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Abstract

Life and societies would significantly change if memory capacity or persistence in health and disease could be enhanced. It has been known for many years that memory can be improved and strengthened. Substances known to enhance memory include hormones, neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, and metabolic substrates. Recently, attention has been given to identifying the molecular mechanisms and targets whereby memory enhancement can be achieved. One approach would be to target the physiological changes that are induced by learning and naturally required for memory strengthening via consolidation and reconsolidation. Here we will review approaches that boost memories by targeting the cAMP response element binding protein-CCAAT enhancer binding protein (CREB-C/EBP) pathway and/or its recently identified target gene insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2).
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Introduction

The continuing increase in life span is unfortunately associated with an increased prevalence of cognitive dysfunctions, including memory loss and diminished ability to form new long-term memories. Aging-related memory impairment is a common condition characterized by mild symptoms of cognitive decline. The aged population becomes slower in processing, storing, and recalling new information, and shows impairments in cognitive functioning including memory, concentration, and organization. “I forget names so easily now” or “I forget where I put things all the time” are common complaints of the aged. More dramatic and devastating are the cognitive and memory losses in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s Disease (AD), in which the impairments interfere with normal life functioning. The economic impact of Alzheimer’s disease in the U.S. alone is estimated to be more than $100 billion annually. Thus, it is imperative to identify or develop approaches that can restore or prevent memory impairments. Furthermore, ethical debates aside, the possibility...
of speeding normal learning and boosting memory retention is appealing to the healthy population. Hence, identifying memory enhancers is of great importance and interest.

Numerous studies have indicated that memory can be enhanced with many types of strategies or drugs that can either boost the baseline of the biological systems or modulate memory strengthening or retrieval. These drugs modulate neurotransmission, neurotransmitter receptors, neuropeptide, stress and relative hormones, and metabolism. The literature on this topic and the list of effective drugs is vast. Here, we focus our discussions on an ensemble of mechanisms that can be targeted to boost memories because they underlie the memory processes known as consolidation and reconsolidation. Indeed one approach that can be employed to search for mechanisms and targets of memory enhancement is to identify and exploit the biological mechanisms by which learned information transforms into long-lasting memory. In humans, temporal lobe-dependent memories of facts, places, and time undergo a process known as consolidation by which newly learned information that is in a labile state becomes a long-lasting, stable memory. Consolidation requires a phase of de-novo gene expression that lasts for more than 24 hours; its interruption results in memory loss. Moreover, once stable and resilient to disruption, memories can again become labile and sensitive to interference if they are reactivated by, for example, recalling the memory. Memories then regain their resilience to interference by undergoing a process known as reconsolidation. In several types of memories, reconsolidation has been found to be temporally limited. In fact, in rodents only young memories (weeks-old), not old (months-old) ones are lost after amnesic agents are given together with memory reactivation. It has been proposed that, in animal models, reconsolidation is a phase of lingering consolidation that can last for weeks. If memory is retrieved during this sensitive phase, it undergoes reconsolidation to strengthen the memory itself, indicating that an important function of the postretrieval reconsolidation process is to promote memory strengthening and persistence. Thus, in principle, a strategy that potentiates either the initial consolidation or the reconsolidation phases may be successfully exploited as an effective cognitive enhancer. In agreement with this hypothesis, various gene mutations targeting the pathways known to be involved in long-term plasticity or memory formation result in memory enhancement. Excellent reviews have summarized and discussed these mutants.

In this review, we discuss the hypothesis that memory can be enhanced by targeting a variety of steps of the cAMP - C/EBP pathway, which has been shown to be required for memory consolidation in numerous species. After a brief summary of current knowledge, we will expand on the effect of a target gene, insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2, also known as IGF-II), which is regulated by the CREB-C/EBP pathway. We will also discuss memory enhancement in reconsolidation and relative temporal constraints and conclude with some unresolved questions about memory enhancement and its potential clinical applications.

