For many decades, the vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) have been the only oral anticoagulant drugs available for clinical use for the primary and secondary prevention of venous and arterial thromboembolic events. VKAs have been consistently shown to be highly effective in many settings and are now used by millions of patients worldwide. Laboratory and clinical studies have contributed to understanding of the complex pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of VKAs, their interactions, antithrombotic effects, and the risks associated with their use. Several studies have addressed the practical issues related to the management of patients on VKAs treatment, with particular focus on laboratory and clinical monitoring and on reversal strategies.

More recently, new oral anticoagulant drugs, namely the direct thrombin inhibitor dabigatran etexilate and the direct factor Xa inhibitor rivaroxaban, have been approved for clinical use in several countries. A growing body of laboratory and clinical data is becoming available to better understand the mechanisms of action and the optimal management of these new compounds. In this article we summarize the published literature concerning the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of all oral anticoagulant drugs that are currently available for clinical use and other aspects related to their management.
1.0 Vitamin K Antagonists

1.1 Pharmacology

VKAs produce their anticoagulant effect by interfering with the cyclic interconversion of vitamin K and its 2,3 epoxide (vitamin K epoxide), thereby modulating the γ-carboxylation of glutamate residues (Gla) on the N-terminal regions of vitamin K-dependent proteins (Fig 1). The vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors II, VII, IX, and X require γ-carboxylation for their procoagulant activity, and treatment with VKAs results in the hepatic production of partially carboxylated and decarboxylated proteins with reduced coagulant activity. Carboxylation is required for a calcium-dependent conformational change in coagulation proteins that promotes binding to cofactors on phospholipid surfaces. In addition, the VKAs inhibit carboxylation of the regulatory anticoagulant proteins C, S, and Z and thereby have the potential to be procoagulant. Although the anticoagulant effect of VKAs is dominant, a transient procoagulant effect may occur when baseline protein C and protein S levels are reduced due to the start of VKA therapy and the acute phase of a thrombotic event and before the balanced decrease of vitamin K-dependent clotting factor levels is achieved. Carboxylation requires the reduced form of vitamin K (vitamin KH$_2$), γ-glutamyl carboxylase, molecular oxygen, and CO$_2$. Vitamin K epoxide can be reused by reduction to VKH$_2$. The oxidation-reduction reaction involves a reductase pair. The first, vitamin K epoxide reductase, is sensitive to VKA, whereas vitamin K reductase is less sensitive. Therefore, the anticoagulant effect of the VKAs can be overcome by low doses of phytonadione (vitamin K) (Fig 1).

1.2 Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics

Warfarin is a racemic mixture of two optically active isomers, the R and S enantiomers. Warfarin is highly water soluble, is rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, has high bioavailability, and reaches maximal blood concentrations about 90 min after oral administration. Racemic warfarin has a half-life of 36 to 42 h (R-warfarin 45 h, S-warfarin 29 h), circulates bound to plasma proteins (mainly albumin), and accumulates in the liver where the two enantiomers are metabolically transformed by different pathways (Fig 1). The S enantiomer of warfarin (2.7-3.8 times more potent than the R enantiomer) undergoes approximately 90% oxidative metabolism, primarily by the CYP2C9 enzyme of the cytochrome P450 system and to a lesser extent by CYP3A4. The less potent R enantiomer undergoes approximately 60% oxidative metabolism, primarily by two cytochrome P450 enzymes, CYP1A2 and CYP3A4, and to a lesser extent by CYP2C19. The remainder of the metabolism of both enantiomers involves reduction to diastereomeric alcohols. The relationship between the dose of warfarin and the response is modified by genetic and environmental factors that can influence the absorption of warfarin, its pharmacokinetics, and its pharmacodynamics.

Other available VKAs include acenocoumarol, phenprocoumon, and fluindione. Like warfarin, acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon also exist as optical isomers, but with different stereochmical characteristics. R-acenocoumarol has an elimination half-life of 9 h, is primarily metabolized by CYP2C9 and CYP2C19, and is more potent than S-acenocoumarol because of faster clearance of S-acenocoumarol, which has an elimination half-life of 0.5 h and is primarily metabolized by CYP2C9. Phenprocoumon is a much longer-acting agent, with both the R- and S-isomers having elimination half-lives of 5.5 days. Both are metabolized by CYP2C9, and S-phenprocoumon is 1.5 to 2.5 times more potent than R-phenprocoumon. Fluindione is an indandione VKA with a mean half-life of 31 h. Unlike warfarin, fluindione is not a chiral compound.

1.3 Interactions

1.3.1 Genetic Factors: A number of point mutations in the gene coding for the CYP2C9 have been identified. These polymorphisms, the most common of which are CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3, are associated with an impaired ability to metabolize S-warfarin, resulting in a reduction in S-warfarin clearance and
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The gene coding for the VKOR enzyme first described in 1974. The gene coding for the VKOR protein is located on the short arm of chromosome 16. The gene encodes for several isoforms of a protein that are collectively termed the vitamin K oxide reductase complex 1 (VKORC1). Subsequently, mutations in this gene have been identified leading to enzymes with varying sensitivities to inhibition by warfarin, thereby affecting the pharmacodynamics of warfarin. The mutations occur with differing frequencies in various ethnic populations and account, in part, for the difference in warfarin doses required to maintain a therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR). Genetic mutations in the gene coding for VKORC1 often involve several mutations leading to various haplotypes that cause greater resistance to warfarin therapy. Harrington et al. found a warfarin-resistant individual who had high serum warfarin.

Figure 1. [Section 1.1] Vitamin K is reduced to vitamin KH2. The major warfarin-sensitive enzyme in this reaction is the vitamin K oxide reductase mainly inhibited by the S-enantiomer of warfarin. S-warfarin is metabolized by the p450 cytochrome enzyme, CYP2C9. Reprinted with permission from Ansell et al.
concentrations and a 196G>A transition, predicting a Val66Met substitution in VKORC1. D’Andrea et al.39 studying 147 patients, found that those with a 1173CC genotype required a higher mean maintenance dose compared with those with a CT or TT genotype, as did Quiteineh et al.46 who found that a 1173 C>T polymorphism was significantly associated with the risk of anticoagulant overdose. By identifying a number of noncoding single nucleotide polymorphisms, Rieder et al.44 were able to infer that there are five major haplotypes associated with different dose requirements for maintaining a therapeutic INR. The maintenance dose ranged from a low of 2.7 mg warfarin per day for the sensitive haplotypes up to a high of 6.2 mg per day for the resistant haplotypes. Asian Americans had the highest proportion of sensitive haplotypes, whereas African Americans more frequently exhibited the resistant haplotypes (Table S1).

1.3.2 Drugs: VKAs are highly susceptible to drug-drug interactions. For warfarin, for example, manufacturer-provided product information lists >200 specific agents that may interfere with this agent.47 Unfortunately, there seems to be little concordance among commonly used drug compendia and product labels with respect to interactions involving warfarin. Indeed, a major problem with the literature on this topic is that many reports are single-case reports and are not well documented. Anthony et al.44 recently reviewed three drug information compendia, Clinical Pharmacology, ePocrates, and Micromedex, and the warfarin sodium (Coumadin) product label approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, for listings of interactions between warfarin and drugs, biologics, foods, and dietary supplements and found that of a total of 648 entries from the four sources, only 50 were common to all the sources.44 As in the previous edition of this article,8 Table 1 summarizes a comprehensive list of drugs that potentiate, inhibit, or have no effect on the anticoagulant effect of warfarin based on the results of a systematic review of available evidence completed in 2005, which rated warfarin drug interaction reports according to interaction direction, clinical severity, and quality of evidence, and developed lists of warfarin drug interactions considered highly probable, probable, possible, and highly improbable.48

Drugs such as cholestyramine can reduce the anticoagulant effect of warfarin by reducing its absorption. Other drugs potentiate the anticoagulant effect of warfarin by inhibiting its clearance, whereas some drugs may inhibit the anticoagulant effect by enhancing its clearance.49 These latter effects may be through stereoselective or nonselective pathways.50,51 Stereoselective interactions may affect the oxidative metabolism of either the S-enantiomer or R-enantiomer of warfarin. The inhibition of S-warfarin metabolism is more important clinically, because this enantiomer is more potent than the R-enantiomer as a VKA.50,51 Phenylbutazone,52 sulfinpyrazone,53 metronidazole,54 and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole55 inhibit the clearance of S-warfarin, and each potentiates the effect of warfarin on the prothrombin time (PT). In contrast, drugs such as cimetidine and omeprazole, which inhibit the clearance of the R-isomer, potentiate the PT only modestly in patients who are treated with warfarin.51,54,56 Amiodarone is a potent inhibitor of the metabolic clearance of both the S-enantiomer and the R-enantiomer and potentiates warfarin anticoagulation.57 The anticoagulant effect of warfarin is inhibited by drugs like barbiturates, rifampin, azathioprine, and carbamazepine, which increase its clearance by inducing hepatic metabolism.58 Azathioprine also reduces the anticoagulant effect of warfarin, presumably through a potentiating effect on hepatic clearance.59 Long-term alcohol consumption has a similar potential to increase the clearance of warfarin, but ingestion of even relatively large amounts of wine had little influence on the PT in normal volunteers who were given warfarin.60 The effect of enzyme induction on warfarin therapy has been analyzed in a critical review.58 Ten hepatic microsomal enzyme agents were assessed. Enzyme induction of warfarin metabolism by rifampin and barbiturates was considered likely, and an interaction with carbamazepine, griseofulvin, aminoglutethimide, nafcillin, and dicloxacillin was considered probable.

Drugs may also influence the pharmacodynamics of warfarin by inhibiting the synthesis of or increasing the clearance of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors or by interfering with other pathways of hemostasis. The anticoagulant effect of warfarin is augmented by second-generation and third-generation cephalosporins, which inhibit the cyclic interconversion of vitamin K,61,62 by thyroxine, which increases the metabolism of coagulation factors,63 and by clofibrate through an unknown mechanism.64 Doses of salicylates of >1.5 g per day65 may augment the anticoagulant effect of warfarin. Acetaminophen potentiates the effect of warfarin when used over prolonged periods of time, as demonstrated in a recent randomized, blinded trial.66,67 Acetaminophen possibly potentiates the anticoagulant effect of warfarin through inhibition of VKOR by a toxic metabolite of the drug.68 Although the accumulation of this metabolite may vary among individuals, thus accounting for a variable potentiating effect.70 Heparin potentiates the anticoagulant effect of warfarin, but in therapeutic doses produces only a slight prolongation of the PT. The mechanisms by which erythromycin71 and some anabolic steroids72 potenti ate the anticoagulant effect of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Causation</th>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Cardiovascular</th>
<th>Anti-infectives</th>
<th>Antiinflammatories, and Immuneologics</th>
<th>CNS Drugs</th>
<th>GI Drugs and Food</th>
<th>Herbal Supplements</th>
<th>Other Drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly probable</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>Potentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>Clofibrate</td>
<td>Highly probable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flucloxacillin</td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>Propafenone</td>
<td>Phenylbutazone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miconazole oral gel</td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>Alcohol (if concomitant liver disease)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miconazole vaginal suppository</td>
<td>Miconazole</td>
<td>Sulfinpyrazone</td>
<td>Citaklopram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(biphasic with later inhibition)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Entacapone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Amoxicillin/clavulinate</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Fluvastatin</td>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Quinidine</td>
<td>Disulfiram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Ropinirole</td>
<td>Chloral hydrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>Sinvastatin</td>
<td>Celecoxib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>Amiodarone-induced toxoid</td>
<td>Disopyramide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amoxicillin/tranexamic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gemfibrozil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td></td>
<td>Metolazone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gatifloxacin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miconazole topical gel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norfloxacine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terbinafine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly improbable</td>
<td>Cefamandole</td>
<td>Bezafibrate</td>
<td>Disulfiram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefazolin</td>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulbactamoxazole</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nabumetone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inhibition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly probable</th>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Medicine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Griseofulvin</td>
<td>Cholestyramine</td>
<td>Mesalamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nafcillin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Barbiturates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td></td>
<td>High vitamin K content foods/enteral feeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Avocado (large amounts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mercaptopurine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
warfarin are unknown. Sulfonamides and several broad-spectrum antibiotic compounds may augment the anticoagulant effect of warfarin in patients consuming diets that are deficient in vitamin K by eliminating bacterial flora and aggravating vitamin K deficiency.73

Drugs such as aspirin,74 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs,75,76 penicillins in high doses,77,78 and moxalactam82 increase the risk of warfarin-associated bleeding by inhibiting platelet function. Of these, aspirin is the most important because of its widespread use and prolonged effect.70,80 Aspirin and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs can also produce gastric erosions that increase the risk of upper GI bleeding. This can occur even with COX-2 inhibitors, which were originally believed to be less likely to predispose to gastric bleeding than nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs.76 In one case-controlled analysis of 98,821 subjects on warfarin identified in linked databases, celecoxib and rofecoxib were associated with a 1.7- or 2.4-fold increased risk of GI hemorrhage, respectively.76 The risk of clinically important bleeding is heightened when high doses of aspirin are taken during high-intensity warfarin therapy (INR, 3.0-4.5).74,81 However, low doses of aspirin (ie, 75 to 100 mg daily) combined with moderate-intensity and low-intensity warfarin anticoagulation therapy are also associated with increased rates of bleeding.82,83 The effect of statins or fibrates on the risk of bleeding in patients on VKAs is controversial. The initiation of a fibrate or statin that inhibits CYP3A4 enzymes was recently reported to increase the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding in warfarin-treated patients, whereas other statins that are mainly excreted unchanged were not found to be associated with such an increased risk.84 Conversely, other authors reported that long-term statin use is associated with a decreased risk of bleeding complications in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) on warfarin therapy.85

The most effective method to avoid adverse outcomes associated with drug interactions is to try to avoid, when feasible, concurrent use of potentially interacting drugs and to use noninteracting alternatives instead. When noninteracting alternatives are not available, adverse outcomes can be avoided by increasing the frequency of monitoring and adjusting warfarin doses based on INR response. Prospective dosing adjustments are inappropriate because of the unpredictable nature of patient response to drug interactions (see Holbrook et al86 in this supplement).

1.3.3 Environmental Factors: Nutritional supplements and herbal products are particularly problematic in that warfarin-treated patients often fail to inform physicians that they are using such products and physicians rarely ask. In one survey of 1,200 patients from four large anticoagulation clinics (ACs) in the
United States, one-third of the patients used dietary supplements and one-third of all patients surveyed indicated that their provider failed to discuss potential interactions with them. There is also little or no standardization of the content of such products, especially herbal remedies, and reports of interactions are often anecdotal or single-case reports, without good substantiation. Of the higher-quality studies, ginkgo and ginger were shown not to have an effect on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy subjects in a randomized, open-label, crossover, study, and coenzyme Q (and ginkgo) was shown not to have an effect on warfarin dosage in a randomized, double-blind, crossover study. Ginseng was shown to reduce the effect of warfarin in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Not surprisingly, products such as green tea, with a high content of vitamin K, were shown to reduce the anticoagulant effect of warfarin.

Subjects receiving long-term warfarin therapy are sensitive to fluctuating levels of dietary vitamin K, which is derived predominantly from phylloquinones in plant material. Sadowski and associates have listed the phylloquinone content of a wide range of food, and the list can be found on the Internet (http://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/cc/coumadin1.pdf). Phylloquinones act through the warfarin-insensitive pathway. Important fluctuations in vitamin K intake can occur in both healthy and sick subjects. An increased intake of dietary vitamin K that is sufficient to reduce the anticoagulant response to warfarin occurs in patients consuming green vegetables or vitamin K-containing supplements, during weight-reduction diets, and in patients who have been treated with vitamin K supplements. Reduced dietary vitamin K intake potentiates the effect of warfarin in ill patients who have been treated with antibiotics and IV fluids without vitamin K supplementation and in patients who have states of fat malabsorption.

