

Published in final edited form as:

*Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2007 August 15; 464(2): 155–168. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2007.03.028.

## SELECTIVE, COMPETITIVE AND MECHANISM-BASED INHIBITORS OF HUMAN CYTOCHROME P450 2J2

Pierre LAFITE<sup>a</sup>, Sylvie DIJOLS<sup>a</sup>, Darryl C. ZELDIN<sup>b</sup>, Patrick M. DANSETTE<sup>a</sup>, and Daniel MANSUY<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques - UMR 8601 Université Paris Descartes, CNRS, 45 Rue des Saints-Pères, 75270 Paris Cedex 06, France

<sup>b</sup> NIEHS, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA

### Abstract

Twenty five derivatives of the drugs terfenadine and ebastine have been designed, synthesized, and evaluated as inhibitors of recombinant human CYP2J2. Compound **14**, which has an imidazole substituent, is a good non competitive inhibitor of CYP2J2 ( $IC_{50} = 400$  nM). It is not selective towards CYP2J2 as it also efficiently inhibits the other main vascular CYPs, such as CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4; however, it could be an interesting tool to inhibit all these vascular CYPs. Compounds **4**, **5** and **13**, which have a propyl, allyl and benzo-1,3-dioxole terminal groups, respectively, are selective CYP2J2 inhibitors. Compound **4** is a high-affinity, competitive inhibitor and alternative substrate of CYP2J2 ( $K_i = 160 \pm 50$  nM). Compound **5** and **13** are efficient mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP2J2 ( $k_{inact}/K_I$  values  $\sim 3000$  L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>). Inactivation of CYP2J2 by **13** is due to the formation of a stable iron-carbene bond which occurs upon CYP2J2-catalyzed oxidation of **13** with a partition ratio of  $18 \pm 3$ . These new selective inhibitors should be interesting tools to study the biological roles of CYP2J2.

### Keywords

Ebastine; terfenadine; drug metabolism; arachidonic acid epoxidation; vascular P450s; benzo-1,3-dioxole; hydroxylation; monooxygenases; hemeproteins

## INTRODUCTION

Cytochromes P450 (CYPs) constitute a superfamily of hemoproteins that play key roles in the metabolism of a large variety of xenobiotics and endogenous compounds [1]. In the human genome, 57 genes have been found to code for CYPs [2]. The main CYPs implicated in drug metabolism, such as CYP3A4, CYP2C9 or CYP2D6, and those responsible for the biosynthesis of steroid hormones have been extensively studied, and several x-ray structures of human CYPs have been recently published [3–10]. Much less is known about more recently discovered

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed Tel.: 33 (0)1 42 86 40 62; fax: 33 (0)1 42 86 83 87, daniel.mansuy@univ-paris5.fr.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary data associated with this article (main <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and MS characteristics of compounds **1**, **3**, **4**, **6**, **9**, **11–13**, **15**, **17**, **5**, **14**, **7**, **8**, **19**, **18**, and **20–24**; Figure showing the effects of **4** or GSH on the rate of CYP 2J2 inactivation by **13**) can be found, in the online version of this article.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

human CYPs such as CYP2J2 [2,11,12]. This cytochrome seems to be primarily expressed in heart [11]; it has also been found in kidney, liver, lung and the gastrointestinal tract [11–14]. CYP2J2 has been found to catalyze the epoxidation of arachidonic acid to four cis-epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs), with regio- and stereo-selectivities that match those of the EETs isolated from heart tissue [11]. Some EETs-derived metabolites play important roles in the regulation of vascular tone [15,16]. Moreover, it has been shown that CYP2J2-derived metabolites are involved in the recovery of heart function following ischemia in mice [17], that the risk of coronary artery disease is associated with polymorphisms in CYP2J2 gene in humans [18], and that CYP2J2-derived products are involved in cardiac electrophysiology [19,20]. EETs and EETs-derived metabolites are also involved in a host of processes related to cancer cell behavior, angiogenesis and tumor pathogenesis [21,22]. Very recent data suggest that CYP2J2 promotes the neoplastic phenotype of carcinoma cells and may represent a novel biomarker and potential target for therapy of human cancers [23]. Besides these possible roles in the metabolism of endogenous compounds, CYP2J2 could be implied in the metabolism of some drugs, especially at the intestinal level. Thus, CYP2J2 has been shown to contribute to the metabolism of three drugs, ebastine [24,25], astemizole [26] and terfenadine [27].

Little data are presently available on the active site topology and substrate specificity of CYP2J2 [2]. Quite recently, preliminary results have shown that it was possible to obtain high-affinity inhibitors for CYP2J2, by chemical modification of terfenadone [28], that is an isomer of ebastine and a derivative of the drug terfenadine (see Figure 1 and Table 1). This article describes the design, synthesis, and evaluation as CYP2J2 inhibitors of 25 derivatives of terfenadone and ebastine. It also reports the compared effects of these compounds towards the other main CYPs that are present in the vascular system, such as CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4. Finally, it describes a detailed study of the mechanism of inhibition of CYP2J2 by some of these compounds and reveals a high-affinity and selective, competitive inhibitor of CYP2J2, and two selective, mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP2J2.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Commercial chemicals

All chemicals used in this study were of the highest purity available. Organic and HPLC solvents were purchased from SDS (Peypin, France); ebastine was provided by Almirall (Paris, France). NADP<sup>+</sup>, glucose 6-phosphate and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase were purchased from Boehringer-Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany). Paclitaxel, amodiaquine, reduced glutathione (GSH), 7-benzyloxyresorufin, resorufin, diclofenac, testosterone, ticlopidine, sulfaphenazole and ketoconazole were obtained from Sigma chemicals (Lyon, France). 3',4'-Dihydroxypropiophenone and 3,4-(methylenedioxy)propiophenone were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Strasbourg, France). Montelukast was obtained from Sequoia Research (Oxford, UK).

### Physical measurements

UV-visible spectra were recorded at room temperature using an Uvikon 941 spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 27 °C on a Bruker ARX-250 instrument; chemical shifts are reported downfield from (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Si and coupling constants are in Hz. The abbreviations s, d, t, q, m, bs, dd, dt, td and tt are used for singlet, doublet, triplet, quadruplet, massif, broad singlet, doublet of doublets, doublet of triplets, triplet of doublets and triplet of triplets, respectively. Mass spectra (MS) were performed using a LCQ Advantage-ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, Les Ulis, France). MS ionization was carried out using an electrospray ionization (ESI) source in positive mode, with a capillary temperature of 275 °C, a capillary voltage of 21 V and a spray voltage of 5 kV. This ionization gave the molecular ion (M+H<sup>+</sup>) indicated for each compound. The mentioned fragments were obtained from

tandem mass spectrometry (MS<sup>2</sup>) that was performed with activated broadband and a fragmentation power set to 40–50 %, depending on the substrate. Compound **11** contains one bromine atom, and all peaks corresponding to the molecular ion or fragments involving a Br atom exhibited the isotope cluster expected for the presence of one Br atom (with a M:M+2 ratio of 51:49).

### Synthesis of ebastine and terfenadone derivatives

All compounds were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and ESI-ion trap MS<sup>2</sup> in positive mode. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy analysis in the presence of an internal standard showed that all these compounds were more than 95 % pure. Most compounds were synthesized according to the scheme indicated on Fig. 2. This was the case of compounds **1**, **3**, **4**, **6–9**, **11–13**, **15** and **19–21**. Compounds **5** and **14** were prepared from **11**. Compound **17** was synthesized by a very similar protocol using 4-(4-propylphenyl)butylmethane sulfonate as an intermediate. Compounds **2**, **10**, **16**, and **25** were a gift from Dr. Didier Buisson (UMR 8601, Paris); their syntheses will be described elsewhere (El Ouarradi *et al.*, in preparation). Finally, compounds **22**, **23**, and **24** were obtained from dehydration of **1**, **15**, and terfenadine, respectively. Compounds **1**, **11**, **20**, **22** and **24** were reported in a patent [29]; however their <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectrum characteristics were not described. The main <sup>1</sup>H NMR and MS characteristics of compounds **1**, **3**, **4**, **6**, **9**, **11–13**, **15**, **17**, **5**, **14**, **7**, **8**, **19**, **18**, and **20–24** (in the order of appearance in following paragraphs *a*) to *h*)) as well as those of some of their precursors are given in Supplementary Materials.

**a) Synthesis of the intermediates (see Fig. 2)**—4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidine [30] and 1,1-difluoro-2-phenylethane [31] were prepared as described previously.

#### **Typical procedure for the synthesis of the intermediate alkylchlorides by Friedel-Crafts**

**acylations:** To a solution of aromatic starting compound C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-**R** (see Fig. 2) (15 mmol) and 4-chlorobutanoyl chloride (15 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub> or SnCl<sub>4</sub> (20 mmol) was progressively added at room temperature under argon. After stirring at room temperature for 2–18 h then at 40°C for 2–7 h, the mixture was poured into ice water and stirred overnight. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined extract was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent evaporated. Compounds were purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>) (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 90/10). The obtained alkylchlorides were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and used as such for the following reactions.

