Lung Deposition of BDP/Formoterol HFA pMDI in Healthy Volunteers, Asthmatic, and COPD Patients
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Abstract

Background: When inhaling medication, it is essential that drug particles are delivered to all sites of lung inflammation, including the peripheral airways. The aim of this study was to assess the lung deposition and lung distribution of beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP)/formoterol (100/6 μg), both dissolved in hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) and delivered by pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI) in healthy subjects, asthmatic, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients, to investigate how the in vitro characteristics of the formulation translate into the in vivo performance in diseases with different airway obstruction.

Methods: Healthy volunteers (n = 8), persistent asthmatics (n = 8), and patients with stable COPD (n = 8) completed this open-label, single-dose parallel-group study. Each patient received one single treatment of four puffs of 99mTc-labeled BDP/formoterol formulation. The correlation between particle size distribution of radioactivity and of the drugs in the radiolabeled formulation was validated. Intra- and extrapulmonary deposition, amount of exhaled drug, and the central to peripheral ratio (C/P) were calculated immediately after inhalation. Patients’ lung function and pharmacokinetic parameters were also assessed up to 24 h post-dose.

Results: The average lung deposition of BDP/formoterol was 34.08 ± 9.30% (relative to nominal dose) in healthy subjects, 30.86 ± 8.89% in asthmatics, and 33.10 ± 8.90% in COPD patients. Extrathoracic deposition was 53.48% ± 8.95, 57.64% ± 9.92 and 54.98% ± 7.01, respectively. C/P ratios of 1.42 ± 0.32 in healthy subjects, 1.96 ± 0.43 in asthmatics, and 1.94 ± 0.69 for COPD patients confirmed drug distribution to all regions of the lungs. Forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV1) increased in all groups after BDP/formoterol inhalation, but was more evident in the patient groups. No significant correlation between baseline lung function and drug deposition was observed. Formoterol, BDP, and beclomethasone 17 monopropionate (B17MP) plasma profiles were comparable between groups.

Conclusion: Inhalation of BDP/formoterol HFA (100/6 μg) produces high and homogeneous deposition of BDP and formoterol in the airways, regardless of pathophysiological condition.
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Introduction

Inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) and long-acting β2-agonists (LABAs) are the pharmacological mainstays for treating obstructive lung disease. Their combination is currently recommended for those asthmatics not adequately controlled on ICS alone,1 and for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients with severe disease who suffer from repeated exacerbations.2 The complementary clinical effects of ICSs and LABAs are well documented, and include improved lung function, symptom control, patient compliance, reduction in exacerbation rate, and risk of
therapy discontinuation, compared to either agent administered alone. The rationale for their combined use derives from the hypothesis that ICSs and LABAs can mutually potentiate their effects at the molecular and receptor levels when given together, thus optimizing each other’s beneficial actions in the airways.

Inhalation is the preferred route of administration of asthma and COPD medications because it delivers drugs directly into the airways, while minimizing systemic side effects. The pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI) is the most frequently prescribed inhaler device. Historically, its major limitations are the relatively large drug particles generated, low lung deposition (10–20%) and the slow-moving aerosol, which necessitates coordination of inspiration and actuation, making it difficult to correctly use the inhaler, and increasing the risk of high deposition of drug in the pharynx. However, with technologic improvements in both pMDI formulation and design, the desired aerosol size distribution, spray impact force, and mass of drug available per shot can be achieved. Inhalation of smaller drug particles leads to increased total lung deposition, farther distal airway penetration, and more peripheral lung deposition, which would be beneficial for asthmatic and COPD patients since the peripheral airways are an important site of inflammation in both diseases.

An extrafine fixed combination formulation of the ICS beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) and the LABA formoterol (100/6 µg) both dissolved in a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) propellant and delivered by pMDI (Foster®, Chiesi Farmaceutici, Italy) has recently been developed using Modulite® technology. This technology enables the manipulation of inhaled HFA-based solution formulations, and has the potential to eliminate many of the limitations associated with pMDI use. In addition, by tailoring the particle size, the Modulite® allows the development of extrafine formulations, replacing existing drugs at a reduced nominal dose. For the same clinical effect, with BDP/formoterol HFA extrafine, the BDP dose is 2.5-fold lower than the conventional BDP chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) product: 400 µg BDP extrafine was clinically equivalent to 1000 µg of the reference BDP CFC formulation. Each actuation of BDP/formoterol (100/6 µg) delivers 86.4 µg of BDP and 5 µg of formoterol. In addition, the ratio of the two drugs (mean ratio 17.6) was maintained at each stage of the Anderson Kammer. The BDP extrafine was clinically equivalent to 1000 µg BDP and 24 µg formoterol. The primary endpoint was intrapulmonary deposition of BDP/formoterol (% of nominal dose). Secondary endpoints included extrathoracic deposition, amount of BDP/formoterol exhaled, residual drug remaining in the device (all as % of nominal dose), central/peripheral ratio (C/P, an index of regional lung deposition), and variance of pixel counts (VAR, an index of homogeneity of deposition within the lung). Lung function and pharmacokinetic parameters were also assessed. Safety was assessed by documenting all adverse events that occurred during the study. The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1996), the ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and with applicable regulatory requirements. The study protocol was approved by an Independent Ethics Committee (Ethikkommission der Bayerischen Landesärztekammer).