In general, a consistent intake of vitamin K-containing foods is advisable, but neither specific restrictions nor additions seem necessary in patients with stable anticoagulant control. Patients should be informed of possible changes in INR, in particular in response to the use of dietary supplements or herbs, or alcohol used chronically or ingested in large quantities. More frequent monitoring of the INR should be proposed if dietary habits have substantially changed in response to weight reduction diets, periods following hospitalization, treatment with chemotherapy, sustained diarrhea or vomiting, or in case of anorexia.

A number of other conditions and disease states have been observed to influence anticoagulation with warfarin. Hepatic dysfunction potentiates the response to warfarin through the impaired synthesis of coagulation factors. These patients may appear to be “auto-anticoagulated” with baseline elevated INRs, but the degree of suppression of clotting factors does not mimic that of patients treated with warfarin and is not sufficient to prevent thromboembolism. Hypermetabolic states produced by fever or hyperthyroidism increase warfarin responsiveness, probably by increasing the catabolism of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors. Certain components of cigarette smoke may induce CYP1A2, and warfarin dosing requirements have been observed to decline after smoking cessation. Chewing tobacco contains high quantities of vitamin K that can increase warfarin dose requirements. Exacerbations of heart failure can increase responsiveness to warfarin therapy, likely in response to the effect of hepatic congestion on warfarin metabolism. End-stage renal disease is associated with reduced activity of CYP2C9, leading to lower warfarin dosing requirements in these patients. Warfarin dosing requirements decline with advanced age as a result of reduced availability of vitamin K stores and lower plasma concentrations of vitamin K-dependent clotting factors. In fact, age may be the single most important easily obtained predictor of warfarin dosing requirement.

1.4 Antithrombotic Effect

The antithrombotic effect of VKAs is attributed to their anticoagulant effect, which in turn is mediated by the reduction of four vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors. The experiments of Wessler and Gitel demonstrated that clots formed from umbilical cord plasma containing about half the prothrombin concentration of plasma from adult control subjects generated significantly less fibrinopeptide A than clots formed from maternal plasma. The view that warfarin exerts its antithrombotic effect by reducing prothrombin levels is consistent with observations that clot-bound thrombin is an important mediator of clot growth and that reduction in prothrombin levels decreases the amount of thrombin generated and bound to fibrin, thereby reducing thrombogenicity.
a parenteral anticoagulant with warfarin until the PT or INR is prolonged into the therapeutic range during the treatment of patients with thrombosis. Since the half-life of prothrombin is about 60 to 72 h, at least 5 days of overlap is necessary.

1.5 Monitoring Anticoagulant Intensity: the INR

The PT test\textsuperscript{120} is the most common test used to monitor VKA therapy. The PT responds to a reduction of three of the four vitamin K-dependent procoagulant clotting factors (ie, II, VII, and X) that are reduced by warfarin at a rate proportional to their respective half-lives. Thus during the first few days of warfarin therapy the PT reflects mainly a reduction of factor VII, the half-life of which is approximately 6 h. Subsequently, the reduction of factors X and II contributes to prolongation of the PT. The PT assay is performed by adding calcium and thromboplastin to citrated plasma. Thromboplastins vary in responsiveness to a reduction of the vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors. An unresponsive thromboplastin produces less prolongation of the PT for a given reduction in vitamin K-dependent clotting factors than a responsive one. The responsiveness of a thromboplastin can be measured by assessing its international sensitivity index (ISI) (see later discussion in this section). Highly sensitive thromboplastins (indicated by an ISI of approximately 1.0) are now available that are composed of human tissue factor produced by recombinant technology and defined phospholipid preparations.

PT monitoring of VKA treatment is not standardized when expressed in seconds, or as a simple ratio of the patient plasma value to that of plasma from a healthy control subject, or as a percentage of diluted normal plasma. A calibration model\textsuperscript{121}, which was adopted in 1982, is now used to standardize reporting by converting the PT ratio measured with the local thromboplastin into an INR, calculated as follows:

\[
\text{INR} = \left( \frac{\text{patient PT}}{\text{mean normal PT}} \right)^{\text{ISI}}
\]

or

\[
\log \text{INR} = \text{ISI}(\log \text{observed PT ratio})
\]

where ISI denotes the ISI of the thromboplastin used at the local laboratory to perform the PT measurement. The ISI reflects the responsiveness of a given thromboplastin to the reduction of the vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors compared with the primary World Health Organization (WHO) international reference preparations, so that the more responsive the reagent, the lower the ISI value.\textsuperscript{121} It is proposed that patients’ samples for calibration should be selected by rejecting samples beyond the 1.5 to 4.5 INR range.\textsuperscript{122} Outliers, defined as points with a perpendicular distance greater than three residual SDs from the line of relationship, should be rejected. Selection of patients’ samples and rejection of outliers results in a reduction of the between-laboratory variation of calibration.\textsuperscript{122}

As the INR standard of reporting was widely adopted, a number of problems surfaced. These are listed in Table 2 and are reviewed briefly here.

The INR is based on ISI values derived from the plasma of patients who had received stable anticoagulant doses for at least 6 weeks.\textsuperscript{123} As a result, the INR has not been validated and should be viewed with some skepticism early in the course of warfarin therapy, particularly when results are obtained from different laboratories. Even under these conditions,

**Table 2** — [Section 1.5] Potential Problems With the INR (Causes of Erroneous INR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Incorrect PTR from erroneous PT determination due to Pretest variables (sampling and blood collection problems)</td>
<td>Trisodium-citrate concentration, storage time, storage temperature, evacuated tube effects, inadequate sample, variations in manual technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect normal value</td>
<td>From nonuse of MNPT, error in MNPT due to unrepresentative selection, technical faults (see above), nonuse of geometric mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Incorrect ISI of local thromboplastin reagent/test system from lack of reliability of the ISI result provided by the manufacturer</td>
<td>Incorrect choice of IRP, poor distribution of coumarin test samples across treatment range, inadequate numbers of test samples in ISI calibration, incorrect transformation of PTR of test plasmas to INR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Drift of ISI since original calibration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Instrument (coagulometer) effects on INR at local site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lupus anticoagulant effects on some thromboplastin reagents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Lack of reliability of the INR system when used at the onset of warfarin therapy and for screening for a coagulopathy in patients with liver disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Relative lack of reliability of INR &gt; 4.5 as these values excluded from ISI calibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INR = international normalized ratio; IRP = international reference preparation; ISI = international sensitivity index; MNPT = mean normal prothrombin time; PT = prothrombin time; PTR = prothrombin time ratio.
however, the INR is more reliable than the unconverted PT ratio, and its use is thus recommended during both the initiation and maintenance of VKAs. The validity of the INR in other conditions of impaired coagulation has been less frequently evaluated. Some authors have recently challenged the use of the INR in patients with liver disease and in particular in prognostic scores such as the Model for End-stage Liver Disease. Thus, a new INR specific for liver diseases has been proposed, derived and validated.

The accuracy of the INR can be influenced by reagents with different sensitivities and also by the automated clot detectors now used in most laboratories. In general, the College of American Pathologists has recommended that laboratories should use thromboplastin reagents that are at least moderately responsive (ie, ISI < 1.7) and reagent/instrument combinations for which the ISI has been established and validated.

ISI values provided by the manufacturers of thromboplastin reagents are not invariably correct when applied locally, and this adversely affects the reliability of measurements. Local calibrations can be performed using plasma samples with certified PT values to determine the instrument-specific ISI. The mean normal plasma PT is not interchangeable with a laboratory control PT. Therefore, the use of other than a properly defined mean normal PT can yield erroneous INR calculations, particularly when less-responsive reagents are used. The mean normal PT should be determined for each new batch of thromboplastin with the same instrument used to assay the PT.

The concentration of citrate that is used to anticoagulate plasma may affect the INR. In general, higher citrate concentrations (eg, 3.8%) lead to higher INR values, and underfilling the blood collection tube spuriously prolongs the PT because excess citrate is present. Using collection tubes containing 3.2% concentrations of citrate for blood coagulation studies and adequately filling tubes can reduce this problem.

1.6 Practical Issues Related to Initiation and Maintenance

1.6.1 Approaches to the Induction of VKAs: Following the administration of VKAs, an initial effect on the INR usually occurs within the first 2 or 3 days, depending on the dose administered, and an antithrombotic effect occurs within the next several days. There is room for flexibility in selecting a starting dose of warfarin. The results of clinical studies suggest that initiation doses between 5 and 10 mg are effective with individual responses varying according to the inpatient or outpatient status, age, concomitant treatments, and comorbidities. Thus, starting doses of ≤5 mg might be appropriate in the elderly, in patients with impaired nutrition, liver disease, or congestive heart failure, and in patients who are at high risk of bleeding. An initial dose of 2 to 3 mg seems to be appropriate for patients who have undergone heart valve replacement, given their higher sensitivity to VKAs probably caused by the effects of cardiopulmonary bypass and concomitant therapies. Recommendations are provided in Holbrook et al.

As described in section 1.2.1, CYP2C9 genotype and VKORC1 haplotype influence warfarin dosing requirements. In response to these observations, numerous investigators have attempted to assess the combined influence of patient factors on warfarin dosing requirement, with a goal of developing models to predict warfarin dose requirements based on assessment of various environmental and genetic factors.

Sconce et al found that a combination of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes plus height produced the best predictive model for estimating warfarin dose, whereas Vecsler et al reported that CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes together with age and body weight could explain as much as 63% of the dose variance, and Herman et al attributed 60% of dose variability to CYP2C9 and VKORC1 polymorphisms, age, and body surface area. Lindhi et al found that CYP2C9 and VKORC1 polymorphisms accounted for 30% of the variability in warfarin dose among European Americans but only for 10% among African Americans. In a prospective cohort study of 48 consecutive patients starting warfarin for postorthopedic surgery prophylaxis, the patients with a variant CYP2C9 allele had a greater than fourfold increase in the risk of the INR exceeding 4.0. However, this substantial increase in the risk of supratherapeutic INR was observed despite the fact that all patients had their warfarin dose selected using a complex algorithm that considered CYP2C9 genotype.

Gage et al developed a dosing algorithm based on CYP2C9 and VKORC1 polymorphisms along with clinical and demographic factors. In the derivation cohort of 1,015 patients on warfarin therapy, body surface area, age, target INR, amiodarone use, smoker status, race, current thrombosis, VKORC1 polymorphism 1639/3673 G>A, CYP2C9(*3), and CYP2C9(*2) were all independent predictors of warfarin therapeutic dose.

Three prospective studies have compared the time in therapeutic range (TTR; see section 1.6.2 “Evaluating the Quality of Monitoring: TTR”) of patients using a pharmacogenetics-based dosing strategy with the TTR achieved when patients were managed...
without knowledge of genotype.155-157 Two of these studies showed no difference in TTR, whereas one study reported increased times in range for the pharmacogenetic group but had significant design flaws. More recently, Klein et al158 developed two dosing algorithms: one based on clinical variables only and one based on both clinical variables and genetic information. The ability of these two algorithms to predict subsequent warfarin doses was then compared in a validation cohort of >1,000 patients. The pharmacogenetic algorithm more accurately identified patients who required ≤21 mg weekly warfarin doses and patients who required weekly doses of ≥49 mg in comparison with the clinical algorithm and to a fixed-dose approach, whereas no difference was detected in the prediction of intermediate doses.

A few studies have suggested that certain genotypes are associated with adverse events. Thus, Higashi et al159 studied 185 patients, 58 with at least one variant genotype of CYP2C9, and found an increased risk of having INRs above range (hazard ratio [HR], 1.40; 95% CI, 1.03-1.90) and of a serious or life-threatening bleeding event (HR, 2.39; 95% CI, 1.18-4.86) in those with variant genotypes. The latter hazard estimate was based on a few events in a very small number of patients with the variant genotypes. Joffe et al,159 also studying CYP2C9 single nucleotide polymorphisms, found a trend toward increased rates of an INR >6.0 and of bleeding in patients who were categorized as heterozygotes, or compound heterozygotes/homozygotes, compared with those categorized as wild type, as did Veenstra et al.159 A similar increased risk of bleeding was seen in patients with these polymorphisms who were taking acenocoumarol but not phenprocoumon.32 On the other hand, neither CYP2C9 nor VKORC1 influenced the risk of bleeding in a more recent study by Limdi et al.160

Likewise, the only high-quality, randomized, controlled trial performed to date showed that use of a pharmacogenetic-based dosing strategy did not significantly reduce the risk of adverse events (34.7% in pharmacogenetic group vs 42.4% in control group; OR = 0.72; 95% CI, 0.41-1.28).155 This issue is further discussed and recommendations are provided in Holbrook et al.86

When rapid anticoagulant effect is required, a rapidly acting parenteral anticoagulant should be started together with the VKA and discontinued after at least 5 days of concomitant therapy and once the INR has been in the therapeutic range for at least two measurements approximately 24 h apart. This allows factors X and II to be reduced to levels sufficient to treat VTE. If there is no urgent need for an immediate anticoagulant effect (eg, in chronic stable AF), warfarin administration can be commenced without the concurrent use of a rapid-acting anticoagulant.

1.6.2 Evaluating the Quality of Monitoring: TTR: The relationship between the intensity of treatment and the risk of an adverse event has been evaluated by examining the frequency of such events as a function of the TTR.161-163 A strong relationship between TTR and the rates of bleeding or thromboembolic events has been observed across studies161-173 with different patient populations, different target ranges, different scales for measuring intensity of anticoagulation (ie, PT, PT ratio, and INR), different methods of measuring TTR, and different modes of dose management. In a large, retrospective analysis of patients with mechanical heart valves, Cannegieter et al164 found that risks of major bleeding or thromboembolism were greatly increased during the times when patients were above or below the therapeutic range of INR compared with times when they were within range. A similar relationship has been demonstrated for other groups of patients.169,174 A recent substudy examined the influence of TTR on the relative effectiveness of warfarin and dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel in patients with nonvalvular AF, in which the overall result favored warfarin.165 In this large retrospective analysis, the TTR during warfarin therapy appeared to be a major determinant of its efficacy, since the advantage of warfarin over antiplatelet therapy was lost below a threshold TTR of between 58% and 65%.165 The percentage of INRs or TTR is highly dependent on the quality of dose management as reflected in studies that report TTR. Poor quality of dose management results in a high proportion of low INRs during the first 3 months of treatment following an acute DVT, which in turn predicts a higher rate of subsequent recurrence.161,175 The TTR reflects the quality of dose adjustment in studies of patients managed in a usual care (UC) setting, by an anticoagulation management service (AMS), by patient self testing (PST) or patient self management (PSM), or in the setting of a randomized trial. TTR can be determined in different ways, so comparisons between studies may be difficult.176 TTR is most commonly estimated by using one of three methodologies: calculating the fraction of all INR values that are within the therapeutic range (ie, the number of INRs in range divided by the total number of INR tests); using the “cross-section of the files” methodology, which assesses the fraction of patients with an INR in range at one point in time compared with the total number of patients who had an INR measured at that point in time; or applying the linear interpolation method of Rosendaal et al.177 which assumes that a linear relationship exists between two INR values and allocates a specific INR value to each day between tests for each patient. Each approach has its advantages and disadvantages.176 Furthermore, the results of all these methods depend on whether
1.6.3 Frequency of Monitoring: In hospitalized patients, INR monitoring is usually performed daily until the therapeutic range has been achieved and maintained for at least 2 consecutive days. In outpatients starting VKA therapy, initial monitoring may be reduced to once every few days until a stable dose response has been achieved. When the INR response is stable, the frequency of testing can be reduced to intervals as long as every 4 to 6 weeks (or possibly longer in particularly stable patients). If adjustments to the dose are required, then the cycle of more frequent monitoring should be repeated until a stable dose response can again be achieved.