**Synthesis of 4-(4-propylphenyl)butylmethanesulfonate:** 4-(4-Propylphenyl)butanoic acid was prepared as described previously [32,33]. A solution of this carboxylic acid (1.03 g, 5 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was slowly added to a suspension of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (227 mg, 6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at room temperature (10 min). The mixture was stirred until the end of gas emission. Iodine (635 mg, 2.5 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was then added slowly (10 min) at room temperature. The medium was further stirred for 1h. Dilute HCl (7 mL, 1N) was added carefully and the mixture extracted with ether. The combined ether extracts were washed with 1M NaOH and brine, and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation of the organic layer gave 4-(4-propylphenyl)butan-1-ol which was purified by column chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> then +10% ether), and was obtained as a pale yellow oil in a 61 % yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 0.92 (3H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 1.19 (1H, bs), 1.65 (6H, m), 2.56 (4H, m), 3.65 (2H, m), 7.07 (4H, s). Methanesulfonyl chloride (326 μL, 4.22 mmol) was then added to a stirred solution of this alcohol (405 mg, 2.11 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6.5 mL) containing pyridine (1.48 mL) at 0°C. This solution was stirred under an argon atmosphere for 20 h at room temperature, diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with aqueous sulfuric acid (1%, 2 × 50 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL), and brine, and reextracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent

was removed under vacuum to afford a colourless oil (100% yield).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  0.92 (3H, t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 1.61 (2H, m), 1.65 (4H, m), 2.53 (2H, t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 2.61 (2H, m), 2.96 (3H, s), 4.21 (2H, t,  $J = 5.8$  Hz), 7.07 (4H, s).

**b) General procedure for N-alkylation of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -diphenyl-4-piperidinomethanol (terfenadone derivatives) or 4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidine (ebastine derivatives) [34], used for the synthesis of 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11–13, 15, and 17**—The piperidine derivative (1 eq.), anhydrous  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (2 eq.) and KI (0.2 eq.) were added to a solution of 4-chlorobutan-1-one-derived compounds (1 eq.) in dry DMF. The resulting mixture was refluxed under argon for 24 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed under vacuum to give a residue that was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , washed with water, and dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . After evaporation of the solvent, products were purified by column chromatography on  $\text{SiO}_2$  ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  then 10% MeOH), and by dissolution in ether to filter the insoluble impurities.

**c) Synthesis of 1-(4-allylphenyl)-4-(4-(hydroxydiphenylmethyl)piperidin-1-yl)butan-1-one, 5**—Coupling of **11** (620 mg, 1.26 mmol) with allyltributyltin (0.5 mL) in DMF (13 mL) was performed in the presence of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (90 mg) under argon, 30 min at room temperature then 6 h at  $110^\circ\text{C}$ . Purification by column chromatography ( $\text{SiO}_2$   $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  - MeOH 95:5) led to compound **5** as a white powder in 45% yield.

**d) Preparation of 1-(4-N-imidazolylphenyl)-4-(4-(hydroxydiphenylmethyl)piperidin-1-yl)butan-1-one, 14**—Coupling of **11** (0.13 mmol) with imidazole (0.16 mmol) was performed in the presence of CuI (0.013 mmol), proline (0.13 mmol) and  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (0.26 mmol) [35]. The reaction was performed under argon at  $95^\circ\text{C}$  during 40 h. After ethyl acetate extraction and drying on anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , **14** was purified on  $\text{SiO}_2$  ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  90:10) and led to a brown oil (54 % yield)

**e) Preparation of 4-(4-(hydroxydiphenylmethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenyl)butan-1-one, 7, 4-(4-(hydroxydiphenylmethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(3-hydroxypropyl)phenyl)butan-1-one, 8, and 4-(4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenyl)butan-1-one, 19, from their acetate precursors**—The acetate precursor (1 mmol) was heated under reflux in 5 mL EtOH with 70 mg NaOH (1.75 mmol) for 2.5 h. After evaporation of EtOH, the residue was diluted with water and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The organic phase was dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . After evaporation of the solvent, compounds **7**, **8** and **19** were purified by column chromatography ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  - EtOH 90:10) and by dissolution in ether to filter insoluble impurities.

**f) Synthesis of 4-(4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(2-tertibutyl)phenyl)butan-1-ol, 18, from reduction of ebastine**—A suspension of  $\text{NaBH}_4$  (64.4 mg, 1.7 mmol) in MeOH (4 mL) was slowly added to a solution of ebastine (200 mg, 0.4 mmol) in distilled THF (8 mL) at  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . After 7h of stirring, HCl (1 mL, 1 M) was added to hydrolyze residual  $\text{NaBH}_4$  and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined extract was washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . After filtration, **18** was purified by column chromatography on  $\text{SiO}_2$  ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  then 5 % MeOH) and was obtained as white powder in 81 % yield.

**g) Synthesis of 4-[4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidin-1-yl]-1-(4-vinylphenyl)butan-1-one, 20, and 4-[4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidin-1-yl]-1-[4-(2-thiomethoxy)ethylphenyl]butan-1-one, 21, from 19**—Compound **19** (100mg, 0.22mmol) in the presence of ethylamine (30 $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.21 mmol) was cooled to  $0^\circ\text{C}$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2mL). Methanesulfonyl chloride (20  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.26 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was

kept at 0°C for 2h. After evaporation, 2-(4-{4-[4-(diphenylmethoxy)piperidin-1-yl]-1-oxobutyl}phenyl)ethyl methanesulfonate was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> then 7 % EtOH). Then, dissolution in ether to filter insoluble impurities gave the desired product (110mg, 0.21 mmol, 95 % yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.66 (2H, m), 1.91 (4H, m), 2.12 (4H, m), 2.37 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 2.86 (3H, s), 2.95 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 3.09 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 3.40 (1H, m), 4.42 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 5.48 (1H, s), 7.17–7.30 (12H, m), 7.91 (2H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz). MS<sup>2</sup> (ESI<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* 536 (*M* + H<sup>+</sup>), 269, 227, 167. Reaction of this methanesulfonate derivative (1 eq.) with 2eq. of EtONa in 2 mL MeOH at 50°C for 20h gave **20**, whereas a very similar reaction with 1 eq. of CH<sub>3</sub>SNa in 1.5 mL dry DMF led to **21**. The reaction mixtures were extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the products were purified by chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> then 7 % MeOH).

**h) Synthesis of 4-[4-(diphenylmethylene)piperidin-1-yl]-1-[4-*tert*iobutylphenyl]butan-1-one **22**, 1-[4-(2-aminoethyl)phenyl]-4-[4-(diphenylmethylene)piperidin-1-yl]butan-1-one **23**, and 4-(diphenylmethylene)-1-[4-(4-*tert*iobutylphenyl)but-3-enyl]piperidine hydrochloride **24**, from dehydration of **1**, **15** and terfenadine, respectively**—Derivative **1** (100 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 10 mL HCl (3 M, 30 mmol) was heated to reflux for 6h. After neutralization, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and compound **22** was purified by TLC on SiO<sub>2</sub> (ethyl acetate, then 20 % EtOH).

### Origins of recombinant cytochromes P450

CYP2J2 was co-expressed with human P450 reductase in baculovirus-infected *Spodoptera frugiperda* insect cells (*Sf9*) and microsomes of these cells were prepared as described previously [11]. CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4 were expressed in a previously described yeast strain W(R)fur1 [36] system, in which yeast cytochrome P450 reductase was overexpressed. Transformation by a pYeDP60 vector containing one of the human liver CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4 cDNAs was then performed according to a general method of construction of yeast strain W(R)fur 1 expressing various human liver P450s [37–39]. Yeast culture and microsomes preparation were performed by using previously described techniques [40]. Microsomes were homogenized in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) containing 1 mM EDTA and 20% glycerol (v/v), aliquoted, frozen under liquid N<sub>2</sub>, and stored at –80 °C until use. P450 contents of yeast microsomes or insect cell microsomes were 90, 30, 30, 300 and 100 pmol P450/mg protein for CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 3A4, and 2J2 respectively. Those contents were determined spectrally using the method of Omura and Sato [41]. Some comparative experiments were done using microsomes from baculovirus-infected insect cells expressing CYP 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, and 3A4 (Supersomes from BD Discovery Labware, Woburn, MA, USA).

### Enzyme activity assays

**a) Hydroxylation of ebastine**—The assay for hydroxylation of ebastine by CYP2J2 [24] was performed at 37 °C in the presence of a NADPH-generating system (1 mM NADP<sup>+</sup>, 10 mM glucose 6-phosphate, and 2 units/mL glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase) using a previously described method [28]. The concentrations of ebastine and CYP2J2 were 0.5 μM and 1 nM, respectively. The reaction was done at 37 °C for 2 to 5 min.