Subject selection

Both male and female subjects, without childbearing potential, and the ability to properly use a pMDI were recruited. Healthy and asthmatic subjects were required to be aged 21–70 years and be non-smokers or ex-smokers for at least 1 year (previous smoking history of <5 pack years). Asthmatic patients were required to have moderate persistent or severe persistent asthma; a forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV1) ≥30% and <80% predicted, and an FEV1 reversibility ≥12% and at least 200 mL of the initial value 30 min after inhalation of salbutamol (200 µg) at screening. Patients with stable COPD, aged 40–70 years with a minimum smoking history of 10 pack years were recruited. COPD patients were required to have an FEV1 ≥30% and <50% of predicted values, an FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) ≤70% documented at screening visit, and an FEV1 reversibility of <12% of the initial value 30-min postinhalation of salbutamol (200 µg) at screening. All subjects gave their written informed consent.

Subjects were excluded from the study if they had clinically relevant abnormal laboratory values, and clinically significant and uncontrolled cardiac, hepatic, renal, gastrointestinal, endocrine, metabolic, neurologic, or psychiatric disorders. Asthmatic and COPD patients were excluded if they changed the dose or type of asthma/COPD medication within 4 weeks prior to the screening visit, and if they had experienced an exacerbation in the last 4 weeks.

No LABA, long-acting anticholinergic drugs, theophylline, or BDP were allowed 72 h prior to inhalation of study medication. In addition, no β-blockers in the previous 24 h and no inhaled steroids (with the exception of BDP) in the previous 12 h were permitted. No short-acting anticholinergics or β2-agonist drugs were permitted within 8 h prior to inhalation of test medication.

Radiolabeling

The BDP/formoterol combination HFA formulation was labeled with 99mTc Technetium (99mTc), prior to inhalation. As both drugs are dissolved in solution it was assumed that the radioactivity was evenly distributed between the two drugs. 99mTc Technetium was eluted as sodium pertechnetate (NaT-CO₃) in saline solution from a commercially available tech-
netium generator (Tyco Healthcare, Germany). Depending on the specific activity of the NaTcO₄ solution, a volume of 0.2 to 2 mL was filled into a 20-mL glass vial. Any amount less than 2 mL was filled up to 2 mL with water for injection. A fourfold amount of 3-pentanone was added to extract 99mTc from the saline solution. After separation of the liquid phases, the 3-pentanone layer was removed and heated in a beaker to approximately 100°C until all the liquid had evaporated. After cooling to room temperature, 400 µL of ethanol was added to the beaker, so that the ethanol could take up the TcO₄⁻.

A canister, cooled down to −80°C (8–24 h), was opened using a spike, and 100 µL of the radioactive ethanol solution placed inside it. The canister was closed with a rivet and a special Viton sealing, and was equilibrated for 30 min to room temperature.

Validation of the labeling procedure

The labeled canisters were analyzed in terms of radioactive output, radioactive particle size distribution, and particle size distribution of drug content. An eight-stage Andersen Cascade Impactor was used to confirm that radiolabeling did not change the particle size distribution of the product. Ten puffs of radiolabeled BDP/formoterol HFA formulation were fired into the impactor. The 99mTc radioactivity on each impactor stage, on the impactor throat, and in the actuator was measured using a scintillation counter (AM2005; MED, Germany). After radioactive measurement, all plates were washed and the BDP/formoterol amount on each stage was analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Additionally, measurement of the unlabeled formulation was performed using a HPLC System with UV detector (Dionex, Germany). The mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD), geometric standard deviation (GSD), fine particle fraction (FPF; particles <4.7 µm), and delivered dose (DD), expressed as percentage of the nominal dose, were determined by using the CITDAS evaluation software version 2.0 (Copley Scientific Ltd, UK). On each study day, the HFA spray was analyzed in terms of radioactive output, radioactive particle size distribution, and particle size distribution of the active ingredients. The radioactive data of output and particle size distribution were used for releasing the batch.

Test drug inhalation

In order to control and to standardize the inhalation flow, subjects were trained to use the pMDI properly prior to test drug inhalation, using a placebo inhaler connected to a flow meter, to avoid an inhalation that was either too forceful or too slow. Using this flow meter, subjects were instructed to start an inspiratory flow of approximately 30 L/min, which corresponds to the inhalation flow during normal breathing. Inhalation of the radiolabeled combination test drug formulation was subsequently performed without measurement of the actual inhalation flow rate. Patients inhaled four shots of BDP/formoterol (100/6 µg) using the breathing pattern they had learned with the placebo inhaler and flow meter. No more than 8 MBq 99mTc was administered to each subject during the study. At the end of the inspiration, subjects were asked to breath-hold for 10 sec, and then to exhale into an exhalation filter.

