Enhanced green fluorescence by the expression of an *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein mutant in mono- and dicotyledonous plant cells

**ABSTRACT** The expression of the jellyfish green fluorescent protein (GFP) in plants was analyzed by transient expression in protoplasts from *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Hordeum vulgare*, and *Zea mays*. Expression of GFP was only observed with a mutated cDNA, from which a recently described cryptic splice site had been removed. However, detectable levels of green fluorescence were only emitted from a small number of protoplasts. Therefore, other mutations in the GFP cDNA leading to single-amino acid exchanges in the chromophore region, which had been previously studied in *Escherichia coli*, were tested in order to improve the sensitivity of this marker protein. Of the mutations tested so far, the exchange of GFP amino acid tyrosine 66 to histidine (Y66H) led to detection of blue fluorescence in plant protoplasts, while the exchange of amino acid serine 65 to cysteine (S65C) and threonine (S65T) increased the intensity of green fluorescence drastically, thereby significantly raising the detection level for GFP. For GFP S65C, the detectable number of green fluorescent tobacco (BY-2) protoplasts was raised up to 19-fold, while the fluorimetrically determined fluorescence was raised by at least 2 orders of magnitude.

A powerful tool for the rapid analysis of promoters is the use of marker genes for which expression can be easily monitored by autoradiography (NPT II, CAT), light emission (LUC, GUS), or color production (GUS). Commonly used reporter genes are CAT, NPT II, GUS, and LUC, of which GUS and LUC are of special interest since their assays do not involve any radioactivity (1). However, none of these reporter genes allows convenient, noninvasive in vivo detection of the respective enzyme in intact plant cells. An alternative attempt is to use the green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the jellyfish *Aequorea victoria*. Use of this marker protein has been described for *Escherichia coli*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, yeast, and HeLa cells (2–5). Detection of GFP is noninvasive and nondestructive, which is a clear advantage over formerly used reporter genes such as β-glucuronidase or firefly luciferase (6, 7). Illumination of GFP with long-wave UV light (395 nm) or blue light (475 nm) leads to bright green fluorescence (510 nm) without any need for additional substrates, since chromophore formation and light emission are intrinsic properties of this marker protein (8).

Expression and detection of wild-type GFP in maize and sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) protoplasts using constructs driven by a heat-shock promoter or by a constitutive promoter (9–11), using a potato virus X expression system in *Nicotiana clevelandii* and *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants (12, 13), or using tobacco mosaic virus in *N. benthamiana* and tobacco protoplasts (14, 15), has been described recently. However, in our hands expression of a wild-type GFP cDNA driven by a *CaMV* 35S promoter was neither detectable in transgenic tobacco plants nor in transient expression studies in protoplasts from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Nicotiana tabacum*, and *Hordeum vulgare*. Recently it has been described that the *A. victoria* wild-type GFP mRNA can be aberrantly processed in plant cells, due to the recognition of internal cryptic splice sites leading to inefficient expression of the GFP. Mutation of a cryptic splice site improves expression of GFP in cells from transgenic *A. thaliana* plants significantly (J. Haseolof, K. Siemering, D. Prasher, and S. Hodge, personal communication; ref. 16). Moreover, mutagenesis of the GFP cDNA in *Escherichia coli* has led to changes in the fluorescence properties of this new marker protein. A number of GFP amino acid exchange mutants have been isolated. They exhibit modifications in their excitation and emission spectra (17–21). Of these reported mutations, at least three are of great interest for expression in plant cells. GFP mutation Y66H (19) was shown to have a shifted emission peak leading to blue fluorescence. Analysis of a second set of GFP mutations, S65C and S65T (20, 21), revealed increased excitation and emission values, which might significantly improve brightness of green fluorescence also in plant cells.

In order to evaluate marker gene expression in plants, plant protoplasts provide a powerful tool. Transient gene expression studies in protoplasts from a variety of plant species and organs have been used widely as a rapid and powerful method to monitor gene expression and to analyze expression levels of different marker gene constructs (22–24). The investigation of several GFP derivatives by transient gene expression in protoplasts from widely used plant species would thus provide valuable information about the potential of mutations in the GFP cDNA to improve the brightness of green fluorescence and to alter the GFP emission spectrum.

