Comparison of Rotamer Averaging in the hDHFR and ecDHFR Michaelis Model Complexes.** Rotamer populations were previously determined for the complexes representing the intermediates in the *E. coli* DHFR catalytic cycle. Many residues in the active sites of hDHFR and ecDHFR are conserved. These are colored green in Figure 8A if the side chains are identical or cyan if the residue is conserved (Ile, Leu, or Val in both ecDHFR and hDHFR, or aromatic in both). A comparison of the $J$ couplings for the identical methyl and aromatic residues indicates that the average $\chi_1$ conformation is essentially the same in the human and *E. coli* enzymes (Figure 8B). The residues showing the greatest deviation are Val50 and Tyr121 (Val40 and Tyr100 in ecDHFR), which are sensitive to the bound ligands.

Differences in rotamer averaging are observed for those residues that are not conserved between hDHFR and ecDHFR (termed nonequivalent residues). In situations where an aromatic residue has been substituted for a methyl residue (red residues in Figure 8A), the aromatic residue shows a decrease in rotamer averaging relative to the methyl residue. An example of this is a residue at the interface of the Met20 and glycine residues with enhanced rotamer averaging in hDHFR. This is particularly apparent in the hDHFR hinge regions, where increased rotamer averaging of small side chains along domain interfaces may facilitate the quasi-rigid body movements seen in the hinge-open versus hinge-closed states.

**Rotamer Averaging in the hDHFR Catalytic Intermediates.** Residues showing variable rotamer averaging in one
or more complexes of hDHFR (teal residues in Figure 7) are located predominantly in the adenosine binding subdomain (top half of each structure). Unlike ecDHFR, which exhibits variable rotamer averaging for numerous residues in the loop subdomain, hDHFR, in which the Met20 loop remains closed throughout the catalytic cycle, shows similar levels of rotamer averaging in the loop subdomain for all complexes (Figure 7). The major exception is Phe31 on helix \( \alpha_C \), which has a different primary rotamer in the hE:NADPH complex and in the substrate/analogue-bound complexes. Many of the residues with variable rotamer averaging in the hDHFR complexes appear to be sensitive to variations in the position of helix \( \alpha_F \), which is adjacent to hinge 2 (Figure 2). In contrast, the methyl side chains in and around the hinge 1 region show similar, elevated levels of rotamer averaging in each of the hDHFR complexes.

The hE:FOL:NADP⁺ Complex Compared to the hE:THF:NADP⁺ Complex. The \(^{1}N\) HSQC and \(^{13}C\) HSQC spectra for the hE:THF:NADP⁺ and hE:FOL:NADP⁺ complexes are virtually identical, indicating that the bound FOL and THF interact in very similar manner with the protein backbone and side chains in the ternary complexes (Figure S7 of the Supporting Information). This is in marked contrast to the case for E. coli DHFR, where substantial changes in chemical shift are observed between FOL and THF complexes due to different interactions between the protein and the pterin ring; the protonated N8 atom of the pterin ring in THF (and DHF) forms a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Ile5, an interaction that cannot be made by FOL.\(^{7,11}\) It is interesting that these differences between the human and E. coli enzymes are paralleled in the binding affinities. FOL, DHF, and THF all bind hDHFR with similar affinities.\(^{14}\) In contrast, ecDHFR binds FOL, DHF, and THF incrementally more tightly, with \( \sim 1 \) order of magnitude difference in affinity between each successive ligand.\(^{39}\)