**b) O-Debenzylation of 7-benzyloxyresorufin**—The protocol used for measuring the oxidation of 7-benzyloxyresorufin by CYP2B6 was based on a modification of the spectrofluorometric method described by Burke and Mayer [42]. A mixture containing 0.5 μM 7-benzyloxyresorufin and yeast microsomes expressing CYP2B6 (10 nM) in 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.8 containing 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> for a total volume of 985 μL was preincubated at 37 °C for 3 min. Reaction was started with the addition of NADPH (100 μM) and formation of resorufin was monitored by fluorimetry, with an excitation wavelength of 535 nm and an



emission wavelength at 580 nm. After 2 to 3 min, during this fluorimetric monitoring, inhibitor was added and the change of slope of the activity vs. time curve was observed. Then, quantitation of metabolite was done by addition of authentic resorufin (20 nM final concentration).

**c) 6a-Hydroxylation of paclitaxel**—Hydroxylation of paclitaxel by CYP2C8 [43] was assayed as described previously [44] (10  $\mu$ M substrate, 10 nM CYP2C8, 5 min at 28 °C).

**d) N-Deethylation of amodiaquine**—N-deethylation of amodiaquine by microsomes of yeast cells expressing CYP2C8 was performed according to a previously reported procedure [45] (1  $\mu$ M substrate, 10 nM CYP2C8, 10 min at 28 °C).

**e) 4'-Hydroxylation of diclofenac**—Diclofenac hydroxylation by CYP2C9 was carried out using a previously reported protocol [46] (15  $\mu$ M substrate, 20 nM CYP2C9, 10 min at 28 °C).

**f) 6 $\beta$ -Hydroxylation of testosterone**—The assay for testosterone 6 $\beta$ -hydroxylation was performed as described previously [47] (20  $\mu$ M substrate, 10 nM CYP3A4, 20 nM cytochrome b<sub>5</sub>, 10 min at 28 °C).

### Study of CYP2J2 inactivation by derivatives 5 and 13

**a) General incubation procedure**—All incubations were performed at 37 °C in triplicate, using glass tubes in a shaking bath. The incubation mixture contained insect cell microsomes expressing CYP2J2, an inhibitor, and a NADPH-generating system in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 containing 1 mM EDTA.


**b) Time course analysis of the oxidation of compound 13 by CYP2J2-expressed insect cell microsomes**—Compound 13 was incubated at 37 °C in the presence of insect cell microsomes expressing CYP2J2 (10 nM) and reaction was started by the addition ( $t_0 = 0$  min) of the NADPH-generating system, which had been pre-incubated at 37 °C for 3 min (total final volume of 2 mL). At  $t_0$  and regularly thereafter, aliquots (200  $\mu$ L) were taken and were mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of a cold CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (10:1) mixture to quickly stop the enzymatic reaction. Proteins were precipitated by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was stored at -40°C for HPLC/MS/UV analysis. The apparatus for HPLC/MS-UV analysis was composed of a Surveyor HPLC system and LCQ Advantage-ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, Les Ulis, France). Elution was carried out on a Betabasic-18 column (100  $\times$  2.1 mm, 3.5  $\mu$ ) (Thermo Finnigan, Les Ulis, France). The mobile phase consisted of water/acetonitrile/formic acid (80/20/1) (solvent A) and acetonitrile/formic acid (99/1) (solvent B), at a flow rate of 200  $\mu$ L/min. Elution was performed with a linear gradient from 0% to 45 % B in 5 min, followed by an increase of B to 55% in 17 min, and by 4 min at 100 % B. Quantification of the metabolite formed was carried by monitoring of the effluent at 310 and 275 nm.

**c) Incubation for inactivation kinetics**—The experimental protocols for determining the kinetic parameters of CYP2J2 inactivation were based on the previously described procedures for other mechanism-based inhibitors [48–50]. Insect cells microsomes (30 nM P450) were incubated under the conditions described above, in the presence of inhibitor concentrations ranging from 1 to 20  $\mu$ M. At  $t_0$  and regularly thereafter, aliquots (25  $\mu$ L) were removed from the incubation medium and immediately processed to determine residual ebastine hydroxylase activity.

**d) Determination of the residual monooxygenase activity**—Routine experimental procedures to determine the enzymatic activity remaining after exposure to a suicide substrate [50] need the use of an alternative substrate to assay the remaining activity in a second incubation period. To dramatically decrease the influence of the already present inactivator substrate on the accurate determination of enzymatic activity, samples were diluted 20-fold in the standard medium assay. Briefly, 25  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots taken from CYP2J2 inactivation experiments were rapidly diluted in a total volume of 500  $\mu\text{L}$  containing 20  $\mu\text{M}$  ebastine and a NADPH-generating system. At  $t_0 = 0$  min, 150  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots were removed and quenched by adding 75  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$  (10:1) cold mixture and vortexing. The remaining medium was incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  and two other 150  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots were removed at  $t = 2$  and 4 min to be treated as the first one. In all experiments, the initial rate for ebastine hydroxylation activity was taken as a measure of the maximal activity (100 % activity).

**e) Effect of a competitive inhibitor and glutathione on CYP2J2 inactivation by compound 13**—Incubations for inactivation measurement were carried out as described above in order to determine the time course of enzyme inactivation in the presence of compound 4 or GSH. Compound 4 (50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) or GSH (5 mM) were added at  $t_0$  of the inactivation incubation assay containing 5  $\mu\text{M}$  compound 13. At the indicated times, the residual activity was determined as described above. 100% activity was defined for remaining activity measured at  $t_0$  for each incubation conditions. Control assays under non-catalysis conditions (in the absence of the NADPH-generating system) were carried out in parallel with the experimental incubations.

**f) Inactivation kinetics analysis**—Kinetic parameters of the inactivation process were calculated according to previously described models [48,50]. This process can be represented in the simplest way by the following equations:



where ES is the initial enzyme-substrate complex converted to an activated species,  $\text{ES}^*$ , which can either react with the enzyme that leads to inactivated enzyme ( $\text{E}_\text{I}$ ), or break down to yield free enzyme and product (P).  $k_{-1}/k_1$  represents the dissociation constant  $K_\text{S}$ , and  $k_3/k_4$  is the partition ratio between the number of productive turnovers and the number of turnovers leading to enzyme inactivation. The pseudo-first order rate constant for the inactivation process ( $k_{\text{inact}}$ ) is  $k_2k_4/(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)$ . The  $K_\text{I}$  constant, a term used for mechanism-based enzyme inactivators, is  $[(k_{-1} + k_2)/k_1][(k_2 + k_4)/(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)]$ . Calculation of those constants were performed using the analytical treatment described by Kitz and Wilson [51].

**g) UV-visible spectra observed during interaction of compound 13 with CYP2J2**—A suspension of microsomes from insect cells expressing CYP2J2 (100 nM P450) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 0.1 mM EDTA was equally divided between two 200  $\mu\text{L}$  black quartz cuvettes (1 cm path length). 3  $\mu\text{L}$  of a DMSO solution of compound 13 were added to the sample cuvette, the same volume of solvent being added to the reference cuvette, and a baseline was recorded between 350 and 500 nm. 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of NADPH (final concentration of 100  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was then added ( $t_0 = 0$  min) to the sample cuvette and difference spectra were recorded at  $t_0$  and every min thereafter for 20 min between 350 and 500 nm.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Synthesis of three series of derivatives of terfenadone, dehydroterfenadone and ebastine

The choice of terfenadone, **1**, and ebastine as starting points for the design of high-affinity inhibitors of CYP2J2 was based on: (i) the high regioselectivity of the CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylations of **1** and ebastine, in favor of the least reactive part of these substrates (Fig. 1), which implies their strict positioning in the CYP2J2 active site to keep their t-butyl group in close proximity of the heme iron for transfer of an oxygen atom from O<sub>2</sub>, and (ii) the high affinity of **1** and ebastine for CYP2J2, as indicated by the IC<sub>50</sub> value of **1** for CYP2J2 inhibition (0.7 μM) [28] and the K<sub>m</sub> of CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine (1 μM) [24].