Assessment of drug deposition

Immediately after inhalation of the radiolabeled test drug formulation, a planar gamma camera image (posterior) was taken for each subject using a Siemens Diacam gamma camera with a field of view of 53×40 cm and a low-energy parallel hole collimator. An 81mKrypton-ventilation scan was also obtained to define the lung borders and lung fields. Using the regions of interest (ROI) defined from this ventilation scan, the following parameters were measured:

1. The radioactivity emitted by the inhaler (AI). Prior to the inhalation by each patient, four shots were fired into a filter and radioactivity was determined using a gamma scintillation counter. This radioactivity was defined as the amount of radioactivity the patient had inhaled (Aₜ).
2. The count rates measured for the lung region (Cₗ).
3. The count rates measured for the extrathoracic region including oropharynx, trachea, esophagus, and stomach (Cₑₜ).
4. The radioactivity on the exhalation filter (Aₑₓ).
5. The radioactivity on the actuator after inhalation (Aₑᵦ).

From these activity data, the following parameters were calculated:

- Absolute activity deposited in the lungs (Aₐₗ): Aₐₗ = (Aₜ - Aₑₓ) · [Cₑₗ/(Cₑₗ + Cₑₜ)].
- Lung deposition (Dₗ) relative to nominal dose (ex-valve): Dₗ = Aₐₗ/(Aₜ + Aₑᵦ).
- Absolute activity deposited in the extrathoracic region (Aₑᵦₗ): Aₑᵦₗ = (Aₜ - Aₑₓ) · [Cₑₑᵦ/(Cₑₑᵦ + Cₑₜ)].
- Extrapulmonary deposition (Dₑᵦ) relative to nominal dose (ex-valve): Dₑᵦ = Aₑᵦₗ/(Aₜ + Aₑᵦ).
- Fraction of activity remaining on the actuator (Dₑᵦ) relative to nominal dose (ex-valve): Dₑᵦ = Aₑᵦ/(Aₜ + Aₑᵦ).
- Fraction of exhaled activity (Mₑₓ) relative to nominal dose: Mₑₓ = Aₑₓ/(Aₜ + Aₑᵦ).

C/P ratio

For determination of C/P ratio of deposited activity after inhalation, whole lung rectangular ROIs for each lung were drawn at the boundaries of the Krypton ventilation scan (defined at 15% of the peak Krypton counts for the entire lung). Central ROIs, with dimensions equal to half of the whole lung ROIs width and one half of its height, were positioned on the interior boundary of the lung, centered by height so that the central ROI was 25% of the area of the whole lung ROI. The peripheral region (P) was that area lying between the central and whole lung outline. These regions were displayed over the aerosol deposition (99mTc) and Krypton (Kr) scan to determine the counts in each region. The ratio of C/P counts was then determined and normalized by the C/P ratio for the Krypton scan, (C/P)Kr:

\[ [(C/P)_{99mTc}] / [(C/P)_{Kr}] = C/P \]

for the right and left lung.

This normalization was done to account for the difference in relative lung area and thickness between the central and peripheral regions. While both the central and peripheral regions overlay alveoli and intermediate/small airways, the
central region also incorporates large bronchial airways not present in the peripheral region. Therefore, decreases in C/P reflect a decrease in large, bronchial airway deposition relative to intermediate/small bronchi/bronchioles and alveolated airspaces.

As an additional analysis for homogeneity of deposition, the variance of pixel counts (VAR) in the lung (number of pixels vs. counts/pixel) was assessed. Again, using the boundaries of the Krypton scan for each subject (at 15% of the peak Krypton counts for the entire lung), outline ROIs were prepared for the right and left lungs. Within each lung’s outline ROI the mean and variance of counts/pixel were determined as the standard deviation (or square root of variance) divided by the mean. As the VAR decreases, homogeneity of deposition within the lung improves. Tissue attenuation correction was performed according to Pitcairn et al. (21) Count rate measured for the lung region (CL) and for the extrathoracic region (CET) were corrected for attenuation.

Attenuation factors for lung (ACFL) and stomach (ACFS) were calculated from the thorax thickness (T) using the following equation:

\[ ACFL = L \cdot \mu_2/(e(-\mu_1(a+b))^2)(1 - e(-\mu_2)) \]

For the lung attenuation factor (ACFL) the following parameters were used:

\[ \mu_1 = 0.151 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \mu_2 = 0.038 \text{ cm}^{-1}, a = b = 2 \text{ cm}, L = T - 4 \text{ cm} \]

For the stomach attenuation factor (ACFS) the parameters were:

\[ \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0.151 \text{ cm}^{-1}, a = b = 2 \text{ cm}, L = T - 4 \text{ cm} \]

**Spirometry**

All lung function parameters were measured using a Jaeger-Masterlab (Cardinal Health, Würzburg, Germany). The parameters assessed were FEV\(_1\), FVC, and mid-expiratory flow (MEF) at 25, 50, and 75% vital capacity: MEF\(_{25}\), MEF\(_{50}\), and MEF\(_{75}\). These parameters were measured at screening and on administration day pre-dose (after at least 10-min rest, with patients sitting and with the nose clipped), and at 15 and 30 min, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h post-dose. For FEV\(_1\) and FVC, three technically satisfactory measurements were done for each patient, and the highest value recorded. If consecutive values differed by \( \geq 200\text{ mL} \), up to eight measurements were made and the largest value reported. For MEF, the values were derived from the best of the three curves (i.e. the greatest sum FEV\(_1\) + FVC). Predicted values were calculated according to the formula of the European Coal and Steel Community.