Promoting memory enhancement by targeting the cAMP-CREB-C/EBP pathway

Studies of the molecular bases of long-term memory formation started with the findings in simple invertebrates that cAMP and the induction of CREB- and C/EBP-dependent gene expression have a key function in synaptic plasticity and memory formation particularly during the temporal window of consolidation, when memory is still labile. Specifically, in invertebrates, including *Aplysia californica* and *Drosophila melanogaster*, the molecular disruption of CREB or C/EBP isoforms leads to memory impairment. Similarly, molecular and genetic disruptions of either CREB or C/EBP...
isoforms in rodents impair different types of long-term memories, including spatial, contextual appetitive and aversive, leading to the conclusion that the cAMP-CREB-C/EBP pathway has an evolutionarily conserved, fundamental role in long-term memory formation \(^{25, 32–34}\). Some authors have found that the essential function of CREB in memory formation may be overcome by genetic backgrounds and that CREB-independent forms of long-term plasticity and memory exist \(^{35}\). Despite the fact that, indeed, some form of memory and/or long-term potentiation (LTP) may be CREB-independent, these contrasting effects may be due to protein compensation of the transgene that can overcome the requirement for CREB. Hence, in summary, numerous studies across species, brain regions and types of learning paradigms indicate that CREB is critical for memory formation. Despite the possibility that memory consolidation may also be enhanced independently of the CREB pathway, in this review, we will focus on discussing nodes of the CREB-C/EBP pathway that represent important targets for promoting memory enhancement and persistence.

Several intracellular signaling pathways have been shown to activate the CREB-C/EBP cascade \(^{25}\) (Figure 1), which include important targets for both memory disruption and strengthening. Selective modulation of specific components of this pathway may lead to the enhancement of memories, and furthermore, make them more persistent. Indeed, studies have demonstrated that this is the case.

The expression of an activated form of CREB in both *Drosophila melanogaster* and neuronal cultures of *Aplysia californica* was found to lead to long-term memory and/or plasticity from learning or stimulation paradigms that evoke only short-term memory or plasticity under control conditions \(^{28, 36}\) (but see \(^{37}\)). Similarly, overexpression of C/EBP in *Aplysia* neurons converted short-term plasticity into long-term plasticity \(^{38}\). These findings were the first evidence that, if the molecular cascade involved in long-term plasticity and memory formation is overstimulated, memory retention can be enhanced or made more persistent.

Similar results were found in mammalian systems. Overexpression of a neuronal-specific adenylyl cyclase 1 (AC1), an enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of cAMP, in the forebrain of mice, resulted in enhanced object recognition and contextual fear conditioning memories \(^{39}\). Injections of a protein kinase A (PKA) activator, Sp-cAMPs, into the amygdala enhanced reward-related learning in a dose-dependent manner \(^{40}\). Furthermore, rolipram, an inhibitor of the phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) family of enzymes, which catalyze cAMP hydrolysis and thereby increases cAMP concentrations and CREB phosphorylation, significantly enhanced memory retention in rodent contextual fear conditioning \(^{41}\), object recognition \(^{42}\), and several spatial tasks \(^{43}\). In agreement with these results, recent studies have shown that both PDE4 subtype D (PDE4D) deficient mice, and mice in which PDE4D in the dorsal hippocampus was knocked down by lentiviral vectors have enhanced spatial and object recognition memories \(^{44}\). Inhibitors of other PDEs, for example, the selective PDE5 inhibitors sidenafil, zaprinast, and vardenafil, which can lead to an increase in CREB phosphorylation, have also shown enhancing effects in spatial object recognition and active avoidance memories in rodents \(^{45, 46}\), although others have reported contrasting findings \(^{47}\).

Memory enhancing effects have also been found by directly targeting the expression of CREB. Viral vector-mediated overexpression of CREB in the rat amygdala or mouse hippocampus respectively enhanced cued and contextual fear conditioning \(^{48, 49}\). Transgenic overexpression of dominant active forms of CREB in the forebrain resulted in enhanced memory retention in a variety of tasks, including fear conditioning, social recognition, the Morris water maze, and passive avoidance \(^{50}\).
How does boosting CREB-dependent transcription activity promote memory enhancement? Probably by targeting many mechanistic levels. For example, CREB transcribes proteins that are necessary for the stabilization of memory after learning. Thus, enhancing CREB activity may augment the levels of these critical proteins, resulting in stronger memories. A series of elegant studies has increased our understanding of how CREB regulates memory formation, thereby mediating memory retention. In the lateral amygdala, neurons with viral-mediated overexpression of CREB are more likely to be active after memory retrieval than are their neighboring neurons, and selective ablation of these CREB-overexpressing neurons leads to memory impairment\(^{51, 52}\), suggesting that auditory fear conditioning can recruit CREB-expressing neurons in the lateral amygdala to establish fear memory formation. Furthermore, viral-mediated overexpression of CREB in the amygdala enhances synaptic transmission and increases neuronal excitability\(^{53}\). Similar increases in neuronal excitability are seen in the dorsal hippocampus of transgenic mice expressing a constitutively active form of CREB\(^{54}\), leading to the conclusion that memory enhancement correlates with increased neuronal excitability that is mediated, at least in part, by increased CREB expression and/or its functions.