Sixteen derivatives of terfenadone were synthesized and compared to terfenadone and the drug terfenadine, as CYP2J2 inhibitors (Table 1). Most of them derive from terfenadone by replacement of its t-butyl group with various **R** groups of different size and polarity. This includes **R** groups bearing chemical functions well known to lead to suicide inactivation of cytochrome P450 after *in situ* oxidation [52–56]. This is the case of the terminal double bond of compound **5**, of the CHF<sub>2</sub> function of compound **12**, and of the benzo-1,3-dioxole function of compound **13** (Table 1). The structure of compounds **5**, **12** and **13** was chosen so that the CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation occurs at the site leading to inactivating metabolites, assuming that hydroxylation of these compounds should occur on the homobenzylic position, as the hydroxylation of terfenadone [28], terfenadine [27] and ebastine [24]. The general synthetic route used for the preparation of the terfenadone and ebastine derivatives has been described previously [28]; it is recalled in Figure 2. Compounds **16** and **17**, in which the keto group of **1** and **4** was replaced with a CH<sub>2</sub> group, were also synthesized to evaluate the importance of this keto group in CYP2J2 inhibition. Compounds **5** and **14** were obtained from reaction of **11** with allyltributyltin and imidazole, respectively. In the ebastine series, compounds **20** and **21** were prepared from reactions of the methanesulfonate of **19** with sodium ethanolate and sodium thiomethoxide, respectively (see Materials and Methods). Finally, compounds **22**, **23** and **24**, that are derived from dehydro-terfenadone, were obtained by treatment of compounds **1**, **15** and terfenadine by HCl in boiling water. All compounds were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in the presence of an internal standard showed that all these compounds were more than 95 % pure.

### Comparison of the inhibitory effects of the terfenadone and ebastine derivatives towards CYP2J2

The fourth columns of Tables 1, 2 and 3 compare the IC<sub>50</sub> values found for the inhibition of ebastine hydroxylation catalyzed by recombinant CYP2J2 expressed in baculovirus-infected *Sf9* insect cells. These IC<sub>50</sub> values vary from 0.4 to 23 μM.

The presence of a terminal hydrophobic group (Ph<sub>2</sub>CHO-, Ph<sub>2</sub>C(OH)- or Ph<sub>2</sub>C=) in ω position relative to the hydroxylation site is important for the affinity of the inhibitors. This is indicated by the IC<sub>50</sub> value of **25**, which is 19-fold higher than that of terfenadone **1** (13 and 0.7 μM, respectively). The hydrophobicity of this terminal group appears to be the most important factor for the affinity, as the removal of the tertiary alcohol function of **1** or of the ether function of ebastine resulting in **22** does not lead to any significant loss of affinity (IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.7 and 1 μM for **1** and ebastine to be compared to an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.9 μM for **22**).

The presence of the keto function *para* to the **R** group is also important for the affinity of the compounds. This is shown by the marked increase of the IC<sub>50</sub> value observed after reduction of the CO function of **1** into CHO or CH<sub>2</sub> functions (0.7, 8 and 3.6 μM for **1**, terfenadine and **16** respectively). Similar increases of IC<sub>50</sub> by a factor of about 10 were also found when passing from **4** to **17** and from **22** to **24** (Tables 1 and 3).



The nature of the **R** substituent has a great influence on the affinity of the inhibitors towards CYP2J2. The best results were obtained with hydrophobic C<sub>3</sub> alkyl chains, as the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> values were observed for compounds **4** and **5** (0.4 μM) for which **R** is a propyl or an allyl group. Any increase or decrease of the chain length, as in **6** or **3** respectively, led to an increase of the IC<sub>50</sub> value. Moreover, the introduction of polar groups into **R** always led to a marked increase of the IC<sub>50</sub> (compare for instance **7**, **8**, **9**, and **15** with **4** or **6**, and **10** with **3**). In that regard, the low IC<sub>50</sub> value observed for compound **14**, for which **R** is a quite polar imidazole group, is a particular case. Its good affinity for CYP2J2 is due to the presence of the imidazole heterocycle, which is a well-known ligand of P450 iron [52] and should strongly bind to the iron of CYP2J2. Accordingly, addition of **14** to a suspension of microsomes from insect cells expressing CYP2J2 led, in difference visible spectroscopy, to a spectrum characterized by a peak at 432 nm and a trough at 415 nm (type II difference spectrum [57]) (data not shown). These data confirmed that **14** binds to CYP2J2 iron through the accessible nitrogen atom of its imidazole moiety.

### Selectivity of the inhibitors towards CYP2J2 by comparison with other vascular P450s

Tables 1–3 also compare the inhibitory effects of the terfenadone and ebastine derivatives towards the other main CYPs that have been reported to be present in the blood vessels, namely CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4 [58–61]. The reference activities that were followed to measure these inhibitory effects were 7-benzyloxyresorufin O-debenzylase [42], paclitaxel 6α-hydroxylase [43], diclofenac 4'-hydroxylase [62] and testosterone 6β-hydroxylase [47] respectively. The substrate concentrations used in these experiments were equal to the K<sub>m</sub> of the activity followed for each CYP (see Materials and Methods). Microsomes of the W(R)fur1 yeast strain expressing each CYP and overexpressing yeast cytochrome P450 reductase [36] were used. We have confirmed that the IC<sub>50</sub> values measured with these systems were very similar to those measured by using microsomes of insect cells expressing CYP 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, and 3A4 in the case of compounds **1**, **3–6**, **11**, **13**, **14** and **17** (less than 15 % variation of the IC<sub>50</sub> values).

Among all the studied compounds, the imidazole derivative **14** exhibited a particular behavior, as it acted as a good, but non selective inhibitor of all the studied CYPs, with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 0.4 to 5.2 μM. However, it is noteworthy that it exhibited the best affinity for CYP2J2 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.4 μM). Even though **14** is not a selective inhibitor for CYP2J2, it could be useful to inhibit all the vascular CYPs and, consequently, all the activities of arachidonic acid epoxidation at the vascular level.

As far as all the other compounds mentioned in Tables 1–3 are concerned, the main conclusions drawn from those tables are the following ones:

- i. none of the studied compounds led to a significant inhibition of CYP2C8 (IC<sub>50</sub> > 100 μM). In order to confirm these results, we have also studied the effects of the terfenadone and ebastine derivatives towards another usual CYP2C8 activity, the N-deethylation of amodiaquine [45]. Both CYP2C8-catalyzed activities were not significantly inhibited by compounds **1–25**, their IC<sub>50</sub> values always being higher than 100 μM.
- ii. CYP2C9 was inhibited with IC<sub>50</sub> values between 10 and 69 μM, which were, in general, about 10 fold higher than those found for CYP2J2.
- iii. the previous conclusion concerning CYP2C9 is globally valid for CYP2B6, with IC<sub>50</sub> values varying from 7 to 90 μM, if one excludes compound **23** that appeared to be a very good inhibitor of CYP2B6. This inhibitory effect of **23** towards CYP2B6, and to a lesser extent towards CYP2J2, could be due to a strong binding of its terminal NH<sub>2</sub> function to P450 iron.

- iv. the studied compounds inhibited CYP3A4 with  $IC_{50}$  values from 0.9 to 68  $\mu M$ , that were generally intermediate between those found for CYP2J2 and for CYP2C9 (or 2B6).

The best inhibitors of CYP2J2, **4** and **5**, are reasonably selective towards this cytochrome as their  $IC_{50}$  values towards the other studied CYPs are at least 20-fold (for **4**) and 14-fold (for **5**) higher than those found for CYP2J2.

This preliminary study of the  $IC_{50}$  values of the terfenadone and ebastine derivatives allowed us to select the following compounds for further, more detailed studies. Compound **4** was chosen because of its  $IC_{50}$  value of 0.4  $\mu M$ , which was the lowest one and of its good selectivity towards CYP2J2. The choice of compound **14** was made because of its low  $IC_{50}$  and because it could be considered as an inhibitor for all the main vascular CYPs. Finally, compounds **5** and **13** were selected because preliminary experiments showed us that their inhibitory effects increased as a function of the incubation time, suggesting that they could be mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP2J2.

### Study of the mode of inhibition of CYP2J2 by **4**

Kinetic studies of the inhibition of CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine by **4** were performed at various ebastine (0.2 to 5  $\mu M$ ) and **4** (0 to 2  $\mu M$ ) concentrations. The Lineweaver-Burk plots of the reciprocal of the reaction rate vs the reciprocal of ebastine concentration, at different concentrations of **4**, indicated that **4** acts as a competitive inhibitor of CYP2J2 (intercept of the straight lines on the y axis [63], data not shown). The Dixon plot of  $1/v$  vs the concentration of **4** led to a  $K_i$  value for the inhibition of CYP2J2 by **4** of  $0.16 \pm 0.05 \mu M$  (Figure 3). This value was in excellent agreement with the  $K_i$  value (0.2  $\mu M$ ) that may be calculated from the  $IC_{50}$  value of Table 1, assuming that  $K_i = IC_{50}/2$  for a competitive inhibitor [64].

Actually, **4** is a substrate of CYP2J2; it is hydroxylated at the level of its propyl group, as expected from its great analogy with terfenadone (P. Lafite *et al.*, publication in preparation). Thus, compound **4** is a competitive inhibitor and an alternative substrate of CYP2J2. We have confirmed that the concentration of **4** did not vary in a significant manner (less than 10 % consumption) under the conditions used in kinetic experiments of study of its inhibitory effects towards CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine (very low CYP2J2 concentration of 1 nM and short incubation times, 2–4 min).