Here we report transient expression studies of GFP genes, driven by the *CaMV* 35S promoter, in protoplasts of various mono- and dicotyledonous plant species. The cDNA of these GFP constructs was mutated to abolish aberrant splicing in plant cells. Additionally, this cDNA was modified to introduce single amino acid changes into the GFP chromophore region, leading to significantly improved brightness of green fluorescent protein.*

**Abbreviations:** GFP, green fluorescent protein; *CaMV*, cauliflower mosaic virus; CAT, chloramphenicol acetyltransferase; GUS, β-glucuronidase; LUC, firefly luciferase; NPT II, neomycin phosphotransferase II.
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ence (S65C and S65T) or to the emission of blue fluorescence (Y66H) when expressed in plant cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning Strategies. All plasmids used in this study are based on a commercially available GFP cDNA (pGFP-1; Clontech) carrying a NcoI restriction site at the translation start codon and a modified 3' end leading to the addition of four amino acids. By site-directed mutagenesis, an internal NcoI restriction site was destroyed (oligo5435: CCTGTTCCTTGGC-CAACAC), and the wild-type stop codon was restored along with the introduction of BamHI, BglII, XbaI, and AvrII restriction sites 3' of the new stop codon (oligo5616: CTATA-CAATAAAAGATCCAGATCTAGAATCCTAGGC). Plasmid pCRGF was constructed by inserting the modified GFP cDNA as a NcoI/BamHI fragment into the plant expression vector pRTL2 GUS/Nt[Nl][Δ]Bam (25), thereby removing the Gus/Nla cassette and placing the modified GFP cDNA between the CaMV 35S promoter with a duplicated transcriptional enhancer, a tobacco etch virus translational enhancer and the CaMV 35S polyadenylation signal (Fig. 1). Plasmid pCKGFp was constructed as an internal NdeI/AccI restriction fragment with the corresponding fragment from plasmid pBIN 35S-mGFP4, thus supplying the mutations for the removal of an internal cryptic splice site found in the wild-type GFP cDNA (J. Haseloff, K. Siemerling, D. Prasher and S. Hodge; personal communication) (Fig. 1). Plasmids pCKGFp S65C, pCKGFp S65T, and pCKGFp Y66H were created by site-directed mutagenesis of plasmid pCKGFp 10 introducing single amino acid exchanges S65C (oligo5637: CTACCTTTCTGTATGGTGTACAATGC), S65T (oligo5858: CTACCTTTCACTTATGGTGTACAATGC), and Y66H (oligo5652: CTACCTTTCTCTCACTTATGGTGTACAATGC). Plasmid pCKGFp S65Cmono contains the modified GFP cDNA from pCKGFp S65C driven by the CaMV 35S promoter and harboring the Shrunken-1 exon 1/intron 1 sequences shown to significantly enhance reporter gene activity in monocot cells (22). During the course of our experiments, DNA sequence analysis of pGFP-1 (Clontech) directly from the originally supplied plasmid DNA, revealed a previously unreported point mutation in the GFP cDNA of this plasmid, leading to amino acid exchange I161T. The wild-type sequence (26) was restored by site-directed mutagenesis of the plasmids pCKGFp S65T, pCKGFp S65C(mono), and pCKGFp Y66H (oligo5653: GAATGGAATCAAAGTTCACTTCAA).

Protoplast Transfection Protocols. Preparation and PEG-mediated DNA uptake with A. thaliana mesophyll protoplasts was performed as described in Mathur et al. (27). Transfection of tobacco and barley protoplasts followed protocols from Negrutiu et al. (28) and Maas et al. (23), respectively. Electroporation of mesophyll protoplasts from etiolated maize seedlings isolated essentially according to Sheen (29) was performed with a Dialog Electroporator II (Düsseldorf, Germany). Electroporation conditions were 500 V/cm and 200 ms. Each sample contained 3 × 10^5 protoplasts and 40 μg of plasmid DNA in 0.3 ml of 0.8 M mannitol and 20 mM KCl. After electroporation, the protoplasts were cultivated in 1 ml of 0.8 M mannitol and 10 mM Mes (pH 5.7) for 20 h at room temperature in the dark prior to microscopic analysis. Protoplasts from other species were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy 48 h after transfection.