The optimal frequency of long-term INR monitoring is influenced by patient compliance, transient fluctuations in the severity of comorbid conditions, the addition or discontinuation of other medications, changes in diet, the quality of dose-adjustment decisions, and whether the patient has demonstrated a stable dose response. The dose required to maintain a therapeutic range for patients > 60 years of age decreases with increasing age, possibly because of a reduction in the clearance of warfarin with age. Gender also influences dose, with women requiring less warfarin to maintain a therapeutic INR than men at an equivalent age.

To compare different intervals between measurements of INR in stable patients, Pengo et al randomized 124 patients with prosthetic mechanical heart valves and at least 6 months of a steady dose requirement to INR monitoring at either 6-week or 4-week intervals. They found no differences of time in, above, or below range between the groups, although the actual monitoring intervals were 24.9 days in the 6-week group and 22.5 days in the 4-week group (p < .0003). In a retrospective study of > 4,000 patients with chronic AF and > 250,000 INRs, Shalev et al found that time in range increased as the testing interval decreased from every 5 weeks or more to every 3 weeks (41% to 45%, P < .0005), and the investigators suggested that patients should be monitored at time intervals no longer than every 3 weeks. However, the strength of the recommendation is reduced by the very poor TTR reported in both arms of the study. More recently, Witt et al found that patients with very stable INR levels defined by extremely high TTR rates required fewer visits for INR control and had significantly fewer hemorrhagic and thromboembolic events than patients with a less-stable INR; they suggested that many warfarin-treated patients whose INR values remain within the therapeutic range over time could be safely treated with INR recall intervals > 4 weeks. In this study, advanced age predicted stable anticoagulation.

1.6.4 Factors Associated With INR Stability in Long-term Management: Two recent studies have assessed factors associated with very stable INR control during treatment with VKAs. In the first study, 2,504 patients with INR values entirely within the INR range for 6 months were compared with 3,569 patients with at least one INR value outside the INR range. In the second study, 533 patients with INR values within the therapeutic range for 12 months were compared with 2,555 control subjects. Independent predictors of stability were age > 70 years, the absence of chronic diseases, and (in one study only) male gender. Congestive heart failure, diabetes, and a target range for INR ≥ 3.0 were associated with instability.

Physical activity also seems to play a role in the stability of the response to warfarin. A reduction in the anticoagulant effect has been found to be correlated with a sudden increase in physical activity. An increase in warfarin requirements associated with an increase in physical activity (represented by a daily exercise such as walking) has been described both in patients and in healthy subjects.

Changes in dietary vitamin K intake may influence the stability of the INR in patients on VKAs, and a few trials have assessed its impact on therapeutic stability. Sorano et al showed that controlling the intake of dietary vitamin K can achieve a more stable anticoagulant response. Scone et al by comparing the daily vitamin K intake in 26 unstable patients and in 26 stable control patients, showed that unstable patients have poorer intake of vitamin K. Kurnik et al showed that in vitamin K-depleted patients, very small amounts of vitamin K-containing vitamins will influence the INR to a greater extent compared with those with an adequate vitamin K status. Schurgers et al studying healthy volunteers on oral anticoagulation, found that a daily dose of vitamin K of at least 150 µg was needed to alter the INR response. Reese et al in a retrospective analysis, assessed the effect of a daily dose of 100 µg of vitamin K, in nine unstable patients. These patients experienced an increase in the percentage of INRs in range from 32% to 57% in response to the daily vitamin K. In a prospective, open-label, crossover study, Ford et al found that
five of nine patients improved their stability with administration of low-dose vitamin K. As expected, the INR initially decreased in patients given vitamin K, and an increased dose of warfarin was needed to reestablish an INR within the therapeutic range, which took from 2 to 35 days to achieve. In a nested case-control study, Rombouts et al.\(^{108}\) assessed the effect of dietary vitamin K intake on the risk of subtherapeutic INR values and the interaction between usual and recent vitamin K intake. Patients with a high usual vitamin K intake had less risk of a subtherapeutic INR, an effect possibly mediated by a reduced influence on the INR of an incidental consumption of vitamin K-rich food when there is a high usual intake of vitamin K. In these last two studies, variable INR was defined as requiring a minimum of three warfarin dose changes or three INRs outside of the therapeutic range in the preceding 6 months,\(^{105}\) or an INR SD > 0.5 with at least three warfarin dose changes during the previous 6 months.\(^{106}\)

Sconce et al.\(^{107}\) conducted the first randomized, blinded trial in 70 unstable patients over a 6-month period. Vitamin K supplementation with 150 μg/d resulted in a significantly greater decrease in SD of the INR compared with placebo (\(−0.24 \pm 0.14\) vs \(-0.11 \pm 0.18; P < .001\)) and a significantly greater increase in percentage of time within target INR range (28% \(±\) 20% vs 15% \(±\) 20%; \(P < .01\)). Finally, Rombouts et al.\(^{108}\) randomized 100 patients treated with phenprocoumon to receive daily doses of 100 μg of vitamin K and 100 patients to receive a placebo. Vitamin K improved the stability of anticoagulant therapy, with a relative risk of maximal stability ranging from 2.8% to 8.1% per patient-year of therapy.\(^{203-206}\) Rates of thromboembolism with UC were not reported except in two studies in which the event rates were 6.2% and 8.1% per patient-year. Similarly, retrospective and prospective cohort studies of care provided by an AMS reported rates of major hemorrhage or thrombosis ranging from 2.8% to 8.1% with anticoagulant therapy managed by an AMS or an AC compared with management by their personal physicians (ie, UC). Four such studies have reported major bleeding rates ranging from 2.8% to 8.1% per patient-year of therapy.\(^{203-206}\) Rates of thromboembolism with UC were not reported except in two studies in which the event rates were 6.2% and 8.1% per patient-year. Similarly, retrospective and prospective cohort studies of care provided by an AMS reported rates of major hemorrhage or thrombosis ranging from 1.4% to 3.3% and 0.7% to 6.3% per patient-year of therapy, respectively.\(^{104,170,207-210}\) Three retrospective comparative studies using a before-and-after design of patients managed by UC or an AMS reported significant improvements in the outcomes of hemorrhage or thrombosis with AMS-directed care.\(^{211-213}\)

### 1.7 Data Management

An obstacle to the safety and effectiveness of warfarin therapy is the poor quality of dose management in routine clinical practice. Adequate anticoagulant care with the VKAs requires a system of patient education and careful data management to record and track INR values and to ensure patients are treated with anticoagulants for an appropriate period of time.

#### 1.7.1 The Role of Anticoagulation Clinics: Nonrandomized, retrospective studies have reported better outcomes in patients when anticoagulant therapy is managed by an AMS or an AC compared with management by their personal physicians (ie, UC). Four such studies have reported major bleeding rates ranging from 2.8% to 8.1% per patient-year of UC.\(^{203-206}\) Rates of thromboembolism with UC were not reported except in two studies in which the event rates were 6.2% and 8.1% per patient-year. Similarly, retrospective and prospective cohort studies of care provided by an AMS reported rates of major hemorrhage or thrombosis ranging from 1.4% to 3.3% and 0.7% to 6.3% per patient-year of therapy, respectively.\(^{104,170,207-210}\) Three retrospective comparative studies using a before-and-after design of patients managed by UC or an AMS reported significant improvements in the outcomes of hemorrhage or thrombosis with AMS-directed care.\(^{211-213}\) In contrast, however, two prospective, randomized controlled trials\(^{214,215}\) comparing UC with the care of an AMS failed to show a significant difference in major hemorrhage or thromboembolism. The study by Matchar et al.\(^{214}\) also failed to show a significant improvement in TTR, although the AMS performed modestly better than UC. Wilson et al.\(^{215}\) did observe a significant improvement in TTR in the AMS group compared with UC (82% vs 76% respectively, \(P = .034\)). They also noted more high-risk INRs with UC than with an AMS (40% vs 30%, \(P = .005\)). This latter study had a major
limitation in that all patients were initially managed in an AMS for 3 months until they were stable and then observed for only 3 months after randomization to either receive UC or to continue care by the AMS. The other study suffered from a high turnover of patients, the possibility of selection bias affecting the referral of patients to the AMS, the open nature of the study, and targeted ranges that were sometimes outside recommended guidelines. In a retrospective, multicenter, international cohort study, 1,511 patients were randomly recruited from clinics offering routine medical care (UC) in the United States, Canada, and France, and from ACs in Italy and Spain. Major management differences were detected, especially between AC care and routine medical care, but also among countries. For patients managed by routine medical care, documentation of care was often inadequate. Finally, less time in therapeutic INR range was noted in routine medical care.

In a systematic review of 67 studies representing >50,000 patients managed by ACs (68%), during clinical trials (7%), or in community practices (24%), van Walraven et al. found that the practice setting had the greatest effect on anticoagulation control. TTR (days) varied from 56.7% in community practices to 65.6% in ACs and 66.4% for randomized trials. Compared with randomized trials the absolute reduction of TTR for community practices was -12.2% (95% CI, -19.5 to -4.8). The difference between community practices and ACs was -8.3% (95% CI, -4.4 to -12.1).

1.7.2. Computerized Monitoring: Computer assistance by the use of dedicated programs may improve dose management and TTR. Although programs differ, they typically calculate whether a dose adjustment is necessary from a user-defined table of trend rules for each therapeutic range. If dose adjustment is required, the current INR is compared with the target INR, and a proprietary equation calculates the new dose. The time to the next test is also set by the program using a set of variables comparing the current INR, the interval from the last test, the number of previous changes, and the number of previous INR values within the target range.

A number of early studies evaluated computer programs to improve warfarin dosing. The first randomized study in 1993 showed that three contemporary computer programs all performed as well as the experienced medical staff of an anticoagulation management service in achieving a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0, but the computer achieved significantly better control when more intensive therapy was required (ie, INR, 3.0-4.5). In another randomized study of 101 patients receiving long-term anticoagulation, computerized warfarin dose adjustment proved comparable to manual regulation in terms of the percentage of INR values maintained within the therapeutic range but required 50% fewer changes of dose. The first multicenter randomized trial, in 285 patients, of one computerized dosage program in 1998 showed a 22% overall improvement of control with the program compared with the performance of experienced medical staff. The computer program gave significantly better overall INR control across all target INR ranges. A slight improvement in TTR was also obtained by Italian investigators using a different management program in >1,200 randomized patients from five centers. A total of 71.2% of patients were in range with computer dosing and 68.2% were in range by manual dosing during the maintenance phase. In both of these studies, the computer’s improved results were probably due, in part, to a reduced propensity to reduce warfarin doses when the INR was at the upper limit of the “desired” range.

More recently, the European Concerted Action on Anticoagulation completed the first international, multicenter, randomized, controlled trial aimed to compare the safety and effectiveness of computer-assisted dosing of VKAs, using two computer programs in different centers (DAWN AC; 4S Information Sys, and PARMA; Instrumentation Laboratories), with conventional manual dosing. A total of 13,052 patients treated with a VKA were followed for a total of 18,617 patient-years. Overall, there was a trend toward fewer clinical events with computer-assisted dosage. There was also a statistically significant reduction in clinical events in the subgroup treated for VTE. A subsequent cost-effectiveness analysis found computer-assisted dosing cheaper than manual dosing.

The results of two small clinical trials suggest that computerized dose management can also be at least as effective as manual dosing by trained anticoagulation physicians or nurses during the initiation of anticoagulation therapy. However, some computerized programs are unable to manage dosing during the induction phase.

1.7.3 Point of Care INR Testing: Point of care (POC) monitors measure a thromboplastin-mediated clotting time using a fingerstick sample of capillary whole blood or un-anticoagulated venous whole blood. The result is then converted to a plasma PT equivalent by a microprocessor and is expressed as a PT and/or INR. Each manufacturer typically establishes the conversion formula by simultaneously comparing fingerstick or venous whole blood results with an established laboratory method and reagent that is traceable to the international reference thromboplastin.
Numerous studies\textsuperscript{229-248} have reported on the accuracy and precision of these instruments and on the ability of patients, both adults and children, to obtain an INR and to use that INR to guide their anticoagulant therapy. However, limitations to the accuracy and precision of POC INR monitors have been documented. Problems identified with POC instruments include greater differences compared with a standard plasma-based methodology as INRs increase above the therapeutic range,\textsuperscript{242,243} incorrect calibration of the ISI of the POC instruments,\textsuperscript{244} the inability to calculate a mean normal PT\textsuperscript{249} and instrument-specific lipid antibodies.\textsuperscript{250} In a recent systematic review of inaccuracies of the INR in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies, Poller recently been evaluated in a number of multicenter procedures for ISI calibration of POC monitors have not practicable using the monitors. Simpler zation scheme, but the WHO ISI calibration pro-cedure is not practicable using the monitors. Steps are still needed to ensure the conformity of POC PT monitors to the WHO INR PT standardiza-tion scheme, but the WHO ISI calibration procedure is not practicable using the monitors. Simpler procedures for ISI calibration of POC monitors have recently been evaluated in a number of multicenter sites by the European Concerted Action on Anti-coagulation and the UK National External Quality Assessment Schemes. By using lyophilized plasma calibrants with independently certified INRs, Poller and colleagues\textsuperscript{251-255} have shown that verification or recalibration of the ISI of the instrument is possible. However, to obtain reliable ISI values for the two instruments tested they had to develop different ISI calibration methods. It is likely, therefore, that different types of POC monitor systems will require different ISI calibration methods. In a study of pro-fi ciency testing of three POC monitors over 6 years in > 10 centers, Kitchen et al\textsuperscript{256} found that INR results in 10% to 11% of centers were > 15% different from results in other centers using the same monitors. This compared with a 12% difference for hospitals using conventional INR techniques. The European Concerted Action on Anticoagulation has recently tested 523 Coaguchek monitors at nine clinics and found that 20.3% of the monitors showed significant deviations.\textsuperscript{257}

PST or PSM using a POC instrument represents another model of care with the potential for improved outcomes as well as greater convenience.\textsuperscript{258} Several systematic reviews have shown improvements in the quality of anticoagulation control (TTR) and/or incidence of adverse events with PST and/or PSM.\textsuperscript{259-261} Heneghan et al\textsuperscript{261} pooled estimates from 14 randomized trials of PST showing a significant reduction in thromboembolic events (OR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.30-0.68), all-cause mortality (OR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.38-0.98), and major hemorrhage (OR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.42-0.99) vs the comparator. For PST and PSM combined, there were significant reductions in thromboembolic events (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.12-0.59) and death (OR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.16-0.85) but not major hemorrhage (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.42-2.05). In a recent randomized study from France comparing monthly laboratory monitoring with weekly self testing and monitoring in patients with prosthetic heart valves, self monitoring improved INR stability and reduced the incidence of bleeding, although the study was underpowered to show a true difference in clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{262} Another recent randomized study from Germany compared INR monitoring by the primary care physician with self management in patients with prosthetic heart valves and found greater INR stability and a lower incidence of thromboembolic events in the group of self-managed patients but no differences in bleeding events.\textsuperscript{263}

PST and PSM require special patient training to implement.\textsuperscript{264,265} This mode of therapy may not be suitable for all patients and may not be practical or cost-effective in certain settings. All participants in PST/PSM programs should participate in a recognized external quality assessment program.