### Characterization of the inhibition of CYP2J2 by **14**

In order to analyze the type of inhibition of CYP2J2 by **14**, the Lineweaver-Burk plots of the reciprocal of the reaction rate vs the reciprocal of ebastine concentration were drawn at different **14** concentrations. Figure 4 shows that **14** acts as a mixed-type inhibitor of CYP2J2, with a competitive and a non-competitive component [65]. The Dixon plot drawn for the inhibition of CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine by **14** led to an evaluation of the competitive and the non-competitive inhibition constants  $K_i^C$  and  $K_i^{NC}$ , respectively (data not shown). The values deduced from the Dixon plot,  $K_i^C = 0.2 \pm 0.1 \mu M$  and  $K_i^{NC} = 2.4 \pm 0.4 \mu M$ , suggest that **14** is a mixed-type inhibitor, with a strong competitive component, as  $K_i^C$  is 10-fold lower than  $K_i^{NC}$ .

### Mechanism of inhibition of CYP2J2 by compounds **5** and **13**

To further analyze the time-dependent variation of the inhibitory effects of **5** and **13**, insect microsomes containing recombinant CYP2J2 were preincubated with **5** or **13** in the presence or absence of NADPH (i.e. under catalysis or non catalysis conditions), and the remaining

enzymatic activity was measured using ebastine as substrate, as a function of the preincubation time.

Incubation of microsomes with **5** in the presence of NADPH led to a progressive loss of CYP2J2 activity as a function of time (Fig. 5A). With 20  $\mu\text{M}$  **5**, 50 % of the activity was lost after 7 min and only 20 % remained after 20 min. Loss of CYP2J2 activity was faster after incubation with identical concentrations of **13**, as 50 % of the activity was lost after 1.5 min and only 20 % remained after 4 min in the presence of 20  $\mu\text{M}$  **13** (Fig. 5B). Incubations under identical conditions but in the absence of NADPH did not lead to any significant loss of CYP2J2 activity. In the absence of either **5** or **13**, less than 10 % of the activity was lost after 10 min (data not shown). These results confirmed the existence of a catalysis-dependent inactivation of CYP2J2 upon oxidation of **5** and **13**.

**Kinetics of CYP2J2 inactivation by 5 and 13**—Figure 5A shows that the loss of CYP2J2 activity as a function of time after incubation in the presence of NADPH and various **5** concentrations followed the classical kinetics previously described for other CYP suicide-substrates [54, 55]. The time required for half-maximal inactivation,  $t_{1/2}$ , and the apparent first-order constant,  $k_{\text{inact}}$ , were calculated from the logarithmic transformation of the remaining activity as a function of time, as depicted in Figure 5A. Plots of  $t_{1/2}$  versus reciprocal **5** concentration (Figure 5A, inset) led to the kinetic constants of the inactivation process (see Materials and Methods). From extrapolation to infinite **5** concentration, the time required to inactivate half of the enzyme, at the maximal rate,  $t_{1/2\text{max}}$ , and the maximal  $k_{\text{inact}}$  were  $8.7 \pm 2.2$  min and  $0.08 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$ , respectively (Table 4). The inhibition constant,  $K_I$ , was found to be  $0.45 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{M}$ , and the second-order rate constant  $k_{\text{inact}}/K_I$ , a proper index of the *in vitro* effectiveness of a compound as inactivator [66], was found to be  $2960 \pm 1000 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$ .

Identical experiments were performed in the case of compound **13** (Figure 5B) and led to the kinetic constants reported in Table 4. The efficiencies of **5** and **13** as inactivators of CYP2J2 are similar, if one compares their  $k_{\text{inact}}/K_I$  values ( $2960$  and  $2700 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively). In fact, the inactivation rate of CYP2J2 by **13** is 6-times higher than that found in the case of **5** ( $0.47 \pm 0.05$  instead of  $0.08 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ). At the opposite, the affinity of **5** for CYP2J2 seems to be higher than that of **13**, as suggested by the  $K_I$  ( $0.45 \pm 0.05$  and  $2.9 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}$  respectively, Table 4) and  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values ( $0.4 \pm 0.1$  and  $6.7 \pm 2 \mu\text{M}$ , respectively, Table 1) found for these compounds. Table 4 also compares kinetic constants reported for other CYP mechanism-based inactivators [56]. It indicates that **5** and **13** are reasonably efficient mechanism-based inactivators of CYP2J2, as judged from their  $k_{\text{inact}}/K_I$  values.

### Study of the molecular mechanism responsible for CYP2J2 inactivation by 13

Compound **13** has been designed to be a mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP2J2, as we expected that its hydroxylation by this enzyme would mainly occur at the level of its benzodioxole  $\text{CH}_2$  group. Such P450-dependent oxidations of benzo-1,3-dioxole derivatives are well known to lead to the formation of the corresponding catechol metabolites and to P450 inactivation due to the formation of very stable P450 iron-benzodioxole-derived carbene complexes [52] (Figure 6). The generally admitted mechanism of these reactions involves the free-radical abstraction of an hydrogen atom of the benzodioxole  $\text{CH}_2$  group by the high-valent P450 iron-oxo active species. The resulting radical may either undergo an oxidative transfer of the OH ligand of the P450 Fe(IV)-OH intermediate, with formation of an unstable orthoformate that is eventually hydrolyzed to the corresponding catechol, or bind to P450 iron leading to a very stable P450 iron-carbene complex after elimination of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [67,68].

Addition of NADPH to microsomes of insect cells expressing CYP2J2 containing 100  $\mu\text{M}$  **13** led to the progressive appearance of a difference visible spectrum characterized by two

peaks at 428 and 457 nm (Figure 7). This difference spectrum is characteristic of the formation of a P450 iron-benzodioxole-derived carbene complex [52]. Under the used conditions (0.1  $\mu$ M CYP2J2, 100  $\mu$ M **13**), the difference spectrum reached its maximal intensity 10 min after the addition of 100  $\mu$ M NADPH. If one considers the  $\epsilon_{455-490}$  nm values reported in the literature for the difference spectra of these P450 iron-carbene complexes, which vary from 50 000 to 75 000 M.cm<sup>-1</sup> [69,70], one may estimate that 66 to 99 % of CYP2J2 is engaged in an iron-carbene complex derived from **13**.

Reaction mixtures from incubation of **13** with microsomes from insect cells expressing CYP2J2 in the presence of NADPH were studied by HPLC coupled to mass spectrometry. The major metabolite detected by this method exhibited a mass spectrum characterized by a molecular ion at  $m/z = 446$  ( $M-12$  if  $M$  is the molecular ion of **13**). This ion well corresponded to the one expected for the catechol derived from **13**. Moreover, the main fragments appearing in the mass spectrum of the metabolite were also in complete agreement with what could be expected for the catechol derived from **13**. Thus, most fragments exhibited  $m/z$  values equal to those of **13** minus 12, except the fragments that do not contain the benzodioxole moiety which exhibited  $m/z$  values identical to those of the corresponding fragments of **13** (Figure 8). Finally, comparison of the UV spectra of **13** and of its main metabolite showed very similar characteristics with two peaks at 280 and 305–310 nm, and a small blueshift of the 305–310 nm peak for the metabolite of **13**. A comparison of the UV spectra of analog compounds, 3', 4'-(methylenedioxy)-propiophenone and the corresponding catechol, led to very similar characteristics (data not shown).

The aforementioned data confirmed that CYP2J2-catalyzed oxidation of **13** mainly occurred at the benzodioxole CH<sub>2</sub> group with formation of the corresponding catechol metabolite and of a CYP2J2 iron-carbene complex that leads to the inactivation of this cytochrome.

#### Further studies of the characteristics of CYP2J2 inactivation by **13**

Figure 9A shows that the loss of CYP2J2 activity upon incubation with 2  $\mu$ M **13** for increasing times paralleled catechol metabolite formation, as expected for mechanism-based inhibition [48–50]. Figure 9B illustrates the correlation between the CYP2J2 activity remaining after oxidation of **13** and the amount of catechol metabolite formed for various concentrations of **13** and incubation times. The linear relationship observed allowed us to estimate the partition ratio of the inactivation process,  $r$ , which represents the number of productive turnovers (leading to the catechol metabolite) divided by the number of inactivating events [48–50]. Actually, extrapolation to 0 % remaining activity in Fig. 9B led to a  $r$  value of  $18 \pm 3$ .

The presence of **4**, a good competitive inhibitor and alternative substrate of CYP2J2, in incubations of CYP2J2 with **13** and NADPH led to a clear decrease of the rate of inactivation of CYP2J2 (see figure in Supplementary Materials).