Microscopic Analysis. Microscopic studies were performed using an Aristoplan fluorescence microscope (Leitz, Germany). For fluorescence studies, filter blocks A (UV light exciter BP 340–380 nm; beamsplitter RKP 400 nm; emitter LP 430 nm) and 13 (blue light exciter BP 450–490 nm; beamsplitter RKP 510 nm; emitter LP 520 nm) were used (Leitz, Germany). For the elimination of chlorophyll autofluorescence in tobacco SR1 mesophyll protoplasts, the filter set 41014 (exciter HQ 450/50; beamsplitter Q 480 LP; emitter HQ 510/50) was used (Chroma Technology). Experiments were documented using Kodak Ektachrome 320T and Kodak Ektachrome Panter P1600x films.

Fluorimetry. For the fluorimetric measurement of GFP green fluorescence, tobacco BY-2 protoplasts were transfected with plasmids pCKGFp 10 and pCKGFp S65C. Viable and dead protoplasts were separated 24 h after transfection by centrifugation (3,300 × g for 20 min) through 33% Percoll. Viable protoplasts were collected at gradient top and disrupted by six passages through an 18-gauge needle. Extracts were diluted 10-fold in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7), and an equivalent of approximately 50,000 protoplasts was measured in a filter fluorimeter (LS-2B, Perkin-Elmer) using excitation filter 139168 (480 nm) for blue light excitation. The emission spectra were scanned between 495 and 540 nm, and the emission spectrum determined for a mock-transfected tobacco BY-2 sample was subtracted from the spectra determined for transfections with plasmids pCKGFp 10 and pCKGFp S65C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Several plasmids containing the GFP cDNA driven by the CaMV 35S promoter were tested in transient gene expression studies in protoplasts derived from suspension culture cells as well as leaf mesophyll. Protoplasts were transfected using PEG-mediated gene transfer or electroporation (maize), and GFP expression was monitored 20–48 h after transfection by the detection of green fluorescence using fluorescence microscopy.

Transient expression of GFP in protoplasts from tobacco BY-2 suspension culture resulted in bright green fluorescence (Fig. 2a and b). However, green fluorescence was only detected with a modified GFP cDNA, from which a cryptic splice site had been removed (Fig. 2a; plasmid pCKGFp 10). This modification leads to significantly increased expression of GFP in transgenic A. thaliana (J. Haseloff, K. Siemerling, D. Prasher, and S. Hodge; personal communication). With a GFP cDNA (Clontech), which had not been engineered for the
removal of the cryptic splice site, we failed to detect any green fluorescence in *A. thaliana* and tobacco SR1 mesophyll protoplasts, in protoplasts from barley and tobacco BY-2 suspension culture cells (plasmid pCRGFP), as well as in transgenic tobacco plants (data not shown). This is in agreement with Haseloff and Amos (16), demonstrating that these modifications are also essential for an efficient detection of green fluorescence in transgenic *A. thaliana* plants.