1.8 Optimal Intensity Ranges

The optimal target range for the INR is not the same for all indications. In general, because bleeding is closely related to the intensity of anticoagulation,\textsuperscript{164,266-268} there has been interest in establishing the lowest effective therapeutic range for each indication.\textsuperscript{269-271}

Investigators have used various methodological approaches to establish the most appropriate range for different indications. These are as follows: (1) randomized trials in which patients are assigned to one of two different target ranges\textsuperscript{270-274}; (2) indirect comparisons, in which outcomes are compared between separate randomized trials of VKA therapy that applied different target ranges of INR, and the control
patients received no therapy or another antithrombotic agent (usually aspirin)\textsuperscript{277–290}, (3) subgroup analyses of observational studies (including within treatment groups of randomized trials) relating the observed INR or time spent in an INR range at the time of the outcome to either a bleeding event or thromboembolic event\textsuperscript{164,207,209,282,285,291}, and (4) case-control studies in which the INR levels at the time of an event are recorded and compared with INR levels in appropriately selected control subjects.\textsuperscript{174}

When moderate-intensity INR (approximately 2.0–3.0) was compared with higher-intensity adjusted-dose oral anticoagulation,\textsuperscript{209,272–274,278–280} the moderate treatment intensity was shown to reduce the risk of clinically important bleeding without reducing efficacy. Conversely, a lower treatment intensity (eg, INR range 1.5–2.0) appears to be less effective than moderate-intensity therapy. For example, a randomized trial demonstrated that an INR of \(<2.0\) (INR target, 1.5–2.0)\textsuperscript{270} reduced the recurrence of venous thrombosis after an initial 3 to 6 months of standard treatment when compared with placebo. A subsequent clinical trial,\textsuperscript{271} however, found that maintaining an INR intensity of 2.0 to 3.0 in the same clinical setting was more effective than a lower intensity of 1.5 to 2.0 and was not associated with a greater risk of bleeding. Likewise, in patients with AF, a randomized trial\textsuperscript{282} reported that adjusted-dose warfarin therapy (INR, 2.0–3.0) was more effective than the combination of fixed-dose warfarin (3 mg/d) and aspirin; other studies showed that the efficacy of oral anticoagulant agents is reduced when the INR falls to \(<2.0\).\textsuperscript{174,207,209,283–285} Warfarin targeted to an INR of \(<2.0\) has also been shown to be ineffective for preventing failure of dialysis access grafts.\textsuperscript{296}

The use of fixed mini-dose warfarin (1 mg daily) has been evaluated in a number of clinical settings. A number of these studies reported that fixed mini-dose warfarin is ineffective when compared with dose-adjusted warfarin, in particular for the prevention of stroke in patients with AF and for the prevention of thrombosis of central venous catheters.\textsuperscript{257–290} There is currently no evidence to support the use of fixed mini-doses of either acenocoumarol or phenprocoumon in any setting. However, the data obtained with fixed mini-doses of warfarin cannot be extrapolated to other VKAs because of their different half-lives and the likelihood they would have different pharmacodynamic effects at similar doses. The optimal target range for each indication is discussed specifically in other articles in this supplement pertaining to each indication.

\section*{1.9 Significance of Nontherapeutic INRs}

Fluctuations in INR may occur because of any one or more of the following conditions: inaccuracy in INR testing, changes in vitamin K intake, changes in the absorption of vitamin K or VKAs, changes in the metabolism of VKAs, changes in vitamin K-dependent coagulation factor synthesis or metabolism, other effects of concomitant drug use, or patient noncompliance. A number of studies have shown that adverse event rates rise sharply as the INR moves above or below the target INR interval.\textsuperscript{163,164,174} A recent retrospective analysis of >3,000 patients with AF found that the one-third with the poorest INR control (48\% of time in range) had twice the rate of stroke, myocardial infarction, major bleeding, and death as did the one-third with the best INR control (83\% of time in range).\textsuperscript{163}

However, the risk of adverse events associated with a single INR outside the therapeutic range is probably low. For example, although excessively elevated INR values are clearly associated with an increased risk of bleeding, in particular for INR values of \(>5.0\),\textsuperscript{164,169,170,288} data from a large registry of warfarin-treated patients suggest that the short-term risk for major bleeding is low for someone with a single INR value between 5.0 and 9.0 (0.96\% at 1 month).\textsuperscript{291} Similarly, in a retrospective, matched cohort study of 2,597 patients on warfarin therapy, the risk of thromboembolic events at 3 months in patients with stable INRs who experienced a single significant subtherapeutic INR value was low (0.4\%) and was not significantly different from the risk observed in patients with persistently stable INRs.\textsuperscript{292} Similar rates were reported in a population of 294 patients with mechanical heart valves experiencing a single subtherapeutic INR and in a large cohort of patients who required short-term discontinuation of warfarin to undergo minor outpatient interventions.\textsuperscript{293,294}

Before executing a plan for managing an episode of altered anticoagulation effect, important factors relating to the collection and processing of the blood sample must be taken into consideration. For example, a spuriously elevated INR should be suspected when a patient with previously stable INR control presents with a very high INR result in the absence of any explanation for the loss of INR control. Spurious results might also be suspected when abnormalities were encountered during sample collection (eg, the phlebotomist had a difficult time obtaining a sample or combined the contents of two collection tubes, and so forth).\textsuperscript{295} Recommendations for the management of patients whose INR is outside the therapeutic range are provided in Holbrook et al.\textsuperscript{86}

\section*{1.10 Adverse Events}

\subsection*{1.10.1 Bleeding Events:} The rate of hemorrhagic events must be interpreted in the context of the clinical characteristics of the group studied. Factors that
influence the rate of bleeding include the following: the target INR range; whether patients are mostly new to therapy or have long-term experience with therapy; whether an INR or PT is used to manage therapy; the indication for anticoagulation; the type of VKA; patient-specific risk factors, including concomitant antiplatelet therapy; and the quality of dose management. It is also not appropriate to extrapolate the rates of adverse events from randomized controlled trials to everyday practice, because high-risk patients may be excluded from clinical trials, and monitoring and management of anticoagulation are often better coordinated in clinical trials than in clinical practice.

When bleeding occurs, especially from the GI or urinary tract, the presence of an underlying occult lesion should always be considered. This is important because the patient factor that most consistently predicts major bleeding is a history of other bleeding, particularly from the GI tract. A number of descriptive studies have reported on the probability of finding occult lesions. Coon and Willis identified occult lesions that were responsible for bleeding in 11% of 292 patients with hemorrhage. Jaffin et al found a 12% prevalence of positive stool occult blood test results in 175 patients receiving warfarin or heparin compared with 3% in 74 control subjects. There was no difference between the mean PT or activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) in patients with positive and negative test results. Among the patients with positive stool occult blood test results, 15 of 16 patients had a lesion that had not been previously suspected, and four patients had neoplastic disease. Landefeld et al found that 14 of 41 patients with GI bleeding had important remediable lesions, of which two were malignant. To perform endoscopy in these patients is an important choice, because endoscopy has been shown in prospective studies to identify the bleeding source in >50% of patients managed with anticoagulant therapy who present with upper GI tract bleeding and because endoscopic therapy for nonvariceal upper GI bleeding achieves hemostasis in >90% of patients. This limited information supports the need to investigate patients with occult GI bleeding, as it may herald the presence of an underlying malignancy or other lesion that is frequently rectifiable.

In a 2-year prospective study in which enrolled patients had monthly urinalysis, Culclasure et al found microscopic hematuria in 3.2% of patients receiving oral anticoagulation compared with 4.8% in the control group not receiving anticoagulant therapy. There was no difference in the rate of hematuria with therapeutic or high INRs. Following a second episode of hematuria, 43 patients (32 receiving anticoagulant therapy, 11 control patients) were investigated. Of these patients, 27 receiving anticoagulant therapy (84%) and eight control patients (73%) were found to have significant underlying disease, with three cancers found in the combined group (7%). Other case series have reported a higher likelihood of underlying lesions in patients who develop hematuria while receiving anticoagulant therapy.

1.10.2 Factors Predictive of Bleeding Events: The most important factor influencing the risk of bleeding is the intensity of anticoagulant therapy. The likelihood of bleeding has been reported to rise steeply as the INR increases above 5.0. The optimal target range for each indication and the lowest effective range are discussed specifically in other articles in this supplement pertaining to each indication.

Several patient characteristics are associated with higher odds of bleeding during anticoagulation therapy. The patient factor most consistently predictive of major bleeding is a history of bleeding (especially GI bleeding). Other factors associated with a higher risk of bleeding include advanced age; the presence of a serious comorbid condition, such as cancer, renal insufficiency, liver disease, arterial hypertension, and prior stroke; alcohol abuse; and the use of concomitant therapies, in particular antiplatelet drugs. A number of prediction models of bleeding risk have been proposed. Dahri and Loewen performed a qualitative review of the published clinical prediction rules that estimated bleeding risk in patients starting on warfarin. The authors found seven studies, of which four presented distinct clinical prediction rules. Because none of these scores exhibited sufficient predictive accuracy or evaluated the impact of their use on patient outcomes, the authors concluded that no existing clinical prediction rules can be recommended for widespread use in practice at present. One prediction model that was prospectively validated in different outpatient populations identified four independent factors associated with an increased risk of bleeding. These factors were age >65 years, history of gastrointestinal bleeding, history of stroke, and at least one of the following variables: myocardial infarction, hematocrit <30%, creatinine >1.5 mg/dL, and diabetes. Another model, derived using registry data from patients with AF, identified prior bleeding, hepatic or renal disease, ethanol abuse, malignancy, age >75 years, reduced platelet count or function, hypertension (uncontrolled), anemia, genetic factors (CYP2C9 polymorphism), an excessive risk of falls, and a history of stroke as risk factors for bleeding.

The impact of age on bleeding risk remains controversial, with older reports finding risk increasing with age, whereas newer studies have failed
to find this association. The discrepancy may be partly explained by the wide range in the mean age of the patients enrolled in the various studies, the relative lack of representation in most studies of patients > 80 years of age, and the selection and survivorship biases in noninception cohort studies. When investigators attempt to separate the effect of age from comorbid conditions associated with age, some have concluded that age in and of itself is not a major independent risk factor, whereas others have found it to be an independent risk factor even after controlling for the intensity of the anticoagulant effect. Some have suggested that older patients may have a lower risk of bleeding when managed by AMS. Even if the overall risk of bleeding is not increased in the elderly, it is clear that the risk of intracranial hemorrhage increases with age.

Warfarin is frequently used concurrently with other antithrombotic agents. A meta-analysis assessing clinical studies comparing warfarin alone and warfarin in association with aspirin found that the combination increased the risk of bleeding by almost one-half compared with warfarin alone (OR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.00-2.02). A combined analysis of the SPORTIF (Stroke Prevention Using an Oral Thrombin Inhibitor in Atrial Fibrillation) III and V trials involving 481 patients who received aspirin and warfarin compared with 3,172 patients who received warfarin alone demonstrated a significant 1.6% per year increase in major bleeding. Although there are no randomized controlled trials that have compared bleeding rates in patients receiving "triple therapy" (usually warfarin, aspirin, and clopidogrel) with either warfarin alone or with a "dual therapy," a systematic review identified 12 reports involving 3,413 patients treated with oral anticoagulants who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention with stent insertion and subsequently received the combination of aspirin, clopidogrel, and warfarin. The rates of major bleeding in patients receiving triple therapy ranged from 0% to 21% (mean 7.4%) during up to 21 months of follow-up and 0% to 5.9% (mean 2.6%) during 30 days of follow-up. In a Danish nationwide registry of patients with AF, all combinations of warfarin, aspirin, and clopidogrel were associated with an increased risk of nonfatal and fatal bleeding, whereas dual or triple therapy carried a more than threefold higher bleeding risk than warfarin alone.

10.3 Reversal Strategies: Strategies to reverse the effect of VKAs may be needed in patients who require urgent invasive procedures, in asymptomatic patients presenting with excessively elevated INR values, and in bleeding patients. Therapeutic options include interruption of VKA treatment as well as the administration of vitamin K (usually vitamin K1, phytonadione) and blood derivatives such as fresh frozen plasma and prothrombin complex concentrates and recombinant activated factor VII.

Interruption of VKAs may be sufficient in patients who need an elective invasive procedure or in asymptomatic patients with an elevated INR value and a low risk for bleeding. In this latter case, it must be noted that it takes approximately 2.5 days for an INR between 6.0 and 10.0 to decline to < 4.0. Because acenocoumarol has a much shorter half-life than warfarin, the time required for an effective decline will be less and is probably no more than 1 day for most patients. Conversely, the longer half-life of phenprocoumon will probably result in a much slower decline. Finally, the half-life of fluindione is similar to that of warfarin, and thus a similar decline should be expected.

Phytonadione (vitamin K1, a form of vitamin K derived from plants) has been used in clinical trials that assessed the usefulness of oral vitamin K for the treatment of warfarin-associated coagulopathy. Vitamin K2 (menaquinone, which is synthesized by bacteria) and vitamin K3 (menadione) are not widely available and have not been well studied in clinical trials. Low doses of phytonadione can be administered orally in combination with warfarin interruption in patients not requiring urgent reversal. When oral phytonadione is administered in conjunction with temporary interruption of warfarin therapy, approximately 1.4 days are required for an INR between 6 and 10 to decline to < 4.0. When administered intravenously, low doses of phytonadione produce similar reductions as oral phytonadione in the INR value at 24 h, whereas subcutaneous phytonadione appears to be less effective than low-dose oral phytonadione. When administered at higher doses for the management of the bleeding patient, intravenously administered phytonadione works more rapidly than either oral or subcutaneous vitamin K. Reduction of the INR begins within 2 h, and a correction to within the normal range is generally achieved within 24 h if hepatic function is normal and if a sufficiently large dose is given. At 24 h, 5 mg of oral and 1 mg of IV vitamin K1 produce similar effects on the INR. IV phytonadione may cause anaphylactoid reactions. Although frequently reported, and likely more common in patients who receive large IV doses administered rapidly, the true frequency of this complication is about three per 10,000 doses administered, and it may be more likely to occur if formulations containing polyethoxylated castor oil are used to maintain the vitamin K in solution. To minimize the risk of anaphylactoid reactions, vitamin K1 should be mixed in a minimum of 50 mL of intravenous fluid and administered, using an infusion pump, over a minimum of 20 min.
Fresh frozen plasma remains the most widely used coagulation factor replacement product for urgent reversal of coumarin anticoagulation. Plasma may be a potential carrier of infective agents, and its use is associated with an increased risk of volume overload. Furthermore, it requires a cross-match if group-specific plasma is to be used, and it takes a prolonged period of time to thaw and administer. Given the long half-life anticoagulant effect of warfarin and the short half-life of infused coagulation factor concentrates, phytonadione must also be given to restore the adequate endogenous production of VKA-sensitive anticoagulant proteins. Urticaria occurs frequently with plasma transfusion; anaphylaxis is less common, occurring in about one in 20,000 transfusion episodes. Transfusion-related acute lung injury remains the most feared complication after transfusion and is estimated to occur in about one in 5,000 plasma-containing transfusions.

Nonactivated prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC) are probably more effective than plasma in correcting INR. PCCs do not require a cross-match, are virally inactivated, do not pose a risk of volume overload, and can be infused in 15 to 30 min. PCC may be classified as three-factor products (with adequate levels of factors II, IX, X, and low factor VII levels) and four-factor products, which contain adequate levels of factors II, VII, IX, and X as well as protein C and S. Current PCCs are more or less devoid of activated clotting factors and are supplemented with heparin and antithrombin to minimize the risk of thrombosis. Four-factor PCCs are currently not available in some countries (eg, United States).