Furthermore, activation of the CREB-C/EBP cascade is regulated by inhibitory constraints\(^{55–58}\), and the removal of which results in stronger or more persistent memories. For example, mutant mice with reduced expression of the general control nonrepressed 2 (GCN2) kinase, an eukaryotic initiation factor 2 α (eIF2α) kinase, have suppressed expression of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) mRNA, a repressor of CREB-mediated gene expression, and display enhanced spatial memory, as assessed in the Morris water maze\(^{59}\). Likewise, mutant mice with reduced phosphorylation of eIF2α exhibit enhanced memory in a variety of tasks\(^{60}\). Similarly, transgenic mice with forebrain expression of a general dominant negative inhibitor of the C/EBP/ATF family (EGFP-AZIP) have enhanced spatial memory retention. EGFP-AZIP expression presumably relieves the inhibitory regulators and results in a lower threshold for LTP induction as well as increased spatial memory retention\(^{57}\). In summary, any molecular step that regulates induction of the CREB- and/or C/EBP-dependent gene expression may, in principle, be a good target for promoting memory enhancement.

As with all transcription factors, CREB and C/EBP functions on gene expression are controlled by the state and regulation of chromatin. Chromatin modification can modulate the transcription necessary for memory formation by regulating access of transcriptional regulatory proteins to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). For example, histone acetylation is a chromatin modification that regulates DNA-histone interactions via two opposing classes of enzymes: histone acetyltransferases (HATs), which acetylate histone tails and promote transcription, and histone deacetylases (HDACs), which de-acetylate histones and promote genes silencing\(^{61}\). One important function of CREB is to recruit CREB-binding protein (CBP), a cofactor with intrinsic histone acetyl transferase activity, which results in increased gene transcription\(^{62}\). Genetic mutations in CBP are associated with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome, which is characterized by facial abnormalities, broad thumbs and mental retardation\(^{63}\). Mutant mice lacking one functional copy of CBP exhibit impaired long-term inhibitory avoidance and contextual fear conditioning memories\(^{64}\). More specifically, transgenic mice with a functional loss of CBP’s HAT activity have impaired long-term memory formation\(^{65}\), while injections of HDAC inhibitors, which would compensate for deficits in CBP activity in CBP mutant mice, rescue the memory impairment\(^{65, 66}\). Similarly, PDE4 inhibitors, which enhance CREB signaling, also dose-dependently rescue the long-term memory defects in CBP mutants\(^{67}\). These studies suggest that modulating CREB-dependent signaling or the state of chromatin via HDAC inhibitors may be potential therapeutic options for cognitive disorders associated with CBP dysfunction. In agreement with this hypothesis, in wild-type mice, HDAC inhibitors have been found to enhance long-
term memory in a variety of tasks, including contextual fear conditioning \(68\), water maze \(69\), fear-potentiated startle \(70\), extinction of fear memory \(71\), and novel object recognition \(72\). Several extensive reviews have been published on HDAC inhibitors and their potential applications to treat cognitive disorders \(73–75\).

One step downstream of the activation of CREBs and C/EBPs is regulation of the expression of their target genes. Some of these target genes may include secreted factors or membrane receptors that can be more easily targeted by pharmacological approaches. One of these genes, IGF-2, was recently identified \(26\).

**IGF-2, a C/EBP target gene required for memory consolidation, promotes memory enhancement**

IGF-2 has been recently identified as a target gene of C/EBP\(\beta\) during memory consolidation in rats \(26\). IGF-2 is a mitogenic polypeptide that is structurally similar to insulin and IGF-1. Insulin, IGFs, and their respective receptors, together with IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP), constitute the IGF-related system (Figure 2). This protein system is important for normal somatic growth and development, tissue repair and regeneration \(76\). IGF-2, as compared to the other family members, is more abundantly expressed in the adult brain and is found in regions that are critically involved in memory consolidation, such as the hippocampus and cortex \(77\). The mechanism of action of IGF-2 is not clear and may be multifaceted \(78\). The highest affinity of IGF-2 is for IGF-2 receptor (IGF-2R). Beside IGF-2, IGF-2R binds a large number of ligands including lysosomal enzymes, IGF-2, transforming growth factor-\(\beta\) (TGF-\(\beta\)), granzyme B, plasminogen, glycosylated leychemia inhibitory factor and retinoids \(79\). The majority of IGF-2Rs are located intracellularly and are also known as cation-independent mannose 6 phosphate receptors, whereby they facilitates the trafficking of lysosomal enzymes between the trans-Golgi network, endosomes and lysosomes \(79\). Cell surface IGF-2Rs sequester circulating and local levels of IGF-2, thereby leading to IGF-2 degradation. IGF-2Rs can also mediate the endocytosis of extracellular lysosomal enzymes \(80\). IGF-2 can also bind with lower affinity to IGF-1 receptors (IGF-1Rs), which resulted in the downstream activation of typical tyrosine kinase-mediated growth pathways \(78\).