Although green fluorescence of GFP using the cDNA, from which a cryptic splice site had been removed (pCKGFP 10), was observed in all protoplast species after blue light excitation [parsley, *Arabidopsis*, maize, barley, and tobacco SR1 (data not shown) and tobacco BY-2 protoplasts (Fig. 2a)], the brightness of green fluorescence was low and detection was therefore only possible in a small number of protoplasts. Therefore, we set out to further improve the brightness of GFP fluorescence in plant cells. Previously, several GFP mutants had been analyzed in *E. coli*, some of which showed drastically shifted excitation and emission spectra (17–21). Of particular interest for us were GFP mutants S65C and S65T. Heim et al. (20) demonstrated for GFP S65C and S65T about 6-fold higher excitation and emission values after illumination with blue light. These characteristics should lead to increased brightness of fluorescence in plants, making GFP mutants S65C and S65T attractive candidates for plant studies. Therefore the GFP cDNA, from which a cryptic splice site had been removed, was modified by site-directed mutagenesis so as to replace amino acid serine 65 with cysteine (S65C) and threonine (S65T). Viewed at low magnification (50×), transient expression studies with GFP mutant S65C (and S65T, data not shown) in tobacco BY-2 protoplasts showed a dramatic increase in the number and brightness of green fluorescing protoplasts (Fig. 2b). The number of detectable, green fluorescing protoplasts was increased up to 19-fold for GFP S65C (up to 7-fold for GFP S65T) when compared with transfections with pCKGFP 10 (Table 1, Fig. 2). Co-transfection with a chimeric CaMV 35S-GUS reporter gene (data not shown) revealed nearly identical transfection rates for these experiments. Therefore, when comparing Fig. 2a and b, which represent identical microscopic and photgraphic conditions, the increase in the number, as well as the brightness of individual fluorescing protoplasts, suggests that GFP S65C is detectable in many protoplasts in which GFP alone is not. Fluorimetric measurement of green fluorescence from tobacco BY-2 protoplasts transfected with plasmids pCKGFP 10 or pCKGFP S65C showed that the intensity of green fluorescence with GFP S65C was raised by at least 2 orders of magnitude (Fig. 3). Dramatically increased brightness of individual protoplasts and an overall increase in the number of strongly fluorescing protoplasts was also observed with mesophyll and suspension culture protoplasts from *N. tabacum* and *A. thaliana* in transient expression experiments with plasmid pCKGFP S65C (data not shown).

Our observations here, that it is necessary to use the GFP cDNA from which the cryptic splice site had been removed (pCKGFP 10), contrast with previous observations of GFP expression in maize and *Citrus* plant cells using the wild-type GFP cDNA (9–11, 30). We found no expression of pCR GFP (Fig. 1; Clontech “wild-type” cDNA) in *Arabidopsis*, tobacco, and barley protoplasts (data not shown). This may reflect differences in the splice mechanisms of maize and *C. sinensis* suspension cultures versus *N. tabacum*, *A. thaliana*, and *H. vulgare*. In any case, we find that a cDNA encoding GFP S65C, from which the cryptic splice site has been removed, results in clearly enhanced green fluorescence after blue light excitation also in maize protoplasts, as in other plant protoplasts. Approximately 30% of the viable maize protoplasts emitted green light (Fig. 4d; data not shown).

Bright green fluorescence was also previously observed using the wild-type GFP cDNA in *N. clevelandii*, *N. benthami-

![Fig. 2. Analysis of GFP expression in *N. tabacum* suspension culture protoplasts (BY-2). Comparison of constructs pCKGFP 10 and pCKGFP S65C. Protoplasts were viewed at low magnification under blue light excitation (450–490 nm).](image)

![Fig. 3. Fluorimetric measurement of GFP green fluorescence in tobacco BY-2 protoplasts. Extracts of mock-transfected tobacco BY-2 protoplasts and of protoplasts transfected with plasmids pCKGFP 10 and pCKGFP S65C were measured in a filter fluorimeter. The spectrum determined for the mock-transfected protoplasts was subtracted from the spectra measured for pCKGFP 10 and pCKGFP S65C to eliminate background fluorescence. Left chart shows spectrum for pCKGFP 10. Only a small peak at 510 nm is visible. Right chart shows spectrum for pCKGFP S65C, with a strong peak at 510 nm.](image)
Fig. 4. Transient expression of plasmids pCKGFP S65C (a–d) or pCKGFP S65Cmono (e) in protoplasts from tobacco SR1 (mesophyll) (a), tobacco BY-2 (suspension) (b), A. thaliana (mesophyll) (c), Z. mays (mesophyll) (d), and H. vulgare (suspension) (e). Protoplasts were viewed with blue light excitation (450–490 nm; right row) and in bright field (left row). Tobacco SR1 mesophyll protoplasts were viewed with filter set I3 (a middle part, blue light excitation) and with GFP filter set 41014 which blocks the red autofluorescence from chlorophyll (a right part).