Methyl \(^{13}C\) chemical shifts are very sensitive to rotamer populations.\(^{32,40−42}\) The \(^{13}C\) HSQC spectra of the hE:FOL::NADP⁺ and hE:THF:NADP⁺ complexes are very similar, indicating that the distributions of rotamers for these complexes are virtually indistinguishable. This is also confirmed by comparison of \( J_{\gamma CO} \) and \( J_{\gamma N} \) coupling constants. On the basis of the \( J \) couplings, Val8 is an apparent exception; however, the large uncertainties in the small \( J \) values for this residue make the rotamer analysis unreliable, and methyl \(^{13}C\) chemical shifts suggest that the rotamer population is similar (predominantly \( \chi_1 = 60° \)) in all complexes.
show a widespread increase in $\chi_1$ rotameric averaging throughout the complex compared to the ecE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex, several residues in the hE:NADPH complex show decreased rotamer averaging relative to that of the ternary complexes. This is the case for Tyr156, which has an average $\chi_1$ conformation that depends on the conformation of helix $\alpha_F$, which will be discussed in detail below. Val50 also shows decreased rotamer averaging in the hE:NADPH complex compared to that of each of the other complexes. This is likely to be a consequence of the hinge-open conformation of the hE:NADPH complex compared to the hinge-closed conformation of each of the other complexes. Phe31 adopts a unique $\chi_1$ conformation in the hE:NADPH complex compared to the conformations in the other complexes, as will be discussed below. Several residues do show increased rotamer averaging in the hE:NADPH complex compared to the rotamer averaging in the other hDHFR complexes. The reduced $J_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $J_{\gamma\alpha\gamma}$ coupling constants of Trp57 and Phe58, respectively, in the hE:NADPH complex compared to those of the hinge-closed complexes (Tables S7–S11 of the Supporting Information) suggest an increase in in-well $\chi_1$ rotamer averaging of these residues. Ile114 also shows an increase in rotamer averaging. Ile114 and Val115 are analogous to Val93 and Ile94, respectively, of ecDHFR. In ecDHFR, Ile94, with its side chain oriented toward the substrate, was found to be especially sensitive to the nature of the bound ligand in the substrate binding pocket. Val115, which is oriented toward the substrate in hDHFR, does not appear to have the sensitivity to substrate that the larger Ile side chain in ecDHFR does. Instead, Ile114 of hDHFR faces away from the substrate binding pocket and toward helix $\alpha_F$ and shows elevated rotamer averaging in the binary complexes. It is not clear if this is a consequence of changes in the position of helix $\alpha_F$, which appears to drive the rotamer averaging in numerous other residues, or if it is an effect propagated from the substrate binding site.

Average $\chi_1$ Conformation in the hE:THF Complex. As in the hE:NADPH complex, several residues in the hE:THF complex are sensitive to the conformation of helix $\alpha_F$, discussed below. Unlike the hE:NADPH complex, most of the chemical shift differences in the hE:THF complex relative to the ternary complexes are associated with residues clustered near the vacant cofactor binding site (Figure 3). The absence of NADP/H leads to an increase in rotamer averaging for Leu75, Ile60, Ile114, and Val115 also show increased rotamer averaging relative to the ternary complexes. Ile114 shows elevated rotamer averaging that is similar to that of the hE:NADPH complex. In the hE:THF complex, the methyl groups of Ile60 and Val115 show significant chemical shift changes relative to those of the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex, which is consistent with a change in rotamer averaging. Increased averaging of these residues, which are both near the bound substrate, may be a consequence of their position along the trajectory taken by helix $\alpha_F$ as it slides from one position to the other.