An important property of efficient mechanism-based inhibitors is to generate reactive species that will rapidly react within the active site rather than diffuse out into solution. The presence of 5 mM reduced glutathione in incubations of CYP2J2 with **13** and NADPH did not have any significant effects on the rate of CYP2J2 inactivation (see figure in Supplementary Materials). This result indicates that the reactive intermediate of **13**, which is responsible for CYP2J2 inactivation is not an electrophilic metabolite released in the medium. It is in agreement with the mechanism shown in Figure 6, in which the free radical intermediate from **13** is rapidly trapped by CYP2J2 iron, with the eventual formation of the iron-carbene complex, and is not released in the medium.

## CONCLUSION

Starting from the structures of terfenadone and ebastine, 24 derivatives have been synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of CYP2J2. Many of them exhibit a good affinity for this isoform with  $IC_{50}$ s at the micromolar level. A comparison of these  $IC_{50}$ s has shown the importance of three structural features for a good recognition by CYP2J2: (i) the presence of a short hydrophobic alkyl chain at one end of the molecule, (ii) the presence of a keto group para to this alkyl chain on the terminal aryl group, and (iii) the presence of an hydrophobic diarylmethyl substituent at position 4 of the central piperidine ring. Four compounds appeared to be particularly interesting. Compound **14** is a good non-competitive (or mixed) inhibitor of CYP2J2 with an  $IC_{50}$  value of  $400 \pm 100$  nM. It is not selective towards CYP2J2 as it also efficiently inhibits the other main vascular CYPs such as CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4. However it could be an interesting tool to inhibit all these vascular CYPs. Compounds **4**, **5** and **13** are reasonably selective CYP2J2 inhibitors (Table 1). Compound **4** is a competitive inhibitor, alternative substrate of CYP2J2 of high-affinity ( $K_i = 160 \pm 50$  nM). Finally, compounds **5** and **13** are mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP2J2, characterized by high  $k_{inact}/K_I$  values (around  $3000 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$ ) (Table 4). Inactivation of CYP2J2 by **13** is due to the formation of a very stable iron-carbene bond which occurs with a partition ratio of  $18 \pm 3$ . Compounds **4**, **5** and **13** should be interesting tools to study the biological roles of CYP2J2. As far as vascular CYPs are concerned, Table 5 summarizes data obtained on **4** (this work, Table 1) and on ticlopidine [71,72], montelukast [73], sulfaphenazole [74] and ketoconazole [74] as selective inhibitors of CYP2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4, respectively. These data show that each compound is a selective inhibitor of a given P450 – i.e. its  $IC_{50}$  for this CYP is at least 20-fold lower than its  $IC_{50}$  towards the other P450s –, and exhibits a high affinity for its preferred P450 ( $IC_{50}$  values between 20 nM for montelukast and ketoconazole towards CYP2C8 and 3A4 respectively, to 600 nM for sulfaphenazole towards CYP2C9). This set of compounds should be very useful to determine the biological role of each P450 in the cardiovascular system. Finally, given the recent findings suggesting that CYP2J2 may represent a potential target for therapy of human cancers [23], studies are currently underway to test the above described CYP2J2 inhibitors as potential therapeutic agents for cancer.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Didier Buisson (UMR8601) for a gift of compounds **2**, **10**, **16**, and **25**. This research was supported by the C.N.R.S. (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique) and Ministère de la Recherche (France), and by the National Institutes of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health (USA).

## ABBREVIATIONS

<b>CYP or P450</b>	cytochrome P450
<b>DMF</b>	N,N-dimethylformamide
<b>DMSO</b>	dimethylsulfoxide
<b>EDTA</b>	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid



<b>EET</b>	epoxyeicosatrienoic acid
<b>ESI</b>	electrospray ionization
<b>GSH</b>	glutathione, reduced form
<b>HEPES</b>	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
<b>HPLC</b>	high performance liquid chromatography
<b>MS</b>	mass spectrometry
<b>MS<sup>2</sup></b>	tandem mass spectrometry
<b>THF</b>	tetrahydrofuran
<b>TLC</b>	thin layer chromatography
<b>Tris</b>	2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol
<b>UV</b>	ultraviolet


## References

1. Ortiz, PR.; de Montellano. Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York: 2005.
2. Guengerich, FP. Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry. Ortiz de Montellano, PR., editor. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York: 2005. p. 377-530.
3. Williams PA, Cosme J, Ward A, Angove HC, Matak Vinkovic D, Jhoti H. Nature 2003;424:464–468. [PubMed: 12861225]
4. Schoch GA, Yano JK, Wester MR, Griffin KJ, Stout CD, Johnson EF. J Biol Chem 2004;279:9497–9503. [PubMed: 14676196]
5. Wester MR, Yano JK, Schoch GA, Yang C, Griffin KJ, Stout CD, Johnson EF. J Biol Chem 2004;279:35630–35637. [PubMed: 15181000]
6. Williams PA, Cosme J, Vinkovic DM, Ward A, Angove HC, Day PJ, Vonnrhein C, Tickle IJ, Jhoti H. Science 2004;305:683–686. [PubMed: 15256616]
7. Yano JK, Wester MR, Schoch GA, Griffin KJ, Stout CD, Johnson EF. J Biol Chem 2004;279:38091–38094. [PubMed: 15258162]
8. Yano JK, Hsu MH, Griffin KJ, Stout CD, Johnson EF. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2005;12:822–823. [PubMed: 16086027]
9. Rowland P, Blaney FE, Smyth MG, Jones JJ, Leydon VR, Oxbrow AK, Lewis CJ, Tennant MM, Modi S, Eggleston DS, Chenery RJ, Bridges AM. J Biol Chem 2005;281:7614–7622. [PubMed: 16352597]
10. Ekroos M, Sjogren T. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:13682–13687. [PubMed: 16954191]
11. Wu S, Moomaw CR, Tomer KB, Falck JR, Zeldin DC. J Biol Chem 1996;271:3460–3468. [PubMed: 8631948]

12. Scarborough PE, Ma J, Qu W, Zeldin DC. *Drug Metab Rev* 1999;31:205–234. [PubMed: 10065373]
13. Zeldin DC, Foley J, Ma J, Boyle JE, Pascual JM, Moomaw CR, Tomer KB, Steenberg C, Wu S. *Mol Pharmacol* 1996;50:1111–1117. [PubMed: 8913342]
14. Zeldin DC, Foley J, Goldsworthy SM, Cook ME, Boyle JE, Ma J, Moomaw CR, Tomer KB, Steenberg C, Wu S. *Mol Pharmacol* 1997;51:931–943. [PubMed: 9187259]
15. Spector AA, Fang X, Snyder GD, Weintraub NL. *Prog Lip Res* 2004;43:55–90.
16. Fleming I, Busse R. *Hypertension* 2006;47:629–633. [PubMed: 16490839]
17. Seubert J, Yang B, Bradbury JA, Graves J, Degraff LM, Gabel S, Gooch R, Foley J, Newman J, Mao L, Rockman HA, Hammock BD, Murphy E, Zeldin DC. *Circ Res* 2004;95:506–514. [PubMed: 15256482]
18. Spiecker M, Darius H, Hankeln T, Soufi M, Sattler AM, Schaefer JR, Node K, Borgel J, Mugge A, Lindpaintner K, Huesing A, Maisch B, Zeldin DC, Liao JK. *Circulation* 2004;110:2132–2136. [PubMed: 15466638]
19. Xiao YF, Ke Q, Seubert JM, Bradbury JA, Graves J, Degraff LM, Falck JR, Krausz K, Gelboin HV, Morgan JP, Zeldin DC. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004;66:1607–1616. [PubMed: 15361551]
20. Lu T, Ye D, Wang X, Seubert JM, Graves JP, Bradbury JA, Zeldin DC, Lee HC. *J Physiol* 2006;575:627–644. [PubMed: 16793897]
21. Wang Y, Wei X, Xiao X, Hui R, Card JW, Carey MA, Wang DW, Zeldin DC. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2005;314:522–532. [PubMed: 15840765]
22. Michaelis UR, Fleming I. *Pharmacol Ther* 2006;111:584–595. [PubMed: 16380164]
23. Jiang JG, Chen CL, Card JW, Yang S, Chen JX, Fu XN, Ning YG, Xiao X, Zeldin DC, Wang DW. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:4707–4715. [PubMed: 15930289]
24. Hashizume T, Imaoka S, Mise M, Terauchi Y, Fujii T, Miyazaki H, Kamataki T, Funae Y. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002;300:298–304. [PubMed: 11752129]
25. Liu KH, Kim MG, Lee DJ, Yoon YJ, Kim MJ, Shon JH, Choi CS, Choi YK, Desta Z, Shin JG. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2006;34:1793–1797. [PubMed: 16896065]
26. Matsumoto S, Hirama T, Matsubara T, Nagata K, Yamazoe Y. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2002;30:1240–1245. [PubMed: 12386130]
27. Parikh S, Gagne P, Miller V, Crespi C, Thummel K, Patten C. *Drug Metab Rev* 2003;35:190–190.
28. Lafite P, Dijols S, Buisson D, Macherey AC, Zeldin DC, Dansette PM, Mansuy D. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2006;16:2777–2780. [PubMed: 16495056]
29. Carr AA, Kinsolving CR. *US Patent* 1975;3(862):173.
30. Zhang MQ, Wada Y, Sato F, Timmerman H. *J Med Chem* 1995;38:2472–2477. [PubMed: 7608912]
31. Bujok R, Makosza M. *Synlett* 2002:1285–1286.
32. Bhashkar JV, Periasamy M. *J Org Chem* 1991;56:5964–5965.
33. Kawakami Y, Kitani H, Yuasa S, Abe M, Moriwaki M, Kagoshima M, Terasawa M, Tahara T. *Eur J Med Chem* 1996;31:683–692.
34. Di Giacomo B, Coletta D, Natalini B, Ni MH, Pellicciari R. *Il Farmaco* 1999;54:600–610. [PubMed: 10555262]
35. Zhang H, Cai Q, Ma D. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:5164–5173. [PubMed: 15960520]
36. Truan G, Cullin C, Reisdorf P, Urban P, Pompon D. *Gene* 1993;125:49–55. [PubMed: 8449412]
37. Bellamine A, Gautier JC, Urban P, Pompon D. *Eur J Biochem* 1994;225:1005–1013. [PubMed: 7957192]
38. Gervot L, Rochat B, Gautier JC, Bohnenstengel F, Kroemer H, de Berardinis V, Martin H, Beaune P, de Waziers I. *Pharmacogenetics* 1999;9:295–306. [PubMed: 10471061]
39. Renaud JP, Cullin C, Pompon D, Beaune P, Mansuy D. *Eur J Biochem* 1990;194:889–896. [PubMed: 2269307]
40. Pompon D, Louerat B, Bronine A, Urban P. *Methods Enzymol* 1996;272:51–64. [PubMed: 8791762]
41. Omura T, Sato R. *J Biol Chem* 1964;239:2370–2378. [PubMed: 14209971]
42. Burke MD, Mayer RT. *Chem Biol Interact* 1983;45:243–258. [PubMed: 6883573]
43. Rahman A, Korzekwa KR, Grogan J, Gonzalez FJ, Harris JW. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:5543–5546. [PubMed: 7923194]