**Pharmacokinetic measurements**

Blood samples were taken pre-dose and at 15 and 30 min, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 24 h after dosing. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at approximately 4°C and 2500 rpm for 15 min and stored at \(-80°C\) for formoterol assay and at \(-20°C\) for BDP/beclo-methasone 17 monopropionate (B17MP) assay. Plasma samples were analyzed for the determination of formoterol, BDP, and B17MP using validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) methods with limit of quantitation (LOQ) of 2 pg/mL for formoterol and 20 pg/mL for BDP and B17MP. The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated from the individual plasma drug concentration versus time profiles: maximum plasma concentration (C\(_{max}\)), time to maximum plasma concentration (t\(_{max}\)), area under the plasma concentration versus time curve observed from 0 to 30 min (AUC\(_{0-30\text{ min}}\)) and from 0 to 24 h (AUC\(_{0-24\text{ h}}\)), calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. AUC\(_{0-24\text{ h}}\) was used to evaluate the overall exposure to the active ingredients. The AUC\(_{0-30\text{ min}}\) was considered an index of lung absorption because it is unlikely that significant amounts of the swallowed fraction of drug can reach the systemic circulation in the first 30 min after inhalation. Other authors have previously demonstrated that plasma levels of inhaled drugs measured during the lag phase of oral absorption are indicative of the lung deposition. (22,23)

This was also confirmed in a previous study investigating the systemic exposure of BDP/formoterol HFA pMDI before and after charcoal block administration, which is a well-recognized technique able to prevent oral absorption without influencing lung absorption. (24) In that study, similar AUC\(_{30\text{ min}}\) values were observed before and after charcoal block administration, and plasma concentrations decreased rapidly after the first 30 min post-inhalation with charcoal block as oral absorption of the drug was prevented. (24) C\(_{max}\) and t\(_{max}\) are indicators of the rate of absorption.

**Data analysis**

Differences between subject groups for each endpoint parameter were tested by an analysis of variance using a linear model with “group” and “patient” as independent variables, and assuming random effects using the “mixed” SAS procedure. Correlations between baseline lung function and deposition parameters were tested using Spearman rank correlation analysis. A sample size of 8 subjects to detect differences in lung deposition between groups of approximately 30% (paired t-test), was roughly estimated on the basis of a previous study showing a deposition of formoterol HFA of 35 ± 7% in patients with severe COPD. (25)

**Results**

**Patients**

A total of 25 subjects (21 male and 4 female) were recruited into the study. Of these subjects, 8 were healthy (mean age: 46 ± 13 years), 8 were asthmatic (mean age: 51 ± 16 years), and 9 had COPD (mean age 61 ± 7 years). One patient in the COPD group who experienced a moderate ischalgia was discontinued from the study before treatment. Baseline data are presented in Table 1.

**Radiolabeling validation**

Particle size distributions of both unlabeled and labeled BDP/formoterol formulations were in close agreement. The MMAD, FPF, GSD, and DD for the MDI were similar for the unlabeled and labeled formulations (Table 2 and Fig. 1). The DDs (± standard deviation) of formoterol were 4.86 ± 0.28 μg and 5.01 ± 0.16 μg for the unlabeled and labeled formulation, respectively. Similarly, the DDs of BDP were 82.6 ± 6.79 μg.
and 84.8 ± 3.48 μg for the unlabeled and labeled formulation, respectively. The MMAD values were in even closer agreement being 1.30 ± 0.10 μm for formoterol for both labeled and unlabeled formulations, as well as for BDP for the unlabeled formulation, and 1.37 ± 0.06 μm for labeled BDP formulation. These results confirm that the labeling procedure did not change the properties of the product.