IGF-2 mRNA and protein levels were found to be upregulated in the rat hippocampus 20 hours after inhibitory avoidance training \(26\). Inhibitory avoidance is a contextual fear conditioning based task that requires an intact hippocampus and amygdala and is used as a model of temporal lobe-dependent memories. This training-dependent induction in the hippocampus was dependent on the binding of C/EBP\(\beta\) to IGF-2 promoters \(26\), in agreement with previous findings indicating that the IGF-2 promoters bears C/EBP\(\beta\) consensus sequences \(81\). Furthermore, either antisense mediated knockdown of IGF-2 in the dorsal hippocampus or injections of a function-neutralizing anti-IGF-2 receptor (anti-IGF-2R) antibody significantly impaired long-term inhibitory avoidance memory, suggesting that the learning-dependent increase in IGF-2 (via IGF-2R) is required for inhibitory avoidance memory consolidation \(26\). Conversely, injections of recombinant IGF-2 into the dorsal hippocampus immediately after training, but not 24 hours later, significantly and dose-dependently enhanced long-term inhibitory avoidance and contextual fear memory retention (Figure 3a and 3b), as well as preventing memory loss (Figure 3a) \(26\). In fact, rats given a single hippocampal injection of IGF-2 immediately after training still had significant memory retention 3 weeks after training, while vehicle-injected control rats had largely forgotten (Figure 3a) \(26\). Injections of IGF-2 into the amygdala had no effect on memory retention, suggesting that the mechanisms regulated by IGF-2 in memory enhancement target selective regions like the hippocampus but not the amygdala \(26\). Furthermore, hippocampal injections of IGF-2 have also been found to facilitate fear extinction in mice.
confirming and extending the conclusion that IGF-2 promotes memory enhancement. It will be important to investigate other brain regions as targets of IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement, such as the cortex. Such future studies will help to address questions of region-specific mechanisms and/or selective effects on specific memory systems in memory enhancement.

IGF-2 is an interesting candidate for potential clinical applications because it is a naturally produced growth factor that readily crosses the blood-brain barrier. In the adult rat, IGF-2 mRNA can be found in the brain, heart, kidney, uterus, and liver, and peripheral IGF-2 can be transported into the brain via IGF-2 receptors localized in brain capillaries. The temporally limited effect of hippocampally-injected IGF-2 that occurs from the time of training (ie. less than 24 hours) as well as that of the post-retrieval effect in young but not old memories (discussed below) indicates that both consolidation or reconsolidation mechanisms can be targeted to produce memory enhancement. Mechanistically, IGF-2-dependent memory enhancement requires the function of IGF-2R, but not IGF-1R. IGF-2R, unlike insulin- and IGF-1R, is not a tyrosine kinase receptor. While insulin and IGF-1R mediate growth and activate the ras/raf/mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathways, IGF-2R/mannose-6-phosphate receptor either binds IGF-2 extracellularly and/or transports lysosomal acid hydrolase precursors from the Golgi apparatus to the lysosome. IGF-2Rs from both the cell surface and Golgi traffic to the early endosome, where the relatively low pH environment causes the release of the IGF-2Rs cargo. The IGF-2Rs are recycled back to the Golgi by the retromer complex. The cargo proteins are then trafficked to the lysosome via the late endosome independently of the IGF-2Rs. How these and potentially other functions of IGF-2Rs lead to memory enhancement is currently unknown.

IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement also requires de novo protein synthesis. Although IGF-2 is a target gene of C/EBPβ, IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement does not depend on de novo C/EBPβ synthesis and does not correlate with increased levels of pCREB and C/EBPβ. This suggests that IGF-2 does not exert its effects by amplifying these transcriptional mechanisms, but rather by acting on translational and synaptic mechanisms that enhance memory. Interestingly, one of the newly synthesized proteins required for IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement is activity-regulated cytoskeletal-associated protein (Arc); its functions are needed for long-term plasticity and memory formation. For example, Arc is required in the hippocampus and the amygdala, respectively, for the consolidation of spatial memory and cued-fear conditioning. Studies have suggested that Arc is critical for long-term plasticity and memory because, by interacting with the endocytic machinery proteins endophilin and dynamin, it regulates membrane trafficking of AMPA receptors. AMPA receptor trafficking is critically involved in LTP, long-term depression (LTD), and memory. It is thought that AMPA receptor subunits are rapidly transported in and out of synapses to strengthen or weaken synaptic activity during plasticity and learning. In agreement with this hypothesis of a positive correlation between plasticity and memory formation and increased trafficking of synaptic AMPA receptors, IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement was found to correlate with increased levels of synaptic GluA1 AMPA receptor subunits.

Endocytosis is also regulated by glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β), a serine/threonine kinase that was originally identified as a regulator of cell metabolism but has since been implicated in various functions, including proliferation, cell survival, neural development, and shaping nerve terminal development and function. In fact, endocytosis was found to be dependent on the phosphorylation of dynamin I (at the Ser 774 residue) by GSK3β. IGF-2-mediated memory enhancement correlates with the activation of GSK3β and requires the activity of GSK3β, supporting our hypothesis that the memory-enhancing effect of IGF-2 is dependent on the function of IGF-2R.
critically targets functions of the endocytic pathway. Previous findings suggested a
correlation between increased endocytosis and increased GSK3 activation and Arc
expression. Such findings appear to contrast with the finding that memory
enhancement is accompanied by increased synaptic expression of certain receptor subunits
(e.g. GluA1). However, it is possible that endocytosis of other receptors and related
membrane synapse restructuring are also critical for enhancing synaptic strength. Moreover,
increased endocytosis may play an important role in homeostatic synaptic scaling, which
may enhance the system capacity. Future studies will hopefully extend our knowledge
regarding the role of endocytosis in memory enhancement.

An intriguing property of IGF-2 and IGF-2R is that they are both imprinted genes. Imprinted
genes are expressed only by one allele, either maternal or paternal, and this pattern of
expression is maintained epigenetically in almost all tissues. How imprinted genes
participate in behavior is a topic of great interest and recent investigations.

Interestingly, insulin and other insulin regulatory proteins have been shown to promote
memory enhancement in rodents as well as in humans. However the mechanisms by
which this effect occurs seems to be different from that of IGF-2. Further studies are needed
to better dissect the mechanisms of these effects.

Targeting reconsolidation to enhance memory

Why does memory become labile after retrieval and undergo reconsolidation? The
biological purpose of reconsolidation has been the topic of debates and investigations since
its rediscovery more than 10 years ago. One debated issue in the reconsolidation field is
its relationship with the role of the passage of time. In inhibitory avoidance conditioning,
retrieval leads to protein synthesis-dependent reconsolidation only if the memory is less than
2 weeks old. In contrast, 2- or 4-week old memories do not become fragile after retrieval
and, in fact, are resilient to protein synthesis inhibitor treatment administered either
systemically or intra-amygdala. Similarly, contextual fear conditioning becomes
increasingly resistant to post-retrieval administration of protein synthesis inhibitors with
time. In contrast, retrieval of auditory fear conditioning is still susceptible to disruption by
inhibition of new protein synthesis in the amygdala after 45 or 60 days, and no temporal
gradient of resilience has been described. In inhibitory avoidance conditioning, while
hippocampal protein synthesis is required for the initial consolidation, it is dispensable
during reconsolidation, indicating that mechanisms in the amygdala, but not the
hippocampus, are critical for memory reconsolidation. We propose that in inhibitory
avoidance and, perhaps, other temporal-lobe-dependent memories, hippocampal resistance
to post-retrieval amnesic treatments may reflect the more cortically distributed
representation of the memory trace. In contrast, in Pavlovian fear conditioning, where the
amygdala is necessarily involved in the acquisition, storage, and expression of conditioned
fear memory, reactivation of the very localized trace renders the memory labile. Whether
this reflects differences in molecular and/or circuit-based mechanisms remains to be
understood.

One of the hypotheses proposed to explain the function of reconsolidation is that memory
reconsolidates to become stronger and longer lasting. Supporting this hypothesis, studies
have demonstrated that a second training trial strengthens a contextual fear memory. This
strengthening requires the function of transcription factor EGR-1 (early growth
response protein 1) also known as Zif268, which is also essential for the reconsolidation, but
not consolidation, of contextual fear conditioning. A recent study reported, using
inhibitory avoidance conditioning in rats, that unreinforced contextual reminders given when
the memory is young, but not when it is older, enhance memory retention and prevent
forgetting after significant consolidation training. Such findings indicate that reconsolidation does indeed promote memory enhancement. Targeting reconsolidation mechanisms should therefore also provide opportunities to enhance memories.