In patients with life-threatening bleeding, recombinant activated factor VII has been used to control bleeding. Recombinant activated factor VII is able to generate a consistent thrombin burst through both tissue factor-dependent and tissue factor-independent mechanisms and is able to trigger thrombin generation even in the presence of significant platelet dysfunction. Evidence supporting its use in VKA-associated bleeding is currently limited, and its use cannot be recommended except in the setting of life-threatening bleeding when more effective agents are not available. As would be expected based on its potent procoagulant effect, this agent may cause thrombosis. Recommendations to guide the use of these strategies are provided in Holbrook et al. 86

1.10.4 Nonhemorrhagic Adverse Events: Other than hemorrhage, the most important side effects of warfarin are acute thrombotic complications, such as skin necrosis and limb gangrene. These uncommon complications are usually observed on the third to eighth day of therapy and are caused by extensive thrombosis of the venules and capillaries within the subcutaneous fat (in the case of skin necrosis) and massive outflow obstruction of the venous circulation of the limb (in the case of limb gangrene). The pathogenesis of these complications and the reason for the localization of the lesions are not well understood. An association between warfarin-induced skin necrosis and protein C deficiency has been reported, but this complication also occurs in nondeficient individuals.

The purple toe syndrome may very rarely occur in association with the initiation of VKA treatment. It is a nonhemorrhagic, cutaneous complication due to cholesterol emboli that usually develops 3 to 8 weeks after the start of warfarin therapy and is characterized by the sudden appearance of bilateral, painful, purple lesions on the toes and sides of the feet that blanch with pressure.

The VKAs also interfere with the carboxylation of Gla proteins that are synthesized in bone. Although these effects contribute to fetal bone abnormalities when mothers are treated with a VKA during pregnancy, it is unclear how they might affect children. There are two uncontrolled cohort studies that describe reduced bone density in children on warfarin for more than 1 year, but the role of the underlying disorders in reducing bone density remains unclear.

Finally, by preventing the activation of Gla proteins and growth arrest-specific gene 6 (Gas-6), VKAs may also induce vascular calcification. However, this relationship in humans is conjectural and is based on case reports.
2.0 Direct Thrombin Inhibitors: Dabigatran Etexilate

Dabigatran is a selective, reversible, direct thrombin inhibitor given as dabigatran etexilate, an orally absorbable prodrug. Since dabigatran itself is strongly polar, it is not absorbed from the gut. Phase 3 clinical studies reported to date have evaluated the use of dabigatran etexilate for the prevention of VTE after elective total knee or hip arthroplasty, for therapy of VTE, and to prevent stroke or systemic embolism in nonvalvular AF. The drug is approved in many countries for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement surgery and in the United States and Canada for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in nonvalvular AF. Dosing schedules are 150 mg and 220 mg once daily when used to prevent VTE (starting with a half dose given soon after surgery) and 110 mg and 150 mg bid in patients with AF, although only the latter dose was approved for this use in the United States. The 150 mg bid dose was also used for the treatment of VTE. Dabigatran etexilate remains under evaluation for the secondary prevention of VTE and in the management of acute coronary syndromes. Melagatran (given as its prodrug Ximelagatran) was the first of the orally available direct thrombin inhibitors to be clinically evaluated and was effective for both the prevention and treatment of VTE and in AF but caused unacceptable liver toxicity.353-355

2.1 Pharmacology

Direct thrombin inhibitors, such as dabigatran, the hirudins, and argatroban, do not require a cofactor, which differentiates them from the indirect coagulation inhibitors like the heparins, other glycosaminoglycans, and the synthetic pentasaccharide that must form a complex with plasma antithrombin before they can accelerate inhibition of thrombin and/or factor Xa.356 Clot-bound thrombin is relatively protected from heparin-like anticoagulants in vitro but is freely accessible to direct thrombin inhibitors.119

Dabigatran (initially referred to as BIBR 953) is a small synthetic molecule of 471.5 d with strongly basic functional groups that is a concentration-dependent, competitive, highly selective and reversible direct thrombin inhibitor with a Ki of 4.5 ± 0.2 μM (which is comparable with that of melagatran).357,358 The inhibitor prevents access to the active site of thrombin by forming a salt bridge between its amine group and Asp 189 and through hydrophobic interactions.357 Like melagatran and argatroban, dabigatran is a univalent inhibitor that interacts with the active site of thrombin alone, whereas hirudin, lepirudin, and desirudin also bind to a substrate recognition site (exosite 1).356 Because the highly polar and lipophobic dabigatran molecule is not absorbed from the gut, its oral availability required the synthesis of an absorbable prodrug, dabigatran etexilate (BIBR 1048; 627.7 d).357 On absorption, this esterified, hexylated, and more lipophilic prodrug is rapidly converted to dabigatran (the mechanism is ester cleavage catalyzed by serine esterase enzymes, via two intermediates—BIBR 1087E and BIBR 951 CL).357,359 As would be expected from a direct thrombin inhibitor, dabigatran prolongs the thrombin clotting time (TCT), PT, aPTT, and ecarin clotting time (ECT) of plasma from humans, rats, rabbits, dogs, and rhesus monkeys and also inhibits thrombin generation in human plasma.358 The ECT has been a preferred measure of anticoagulant effect for r-hirudin and other direct thrombin inhibitors; ecarin is a metalloprotease enzyme obtained from venom of the saw-scaled viper (Echis carinatus) that generates meizothrombin from prothrombin.360,361

Dabigatran prevents thrombin-induced platelet aggregation but not platelet aggregation by arachidonic acid, collagen, or adenosine diphosphate.358 Administration of oral dabigatran etexilate or IV dabigatran causes concentration-dependent reductions of thrombosis provoked in rats and rabbits by venous stasis plus tissue factor infusion or venous stasis plus endothelial damage. Thrombus inhibition peaks within 30 to 60 min of an oral dose, then persists for 2 to 3 h in rats and about 7 h in rabbits, and correlates well with prolongation of the aPTT.362,363 In rats, the intravenous dabigatran dose required to prolong the tail bleeding time is 5 to 10 times greater than the maximally effective antithrombotic dose of 0.1 mg/kg.363

2.2 Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics

Dabigatran etexilate is now formulated as a capsule containing multiple small pellets, each of which is composed of drug coated on a tartaric acid core to create an acid microenvironment that favors drug dissolution and preserves gut absorption even when the gastric pH is high (solubility is best at a low pH).364 Systemic bioavailability of oral dabigatran etexilate has been measured at 7.2% in healthy young volunteers and estimated at 6% to 7% in healthy older subjects.359,364 Oral bioavailability of the capsules is comparable to that of tablets used in the phase 1 and early phase 2 evaluations.365,366 Plasma dabigatran concentrations peak within 2 hours after a dose of dabigatran etexilate and then decrease by >70% during an initial 4- to 6-h distribution phase that is followed by a much slower elimination phase. With repeated dosing, the terminal elimination half-life is 12 to 17 h, the peak and trough concentrations are dose-proportional, and it takes 2 to 3 days to reach
steady-state levels. The terminal half-life following a single dose is about 9 h in healthy volunteers. The summary of pharmacokinetic parameters in Table 3 was derived from Stangier. Rapid conversion of dabigatran etexilate to dabigatran ensures that plasma concentrations of the etexilate and two intermediate prodrugs barely reach detectable levels. Approximately 35% of circulating dabigatran is protein-bound, regardless of concentration. After a 5-mg dose of IV dabigatran in healthy volunteers, the distribution volume was measured at 69 to 90 L, which exceeds the volume of body water, and plasma clearance was 149 mL/min. About 15% of available dabigatran is conjugated to form pharmacologically active but unstable glucuronides that account for about 20% of the total drug exposure. Eighty-five percent of the dose is excreted by renal clearance, almost all as unchanged dabigatran. Pharmacokinetic data from the phase 2 studies, together with population modeling, predict average steady-state peak and trough plasma dabigatran concentrations of 99 and 14 ng/mL after daily dosing with 150 mg dabigatran etexilate, 183 and 37 ng/mL after 220 mg/d, and 184 and 90 ng/mL after 150 mg bid (Table S3). Apart from dose, the systemic exposure to dabigatran is related to age and renal function. When older but apparently healthy volunteers aged 65 to 87 years received 150 mg dabigatran etexilate bid, the steady-state area under curve (AUC) was 1.7 to 2 times greater than that observed in a previous study of younger men aged 18 to 45 years. The increase in drug exposure was explained by reduced renal clearance and was more obvious in older women (in whom the AUC was 3% compared with 18% above that in older men). In a separate parallel group volunteer study, the AUC∞ after 150 mg dabigatran etexilate was 1.5, 3.2, and 6.3 times higher in people with mild, moderate, or severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance of 51-80, 31-50, and ≤ 30 mL/min) than in healthy control subjects. The corresponding levels of peak plasma concentration (Cmax) were 109, 138, and 205 ng/mL, compared with 85 ng/mL when renal function was normal. The terminal half-life was doubled to 28 h in severe renal failure, from 14 h in control subjects. Strong effects of renal function on drug concentrations were also demonstrated in patients having a hip or knee replacement, in whom dose-effect modeling predicted a steady-state Cmax of 100 ng/mL during bid dosing with 150 mg if the creatinine clearance was >90 mL/min, increasing to 140, 180, and 240 ng/mL as creatinine clearance diminished to 60 to 90, 40 to 60, and < 40 mL/min. Moderately severe liver dysfunction (Child-Pugh classification B) appears to have little effect on dabigatran pharmacokinetics, since peak plasma concentrations were reduced by 15% after 150 mg dabigatran etexilate in twelve affected subjects, when compared with 12 healthy age- and sex-matched control subjects, whereas time to Cmax, the elimination half-life, AUC, distribution volume, and extent of glucuronidation remained unchanged. Effects on blood clotting test results were similar in the two study groups. The effects on blood coagulation tests closely mirror plasma dabigatran concentrations. Peak prolongation coincides with the Cmax, and clotting times decrease as dabigatran leaves the circulation. Unlike warfarin and other vitamin K inhibitors, which have long-lasting effects on the INR, the relatively short half-life of dabigatran means that time between dosing and blood sampling is a critical determinant of drug effect. Effects on the aPTT, INR, TCT, and ECT were studied in healthy volunteers and in patients having a hip or knee replacement. In the placebo-controlled volunteer study, wherein healthy men aged 18 to 45 years received one dose of 10 to 400 mg dabigatran etexilate or eight hourly doses of 50 to 400 mg for 6 days, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population and Dosage</th>
<th>Tmax or Tmax, ss, h</th>
<th>Cmax or Cmax, ss, ng/mL/mg</th>
<th>Cmin or Cmin, ss, ng/mL/mg</th>
<th>AUC∞ or ss, (ng/h/mL)/mg</th>
<th>t1/2, h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>od</td>
<td>1.25-1.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bid</td>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy elderly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bid (men)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bid (women)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>od</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>6.41*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bid</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results recorded for bid or tid dosing are at ss. Cmax, Cmin and AUC are normalized to a 1-mg dose of dabigatran etexilate. Results are mean or median. AUC∞ = area under the curve; AUC = AUC from time zero to infinity; Cmax = peak plasma concentration; Cmin = minimum plasma concentration; od = once daily; ss = steady state; tmax = time to reach the peak plasma concentration; t1/2 = terminal half-life. (Modified with permission from Stangier et al.)

*Measured after first oral dose of dabigatran etexilate following surgery for hip or knee replacement.
drug was given as a dry powder dissolved in dilute tartaric acid and caused dose-dependent prolongations of aPTT, INR, TCT, and ECT. The coagulation test results correlated with plasma dabigatran concentration, the maximum clotting times coincided with Cmax, and effects persisted for 8 h or longer except for the aPTT and INR at the lowest doses. The peak clotting time ratios after 200 to 400 mg of dabigatran etexilate were >55 for the TCT, >5 for the ECT, 1.5 to 1.9 for the INR, and 1.8 to 2.1 for the aPTT. The TCT, ECT, and INR increased in linear proportion with plasma dabigatran levels, but the aPTT concentration-response curve was curvilinear and flattened above concentrations of 200 ng/mL. Plasma dabigatran levels also correlated closely with effects on the ECT and aPTT in the BISTRO trial, a safety study of dabigatran etexilate in patients having an elective hip or knee arthroplasty, wherein escalating doses of dabigatran etexilate were given for 6 days in tablet form after surgery. The doses were 12.5 mg rising to 300 mg bid or 150 mg to 300 mg once daily. Bid dabigatran etexilate raised the peak aPTT and ECT ratios from 1.08 to 1.91 and from 0.96 to 5.17 as the dose increased from 12.5 mg to 300 mg, whereas the mean trough levels of aPTT ratio rose from 1.0 to 1.65. Concentration-effect relationships were linear for the ECT but nonlinear for the aPTT, with better sensitivity and precision for the ECT. A non-linear dose-effect on the aPTT was also found in patients with AF taking bid doses of up to 300 mg. Different aPTT reagents appear to have similar sensitivities to dabigatran, as does the activated clotting time. The likely impact of reduced renal function on blood clotting test results in patients given 150 mg dabigatran etexilate bid was estimated through population modeling of data from the BISTRO I study. This predicted a maximum aPTT of 47.4 s with normal renal function, increasing to 54.2, 61.9, and 78.3 s in patients with mild, moderate, or severe reductions in creatinine clearance (the corresponding predictions for maximum ECT were 55.2, 77.4, 108, and 183 s). The model is consistent with results when otherwise healthy volunteers with a creatinine clearance <30 mL/min received a 150-mg dose of dabigatran etexilate: maximum aPTT, ECT, INR, and TCT ratios were 3.45, 3.26, 4.14, and 12.5, compared with 1.85, 1.89, 1.4, and 6.87 in healthy control subjects. This emphasizes the need to avoid dabigatran etexilate in patients with severe renal failure and the importance of renal function when considering the choice of dosing regimen. It remains possible that dabigatran may activate platelets in patients with AF, as urinary excretion of 11-dehydrothromboxane B2 (an end product of thromboxane A2 metabolism) was increased by roughly 20% during 12 weeks of treatment in a dose-ranging trial, and that effect was suppressed by aspirin.

2.3 Interactions

2.3.1 Factors Interfering With Absorption: Absorption of dabigatran etexilate is influenced by gastric pH as affected by proton pump inhibitors, food, the postoperative state, and also by drugs that inhibit or induce activity of the cell efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp). Dabigatran etexilate has a low aqueous solubility that is further reduced by increased pH, as is observed in patients taking the gastric proton pump inhibitor pantoprazole. Twice-daily pretreatment with 40 mg pantoprazole for 48 h in a crossover study reduced geometric mean levels of Cmax and AUC after 150 mg dabigatran etexilate by 40% and by 32% in healthy male volunteers aged 18 to 55 years. Bioavailability (steady-state AUC) was also reduced by 20% to 40% in a parallel group study in which older volunteers, aged ≥65 years, took 40 mg pantoprazole with 150 mg dabigatran etexilate bid for 6 days; pantoprazole raised the gastric pH from 2.2 to 5.9, and pH correlated with AUC. Small corresponding changes in Cmax, ECT, and aPTT were not believed to have clinical importance.

Taking 150 mg dabigatran etexilate after a high-fat, high-calorie breakfast prolonged the time taken to reach Cmax from 2 h to 4 h in the crossover study described above, although Cmax and total drug exposure remained unchanged. Absorption of doses taken 4 to 8 h after a hip replacement was slowed and reduced, compared with 2 to 10 days later, such that time to reach the peak plasma concentration was delayed to 6 h and both Cmax and AUC were greatly diminished (Table 3); the changes were attributed to early effects of surgery on GI motility and gastric acidity.