44. Melet A, Marques-Soares C, Schoch GA, Macherey AC, Jaouen M, Dansette PM, Sari MA, Johnson EF, Mansuy D. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:15379–15392. [PubMed: 15581350]
45. Li XQ, Bjorkman A, Andersson TB, Ridderstrom M, Masimirembwa CM. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002;300:399–407. [PubMed: 11805197]
46. Melet A, Assrir N, Jean P, Pilar Lopez-Garcia M, Marques-Soares C, Jaouen M, Dansette PM, Sari MA, Mansuy D. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2003;409:80–91. [PubMed: 12464247]
47. Brian WR, Sari MA, Iwasaki M, Shimada T, Kaminsky LS, Guengerich FP. *Biochemistry* 1990;29:11280–11292. [PubMed: 2271712]
48. Walsh C. *Tetrahedron* 1982;38:871–909.
49. Lopez-Garcia MP, Dansette PM, Mansuy D. *Biochemistry* 1994;33:166–175. [PubMed: 8286335]
50. Silverman, RB. *Enzyme Kinetics and Mechanism Part D: Developments in Enzyme Dynamics*. Purich, DL., editor. Academic Press; 1995. p. 240-283.
51. Kitz R, Wilson IB. *J Biol Chem* 1962;237:3245–3249. [PubMed: 14033211]
52. Correia, MA.; Ortiz de Montellano, PR. *Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry*. Ortiz de Montellano, PR., editor. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York; 2005. p. 247-322.
53. Testa B, Jenner P. *Drug Metab Rev* 1981;12:1–117. [PubMed: 7028434]
54. Halpert JR, Stevens JC. *Methods in enzymology* 1991;206:540–548. [PubMed: 1784240]
55. Kent UM, Juschyshyn MI, Hollenberg PF. *Curr Drug Metab* 2001;2:215–243. [PubMed: 11513328]
56. Fontana E, Dansette PM, Poli SM. *Curr Drug Metab* 2005;6:413–454. [PubMed: 16248836]
57. Jefcoate CR. *Methods in enzymology* 1979;52:258–279. [PubMed: 209288]
58. Hoebel BG, Steyrer E, Graier WF. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 1998;25:826–830. [PubMed: 9784924]
59. Fisslthaler B, Popp R, Kiss L, Potente M, Harder DR, Fleming I, Busse R. *Nature* 1999;401:493–497. [PubMed: 10519554]
60. Borlak J, Walles M, Levsen K, Thum T. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003;31:888–891. [PubMed: 12814965]
61. DeLozier TC, Kissling GE, Coulter SJ, Dai D, Foley JF, Bradbury JA, Murphy E, Steenberg C, Zeldin DC, Goldstein JA. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2007In press
62. Mancy A, Antignac M, Minoletti C, Dijols S, Mouries V, Duong NT, Battioni P, Dansette PM, Mansuy D. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:14264–14270. [PubMed: 10572000]
63. Dixon, M.; Webb, EC. *Enzymes*. Academic Press Inc; New York; 1964.
64. Cheng Y, Prusoff WH. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1973;22:3099–3108. [PubMed: 4202581]
65. Segel, IH. *Enzyme kinetics*. Wiley-Interscience; New York; 1993.
66. Bednarski PJ, Nelson SD. *J Med Chem* 1989;32:203–213. [PubMed: 2909733]
67. Mansuy D, Battioni JP, Chottard JC, Ullrich V. *J Am Chem Soc* 1979;101:3971–3973.
68. Mansuy D, Battioni P, Battioni JP. *Eur J Biochem* 1989;184:267–285. [PubMed: 2676531]
69. Elcombe CR, Bridges JW, Gray TJB, Nimmo-Smith RH, Netter KJ. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1975;24:1427–1433.
70. Murray M, Wilkinson CF, Marcus C, Dube CE. *Mol Pharmacol* 1983;24:129–136. [PubMed: 6865922]
71. Ha-Duong NT, Dijols S, Macherey AC, Goldstein JA, Dansette PM, Mansuy D. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:12112–12122. [PubMed: 11580286]
72. Richter T, Murdter TE, Heinkele G, Pleiss J, Tatzel S, Schwab M, Eichelbaum M, Zanger UM. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2004;308:189–197. [PubMed: 14563790]
73. Walsky RL, Obach RS, Gaman EA, Gleeson JP, Proctor WR. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2005;33:413–418. [PubMed: 15608135]
74. Baldwin SJ, Bloomer JC, Smith GJ, Ayrton AD, Clarke SE, Chenery RJ. *Xenobiotica* 1995;25:261–270. [PubMed: 7618352]
75. Bertelsen KM, Venkatakrishnan K, Von Moltke LL, Obach RS, Greenblatt DJ. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003;31:289–293. [PubMed: 12584155]
76. Ciaccio PJ, Duignan DB, Halpert JR. *Drug Metab Dispos* 1987;15:852–856. [PubMed: 2893713]

77. Roberts ES, Hopkins NE, Alworth WL, Hollenberg PF. Chem Res Toxicol 1993;6:470–479.  
[PubMed: 8374044]




**Figure 1.**  
Regioselectivity of the hydroxylation of ebastine and terfenadone by CYP2J2.






**Figure 2. General synthetic routes used for the preparation of terfenadone and ebastine derivatives**  
For the nature of **R**, see Table 1 and 2. Specific protection and deprotection of reactive chemical functions were used when necessary. They were mentioned in ref [28].




**Figure 3. Dixon plots obtained from a kinetic study of CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine in the presence of different concentrations of compound 4**

Results are means  $\pm$  SD calculated from three independent experiments, using microsomes of insect cells expressing CYP2J2, ebastine, **4**, and a NADPH generating system, as described in Materials and Methods. Substrate concentrations used were 0.2 (■), 0.5 (△), 2 (▲) and 5  $\mu$ M (○).




**Figure 4. Lineweaver-Burk plots obtained from a kinetic study of CYP2J2-catalyzed hydroxylation of ebastine in the presence of different concentrations of compound 14**

Results are means  $\pm$  SD calculated from three independent experiments, using microsomes of insect cells expressing CYP2J2, ebastine, **14** and a NADPH generating system. Inhibitor concentrations used were 0 ( $\square$ ), 0.5 ( $\blacktriangle$ ), 1 ( $\circ$ ) and 2.5  $\mu\text{M}$  ( $\bullet$ ).




**Figure 5. Kinetics of inactivation of CYP2J2 upon NADPH-dependent oxidation of compounds 5 (A) and 13 (B)**

Details for incubations and determination of remaining activity are described in Materials and Methods. CYP2J2 (30 nM) was incubated for the indicated times in the presence of a NADPH-generating system and 0 (■), 1 (□), 2 (▲), 5 (△) and 20  $\mu\text{M}$  (○) compound **5** or **13**. Values are means calculated from three independent experiments. The inset shows the plot of  $t_{1/2}$  (time required for half-inactivation of CYP2J2) vs the reciprocal of the concentration of **5** or **13** for the experiments depicted (Kitz-Wilson plot).