Several studies have explored the possibility of enhancing memory by targeting mechanisms activated during reconsolidation. For example, activating PKA in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) with sp-cAMP during reconsolidation leads to an enhancement of rat auditory fear memory retention; conversely, inhibiting PKA by administering 6-BNZ-cAMP to the same brain region immediately after retrieval impairs reconsolidation and leads to amnesia. Similarly, in the crab Chasmagnathus, blocking angiotensin II impairs reconsolidation, whereas exogenous administration of recombinant angiotensin II enhances it.

IGF-2, which enhances inhibitory avoidance memory when administered to the hippocampus following training (Figure 3a), also significantly strengthens inhibitory avoidance memory when administered in the same brain region after memory retrieval (Figure 3c). The effect is retrieval-dependent and limited to the same exact temporal window during which inhibitory avoidance memory undergoes reconsolidation; that is, less than 2 weeks after training. Hence, although the hippocampus is not a substrate for post-retrieval memory disruption after inhibitory avoidance training, it is a substrate for post-retrieval memory enhancement that occurs during the reconsolidation-sensitive temporal window. We conclude that in medial temporal lobe-dependent fear memories, retrieval activates the amygdala to control consolidation that is mediated by hippocampal mechanisms, leading to subsequent strengthening and cortical redistribution of the trace. These mechanisms can be targeted to promote memory enhancement. Thus, to effectively target mechanisms of memory consolidation or reconsolidation to enhance temporal-lobe-dependent memories, it is important to keep in mind that there are temporal constraints. Hence, an enhancer that targets the hippocampal CREB-C/EBP-dependent cascade will likely be most effective within the first day after training or after reactivation when reactivation leads to reconsolidation. However, as the effect of IGF-2 on cortical regions still needs to be determined, it is possible that any memory enhancing effects it has in the cortex may have different temporal evolutions.

**Future Directions and perspectives**

Important questions arise concerning memory enhancement that targets consolidation and reconsolidation mechanisms (Box 1). First, are the enhancing effects selective for the active trace and therefore only affect an active memory? This would restrict their effect, eliminating them as treatments that might globally enhance all stored memories. However, these types of pharmacological treatments could perhaps be paired with brain stimulation, which would activate the memory traces, allowing them to respond to the treatment. Second, from the results obtained, we can infer that if consolidation or reconsolidation is impaired by, for example, neurodegenerative disease, memory enhancers may be ineffective. Thus, it is important that memory enhancers are tested and investigated in disease models at multiple stages of disease progression. Memory enhancers targeting consolidation and reconsolidation may hopefully be able to compensate for or rescue defects that may very well be the cause of the disease, thus significantly slowing the progression of the disorder. In other words, prevention would be the most, or perhaps the only, successful strategy. Third, as memory-enhancing treatments would be needed for non-aversive memories, the consolidation and reconsolidation mechanisms of appetitive or problem-solving memories will have to be better investigated and understood. Finally, a safe and clinically applicable memory enhancer would also need to be highly specific and have minimal side-effects. Importantly, studies on memory enhancement should more carefully examine the effect of treatment on multiple tasks and brain functions to assess whether memory enhancement
compromises the flexibility of memory or brain plasticity. Given that memory formation is such a dynamic process, gaining a more complete understanding of the anatomical and temporal dynamics of the molecular and systems changes required after learning and retrieval to consolidate memories will enable us to develop the most specific and efficacious memory enhancers.

**Box 1. Outstanding questions**

- **What are the mechanisms of action of memory enhancers?** Memory enhancers may provide substrates that cooperate with encoding, synaptic/system consolidation and/or memory retrieval mechanisms and either prolong and/or potentiate these processes, or oppose negative constraints. An example is hippocampally-administered IGF-2 that acts only if present during active phases of the memory, either post-training or post-retrieval. Other examples likely include changing the neurotransmitter or glutamate receptor-mediated responses and the general state of chromatin to promote the expression of genes or cell excitability that mediate synaptic plasticity to promote memory formation or persistence. It would also be interesting to determine whether/which mechanisms have universal or selective effects in different brain regions, memory systems, memory tasks and/or memory trace. Alternatively, memory enhancers may target the basal state of the system and prolong memory storage, like in the case of enhanced expression of PKM.