2.3.2 Other Drug-Drug Interactions: Important drug-drug interactions most often result from changes in drug metabolism that are due to induction or inhibition of CYP3A4 and other enzymes of the microsomal cytochrome P450 complex or from changes in drug bioavailability mediated by the adenosine diphosphate-dependent cell efflux transporter, P-glycoprotein (P-gp). Potential drug interactions with dabigatran etexilate have been explored in studies in which volunteers received dabigatran etexilate together with drugs known to provoke such mechanisms. Many drugs may interact through more than one pathway.

Because cytochrome P450 enzymes have almost no role in the metabolism of dabigatran and are not affected by dabigatran in vitro, this becomes an unlikely mechanism for drug-drug interactions, and volunteer studies confirm the lack of a clinically important interaction with atorvastatin (a substrate for CYP3A4 and substrate/inhibitor of P-gp) and diclofenac (a substrate for CYP2C9 and uridine.
glucurononyltransferase 2b7, and also a substrate and weak inhibitor of UGT1A).\textsuperscript{367} When 22 volunteers aged 43 ± 15 years took 80 mg atorvastatin together with 150 mg dabigatran bid for 4 days in an open-label crossover study, the steady-state AUC of dabigatran was reduced by 18%, whereas the Cmax and AUC of atorvastatin increased by 15% and 23%.\textsuperscript{375} Cmax and AUC of dabigatran remained unchanged in a similar study of 24 volunteers aged 18 to 55 years who took one 50-mg dose of diclofenac after 4 days of bid dosing with 150 mg dabigatran etexilate, whereas Cmax of diclofenac and its main metabolite decreased by 11% to 17%.\textsuperscript{376} The changes were believed to be small and clinically unimportant.

In vitro studies find that dabigatran etexilate (but not dabigatran) is a substrate for P-glycoprotein (P-gp, MDRI) with a medium affinity when tested using the Caco-2 cell-line, which makes it a potential target for P-gp-related drug interactions.\textsuperscript{377} The bioavailability of P-gp substrates like dabigatran may be raised or reduced through inhibition or induction of P-gp: the P-gp inhibitors include amiodarone, verapamil, ketoconazole, quinidine, and clarithromycin, whereas P-gp inducers include rifampicin and St. John’s wort (Hypericum perforatum).\textsuperscript{377}

In formal interaction studies with amiodarone, a first dose of 600 mg raised the AUC and Cmax of dabigatran by about 50% and 60%, an interaction that may persist for some weeks after stopping amiodarone due to the long half-life of this drug.\textsuperscript{373} The effects of verapamil depend on its dosing schedule and drug formulation.\textsuperscript{373} The first dose of an immediate-release formulation, when given 1 hour before 150 mg dabigatran etexilate, increased the Cmax and AUC of dabigatran by about 180% and 150%, but these elevations were reduced to about 60% and 50% after repeated dosing and to about 90% and 70% when taking an extended-release formulation. The interaction became negligible (increases of 10% in Cmax and 20% for AUC) if verapamil was taken >2 h after dabigatran etexilate when dabigatran absorption was essentially complete. Twice-daily coadministration of 500 mg clarithromycin increased the AUC and Cmax of dabigatran by about 19% and 15%, respectively.

The strong P-glycoprotein inhibitors quinidine and ketoconazole are contraindicated when taking dabigatran etexilate because they markedly increase exposure to dabigatran.\textsuperscript{373} The approved product information advises caution when considering coadministration of strong P-gp inducers like rifampicin or St. John’s wort, which may significantly decrease the Cmax and AUC.\textsuperscript{373}

The P-gp substrate digoxin is used to probe P-gp mediated drug-drug interactions. After 4 days of dosing with once-daily digoxin plus bid 150 mg dabigatran etexilate, there was little effect on the pharmacokinetics of either drug in a three-way crossover study of 23 healthy volunteers aged 18 to 65 years.\textsuperscript{378}

Drug interactions that may change the Cmax and AUC of dabigatran have not been correlated with clinical outcomes. Very large increases of Cmax and AUC, like those of quinidine and systemic ketoconazole, are likely to raise the bleeding risk. Moderate increases, like those provoked by amiodarone or verapamil, may become important if combined with old age or reduced renal clearance. The concern about strong P-gp inducers like rifampicin is their potential to decrease drug exposure and therefore reduce efficacy.

### 2.3.3 Dabigatran Etxilate and Antiplatelet Drugs

The added bleeding risk when platelet function inhibitors like aspirin and clopidogrel are taken during anticoagulant therapy is compounded for aspirin and other nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs by the increased likelihood of peptic ulceration due to interference with prostaglandin-mediated cytoprotection of the gastrointestinal mucosa.\textsuperscript{379} These mechanisms are independent from any pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions (none was demonstrated between dabigatran etexilate and diclofenac).\textsuperscript{378} Aspirin increased the bleeding rate when added to ximelagatran in patients with AF and to warfarin in patients with AF, a prosthetic heart valve, coronary artery disease, or peripheral vascular disease.\textsuperscript{82,317,380}

The added effects on bleeding when aspirin is combined with dabigatran etexilate were explored in the Prevention of Embolic and Thrombotic Events in Patients With Persistent Atrial Fibrillation (PETRO) trial, a phase 2, parallel-group, randomized, dose-ranging safety study in 502 patients with nonvalvular AF who also had coronary artery disease and/or one or more other risk factors for systemic embolism. The patients received 12 weeks of treatment with open-label warfarin alone (target INR 2-3) or with blinded 50 mg, 150 mg, or 300 mg dabigatran etexilate bid plus daily aspirin (81 mg or 325 mg) or a placebo, using a 3 × 3 factorial design to allocate study treatments to patient groups of unequal size. Aspirin increased the chances of major or clinically significant nonmajor bleeding in patients given a supra-therapeutic dabigatran dose of 300 mg bid, in whom the bleeding rate was 20% with an aspirin dose of 325 mg/d (six of 30), 14.7% if the dose was 81 mg/d (five of 34), and 5.7% (six of 105) in patients given the aspirin placebo. The trends reached statistical significance when the two aspirin groups were pooled. Bleeding risk was not apparently raised when aspirin was added to 50-mg or 150-mg doses of dabigatran, but the sample size was too small to exclude clinically important effects.\textsuperscript{372}
Low doses of aspirin (≤ 100 mg/d) were permitted in the Randomized Evaluation of Long-term Anticoagulant Therapy (RE-LY) (nonvalvular AF) and Dabigatran in the Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism (RE-MEDY) (VTE) phase 3 studies of dabigatran etexilate, which compared bid doses of 110 mg and/or 150 mg with warfarin, but subgroup analyses of bleeding risk are not yet available.\textsuperscript{381,382} Product information recommends against the combination of dabigatran etexilate with clopidogrel and other thienopyridines, given alone or as dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin.

2.4 Antithrombotic Effects: A number of recent phase III clinical trials have evaluated the use of dabigatran etexilate for the prevention and treatment of VTE and to prevent systemic embolism in nonvalvular AF. A phase II study explored its dose-response in acute coronary syndromes.

The efficacy and safety of anticoagulant prophylaxis for VTE depends in any given patient population on the dose, timing, duration, and choice of drug therapy (ie, on the treatment regimen as well as the therapeutic agent). The VTE prevention trials of dabigatran etexilate have evaluated two once-daily dabigatran dosing regimens in patients having elective hip or knee arthroplasty: 150 mg/d or 220 mg/d, beginning soon after surgery with a half-dose of 75 mg or 110 mg. In addition, these two dabigatran regimens were compared with one of two standard enoxaparin prophylaxis regimens: 40 mg/d starting on the evening before surgery, or 30 mg bid starting 12 to 24 h after surgery (worldwide, these are the low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis regimens most widely used for joint surgery; both are accepted as standard clinical practice). Two of the trials compared both of these dabigatran regimens with the 40 mg/d schedule of enoxaparin in hip or knee arthroplasty.\textsuperscript{383,384} one compared both of the dabigatran regimens with 30 mg enoxaparin bid after knee arthroplasty,\textsuperscript{385} and one compared only the 220 mg/d dabigatran etexilate regimen with enoxaparin 40 mg/d in hip arthroplasty.\textsuperscript{386}

In all prophylaxis studies, the primary measure of treatment effectiveness was the rate of “total VTE” (a composite of subclinical deep leg vein thrombosis or PE (the RE-COVER trial) in which study treatment began with at least 5 days of an approved anticoagulant (predominantly unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin) plus daily warfarin placebo or warfarin.\textsuperscript{382} This study design is unlike that of other (ongoing) evaluations of new oral anticoagulants for VTE, in which the new oral anticoagulant is given alone from the initiation of therapy. The primary measure of efficacy was the incidence of symptomatic and confirmed nonfatal recurrence, or death related to VTE. Randomization in RE-COVER was stratiﬁed for a clinical presentation with symptomatic PE (31% of the total) and subgroup analysis suggests this presentation had little or no effect on the relative efficacy of dabigatran. Masking was preserved by using coded POC machines to generate a real or sham INR. Once this exceeded 2.0 for 2 consecutive days, they received dabigatran etexilate 150 mg or its placebo bid (ie, they continued warfarin or started dabigatran). Hence, all patients received at least 5 days of initial treatment with a heparin. However, the median total duration of parenteral therapy before starting dabigatran was 9 days in both of the RE-COVER study groups, which seems longer than the usual clinical practice. INR was within its targeted range of 2.0 to 3.0 for 60% of study time in the warfarin-treated patients.

VKAs are highly effective in preventing embolic stroke from AF, achieving risk reductions of almost 70% when compared with placebo and about 50% when compared with aspirin\textsuperscript{387}; their most feared complication is intracranial bleeding, with an added
risk of approximately 0.2% per annum during ongoing warfarin treatment.³⁸⁸

Dabigatran dosing regimens of 110 mg and 150 mg bid were compared double-blind with open-label warfarin (target INR, 2.0-3.0) in the RE-LY trial where patients with nonvalvular AF received study treatment of at least 1 year and median of 2 years, and the primary study outcome was ischemic stroke or systemic embolism.³⁸¹ On average, the INR in patients taking warfarin was within its target range of 2.0 to 3.0 for 64% of study time. Both efficacy and bleeding risk of dabigatran etexilate depended on the dose. Annual rates of ischemic stroke or embolism were 1.69% with warfarin, 1.53% with 110 mg dabigatran bid (noninferior), and 1.11% with 150 mg dabigatran (P < .001 relative to warfarin, P = .005 relative to 110 mg dabigatran). The annual incidence of major bleeding was similar, with 150 mg dabigatran and warfarin, but significantly less with 110 mg dabigatran (see section 2.6 “Practical Issues Related to Initiation and Maintenance”). A striking result was the reduced frequency of hemorrhagic stroke with dabigatran compared with warfarin (P < .001), regardless of dabigatran dose.

2.5 Monitoring Anticoagulant Intensity

Ex vivo effects on laboratory tests after taking dabigatran etexilate were summarized in section 2.2 “Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics.” Simple widely used coagulation tests have limitations for measuring dabigatran effect: the PT and aPTT are relatively insensitive, the relation between aPTT and dabigatran concentration is nonlinear, and the usual form of TCT is oversensitive. Most promising is the ECT, which has a linear dose-response throughout the range of concentrations expected during prophylaxis or therapy; however, this test is not readily available.³⁶⁰

There is no evidence relevant to the possible clinical benefits from laboratory testing, since the phase 3 studies evaluated fixed doses and their reports have not examined clinical outcomes in relation to drug levels or clotting test results. The intent has been to recommend standard doses for most patients; although first principles suggest that laboratory-assisted dose adjustment of this mainly renally excreted drug could add clinical value in selected populations, as in elderly subjects with reduced renal function,³⁸⁹ it seems unlikely that routine monitoring would yield any wide clinical benefit.³⁸⁹ Perhaps the most likely role for laboratory testing may be in treated patients who bleed or develop thrombosis, need an acute invasive procedure, or could have taken an overdose. In the setting of major bleeding, or if urgent or emergent surgery is required, a normal TCT rules out the presence of dabigatran. TCT tests are available routinely in many laboratories.

2.6 Practical Issues Related to Initiation and Maintenance

Unlike other new oral anticoagulants, dabigatran etexilate offers a choice between higher and lower dosing regimens, since the schedules evaluated in phase III clinical studies to date were 150 mg and 220 mg once daily when used to prevent postoperative VTE, 150 mg bid for the treatment of VTE, and 110 mg and 150 mg bid in patients with AF.

Dose was important during long-term therapy of patients with AF, in whom 150 mg bid was superior to warfarin and 110 mg bid was noninferior, whereas the rates of major bleeding were similar with 150 mg dabigatran and warfarin but significantly less with 110 mg dabigatran. However, only the 150-mg dose was approved in the United States, whereas in Canada both doses are approved. By contrast, there was less apparent effect of prophylactic dosing level on efficacy or the likelihood of surgical bleeding after major joint replacement, when compared with 40 mg/d enoxaparin.

Subgroup analyses of large clinical studies have provided little evidence to date for clinically important effects of age, gender, or renal function on efficacy or safety within any dosing level. Without such information, the choice between available dosing regimens must rest on individual decisions about the preferred balance between efficacy and bleeding risk and on extrapolations about that risk from pharmacokinetics of this predominantly renally excreted drug. For example, a lower dose may be preferred for elderly patients with a mild to moderate decrease of renal function. In addition, the choice will be influenced by known drug interactions (see section 2.3 “Interactions”).

At this time, the only product information approved by European Medicines Agency (EMA) and other regulators is for prophylactic dosing with dabigatran etexilate. Based on clinical study results and pharmacokinetic evidence, EMA recommends a standard daily dose of 220 mg, reduced to 150 mg if patients are aged > 75 years, have a creatinine clearance between 30 and 50 mL/min, or need treatment with verapamil or amiodarone. Ensuring compliance with continued dosing will be a concern for dabigatran etexilate, as it is with any chronic therapy that does not require repeated measurement of drug effect.

2.7 Adverse Events

2.7.1 Bleeding Events: The major potential hazard from dabigatran etexilate is bleeding. After an
elective hip or knee replacement, the risks of major bleeding were small during VTE prophylaxis with dabigatran etexilate and similar to those with prophylactic enoxaparin. The rates were 0.6% to 1.3% in patients given 150 mg/d and 0.6% to 2.0% when the dose was 220 mg/d. These compared with 1.3% to 1.6% with 40 mg/d of enoxaparin and 1.4% with 30 mg of enoxaparin given bid. About 90% of the bleeding events were related to the surgical wound.