Phe31 Is a Substrate and Product Gatekeeper. It has been suggested on the basis of X-ray structures and molecular dynamics simulations that Phe31, located on helix $\alpha_C$ following the Met20 loop, functions as a gatekeeper residue that controls access to the active site. In solution, the $J_{\gamma\gamma}$ coupling constants show that the Phe31 side chain is fully in the $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ rotamer when the substrate or product is bound and fully occupies the $\chi_1 = 180^\circ$ rotamer in the hE:NADPH complex, where the substrate/product binding pocket is empty (Figure 6).
The combination of hinge opening and rotation of Phe31 into the $\chi_1 = 180^\circ$ rotamer in the hE:NADPH holoenzyme opens the active site to facilitate entry of the substrate. However, once bound, the $p$-aminobenzoylglutamate (pABG) moiety of the substrate would clash sterically with the phenyl ring, triggering the conformational transition to the $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ rotamer of Phe31. In the $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ rotamer, the phenyl ring is packed tightly against the pterin ring of the substrate and may contribute to transition state stabilization, because the hydride transfer rate of Phe31 mutants is significantly decreased. Indeed, when the equivalent residue at this site in ecDHFR, Leu28, is mutated to phenylalanine, the hydride transfer rate is greatly enhanced, emphasizing the importance of this residue in active site organization. Product release requires the reverse transition, in which the conformation of the Phe31 side chain changes from the $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ rotamer to the $\chi_1 = 180^\circ$ rotamer of the holoenzyme. It is notable that the energetics are such that Phe31 fully populates the $\chi_1 = -60^\circ$ or $180^\circ$ rotamer in the various catalytic intermediates, and we see no evidence of rotamer averaging in any of the complexes at the level of uncertainty of our measurements.

Role of Side Chain Disorder in the Movement of Helix $\alpha F$. The primary conformational change that hDHFR undergoes as it progresses through the catalytic cycle is between a hinge-closed state, in complexes where the substrate or product is bound, and a hinge-open state, formed when the substrate or product binding pocket is vacant, i.e., in the E:NADPH complex. One of the structural consequences of this is a change in the location of helix $\alpha F$, which is adjacent to hinge 2. In undergoing the transition from the hinge-open state to the hinge-closed state, helix $\alpha F$ slides $\sim 2.5$ Å toward the active site. In the hinge-open state, helix $\alpha F$ is restrained by a pair of hydrogen bonds involving the side chains of His127 and Tyr156. Tyr156, which is on the $\beta$-sheet of the loop subdomain, forms a hydrogen bond from its hydroxyl group to the backbone CO group of Met125 in the hinge-open complexes but not in the hinge-closed state, because the shift in helix $\alpha F$ in the hinge-open conformation increases the distance between these atoms from 2.6 to 4.3 Å (Figure 2B). In the hinge-closed state, helix $\alpha F$ is restrained by a pair of hydrogen bonds involving the side chains of His127 and Tyr156. Tyr156, which is on the $\beta$-sheet of the loop subdomain, forms a hydrogen bond from its hydroxyl group to the backbone CO group of Met125 in the hinge-closed complexes but not in the hinge-open complexes, because the shift in helix $\alpha F$ in the hinge-open conformation increases the distance between these atoms from 2.6 to 4.3 Å (Figure 10A,B). In the hE:THF:NADP$^+$ and hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complexes, the $\gamma_{CCO}$ value is reduced relative to those of the binary complexes, suggesting that Tyr156 in the ternary complexes adopts a more skewed $\chi_1$ rotamer to form the hydrogen bond or that the side chain undergoes more in-well rotamer averaging while tethered to the Met125 backbone.
Figure 8. Identical residues in human and E. coli DHFR show remarkably similar average \( \chi_1 \) rotamer conformations. (A) Spheres are shown for Ile, Thr, Val, Leu, and aromatic side chains on the structures of the human and E. coli E:FOL:NADP+ complexes. Identical residues are colored green. Conserved residues (Leu, Val, and Ile in both species or aromatic in both species) are colored cyan. Methyl (aromatic) residues that are aromatic (methyl) residues in the other species are colored red. (B) \( J_{\gamma\alpha} \) and \( J_{\gamma\delta} \) couplings for the identical residues (colored green in panel A) are plotted for the ecE:FOL:NADP+ complex vs the hE:FOL:NADP+ complex. Triangles indicate methyl residues; squares indicate aromatic residues. Val50 and Tyr121, which deviate the most from the line with a slope of 1 (broad gray line), are sensitive to the bound ligands in both human and E. coli DHFR.