Deposition data

Neither lung deposition nor extrathoracic deposition of BDP/formoterol HFA significantly differed between the study groups. Mean lung deposition (± standard deviation) was 34.08 ± 9.30% of the nominal dose in healthy subjects, 33.10 ± 8.95% in asthmatics and 33.10 ± 8.90% in COPD patients (Table 3 and Fig. 2). Mean extrathoracic deposition was also similar for the three groups, ranging from 53.48 ± 8.95% of the nominal dose in healthy subjects, 54.98 ± 7.25% in COPD patients and 57.64 ± 9.92% in asthmatics (Table 3 and Fig. 2). The mean ratio of central to peripheral deposition (C/P) was significantly (p = 0.046) higher in asthmatics (1.96 ± 0.43) compared to healthy subjects (1.42 ± 0.32) (Table 3 and Fig. 3). Conversely, the difference between the mean C/P ratio in COPD patients (1.94 ± 0.69) and in healthy subjects just missed statistical significance (p = 0.051) (Table 3 and Figure 3). The variance of pixel counts indicated a more heterogeneous deposition in the lungs of patients compared with healthy subjects. In particular, the difference between COPD patients (0.0029 ± 0.0019) and healthy subjects (0.0016 ± 0.0007) was statistically significant (p = 0.043) (Table 3). The amount of exhaled BDP/formoterol or drug fraction remaining on the device did not differ significantly between groups (Table 3). There was no statistically significant correlation between FEV₁ at baseline and lung deposition (r = 0.19; p = 0.38), extrathoracic deposition (r = −0.20; p = 0.34) or C/P ratio (r = −0.32; p = 0.13).

Lung function

Administration of BDP/formoterol produced an FEV₁ increase in each group, but a more evident bronchodilator effect was achieved in asthmatic and COPD patients (Fig. 4).

Table 1. Patient Baseline Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Healthy subjects (n = 8)</th>
<th>Asthma patients (n = 8)</th>
<th>COPD patients (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46.13 ± 12.51</td>
<td>51.25 ± 16.23</td>
<td>61.33 ± 6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>179.38 ± 7.25</td>
<td>171.13 ± 9.46</td>
<td>172.66 ± 3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>79.75 ± 8.60</td>
<td>73.88 ± 6.33</td>
<td>72.88 ± 16.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY (years)</td>
<td>1.50 ± 1.31</td>
<td>0.38 ± 1.06</td>
<td>52.88 ± 22.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L)</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.83</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.90</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% predicted)</td>
<td>112.13 ± 11.89</td>
<td>70.75 ± 8.33</td>
<td>43.67 ± 7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF₂₅ (L/sec)</td>
<td>8.25 ± 0.94</td>
<td>2.73 ± 1.21</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF₅₀ (L/sec)</td>
<td>4.43 ± 1.10</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF₇₅ (L/sec)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>76.50 ± 9.17</td>
<td>58.94 ± 5.60</td>
<td>42.24 ± 9.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PY, pack years; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 sec; MEF₂₅, maximal expiratory flow at 25% vital capacity; MEF₅₀, maximal expiratory flow at 50% vital capacity; MEF₇₅, maximal expiratory flow at 75% vital capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity.

Table 2. Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter (MMAD), Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD), Fine Particle Dose (FPD, Stage Three-Filter), Fine Particle Fraction (FPF), and Delivered Dose (DD) of Both Labeled and Unlabeled BDP/Formoterol HFA Formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>MMAD (µm)</th>
<th>GSD</th>
<th>FPD (active substance µg)</th>
<th>FPF (% metered dose)</th>
<th>DD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formoterol: unlabeled formulation</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.10</td>
<td>34.4 ± 1.86</td>
<td>4.86 ± 0.28&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDP: unlabeled formulation</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>32.6 ± 2.34</td>
<td>37.0 ± 2.95</td>
<td>82.6 ± 6.79&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formoterol: labeled formulation</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.04</td>
<td>32.6 ± 0.26</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDP: labeled formulation</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.00</td>
<td>30.6 ± 0.05</td>
<td>34.1 ± 1.32</td>
<td>84.8 ± 3.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁹⁹mTC radioactivity: labeled formulation</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>33.9 ± 1.26</td>
<td>1171 ± 102&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>µg.
<sup>b</sup>kBq.

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; HFA, hydrofluoroalkane; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography.
In healthy subjects, the maximum increase in FEV\(_1\) over baseline values was 5%, occurring at 6 h and corresponding to approximately 200 mL. In asthmatic and COPD patients the maximum FEV\(_1\) increase was 25.6% (approx. 300 mL) and 12.5% (approx. 180 mL) respectively, both of which occurred 2 h post-dose (Fig. 4).

**Pharmacokinetics**

Comparable formoterol, BDP, and B17MP plasma profiles were observed during the 24 h after inhalation of the study drug (Table 4 and Fig. 5). BDP is rapidly metabolized to B17MP, and so was not detectable in plasma 1.5 h after dosing. The mean (±standard deviation) maximum plasma concentration of B17MP was 929.0 ± 309.7 pg/mL in healthy subjects, 1047.3 ± 221.9 pg/mL in asthmatics and 1016.4 ± 265.6 pg/mL in COPD patients and was reached at median 1.0 h in healthy subjects and asthmatics and 0.47 h in COPD patients. The formoterol maximum plasma concentration was also similar for healthy (34.6 ± 11.5 pg/mL) and asthmatics (31.2 ± 11.1 pg/mL) and slightly lower for COPD patients (23.9 ± 5.2 pg/mL). The median 1.25 h in healthy subjects and asthmatics and 0.75 h in patients with COPD. The mean (± standard deviation)

**FIG. 1.** Amount of unlabeled and labeled beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP)/formoterol formulation, and radioactivity found on the different stages of the cascade impactor.