Bleeding risk during 6 months of treatment after VTE was less with 150 mg dabigatran bid than with warfarin (target INR, 2.0-3.0). The 6-month rate of “any bleeding” was reduced from 21.9% with warfarin to 16.1% (relative risk, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.59-0.85), and that of “major or clinically relevant but non-major” bleeding was diminished from 8.8% to 5.6% (relative risk, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.47-0.84; P = .002). Major bleeding was infrequent (1.9% with warfarin and 1.6% with dabigatran).381

As indicated earlier, the bleeding risk was dose dependent in the RE-LY study of patients with AF: the annual incidence of major bleeding was 3.4% in patients taking warfarin, 3.1% with 150 mg bid dabigatran (relative risk, 0.93; P = .31), and 2.7% if the dose was 110 mg (relative risk, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69-0.93; P = .003); the P value of the difference between dabigatran dosing groups almost reached the conventional level for statistical significance (P = .052). Both dabigatran regimens reduced the likelihood of intracranial bleeding by more than one-half compared with warfarin (annual incidence was 0.74% with warfarin, 0.30% with 150 mg dabigatran [P < .001] and 0.23% with 110 mg dabigatran [P < .001]), whereas annual rates of major extracranial bleeding were little different between the study groups.381

Dabigatran etexilate does cause a dose-dependent increase in the incidence of major GI bleeding. This was a statistically significant effect in the RE-LY study; annual rates were 1.02% with warfarin, 1.51% with 150 mg dabigatran (P < .001 compared with warfarin), and 1.12% with 110 mg dabigatran.381 An excess risk was also reported in the RE-COVER trial, in which more patients treated with bid 150 mg dabigatran etexilate had GI bleeding (53 events vs 35 with warfarin).382

2.7.2 Nonhemorrhagic Adverse Events: Dyspepsia is a consistently reported adverse effect of dabigatran etexilate. In the RE-LY study, in which warfarin was open label, 11.8% and 11.3% of patients given 110 mg or 150 mg dabigatran complained of dyspepsia compared with 5.8% of patients taking warfarin.381 Fewer patients reported dyspepsia in the wholly double-blind RE-COVER trial: 2.9% with 150 mg dabigatran bid, and 0.6% with warfarin (P < .001).382

It is essential to exclude liver toxicity when evaluating new oral anticoagulants, especially because the first orally available direct thrombin inhibitor (ximelagatran) caused unacceptable hepatic toxicity that remains unexplained. About 0.5% of the patients treated with ximelagatran for >35 days developed laboratory evidence of severe liver injury; a greater than threefold elevation of serum alanine transaminase level plus a greater than twofold increase in bilirubin concentration, compared with upper normal limits.355,391 In one 35-day VTE prevention study, severe hepatic injury with onset after the end of drug exposure was not predicted by regular liver enzyme monitoring during therapy.392 It has been estimated that ximelagatran may have caused or contributed to fatal liver damage in about 1 in 2,000 patients.355 No dabigatran study to date has recorded a disproportionately increase of liver enzyme levels or clinically significant liver disease as an adverse effect.

In some ximelagatran studies, short- or long-term treatment was also associated with trends or a statistically significant excess of adverse events related to coronary artery disease.355 This raised concerns that other new oral anticoagulants might increase the risk from acute cardiac events. Adjudicated coronary events (unstable angina, myocardial infarction, cardiac death) were rare and evenly distributed between study groups in the orthopedic surgery studies of dabigatran etexilate and in the RE-COVER trial, but the RE-LY trial reported an excess of myocardial infarction among the patients treated with dabigatran. The relative risks, compared with warfarin, were 1.35 (P = .07) with 110 mg and 1.38 (P = .048) with 150 mg dabigatran (the corresponding absolute risks were 0.53%, 0.72%, and 0.74% per annum).381 There are no ready explanations (although indirect evidence of platelet activation by dabigatran was reported from the PETRO study).372

2.8 Reversal of Drug Effect

There is insufficient clinical experience to firmly guide the management of major bleeding, suspected overdose, urgently needed surgery, or urgent invasive diagnostic or therapeutic procedures in patients who are taking this new drug. Pharmacokinetic modeling does, however, indicate how long it takes for drug effects to dissipate after stopping dabigatran etexilate before an elective intervention, although conclusions about the time taken before a return to normal hemostasis remain tentative pending well-documented information about clinical outcomes.

The half-life of dabigatran suggests that drug levels and drug effects should decrease by about 50% at 12 to 18 h after the most recent dose, and the trough levels to 25% of their previous steady state by 24 h
after stopping dabigatran etexilate, so long as creatinine clearance exceeds 50 mL/min. The level at which it is safe to undertake surgery or an invasive procedure is unknown. Moderately severe renal dysfunction (creatinine clearance of 30-50 mL/min) extends the half-life to about 18 h, in which case, or if the surgical bleeding risk is critically high (as with intracranial surgery), it may be better to delay elective procedures until 2 to 4 days after stopping the drug. Measuring the TCT or aPTT should help to estimate the residual level of dabigatran.369

In addition to immediately stopping drug administration, the clinical management of major bleeding would require early volume and RBC replacement, urgent assessment for cause, and any local measures that may be required until the bleeding stops (pressure, cautery, suture, or other interventions). It is believed that maintaining an adequate diuresis could help to protect the renal excretion of dabigatran. Although product information for dabigatran etexilate mentions the use of fresh frozen plasma to help control bleeding, this seems unlikely to influence the drug effects. Thus plasma should only be administered in the setting of a documented dilutional coagulopathy.

Dabigatran has no antidote, and the management of life-threatening bleeding remains empirical. Indirect evidence from animal models and in vitro studies suggests that recombinant factor VIIa or a prothrombin complex concentrate may bypass the anticoagulant effects of high dabigatran concentrations.369 It may also be relevant that hemodialysis removed 62% of circulating dabigatran within 2 h and 68% within 4 h in an open-label study of 12 subjects with end-stage renal failure who received 50 mg dabigatran etexilate.369,370 In vitro mixing experiments suggest that early administration of activated charcoal might reduce the absorption of dabigatran etexilate.369

3.0 DIRECT FACTOR XA INHIBITORS: RIVAROXABAN

Rivaroxaban is a direct factor Xa inhibitor and is currently approved in many countries, including the United States, for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement surgery. The drug is undergoing an extensive clinical development program in other clinical settings, including the treatment of VTE and the prevention of acute ischemic stroke in patients with AF. In phase III clinical trials, rivaroxaban was found to be more effective than the low-molecular-weight heparin enoxaparin in preventing VTE after total hip or knee replacement surgery383-386 and more effective than placebo when given to patients with previous DVT or PE after an initial 6- to 12-month course of standard oral anticoagulant treatment. The doses evaluated were 10 mg once daily in major orthopedic surgery and 20 mg once daily in the long-term secondary prevention of VTE.

3.1 Pharmacology

Rivaroxaban is a selective and competitive active-site-directed, reversible factor Xa inhibitor with selectivity for factor Xa that is > 10,000-fold that for other trypsin-like serine proteases.397 Rivaroxaban acts through electrostatic interaction with Asp189 in the S1 pocket of factor Xa. This interaction involves the chlorine substituent of the chlorothiophene moiety, which interacts with the aromatic ring of Tyr228 at the bottom of the S1 pocket.398 Because rivaroxaban is a nonbasic, small molecule (the molecular weight is 436 g/mol) it can inhibit not only free factor Xa but also prothrombinase complex and clot-associated factor Xa.399,400 This range of activities is unique to small, direct inhibitors because the factor Xa incorporated in the prothrombinase complex is protected from inhibition by antithrombin and by antithrombin-dependent anticoagulants.

Rivaroxaban is minimally soluble in organic solvents and nearly insoluble in water.398 Binding to plasma proteins, mainly albumin, ranges between 92% and 95%.398 Rivaroxaban is metabolized via oxidative and hydrolytic pathways involving different classes of enzymes. In humans, CYP3A4 and CYP2J2 are the two enzymes responsible for its oxidative metabolism and contribute to a similar extent.401 About 66% of rivaroxaban is excreted via the kidneys (36% unchanged), and 28% is excreted in the feces (7% unchanged).

Inhibition of factor Xa activity by rivaroxaban is highly dependent on the concentration of the drug. Rivaroxaban induces prolongation of the PT, aPTT, and heparin clotting time, among other tests.399,402 On the other hand, rivaroxaban does not affect the bleeding time or platelet aggregation.399,403 Animal models demonstrated dose-dependent reduction of thrombus formation by rivaroxaban.399

3.2 Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics

In healthy men aged 19 to 45 years, single doses of rivaroxaban administered after a fasting period of 10 h produced a median inhibition of factor Xa activity that ranged from 20% with the 5-mg dose to 61% with the maximum dose of 80 mg.404 No significant inhibition was observed with doses of <5 mg. The maximum inhibition of factor Xa activity occurred between 1 and 4 h after drug administration, and the half-life of the biologic effect was 6 to 7 h. Factor Xa activities did not return to normal until after 24 h, when doses >5 mg were administered.404 The effect on PT prolongation had a similar profile, as did effects
approximately 4 to 6 h on the first day and of approximately 6 to 9 h on the last day of treatment. The correlation between plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban and inhibition of factor Xa activity or PT prolongation was linear, with an $r$ of 0.950 and 0.958, respectively. Data from this study were later used for population modeling that would predict the behavior of the drug in patients. Results of this model showed that pharmacokinetics of rivaroxaban were linear and dose proportional up to the 30-mg dose and confirmed a strong linear correlation between plasma concentration and pharmacodynamic parameters.

The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban were also measured in a population of healthy elderly subjects and in patients with an extremely low or extremely high body weight (Table 4). In the first study, healthy subjects aged ≥60 years received daily doses ranging between 30 mg and 50 mg after a standard breakfast. It was intended to assess even higher doses of up to 80 mg, but dose escalation was stopped prematurely because of an apparent ceiling effect in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic variables. Maximum inhibition of factor Xa activity ranged from 68.4% after the 30-mg dose to 75.3% and 74.5% after the doses of 40 mg and 50 mg, respectively, and occurred 2 to 4 h after administration. No gender differences were observed. PT prolongation was also greater with the 40-mg dose than with the 30-mg dose, and was not different between the two highest doses, with a maximum effect 2 to 3 h after drug administration. Similar profiles were documented for the aPTT and the HEPTEST. Maximum concentration of rivaroxaban was reached after 4 h in all dose groups, and the half-life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4—[Section 3.2] Pharmacokinetic Characteristics of Rivaroxaban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population and Dosage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy adults&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg tid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mg bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mg single dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mg single dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-80 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 120 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as geometric mean (geometric coefficient of variation). AUCr = AUC during a dosage interval at steady state. See Table 3 for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup> Multiple doses.

<sup>b</sup> 10 mg single dose.
ranged between 12 and 13 h (Table 4). Excretion via the kidneys was similar among the dosing groups; although women had higher drug concentrations than men after the 30-mg and 40-mg doses, the authors considered that none of the pharmacokinetic parameters showed clinically significant differences between females and males. Finally, the slopes of correlations between plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban and clotting tests were similar in this population of healthy elderly subjects and the population of healthy young men enrolled in a previous study.407 Other studies have subsequently confirmed the absence of gender-based differences in the pharmacokinetics of rivaroxaban.409 Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban in young and elderly Chinese men and women are comparable to those previously reported in studies of healthy whites.410,411

The effects of extreme body weight on a single 10-mg daily dose of rivaroxaban were assessed in a study carried out in men and women aged 18 to 55 years weighing ≤ 50 kg, between 70 and 80 kg, or > 120 kg (Table 4).408 Peak plasma concentrations were higher in the low body weight group than in the other two groups, with a Cmax value that was significantly increased by 24% as compared with the normal body weight group. On the other hand, there was no difference in plasma concentrations between subjects with a normal or high body weight, and the AUC was similar among all three groups. The half-life of rivaroxaban was increased by 2 h in the low body weight group. Inhibition of factor Xa activity was similar in the three groups, with a maximum effect that occurred after 3 to 4 h and was slightly lower in the group of patients weighing > 120 kg than in the other two groups. The maximum prolongation of PT, aPTT, and the HEPTEST decreased significantly with increasing body weight, although overall all these changes were small.408

Finally, to define the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban in a population of patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery, blood samples were obtained from patients enrolled in phase II randomized trials.412,413 The first study analyzed data from two trials that assessed bid doses of rivaroxaban ranging from 2.5 mg to 30 mg administered at meal-times.412 Exclusion criteria from these trials were body weight < 45 kg, creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min, and severely impaired hepatic function. The authors found substantial variability in the pharmacokinetics of rivaroxaban on the first postoperative day for all doses, possibly attributed to the presence of “slow” and “fast” absorbers. Overall, absorption was fast, with a Cmax within 1 to 2 h. Absorption status was unrelated to gender, food, anesthesia, or the use of conmedications. The variability at steady state was only moderate, and the increase of exposure was dose dependent. Between 14% and 31% of rivaroxaban was excreted unchanged via the kidneys. The clearance of the drug was consistently affected only by renal function, with a creatinine clearance of 30 mL/min resulting in a 15% to 35% higher drug exposure in these two trials.413 Based on the results of subsequent simulations of various scenarios for patients with different extremes of covariates, it was estimated that plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban would have exceeded the 90% CI of the average patient only in a 90-year-old patient weighing 30 kg, suggesting that one dose of the drug could be administered to all patients regardless of their age, gender, and body weight. The second study compared data from two phase II clinical trials, both carried out in patients undergoing total hip replacement surgery, one assessing bid doses and the other assessing single daily doses.413 Overall, the pharmacokinetics were similar after once-daily or bid dosing, since only the steady-state area under the plasma concentration-time curve over 24 h was higher with once-daily doses than with bid doses413 (Table S4). PT prolongation strongly correlated with plasma concentrations when rivaroxaban was given once daily or bid.413 Simulations of plasma concentration expected after a 10-mg once-daily dose in model subjects with extreme demographic characteristics showed that plasma concentration-time profiles would fall within the predicted 90% CI of the average patient.

3.3 Interactions

3.3.1 Drugs: In humans, CYP3A4 plays a pivotal role in the oxidative metabolism of rivaroxaban.401 Drugs that inhibit or induce CYP3A4 have the potential to interact with rivaroxaban. However, only drugs that act as strong inhibitors of both CYP3A4 and of P-glycoprotein, a transporter protein of which rivaroxaban is a substrate, have been shown to cause important reduction of the clearance of the drug, thus provoking a significant increase in plasma concentrations. These drugs include azole antifungics and HIV protease inhibitors. The concomitant administration of rivaroxaban with ketoconazole 400 mg once daily or with ritonavir 600 mg bid resulted in an approximately 2.5-fold increase in the mean AUC and 1.7-fold increase in the mean Cmax of rivaroxaban, together with significantly increased effects on clotting tests.414 Thus, the use of rivaroxaban in patients receiving ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole or any HIV protease inhibitor is currently not recommended.414 Rivaroxaban should be used with caution when given together with other drugs that strongly inhibit only CYP3A4 or only P-glycoprotein. These drugs include clarithromycin, which at the dose of 500 mg bid leads to a
1.3-fold increase in the mean AUC and to a 1.4-fold increase in the Cmax of the drug, and erythromycin, which at the dose of 500 mg three times daily causes a 1.3-fold increase in both the AUC and the Cmax. On the other hand, reduced plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban can occur when strong CYP3A4 inducers are coadministered. These include rifampicin (which causes a 50% decrease in the AUC), phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital, or St. John’s wort. The concomitant administration of rivaroxaban with substrates of either CYP3A4 or P-glycoprotein, such as atorvastatin, digoxin, or midazolam, did not result in clinically relevant interactions.

The concomitant administration of rivaroxaban and aspirin was tested in a randomized, two-way crossover study. Healthy men aged between 18 and 55 years were randomized to receive aspirin alone at the dose of 500 mg on the first day and 100 mg on the second day, rivaroxaban alone at the dose of 15 mg, or aspirin and rivaroxaban at the same dosages. Patients receiving rivaroxaban alone were subsequently treated with rivaroxaban and aspirin and vice versa. Maximum levels of inhibition of factor Xa activity and maximum prolongation of the PT, aPTT, and the HEPTEST were similar in patients treated with rivaroxaban alone and in patients treated with rivaroxaban plus aspirin. Collagen-stimulated platelet aggregation was inhibited in the aspirin-alone group and in the aspirin plus rivaroxaban group but not in the rivaroxaban-alone group. Inhibition of platelet aggregation with aspirin was 89.3% greater than with rivaroxaban alone, and with aspirin plus rivaroxaban it was 97.4% greater than with rivaroxaban alone. Bleeding time was not affected by rivaroxaban alone, but was prolonged to 1.46 times the baseline by aspirin alone and to 1.96 times the baseline by adding aspirin to rivaroxaban. The combination of aspirin with rivaroxaban prolonged bleeding time more than aspirin alone. Pharmacokinetic parameters of rivaroxaban were not altered by the coadministration of aspirin.