His127 is located in hinge 2, at the C-terminus of helix \( \alpha_F \). In the hinge-closed conformation, His127 Ne2 is close enough to Asp94 C\( ^\delta \) to form a hydrogen bond, which cannot be formed in the hinge-open hE:NADPH complex (Figure 10A,B). The \( J_{\gamma\alpha} \) coupling constants for His127 in the ternary complexes and the hE:NADPH complex indicate a fully populated \( \chi_1 = 180^\circ \) rotamer, in accord with the X-ray structures. The \( J_{\gamma\alpha} \) value is decreased in the E:THF complex, consistent with the skewed rotamer (\( \chi_1 = 209^\circ \)) observed in the crystal structure of the E:FOL complex (PDB entry 1DHF). Leu97 is close in space to His127. In the hinge-closed complexes, the Leu97 C\( ^\delta \) methyl is next to the face of the His127 aromatic ring (Figure 10A) and is subject to a ring current shift; in the hinge-open hE:NADPH complex, Leu97 C\( ^\delta \) moves away from the face of the histidine ring (Figure 10B). The \( 1^3 \)C\_methyl chemical shifts of Leu97, corrected for the ring current contributions from His127, indicate increased \( \chi_2 \) rotamer averaging of the Leu97 side chain in the hE:NADPH complex compared to that of either of the ternary complexes or the hE:THF complex (Table S12 of the Supporting Information). In each of the DHFR complexes, the space is available to Leu97 to sample multiple rotamer conformations and the backbone dihedrals would support either rotamer (Figure S5 of the Supporting Information), but the chemical shifts suggest a very low level of rotamer averaging for the hinge-closed complexes. The \( \chi_1 = 60^\circ \) conformation would cause the hydrophobic methyl groups to be substantially solvent-exposed, such that the better packed \( \chi_3 = 180^\circ \) rotamer is substantially preferred. This suggests that in the hE:NADPH hinge-open state that the \( \chi_3 = 60^\circ \) rotamer of Leu97 is more protected from solvent than in the hinge-closed complexes, primarily as a consequence of the changed conformation of the adjacent \( \alpha_F \) helix.

The methyl-containing side chains that undergo rotamer averaging in the hinge-open hE:NADPH complex cluster in functionally important regions (Figure 10C). One such cluster (colored red in Figure 10C) includes Leu75, Leu93, Leu97, Ile114, and Leu133, all of which are in contact with helix \( \alpha_F \); Val110 on helix \( \alpha_F \) also displays rotamer disorder. Dynamic disorder in these side chains may well play a direct functional role by facilitating sliding of helix \( \alpha_F \) during the hinge-open to hinge-closed transition. A second cluster [Leu49, Leu99, Thr100, Leu105, Val109, and Val112 (colored blue in Figure 10C)] is located at the packing interface between the C-terminal end of helix \( \alpha_E \) and the \( \beta \)-sheet of the adenosine-binding subdomain, a region that contacts hinge 1 and undergoes structural rearrangement during opening or closing of the hinges. Several side chains in hinge 1 (colored pink) also exhibit rotamer averaging. Thus, it appears that the core of the adenosine-binding subdomain has intrinsic side chain flexibility that may function to "lubricate" the movement of secondary structure elements during hinge transitions. Most of these residues also undergo rotamer averaging in the hinge-closed complexes (hE:FOL:NADP+, hE:THF:NADP+, and hE:THF), showing that the flexibility is an intrinsic property of the adenosine-binding subdomain and is not influenced by the nature of the bound ligands. In contrast to the adenosine-binding domain, relatively few residues in the loop subdomain exhibit side chain disorder. Rotamer averaging is observed for...
Val135 and Ile151, which pack against Leu133 and may help accommodate its motions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Conformational and dynamics data for a wide range of time scales have been collected for side chain residues of various complexes of human DHFR that represent intermediates in the catalytic cycle. Consistent with what has been found for the backbone, there is no evidence of millisecond time scale motions of the side chains in hDHFR complexes. This occurs either because there are exchange processes that are too fast to detect with CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments or because any exchange processes present do not cause significant changes in the chemical shift. Backbone $R_{1\rho}$ dispersion experiments show microsecond exchange processes in the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex, suggesting that similarly fast dynamics may be present for hDHFR side chains.