- **Memory enhancers as potential treatments in diseases of memory decay: will they help? How?** Little is known about whether or how memory enhancers can be used as effective treatments in memory decay disorders, including aging-related memory loss and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Although animal model studies suggest that some compounds that produce memory enhancement also prevent memory loss in models of AD, it needs to be determined whether the effect on the memory is long lasting. Importantly, it also needs to be determined whether the compounds enhance the system’s abilities (and whatever remains of it) or actually rescue or bypass defective mechanisms. If the compound reverses the deficits, an early intervention may significantly prevent the progression of the disease and may be the best or only successful intervention.

- **Memory enhancers: are they producing enhanced memory but inflexible behavior?** Overlapping memories and memory systems exist, and little, if anything, is known about whether enhancement of certain memories come at the expense of the retention of other memories already formed, or of modifying/updating memories, or, finally, at the potentials of encoding new memories. In other words, like in LTP, does a memory potentiation occlude the system or make the system less flexible?

- **What aspect of memory is enhanced?** Behavioral studies need to dissect whether memory enhancers affect the general representation of memory, thereby enhancing it as a whole, or whether selected components of the memory are affected (e.g. emotion, spatial, perception, execution etc.).

- **Enhancing memory in normal subjects: ethical problems** It is important to briefly mention that ethical issues should be discussed and Ethics Committees should provide guidelines about the use of memory enhancers, according to the effects found. For example, enhancing memories may change emotional...
aspects of the memory that can cause affect disregulations (e.g. enhancing painful, stressful and/or traumatic memories). Thus, studies are required to carefully understand the mechanisms and both the positive and negative consequences of enhancing memories.
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Figure 1.
Schematic representation of the cAMP response element binding protein (CREB)-CCAAT enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) pathway activated during memory formation. Neurotransmitters, growth factors, and membrane depolarization are examples of the stimuli that activate intracellular signal transduction pathways that can lead to the activation of the CREB-dependent pathway. Growth factors, signal via receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), which upon ligand binding and dimerization, induces activation of two pathways: the Ras/Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/MAP kinase kinase (MEK) and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-dependent pathways. Activations of these pathways recruit additional protein kinases including p90 ribosomal S6 kinase (RSK2) and mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase (MSK) for the MAPK-dependent pathway and Akt and p70S6 kinase(p70S6K) for the PI3K-dependent pathway to catalyze phosphorylation of CREB (pCREB) in its Ser-133 residue, which is an important step for its activation.\textsuperscript{25}
CREB phosphorylation can also be achieved by many neurotransmitters binding to their receptors. Via these receptors, neurotransmitters can couple of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) by regulating adenylyl cyclase activity. cAMP recruits protein kinase A (PKA) as the main kinase for CREB phosphorylation. Phosphodiesterase (PDE) can catalyze the hydrolysis of cAMP and inhibit its signaling. Additionally, increases in intracellular Ca^{2+} influx through voltage- or ligand-gated cation channels such as voltage-sensitive calcium channels (VSCCs) or NMDA receptors (NMDARs) can also lead to CREB phosphorylation via different calcium-dependent protein kinases. Once phosphorylated, CREB recruits its transcription coactivator CREB-binding protein (CBP) to promote transcription. The functional activation of CREB leads to the expression of target genes, among which are immediate early genes (IEGs), such as the transcription factor C/EBP, which, in turn, regulates the expression of late response genes including insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2). Transcriptional regulation is further regulated by the chromatin state. In general, histone acetyl transferases (HATs) acetylate histone tails and promote a relaxed chromatin state and transcription. Histone deacetylases (HDACs), on the other hand, de-acetylate histones and promote a condensed chromatin state and genes silencing. Abbreviations: AC, adenylyl cyclase; ATP, adenosine triphosphate.
Figure 2.
The insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-related system components. The IGF-related system is composed of three ligands: insulin, IGF-1 and IGF-2. IGF-1 and IGF-2 levels in circulation are regulated by IGF binding proteins (BPs). There are multiple receptor conformations. Insulin and IGF-1 receptor (IR and IGF-1R) are tyrosine kinase receptors. Two isoforms of IR, IR-A and IR-B, are found in the brain. For simplicity, only signaling initiated by the activated IGF-1R is shown. Activation of IR or IGF-1R leads to phosphorylation of adaptor proteins belonging to the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) family or Src homology 2 domain containing transforming protein (SHC). Activation of IRS and SHC leads to activation of Raf/Ras/ mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/ MAP kinase kinase (MEK) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathways. Phosphorylation of Akt leads to subsequent activation of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), and p70S6 kinase (S6K). Activation of these pathways leads to enhanced proliferation, survival and metastasis in cancer cells. IGF-2 binds IR-A, IGF-1R, and IGF-2R, with the highest affinity to IGF-2R. IGF-2R is structurally distinct from IR and IGF-1R and is not a receptor tyrosine kinase. Once IGF-2 binds, IGF-2R targets IGF-2 to endocytosis-mediated lysosomal degradation as well as effecting signal transduction (see Figure 4). Abbreviations: Grb2, growth factor receptor-bound protein 2; PDK1, phosphoinositol-dependent kinase-1; PIP3, Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate.
Figure 3.
Insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2) enhances fear as well as fear extinction memories. a) Rats underwent inhibitory avoidance training. Immediately after training, they received bilateral intra-hippocampal injections of IGF-1, IGF-2, or vehicle solution (indicated by ↓). Memory retention was tested at 24 hours (Test 1) and 7 days (Test 2) after training. Rats that received IGF-2, compared to IGF-1 and vehicle solution, had significantly higher memory retention at both tests \(^{26}\). Similar intra-hippocampal injections of IGF-2 immediately after inhibitory avoidance training, compared to vehicle solution, significantly prevented memory forgetting. Rats injected with IGF-2 immediately after inhibitory avoidance training showed significantly higher memory retention at 3 weeks after training (Test) while the rats that
received vehicle solution showed a significant memory retention decay. 