The concomitant administration of rivaroxaban and a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug was also tested in a randomized two-way crossover study. Healthy men aged between 18 and 45 years were randomized to receive aspirin alone at 15 mg of rivaroxaban plus naproxen 500 mg. After 14 days all subjects crossed over. Maximum inhibition of factor Xa activity and maximum prolongations of the PT and aPTT, and HEPTEST were similar between the two groups. Bleeding time was significantly increased by the combined use of rivaroxaban and naproxen as compared with rivaroxaban alone. Plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban were slightly increased, by 10% for both the AUC and the Cmax after the coadministration of naproxen. Finally, the concomitant administration of drugs that alter the gastric pH, such as the histamine H2 antagonist ranitidine or the antacid aluminum-magnesium hydroxide, had no effect on the plasma concentrations or pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban.

3.3.3 Environmental Factors: The effect of food on the absorption of rivaroxaban was tested in healthy male subjects aged 18 to 45 years. After a single dose of 5 mg, the absorption of rivaroxaban was found to be slower in the fed state than the fasting state, with a delay in the median time to reach the peak plasma concentration from 2.75 h to 4.0 h. The AUC and the Cmax both increased with the concomitant administration of food, by 28% and 41%, whereas the terminal half-life remained unchanged. The fed state increased the time to maximum prolongation of the PT and maximum inhibition of factor Xa activity and also the maximum effect on these clotting tests. Thus, absorption of rivaroxaban was moderately increased after the administration of food, with a resulting increase in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters. In addition, concomitant food intake reduced interindividual variability, whereas elimination remained unchanged. No pharmacokinetic differences were documented when high-fat, high-calorie meals were compared with high-carbohydrate meals. These food effects have been attributed to a prolonged length of stay in the stomach that is possibly related to the lipophilicity and limited aqueous solubility of the drug.

3.4 Antithrombotic Effect

Rivaroxaban acts as a competitive inhibitor of the amidolytic activity of factor Xa. In vitro, the inhibitory effect is concentration dependent, with an inhibitory constant against factor Xa of 0.4 ± 0.02 nM. The selectivity of rivaroxaban for factor Xa is 10,000-fold greater than for other serine proteases, including factor Va, factor IXa, factor XIa, thrombin, and activated protein C. The onset of action is rapid and reversible, as shown by the kinetic association rate constant of 1.7 × 10^7 mol/L·s⁻¹ and kinetic dissociation rate constant of 5 × 10⁻³/s⁻¹. The inhibitory effect is maintained when factor Xa is complexed with factor Va and Ca²⁺ on a phospholipid membrane (prothrombinase bound) as shown by a concentration-dependent inhibition of thrombin generation and also when factor Xa is bound to the clot.

In plasma, endogenous human factor Xa is inhibited by rivaroxaban to cause dose-dependent prolongation of both the PT and aPTT, with the PT being more sensitive than the aPTT. In whole blood and in platelet-rich plasma, rivaroxaban has a dose-dependent effect in prolonging the initiation phase of thrombin generation after activation of the tissue.
factor pathway, reduces the maximum concentration of generated thrombin, and decreases the endogenous thrombin potential.\textsuperscript{400} The effect on the initiation and propagation phases of thrombin generation is greater than the effect on the decay phase, expressed by the endogenous thrombin potential. High concentrations of rivaroxaban are able to prevent thrombin generation almost completely, due to inhibition of factor Xa bound to the prothrombinase complex.\textsuperscript{400} The effect of rivaroxaban on platelet-induced thrombin generation in vivo was tested in a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study of 12 healthy male subjects aged 27 to 37 years.\textsuperscript{397} The prothrombinase-induced clotting time, a plasma clotting assay based on the activation of coagulation using factor Xa, phospholipids, and an enzyme that activates factor V, was prolonged dose dependently by rivaroxaban, with a maximum effect after 2 h. Thrombin generation in platelet-rich plasma was markedly reduced by rivaroxaban, with an 80% to 90% decrease (by 5-mg and 30-mg doses, respectively) of the peak collagen-induced endogenous thrombin potential at 2 h. There was a close correlation between plasma concentration of rivaroxaban and its effects on factor Xa activity inhibition, prothrombinase-induced clotting time prolongation, and endogenous thrombin potential reduction.\textsuperscript{397}

Rivaroxaban has no effects on platelet aggregation induced by collagen, adenosine diphosphate, or thrombin.\textsuperscript{403,421,422} An indirect effect on platelet aggregation induced by tissue factor, determined by the inhibition of thrombin generation, has been reported in defibrinated plasma.\textsuperscript{423}

In venous thrombosis models and in arteriovenous shunt models, rivaroxaban was shown to cause dose-dependent reduction of thrombus formation, inhibition of factor Xa activity, and prolongation of the PT.\textsuperscript{399} The antithrombotic effective doses of rivaroxaban did not prolong bleeding time in animal bleeding models.\textsuperscript{399}

3.5 Monitoring Anticoagulant Intensity

The predictable pharmacologic profile of rivaroxaban allows the administration of the drug at fixed doses without the need for routine laboratory monitoring or dose adjustments. However, there may be rare situations, as in the case of overdose or unexpected bleeding, assessment of compliance, evaluation of drug interactions, or assessment of drug accumulation in renal or hepatic impairment, when the availability of a quantitative clotting assay might be valuable. Despite the predictable, dose-dependent effects of rivaroxaban on the PT and (to a lesser extent) the aPTT, and on tests measuring thrombin generation, there are currently no validated labora-

tory assays that can be recommended to monitor rivaroxaban or any recommendations for dose adjustments based on observed test results. For instance, the thromboplastins used for PT clotting assays have differing sensitivities to factor Xa inhibitors, and the INR introduced to correct for differences in PT sensitivity when monitoring the VKAs does not adequately correct for differences in assay sensitivity to direct factor Xa inhibitors. Smith and Morisse\textsuperscript{y424} evaluated the effects of five commercial thromboplastin reagents on sensitivity of the PT to rivaroxaban and its correlation with the INR. PT ratios (ie, PT with drug/PT without drug) were measured using normal human plasma to which rivaroxaban 1 μg/mL was added in vitro. PT ratios varied from 2.25 to 7.32 with the different thromboplastins; subsequent conversion to an INR further exacerbated the observed differences in sensitivities to rivaroxaban between the various PT assays.

Recently, Samama et al\textsuperscript{402} carried out a study that aimed to identify a clotting test suitable for monitoring rivaroxaban activity by evaluating the effects on a number of different assays of increasing the drug concentration in pooled citrated normal human platelet-poor plasma. There was a concentration-dependent prolongation of the PT and aPTT, but the increases in clotting times varied depending on the thromboplastin reagent used.\textsuperscript{402} The effect of rivaroxaban on the aPTT was weaker than that on the PT. Rivaroxaban also prolonged the thromboelastograph parameters. Standard methods for the HEPTEST and the prothrombinase-induced clotting time resulted in paradoxical responses. Tests used to measure anti-factor Xa activity of the low-molecular-weight heparins showed dose-dependent effects but were associated with some degrees of variation. Finally, rivaroxaban also caused a dose-dependent increase of the diluted Russell viper venom ratio. Specific calibration of some of these tests may lead to the availability of an appropriate assay to monitor the pharmacodynamic effects of the drug. Neither the PT (expressed either in seconds or as a ratio) nor the aPTT should be used to monitor the anticoagulant effect of rivaroxaban.

3.6 Practical Issues Related to Initiation and Maintenance

Rivaroxaban is currently approved in many countries for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing total hip replacement surgery and total knee replacement surgery based on the results of four phase 3 clinical trials.\textsuperscript{393–396} For this indication, the approved dose is 10 mg once daily. Treatment should be started between 6 and 10 h after surgery, and the duration of treatment should vary from 2 weeks in patients undergoing total knee replacement surgery
to 5 weeks in patients undergoing total hip replacement surgery, although approved durations may vary among countries. Rivaroxaban is currently not approved for use in patients with severe renal failure and a creatinine clearance of <15 mL/min, in patients with hepatic disease associated with coagulopathy, and in patients receiving concomitant systemic treatment with azole-antimycotics or HIV protease inhibitors. Rivaroxaban is also not approved for use in children or adolescents <18 years of age because of the absence of clinical data, in pregnant women because of the potential for reproductive toxicity (observed in animals) and the evidence that the drug passes the placenta, and during breast-feeding because the drug is secreted into milk.

Future additional indications are expected following the results of recently published clinical trials. In particular, more information on the long-term administration of rivaroxaban now originates from studies carried out in patients with VTE or AF in whom the drug was administered for a minimum of 6 months and up to a maximum of 40 months.

To date, the results of a phase III clinical trials assessing the use of rivaroxaban in the treatment of DVT have been published. In the EINSTEIN study, >3,400 patients with acute symptomatic proximal DVT were allocated to rivaroxaban 15 mg bid for 3 weeks followed by 20 mg once daily or initial therapy with enoxaparin (1 mg/kg bid) and simultaneous warfarin administered to a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0. The primary outcome measure was symptomatic recurrent VTE, which occurred in 36 rivaroxaban-treated patients and 51 low-molecular-weight heparin/warfarin-treated patients (HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.44-1.04; \( P < 0.0001 \) for noninferiority). Patients who had completed 6 to 12 months of anticoagulant treatment with either a VKA or rivaroxaban (if also enrolled in the acute-phase rivaroxaban treatment study) after an acute episode of VTE were randomized to receive rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily or matching placebo for additional 6 to 12 months. The study showed superior efficacy of rivaroxaban over placebo in the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolic events, a nonsignificant increase in major bleeding, and an increase in clinically relevant, non-major bleeding events.

More recently, the results of the Rivaroxaban Once Daily Oral Direct Factor Xa inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonist for the Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET) study comparing rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily (15 mg once daily if patients had a creatinine clearance between 30 and 49 mL/min) with warfarin in patients with AF and at least two additional risk factors for embolic events were published. In this randomized, double-blind, controlled trial, rivaroxaban was noninferior to warfarin in the prevention of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism, with a similar rate of major bleeding events but with fewer intracranial and fatal bleeding events.

Other recently completed phase III trials include a study on the prevention of VTE in medical patients (Multicenter, Randomized, Parallel Group Efficacy and Safety Study for the Prevention of VTE in Hospitalized Medically Ill Patients Comparing Rivaroxaban with Enoxaparin [MAGELLAN] study), in which rivaroxaban is administered at the same 10 mg once-daily dose used in the Regulation of Coagulation in Major Orthopedic Surgery Reducing the Risk of DVT and PE (RECORD) program; and the EINSTEIN PE study, in which patients with hemodynamically stable PE are treated for the first 3 weeks with a 15 mg bid dose of rivaroxaban followed by a 20 mg once-daily dose. Finally, a phase III study in patients with acute coronary syndromes is assessing rivaroxaban doses of 2.5 mg and 5.0 mg bid.

3.7 Adverse Events

3.7.1 Bleeding Events: Data on the incidence of bleeding complications with the use of rivaroxaban are currently available from the results of phase II and phase III clinical trials only. As of yet, no published phase IV studies or reports from clinical practice have been published. Reported rates must take into account the definition of bleeding used in each study, given the variability of definitions used among clinical trials assessing different compounds.

The reported rates of any bleeding with rivaroxaban in the four phase III clinical trials carried out in patients undergoing total hip and total knee replacement surgery range from 4.9% to 10.5% and are comparable to the rates observed in patients treated with enoxaparin. Rates of major bleeding events vary from <0.1% to 0.7%, and the rates of clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding events vary from 2.6% to 3.3%, again with no statistically significant differences between the rates observed in the rivaroxaban groups and the rates observed in the comparator groups (ie, the low-molecular-weight heparin enoxaparin in all studies). A pooled analysis of the four RECORD studies reported that the concomitant use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, aspirin, or other antiplatelet agents was not associated with an increased rate of bleeding events.

The rate of any bleeding event in patients with a previous episode of VTE treated with rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily for 6 to 12 months after the initial standard course of anticoagulant therapy was 23%; major bleeding and clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding occurred in 0.7% and 5.4% of patients, respectively.
Nonhemorrhagic Adverse Events: None of the four phase III clinical trials found evidence of drug-associated liver toxicity in patients treated with rivaroxaban for up to 5 weeks. Overall, the proportion of patients with elevated liver enzymes was low in all studies and was similar between rivaroxaban and enoxaparin. The incidence of cardiovascular events was low while on treatment with rivaroxaban, ranging between 0.1% and 0.7%, and was similar to that seen with enoxaparin. Drug-related adverse events occurred in 12% to 20% of patients in the four RECORD trials; these rates were similar to those observed with enoxaparin. The most frequent adverse events were nausea, vomiting, and constipation.

3.8 Reversal

There is currently no specific antidote available to antagonize the effects of rivaroxaban. In case of overdose, the use of activated charcoal to reduce absorption is suggested. Because of the high plasma protein binding, rivaroxaban is unlikely to be dialyzable. In case of active bleeding, possible strategies currently include discontinuation of treatment and administration of blood products or component transfusion if required to treat an identified deficiency. However, there is currently no direct evidence in humans to support the efficacy of blood product transfusion or other interventions in improving hemostasis when patients have received rivaroxaban. Recently, Perzborn et al reported the results of a study carried out in rats treated with high-dose rivaroxaban, which aimed to assess the efficacy of prothrombin complex concentrate. After determination of baseline mesenteric bleeding time, rats were initially treated with IV rivaroxaban and subsequently received four-factor prothrombin complex concentrates at 25 U/kg or 50 U/kg. Prolongation of the bleeding time was almost completely abrogated by higher dose of prothrombin complex concentrates, whereas the lower dose was ineffective. The use of recombinant factor VIIa is also suggested in the presence of life-threatening bleeding based on some preclinical data. A reconstructed recombinant factor Xa has been recently proposed as a potential antidote for factor Xa inhibitors. This is a catalytically inactive factor Xa that has no procoagulant or anticoagulant activity and does not interfere with the prothrombinase complex but maintains high affinity for factor Xa inhibitors. In plasma, the addition of the antidote dose-dependently reversed factor Xa inhibition as measured by anti-factor Xa units, tissue factor-initiated thrombin generation, and clotting assays. In vivo, the antidote completely reversed PT prolongation induced by intravenous infusion of rivaroxaban in rats.

Conclusion

Over the last decades, a large amount of research has been addressed to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of warfarin, acenocoumarol, and phenprocoumon and to improve the management of patients treated with these VKAs. Several studies have in particular identified some genetic factors associated with the individual responses to VKAs and several drugs, foods, and environmental factors that can interact with these compounds. Several induction and maintenance strategies have been compared, and management studies have evaluated different approaches for the monitoring of patients on VKAs, including AMS and AC, computer programs, and POC for INR testing. The results of all such studies have greatly contributed to improve the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulant therapy and to increase the number of patients who can be deemed eligible for such treatment. Finally, a number of trials have also addressed the management of patients on VKA treatment who are at increased risk of bleeding or are actively bleeding, and a number of therapeutic strategies have been proposed, although additional research may be warranted in particular to further improve the management of the bleeding patient.

The new oral anticoagulant drugs have the potential to overcome several drawbacks of the VKAs. These drugs can be administered at fixed doses and do not require laboratory monitoring, thus offering a clear advantage over the VKAs. It is hoped that new studies will provide us with further information on the role of specific laboratory tests for the monitoring of the activity of these new classes of drugs, when requested, and on the optimal management of drug-related adverse events.
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