In contrast to ecDHFR, which undergoes transitions between closed and occluded active site conformations that influence side chain rotamer populations in the various catalytic intermediates, hDHFR remains in the closed conformation in all complexes. As a consequence, differences in side chain $\chi_1$ and/or $\chi_2$ rotamer populations and averaging between the various hDHFR complexes are relatively small and are influenced more by the presence or absence of ligands, rather than the conformational change. For hDHFR, the only substantial structural change is associated with a subdomain rotation that opens the active site cleft and causes helix $\alpha_F$ to slide relative to neighboring secondary structural elements; most of the residues that pack against this helix exhibit rotamer averaging in all complexes studied and may lubricate the movement of the helix.

These data paint a picture of hDHFR as an enzyme that requires minimal conformational rearrangement as it proceeds.

---

**Figure 10.** Role of rotamer averaging in conformational changes associated with hinge motions. (A) Location of helix $\alpha_F$ in the X-ray structure of the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex (PDB entry 4M6K). Helix $\alpha_F$ is stabilized in its hinge-closed conformation by hydrogen bonds, shown by the black dashed lines. (B) X-ray structure of the hE:NADPH complex (PDB entry 4M6J), showing movement of helix $\alpha_F$ toward the active site and breaking of the restraining hydrogen bonds upon opening of the hinges. Leu97 undergoes increased rotamer averaging in the hE:NADPH complex. Helix $\alpha_F$ is colored red in panels A and B, and side chains are shown as sticks. NADP/H is colored cyan and atom colors and folate yellow and atom colors. (C) Superposition of the structures of the hE:NADPH (green) and hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ (pink) complexes, showing conformational changes associated with sliding of helix $\alpha_F$ and at the C-terminus of helix $\alpha_E$. Methyl-containing side chains (in PDB entry 4M6J) that undergo rotamer averaging in the hE:NADPH complex are shown as spheres: red for side chains on or in contact with helix $\alpha_F$, blue for side chains that pack against the C-terminal region of helix $\alpha_E$, pink for side chains in hinge 1, and gray for all other methyl-containing side chains with rotamer averaging. Leu133 also exhibits rotamer averaging in the crystal structure of the hE:NADPH complex. The NADPH in PDB entry 4M6J is colored cyan and atom colors; the folate and NADP$^+$ ligands have been omitted from the structure of the hE:FOL:NADP$^+$ complex for the sake of clarity.
through its enzymatic cycle. The hinge-open or hinge-closed state of the adenosine-binding subdomain and the side chain conformation of Phe31 appear to contribute in important ways to ligand flux and active site packing in human DHFR. However, we have thus far found no evidence of transient sampling of higher-energy conformational substates in the catalytic intermediates of hDHFR that may facilitate progression through the reaction cycle. This is in marked contrast to the E. coli enzyme, in which millisecond time scale fluctuations play a major role in modulating the energy landscape and regulating ligand flux.8 Backbone motions may be present and necessary for hDHFR activity but have not been detected by the experiments thus far performed, which probe only millisecond time scale fluctuations. On the basis of our observation of pervasive backbone motions on the microsecond time scale in human DHFR,15 it seems highly likely that catalytically relevant motions in the human enzyme are much faster than in E. coli DHFR. Characterization of these motions will be the subject of future investigations of human DHFR complexes.
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“hDHFR does not contain a Met at position 20, but for the sake of being consistent with the ecDHFR terminology, we refer to the region of residues 12–27 of hDHFR as the Met20 loop. Note also that the residue numbering in hDHFR starts from Met0 to be consistent with the numbering in X-ray structures.
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