b). Rats underwent contextual/auditory fear conditioning, in which the rats associate a context and a tone to a footshock and freeze in subsequent exposure to the training context or to the tone in a different context. Immediately after conditioning, the rats received bilateral intra-hippocampal injections of IGF-2 or vehicle (indicated by ↓). Rats that were injected with IGF-2, compared to vehicle solution, had significantly higher freezing score when they were tested in the training context. In contrast, no effect was seen on the auditory fear-conditioning test, as rats injected with IGF-2 had freezing scores similar to rats injected with vehicle.

c) Rats underwent inhibitory avoidance training and were divided into two groups. Twenty-four hours later, one group received a bilateral intra-hippocampal injection of either IGF-2 or IGF-1 whereas the other group underwent inhibitory avoidance memory retrieval (Test 1) and immediately thereafter received similar bilateral intra-hippocampal injections (indicated by ↓). All groups were tested for memory retention 48 hours after training (Final Test). IGF-2 had no effect when given 24 hours after training (NoR Final test), but, if given in concert with memory retrieval, compared to IGF-1, significantly enhanced memory retention.

d) Mice underwent contextual fear conditioning. Context-dependent freezing was assessed 24 hour later. Extinction of contextual fear was performed on consecutive days at 24-hour intervals consisting of re-exposure to the training context without footshock. Fear extinction was significantly enhanced in mice that received injections of IGF-2 into the dorsal hippocampus immediately after each extinction trial (indicated by ↓) compared to the vehicle-injected group. Data are shown as mean latency or % freezing ± s.e.m.; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Adapted, with permission, from [26] (A–C) and [82] (D).
Figure 4.
Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor (IGF-2R)-dependent lysosomal enzyme trafficking, signaling transduction and endocytosis. Intracellular or extracellular lysosomal enzymes such as acid hydrolase are transported to late endosomes by IGF-2R (also known as mannose 6-phosphate receptor) \(^{80}\). Lysosomal enzymes dissociate from IGF-2R within the low-pH environment of late endosomes and are subsequently delivered to lysosomes. IGF-2R is recycled to the Golgi or to the cell surface \(^{79}\). At the cell surface, IGF-2 binding to IGF-2R is followed by endocytosis to form early endosomes. From the early endosomes, IGF-2R dissociates from IGF-2: IGF-2R is recycled to cell surface while IGF-2 is targeted to late endosome for lysosomal degradation \(^{79}\). A recent study \(^{26}\) suggests that, in the
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hippocampus, learning leads to IGF-2 binding to cell surface IGF-2R which results in glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) activation and activity-regulated cytoskeletal-associated protein (Arc) synthesis, both of which have been implicated in regulating AMPA receptor (AMPAR) endocytosis \(^8\), \(^9\). Internalized AMPARs can recycle to cell surface or be targeted for degradation \(^1\). IGF-2/IGF-2Rs could also directly regulate AMPAR endocytosis independently of GSK3β and Arc.