**Figure 6.**  
Possible mechanisms of the CYP2J2-catalyzed oxidation of 13 and of the inactivation of this cytochrome.






**Figure 7. Difference absorption spectra observed during CYP2J2-catalyzed oxidation of 13 in the presence of NADPH**

Conditions described in Materials and Methods; difference spectra obtained after 2, 5, and 10 min after addition of 100  $\mu$ M NADPH to the sample cuvette containing 0.1  $\mu$ M CYP2J2 and 100  $\mu$ M 13.




**Figure 8. Mass spectra of compound 13 and its metabolite formed after oxidation by CYP2J2**  
Mass spectra were obtained from HPLC-MS<sup>2</sup> analysis of incubations of 50  $\mu$ M **13** with 10 nM CYP2J2 and a NADPH-generating system for 20 min, as described in Materials and Methods. The molecular fragments corresponding to the observed peaks are shown. ( $-H_2O$ : 250) indicates the fragment having lost  $H_2O$  from dehydration of the tertiary alcohol function.




**Figure 9. Relationship between CYP2J2 inactivation and efficient catalysis of **13** oxidation (catechol metabolite formation) (A) and determination of the partition ratio (B)**

Microsomes from insect cells expressing CYP2J2 were incubated with 2  $\mu$ M **13** and a NADPH generating system (A). Values are means  $\pm$  SD calculated from 3 independent experiments. Curve (B) was obtained from residual CYP2J2 activities and amounts of catechol metabolites measured from incubations of microsomes containing CYP2J2 in the presence of a NADPH generating system and various concentrations of **13** (2–20  $\mu$ M) for different time periods (0 to 30 min). Points are mean values from 3 experiments.

## Table 1



Compound	-X-	-R	2J2	2B6	2C8	2C9	3A4
Terfenadine	-CHOH-	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	8.1 ± 0.4	70 ± 1	> 100 <sup>b</sup>	38 ± 1	2.0 ± 1
1 (Terfenadone)	-CO-	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	0.7 ± 0.1	67 ± 5	> 100	19 ± 1	12 ± 1
2	-CO-	-CH <sub>3</sub>	0.7 ± 0.2	29 ± 1	~ 100	32 ± 2	15 ± 1
3	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub>	0.6 ± 0.1	25 ± 2	> 100	18 ± 4	6.1 ± 0.2
4	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub>	0.4 ± 0.1	28 ± 1	> 100	26 ± 3	7.9 ± 0.1
5	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	0.4 ± 0.2	21 ± 1	> 100	21 ± 1	5.5 ± 1.0
6	-CO-	-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub>	0.7 ± 0.2	13 ± 2	> 100	38 ± 2	8.2 ± 0.8
7	-CO-	-CH-CH <sub>2</sub> -OH <sub>2</sub>	1.3±0.8	48±1	> 100	28±4	20±1
8	-CO-	-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -OH	1.9±0.3	16 ± 2	~ 100	33 ± 1	6.8 ± 0.6
9	-CO-	-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -OAc	2.5 ± 0.5	9.0 ± 0.5	> 100	42±3	0.9±0.2
10	-CO-	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	7.6±0.6	19 ± 1	> 100	40 ± 1	11 ± 1



Compound	-X-	-R	2I2	2B6	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>a</sup>	
11	-CO-	-Br	4.2 ± 0.6	26 ± 2	2C8	2C9
12	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CHF <sub>2</sub>	2.2 ± 0.9	16 ± 1	> 100	34 ± 6
13	-CO-		6.7 ± 2.3	16 ± 1	> 100	19 ± 4
14	-CO-		0.4 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.3	~ 100	10 ± 2
15	-CO-	-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -NHAc	23 ± 5	60 ± 3	5.2 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.1
16	-CH <sub>2</sub> -	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	3.6 ± 0.7	86 ± 2	> 100	15 ± 2
17	-CH <sub>2</sub> -	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub>	4.5 ± 0.9	28 ± 4	> 100	28 ± 2
						34 ± 5
						2.8 ± 0.9
						4.1 ± 1.0
						4.6 ± 0.7


<sup>a</sup> : Compound concentration leading to 50 % inhibition of the oxidation of typical substrates of the different CYPs (for the nature of these substrates and the conditions used, see Materials and Methods; concentrations of substrates were equal to the Km values found for their CYP-catalyzed oxidations under our experimental conditions). Values are means ± SD for three to four independent experiments.



<sup>b</sup> ; Above 50 % of remaining activity at the indicated inhibitor concentration.

<sup>c</sup> ; -Ar: -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-**R**

**Table 2**  
 Comparison of the inhibitory effects of ebastine derivatives towards CYP2J2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4.




Compound	-X-	-R	2J2	2B6	2C8	2C9	3A4
Ebastine	-CO-	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	<i>n.d.</i>	90 ± 2	> 100 <sup>b</sup>	27 ± 4	13 ± 1
18	-CHOH-	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	7.2 ± 1.0	38 ± 1	> 100	69 ± 8	3.7 ± 0.5
19	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -OH	1.1 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 0.9	> 100	35 ± 3	6.8 ± 0.9
20	-CO-	-CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	3.8 ± 0.5	7.4 ± 0.4	> 100	22 ± 2	5.9 ± 0.2
21	-CO-	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -S-CH <sub>3</sub>	0.7 ± 0.1	15 ± 1	> 100	30 ± 2	4.0 ± 0.2

<sup>a</sup> : Conditions as in Table 1.  
<sup>b</sup> : Above 50 % of remaining activity at this concentration.

**Table 3**

Comparison of the inhibitory effects of dehydro-terfenadone derivatives and of compound 25 towards CYP2J2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4.



Compound	-X-Y-	-R	2J2	2B6	2C8	2C9	3A4
22	-CO-CH <sub>2</sub> -	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	0.9 ± 0.3	41 ± 4	> 100 <sup>b</sup>	17 ± 2	55 ± 8
23	-CO-CH <sub>2</sub> -	-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -NH <sub>2</sub>	1.7 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.05	> 100	13 ± 1	12 ± 1
24	-CH=CH-	-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	15 ± 2	41 ± 2	> 100	15 ± 3	49 ± 6
25	-	-	13 ± 2	58 ± 3	~ 100	39 ± 2	68 ± 1

<sup>a</sup> ; Conditions as in Table 1.

<sup>b</sup> ; Above 50 % of remaining activity at this concentration.

**Table 4**

Comparison of the kinetic parameters calculated for inactivation of CYP2J2 by compounds 5 and 13 (this work) with those published for other suicide substrates of CYPs.

Inhibitor	P450	Inactivating moiety	$K_I$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$k_{\text{inact}}$ ( $\text{min}^{-1}$ )	$t_{1/2\text{max}}$ (min)	$k_{\text{inact}}/K_I$ ( $\text{L}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
<b>5<sup>a</sup></b>	2J2	terminal alcene	$0.45 \pm 0.05$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$8.7 \pm 2.2$	$2\,960 \pm 1\,000$
<b>13<sup>a</sup></b>	2J2	benzo-1,3-dioxole	$2.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.47 \pm 0.05$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$2\,700 \pm 500$
<b>Ticlopidine</b> [71]	2C19	thiophene	87	0.19	3.4	37
<b>Paroxetin</b> [75]	2D6	benzo-1,3-dioxole	4.9	0.17	4.1	580
<b>Tienilic acid</b> [49]	2C9	thiophene	4.3	0.22	3.4	813
<b>Chloramphenicol</b> [76]	2B11	dichloroacetamide	5	1.2	0.6	4 000
<b>2-Ethynylaphthalene</b> [77]	2B1	terminal alkyne	0.08	0.83	0.84	173 000

<sup>a</sup>Values were calculated from data of Figure 5, and are means  $\pm$  SD from three independent experiments.

**Table 5**  
Proposed selective inhibitors of the main human vascular CYPs.

Inhibitor	CYP2B6	CYP2C8	CYP2C9	CYP3A4	CYP2J2
	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)				
Ticlopidine	<b>0.32</b> [72]	100 [72]	> 200 [71]	> 50 [71]	> 50
Montelukast	11 [73]	<b>0.02</b> [73]	1.2 [73]	1.2–7.9 [73]	> 100
Sulfaphenazole	> 100 [74]	120 [74]	<b>0.6</b> [74]	> 200 [74]	> 150
Ketoconazole	6.3 [74]	5.5 [74]	16 [74]	<b>0.02</b> [74]	3
<b>4</b>	28	> 100	26	7.9	<b>0.4</b>

Values for CYP2J2 and for **4** were determined in this work. The other IC<sub>50</sub> values were previously reported in the references indicated into brackets.