In healthy subjects, the maximum increase in FEV\(_1\) over baseline values was 5%, occurring at 6 h and corresponding to approximately 200 mL. In asthmatic and COPD patients the maximum FEV\(_1\) increase was 25.6% (approx. 300 mL) and 12.5% (approx. 180 mL) respectively, both of which occurred 2 h post-dose (Fig. 4).

**Pharmacokinetics**

Comparable formoterol, BDP, and B17MP plasma profiles were observed during the 24 h after inhalation of the study drug (Table 4 and Fig. 5). BDP is rapidly metabolized to B17MP, and so was not detectable in plasma 1.5 h after dosing. The mean (±standard deviation) maximum plasma concentration of B17MP was 929.0 ± 309.7 pg/mL in healthy subjects, 1047.3 ± 221.9 pg/mL in asthmatics and 1016.4 ± 265.6 pg/mL in COPD patients and was reached at median 1.0 h in healthy subjects and asthmatics and 0.47 h in COPD patients. The formoterol maximum plasma concentration was also similar for healthy (34.6 ± 11.5 pg/mL) and asthmatics (31.2 ± 11.1 pg/mL) and slightly lower for COPD patients (23.9 ± 5.2 pg/mL). The median 1.25 h in healthy subjects and asthmatics and 0.75 h in patients with COPD. The mean (± standard deviation)

**Table 3. Deposition in Healthy Subjects, Asthmatic, and COPD Patients following Administration of One Single Dose of Four Puffs of the BDP/Formoterol HFA (100/6 μg) Radiolabeled Formulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Healthy subjects (n = 8)</th>
<th>Asthma patients (n = 8)</th>
<th>COPD patients (n = 8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung deposition (%) nominal dose</td>
<td>34.08 ± 9.30 (20.00–43.80)</td>
<td>30.86 ± 8.89 (21.50–47.40)</td>
<td>33.10 ± 8.90 (20.00–43.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrathoracic deposition (%) nominal dose</td>
<td>53.48 ± 8.95 (42.00–66.70)</td>
<td>57.64 ± 9.92 (43.50–69.30)</td>
<td>54.98 ± 7.01 (45.00–69.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/P</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.32 (1.14–2.09)</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.43* (1.44–2.78)</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.69 (1.15–3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAR (pixel counts)</td>
<td>0.0016 ± 0.0007 (0.0008–0.0030)</td>
<td>0.0023 ± 0.0006 (0.0017–0.0032)</td>
<td>0.0029 ± 0.0019** (0.0011–0.0060)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount exhaled (%) nominal dose</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.46 (1.30–5.50)</td>
<td>2.18 ± 1.26 (0.90–4.10)</td>
<td>3.41 ± 1.49 (2.00–6.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals in the device (%) nominal dose</td>
<td>9.68 ± 1.90 (7.40–12.30)</td>
<td>9.30 ± 2.96 (7.10–13.90)</td>
<td>8.53 ± 1.79 (6.40–11.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(p = 0.046\) versus healthy subjects.
**\(p = 0.043\) versus healthy subjects.
Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (range).

BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; HFA, hydrofluoroalkane; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; C/P, central to peripheral ratio; VAR, variance of deposition in the lungs.
systemic exposure of formoterol and B17MP over 30 min post-dose, taken as an index of lung absorption, were similar in the healthy, asthmatic, and COPD groups, respectively (formoterol AUC$_{0-30\text{ min}}$ 11.1 ± 3.2, 10.1 ± 5.0, and 7.2 ± 2.8 h*pg/mL; B17MP AUC$_{0-30\text{ min}}$ 306.2 ± 110.8, 349.3 ± 79.8, 321.2 ± 113.0 h*pg/mL), reflecting the comparable lung deposition of the drugs (Table 4). The area under the time curve of B17MP and formoterol plasma levels (mean ± standard deviation) were roughly comparable in healthy subjects, patients with asthma, and patients with COPD, respectively, with a trend for a slight increase in systemic exposure in patients (formoterol AUC$_{0-24\text{ h}}$: 142.8 ± 37.6, 229.9 ± 169.0, and 183.1 ± 70.6 h*pg/mL; B17MP AUC$_{0-24\text{ h}}$ 4185.2 ± 1127.2, 5199.0 ± 867.2, and 5221.1 ± 2091.7 h*pg/mL).

**Safety and tolerability**

In total, 12 adverse events were observed. They were all of mild or moderate intensity. Two patients experienced mild headache. Other adverse events included an abnormal laboratory value, cough and dyspnoea, common cold, urinary tract infection, phlebitis, and hand trembling/vertigo. One COPD patient experienced a moderate ischalgia and a moderate pleurisy, and was discontinued from the study before treatment. Three adverse events were considered...
related to the study medication, but none were serious in nature.