ana, and tobacco protoplasts, using virus-based expression systems (12–15). For infections with RNA transcripts, the eukaryotic splice machinery is most likely circumvented, since potato virus X and tobacco mosaic virus are believed to replicate in the cytoplasm of the infected plant cells (31). After DNA inoculation, transcription of plasmid DNA and inefficient splicing of the potato virus X RNA in N. clevelandii and N. benthamiana may have led to survival of unspliced viral RNA, which after transport to the cytoplasm served as replication template, thus leading to detectable levels of bright green fluorescence.
In subsequent experiments we used the GFP cDNA exclusively, from which the cryptic splice site had been removed, combined with mutation S65C, either under control of the CaMV 35S promoter for expression in monocotyledonous, or optimized for expression in monocotyledonous cells by combination with the Shrunken-1 intron 1 and exon 1 (22). The excitation maximum (479 nm) of this particular mutant lies within the limits of the used fluorescein isothiocyanate filter set (exiter BP 450–490 nm), allowing an optimal excitation of GFP S65C, while the range of this filter set is already suboptimal for the excitation maximum of GFP S65T (488 nm). This also means why GFP mutant S65T in our hands leads to a slightly lower increase (6–7-fold) in the number of detectable green fluorescing protoplasts, when compared with GFP S65C (up to 19-fold; Table 1).

In protoplasts from tobacco SR1 and BY-2 (Fig. 4a and b), A. thaliana (Fig. 4c), Zea mays (Fig. 4d), and H. vulgare (Fig. 4e), bright green fluorescence was observed in transient expression experiments with the respective plasmids designed for monocotyledonous (pCKGFP S65C; Fig. 1) or dicotyledonous plant cells (pCKGFP S65C; Fig. 1). Green fluorescence of GFP in tobacco SR1 mesophyll protoplasts was initially somewhat obscured by the strong red autofluorescence of chlorophyll after illumination with blue light. Nevertheless, in tobacco mesophyll protoplasts expressing high levels of GFP or with chloroplasts out of focus or concentrated in one half of the cell, detection of bright green fluorescence was clearly possible (Fig. 4a middle panel). To reduce problems due to autofluorescence of chloroplasts, we subsequently used filtersets, specially designed for GFP detection, that block red light without affecting green fluorescence derived from GFP expression. In the tobacco mesophyll protoplast, the strong background of red chlorophyll fluorescence (Fig. 4a middle panel) was cleared reduced when using filter set 41014 (Fig. 4a right panel).

Alteration of GFP amino acid 66 (Y>H) has been shown to lead to blue fluorescence in E. coli when illuminated with UV light (19). This is the only reported example of a GFP mutation with a significant shift of the emission wavelength from green (510 nm) to blue (448 nm). Additionally the secondary excitation peak at wavelength 475 (blue light) is lost, leaving UV light excitation nearly unchanged (wild-type, 395 nm, to Y66H, 382 nm). The GFP cDNA, from which the cryptic splice site had been removed, was engineered by site-directed mutagenesis to alter amino acid tyrosine 66 to histidine (Y66H). Transient expression analysis in tobacco BY-2 suspension protoplasts illuminated with long-wave UV light revealed blue fluorescing cells (Fig. 5). These blue fluorescing cells were easily distinguishable from dead and damaged cells, which showed a very pale, cyan/“bluish” autofluorescence (data not shown). Although the blue fluorescence was clearly detectable (Fig. 5), signals vanished within short time, due to photobleaching by UV light. This is in contrast to GFP S65C (and GFP S65T), which even after several minutes of illumination with blue light showed no photobleaching.

In conclusion, improvement of GFP fluorescence by the S65C (and S65T) mutation, which leads to increased excitation and emission values and high photostability with blue light excitation, in combination with the elimination of the cryptic splice site, greatly increases the potential of the A. victoria green fluorescent protein as an alternative marker for non-destructive analysis of gene expression in plants. Additionally, blue fluorescence from GFP mutant Y66H may allow parallel analysis of different chimeric gene fusions by co-formation and resolution of blue and green fluorescence coming from a single cell. Whether brightness and stability of blue fluorescence of GFP Y66H can be further enhanced by additional modifications remains to be determined.
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