Discussion

This study showed that a large amount of the inhaled BDP/formoterol extrafine HFA fixed combination was deposited into the lungs (31–34%), with a low variability between healthy subjects, asthmatic, and COPD patients, confirming efficient lung delivery regardless of pathological condition. Drug distribution was observed throughout the lung, including the peripheral airways, where at least one-third of the drug was deposited (41% in healthy subjects and 34% in asthmatic and COPD patients), indicating that the increased airway obstruction in patients had a moderate impact on the pattern of deposition (C/P ratio, VAR). The increase in FEV\textsubscript{1} confirmed a prolonged pharmacodynamic effect of the combination. In this study, bidimensional gamma scintigraphy was used. This method provides limited spatial resolution of the lung. The spatial distribution of the formulation is under investigation using the segmentation/CFD combination technique.

The pulmonary deposition of extrafine formulations of ICS and LABAs administered as single agents have already been investigated\textsuperscript{(25–28)} in healthy volunteers, extrafine BDP–HFA lung deposition ranged from 55–60% of the emitted dose (44–48% of the nominal dose) compared with just 4–7% of the emitted dose following CFC–BDP inhalation.\textsuperscript{(26,27)} In mild asthmatics, lung deposition of extrafine BDP–HFA from a breath-activated device (Autohaler\textsuperscript{8}) was 60% of the emitted dose (48% of the nominal dose) compared to 56–59% of the emitted dose (45–47% of the nominal dose) for patients using a pMDI. This percentage fell to 37% of the emitted dose (30% of the nominal dose) in subjects with poor inhalation technique.\textsuperscript{(26,28)} The lung deposition of extrafine formoterol HFA in healthy volunteers, asthmatic, and COPD patients has previously been reported as 31, 34, and 35% of the nominal dose, respectively.\textsuperscript{(25)} These data agree with our findings, and suggest comparable lung deposition in the different populations. The addition of a spacer device to a pMDI is one way to improve lung deposition and reduce oropharyngeal deposition. The lung deposition values for the BDP/formoterol extrafine HFA pMDI observed in the present study are comparable to, or higher than, those reported for pMDIs plus spacers.\textsuperscript{(29)}

The deposition pattern of inhaled drugs depends on the complex interaction between device, formulation, and inhalation technique.\textsuperscript{(7)} It is important that the therapeutic agent reaches the lung periphery for several reasons. First, accumulating evidence shows that in asthma, airway inflammation, and remodeling occur both in large and small airways,\textsuperscript{(30)} with more severe inflammatory processes present in the peripheral compared with the central airways.\textsuperscript{(10)} Additionally, in COPD the peripheral airways are the main site of obstruction.\textsuperscript{(11)} Second, corticosteroid receptors and β\textsubscript{2}-adrenergic receptors are present throughout the airways,\textsuperscript{(31, 32)} thus, the ICS/LABA synergistic interaction at the molecular level might occur at various cellular types in the lungs. Last, extrafine BDP alone has already been shown to reduce candidate markers of small airway inflammation.\textsuperscript{(33)} Devices that generate smaller particles will give a more peripheral deposition of drug.\textsuperscript{(9)}

Pressurized MDIs are the most frequently prescribed inhaler device, and HFA formulations capable of delivering extrafine drug particles are currently available. A pMDI delivering extrafine drug particles has the potential to eliminate problems of decreased pulmonary deposition previously described for pMDIs,\textsuperscript{(34)} as smaller drug particles should stay suspended longer in the inspiratory air of patients, and reduce the effects of incorrect pMDI technique.\textsuperscript{(35)} Leach and colleagues\textsuperscript{(28)} compared the lung delivery of HFA–BDP from a breath-activated inhaler (QVAR Autohaler) with that from a press and breath pMDI used both correctly and incorrectly. They showed that although the degree of lung deposition

| Table 4. BDP, B17MP, and Formoterol Pharmacokinetic Parameters in Healthy Subjects, Asthmatic, and COPD Patients Following Administration of One Single Dose of Four Puffs of the BDP/Formoterol HFA (100/6 μg) Combination |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy subjects (n = 8)</th>
<th>Asthma patients (n = 8)</th>
<th>COPD patients (n = 8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_{\text{max}} (\text{h}) )</td>
<td>0.25 (0.25–0.25)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.25–0.25)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.25–0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\text{max}} (\text{pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>278.6 ± 107.0</td>
<td>214.0 ± 181.4</td>
<td>475.3 ± 299.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{AUC}_{0-24} (\text{h*pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>95.3 ± 34.3</td>
<td>73.5 ± 60.6</td>
<td>159.6 ± 100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B17MP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_{\text{max}} (\text{h}) )</td>
<td>0.5 (0.25–2.00)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.25–1.50)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.25–2.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\text{max}} (\text{pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>929.0 ± 309.7</td>
<td>1047.3 ± 221.9</td>
<td>1016.4 ± 265.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{AUC}_{0-30} (\text{h*pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>306.2 ± 110.8</td>
<td>349.3 ± 79.8</td>
<td>321.2 ± 113.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{AUC}_{0-24} (\text{h*pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>4185.2 ± 1127.2</td>
<td>5199.0 ± 867.2</td>
<td>5221.1 ± 2091.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formoterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_{\text{max}} (\text{h}) )</td>
<td>0.25 (0.25–1)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.25–10)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.25–24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\text{max}} (\text{pg/mL}) )</td>
<td>34.6 ± 11.5</td>
<td>31.2 ± 11.1</td>
<td>23.9 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{AUC}_{0-30} (\text{h*pg/ml}) )</td>
<td>11.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>10.1 ± 5.0</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{AUC}_{0-24} (\text{h*pg/ml}) )</td>
<td>142.8 ± 37.6</td>
<td>229.9 ± 169.0</td>
<td>183.1 ± 70.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation [except \( t_{\text{max}} \) – median (range)].

BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; B17MP, BDP metabolite; HFA, hydrofluoroalkane; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; \( t_{\text{max}} \), time to maximum plasma concentration; \( C_{\text{max}} \), maximum plasma concentration; \( \text{AUC}_{0-30} \), area under the plasma concentration versus time curve observed from 0 to 30 min; \( \text{AUC}_{0-24} \), area under the plasma concentration time curve observed from 0 to 24 h.
was decreased as patients demonstrated poor inhaler technique, patients with poor technique still received a large dose of BDP (≥37%) compared with lung deposition values of 4–7% for CFC BDP MDIs. With smaller drug particle sizes, the speed of the inhalation maneuver is also not critical to lung deposition. Usmani and colleagues showed a greater total lung deposition and farther distal airway penetration with small (1.5 μm) albuterol particles during slow inhalation.

In the present study, the deposition pattern in the lung confirmed a drug distribution throughout the airways, including both large and small airways, in all three groups. This is due to the fact that small particles generated by BDP/formoterol HFA are deposited predominantly by sedimentation. Deposition by impaction in extrathoracic airways and at sites of obstruction in the asthmatic or COPD lung is therefore much smaller compared to larger drug particles. Consequently, BDP/formoterol extrafine fixed combination, provides a homogeneous distribution of both active drugs throughout the entire bronchial tree, irrespective of pathophysiological condition. This finding is consistent with the data obtained with another extrafine formulation in patients with very mild asthma (mean percent predicted FEV₁ of 91%). Despite the impaired level of airway obstruction of the patients enrolled in the present study (mean FEV₁ of 70% in the asthmatics group and 43% in the COPD group) the extrafine formulation has proven to deliver a considerable amount of drug to the lung periphery.

For extrafine formulations of corticosteroids, the risk that higher lung deposition and peripheral distribution might lead to higher systemic exposure is reasonable. In this regard, a recently published pharmacokinetics study compared the
systemic exposure of BDP/formoterol extrafine, used at the same dose and in the same formulation as in the present investigation, with an equipotent regimen of BDP non-extrafine plus formoterol extrafine given via separate inhalers. The study showed that, although comparable formoterol systemic exposure was observed after the two treatments, the 24-h systemic exposure of B17MP was 35% lower with the BDP/formoterol extrafine fixed combination than with the extemporary combination, where BDP was non-extrafine. In addition, the exposure of B17MP in the first 30 min, reported to be an index of pulmonary absorption, was 86% greater with the extrafine fixed combination than with the separate components. Therefore, these data indicate that, despite the fixed combination of BDP/formoterol delivering more drug to the lungs, it results in a lower systemic exposure when compared with an equipotent regimen of non-extrafine BDP plus formoterol.

This is the first study investigating the lung deposition profile of a fixed combination ICS/LABA, and correlating this pattern to the lung function at baseline of patients with different obstructive diseases. No significant correlation was detected between baseline lung function and drug deposition, suggesting that the improved lung deposition afforded by the HFA formulation is independent of patients’ lung function and is, instead, a consequence of small particle size. The large VAR in COPD patients, however, indicates a larger heterogeneity in lung deposition profile in this population. Similarly, no correlation was found between baseline lung function and lung deposition of formoterol HFA in healthy volunteers, asthmatic, or COPD patients in a previously performed study. This result is not surprising, as lung function parameters such as FEV1 and peak expiratory flow (PEF) reflect central airways patency. Conversely, symptom improvement would be a good indication of improved lung deposition, and this has already been shown with BDP HFA extrafine aerosol in asthmatic patients.

Interestingly, the efficacy of extrafine BDP/formoterol HFA fixed combination has been shown to be superior to equipotent doses of non extrafine BDP plus formoterol administered via separate inhalers in improving clinical measures of asthma control. Superiority of a fixed combination over the same drugs given separately has not been reported with budesonide/formoterol or fluticasone propionate/salmeterol. This observation with BDP/formoterol extrafine, used at the same dose and independent of lung function. Assuming that the radioactive label is uniformly distributed within the BDP/formoterol HFA formulation (as indicated by the good agreement between the distribution of radioactive label and the drugs in this study), these results indicate that both components are distributed throughout the lung, including the peripheral Airways, which in turn increases the potential for synergistic interaction.
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