Dissecting transcription regulatory pathways through a new bacterial one-hybrid reporter system
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Sequence-specific DNA-binding transcription factors have widespread biological significance in the regulation of gene expression. However, in lower prokaryotes and eukaryotic metazoans, it is usually difficult to find transcription regulatory factors that recognize specific target promoters. To address this, we have developed in this study a new bacterial one-hybrid reporter vector system that provides a convenient and rapid strategy to determine the specific interaction between target DNA sequences and their transcription factors. Using this system, we have successfully determined the DNA-binding specificity of the transcription regulator Rv3133c to a previously reported promoter region of the gene Rv2031 in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In addition, we have tested more than 20 promoter regions of M. tuberculosis genes using this approach to determine if they interact with ~150 putative regulatory proteins. A variety of transcription factors are found to participate in the regulation of stress response and fatty acid metabolism, both of which comprise the core of in vivo-induced genes when M. tuberculosis invades macrophages. Interestingly, among the many new discovered potential transcription factors, the WhiB-like transcriptional factor WhiB3 was identified for the first time to bind with the promoter sequences of most in vivo-induced genes. Therefore, this study offers important data in the dissection of the transcription regulations in M. tuberculosis, and the strategy should be applicable in the study of DNA-binding factors in a wide range of biological organisms.

[Supplemental material is available online at www.genome.org.]

Specific DNA–protein interactions are important in numerous cellular processes, such as transcription regulation, DNA replication, and repair. However, although it is easy to computationally predict these cis or in cis factors (Berman et al. 2002), it is usually quite difficult in practice to find the specific target promoter recognized by a transcription factor of interest. This is particularly true in the case of disease-causing pathogens, where the role of transcription factors and DNA–protein interactions in imparting pathogenicity remains poorly understood. With the recent sequencing of many microorganisms, including many bacterial pathogens, attention has shifted toward the determination of the regulatory mechanism underlying gene expression patterns. The purpose of this is to better understand host–pathogen interactions and diseases.

One organism of interest in this context is Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the causative microbe for tuberculosis (TB). Mycobacterial infection has been a major cause of death throughout human history and still results in the death of about two million people globally each year. This enduring pathogenicity suggests that this Mycobacterium may use unique pathogenic mechanisms during its infection process. M. tuberculosis confronts a more hostile environment during infection, including restricted access to nutrients and reduced oxygen tension (James et al. 1995; Schable et al. 1998; Via et al. 1998). Indeed, oxygen tension is one factor frequently associated with the establishment and maintenance of latent TB (Wayne and Sohaskey 2001). Therefore, M. tuberculosis must possess genetic mechanisms to facilitate integrated responses to multiple stresses encountered within the phagosome, and also to trigger some yet-to-be-identified switches during the infection process (Talaat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Rohde et al. 2007).

When an intracellular pathogen such as M. tuberculosis enters activated macrophages, it confronts a particularly stressful environment, so it must possess the means of conveying information to the transcriptional apparatus to promote its environmental adaptation. One initial obstacle concerns the acquisition of essential nutrients. Isocitrate lyase (ICL), an enzyme essential for the metabolism of fatty acids, also appears to be important for the survival of the pathogen in the lungs of mice during the persistent phase of infection (McKinney et al. 2000; Muñoz-Elías and McKinney 2005). The induction of genes involved in the β-oxidation of fatty acids during M. tuberculosis persistent infections suggests that fatty acids might be a major source of carbon and energy in chronically infected lung tissue (Sassetti and Rubin 2003). In addition to this, M. tuberculosis appears to have sophisticated genetic mechanisms to recognize stressful environment signals, such as O2, NO, and fluctuations in the intracellular redox state (Singh et al. 2007). The genes involved in fatty acid metabolism and stress responses have been suggested to comprise the core of in vivo-regulated genes (Talaat et al. 2004). However, little is known regarding the molecular mechanisms behind the sensing of extracellular signals and nutrient stress by M. tuberculosis in the course of metabolic adaptation.

Sequence-specific DNA-binding transcription factors have widespread biological significance in regulating gene expression. Several reports have described the expression profiles of M. tuberculosis genes during infection using DNA microarrays, quantitative real-time RT-PCR, and the mouse model of tuberculosis (Sassetti and Rubin 2003; Talaat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Rohde et al. 2007). The genome of M. tuberculosis encodes 13 RNA
polymerase α-factors, as well as more than 140 putative regulatory proteins, which suggest an important role of transcriptional regulation in the life cycle of *M. tuberculosis* (Cole et al. 1998; Timm et al. 2003). Of these, Rv3133c is one of the very few *M. tuberculosis* transcription factors for which a role has been characterized (Park et al. 2003). Rv3133c was shown to be required for the hypoxic induction of the alpha-crystallin encoded by Rv2031 (acr) (Sherman et al. 2001). The Acr is a dominant antigen and was shown to be recognized by most TB patient sera (Lee et al. 1992; Verbon et al. 1992). Several studies demonstrated that the expression of Rv2031 was dramatically and rapidly increased under hypoxic conditions (Yuan et al. 1998; Florzczak et al. 2001; Sherman et al. 2001). Park et al. (2003) found that Rv3133c bound with motif sequences upstream of the acr coding region, and this binding was necessary for hypoxic gene induction. Recently, Boon et al. (2002) demonstrated that the long-term hypoxic survival of *M. bovis* BCG required Rv3133c (named DosR for dormancy survival regulator). Although recent studies have confirmed the importance of gene regulatory networks in MTB pathogenesis (Graham and Clark-Curtiss 1999; Chen et al. 2000; McKinney et al. 2000; Zahrt and Deretic 2000; Manganelli et al. 2001; Wilkinson et al. 2001), the target genes and the cellular processes controlled by these transcription factors are largely unknown.

In this study, we have developed a new bacterial one-hybrid system in which the reporter vector has been integrated with a rapid and simple cloning strategy for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products. In addition, it is compatible with many commercially available pTRG cDNA libraries. Using this new system, we have successfully isolated a number of new transcriptional factors involved in the induction of in vivo-regulated genes in the pathogen *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv. A WhiB-like transcriptional factor, WhiB3 (Rv3416), that extensively regulates fatty acid metabolism and stress responses by binding with the promoter sequences of many of the genes involved is likewise detected.

**Results**

A new bacterial one-hybrid reporter vector containing the XcmI cassette DNA

The BacterioMatch II two-hybrid system (Stratagene) is an efficient method to detect protein–protein interactions in vivo using the pBT bait plasmid and a pTRG library. The new bacterial one-hybrid (B1H) reporter vector pBXcmT is derived from the vector pBT and contains three major components including the reporter cassette, the XcmI cassette, and the mediator DNA fragment (Supplemental Fig. 1). The HIS3–aadA system has been shown to be a successful reporter system for bacterial two-hybrid screening. These two genes, HIS3 and aadA, were also used as the reporter cassette in the one-hybrid system. The XcmI cassette, containing two XcmI sites in both of its termini, was constructed and integrated into the pBXcmT. When digested with XcmI, the recombinant plasmid pBXcmT results in a vector with a single deoxythymidine (dT) overhanging at its 3'-end, which can be used for the rapid cloning of PCR products. To restrain the self-activation and reduce the screening background, a mediator DNA fragment was successfully cloned into the right upstream of the XcmI cassette (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The reporter plasmid pBXcmT is a derivative vector of the bacterial two-hybrid pBT bait plasmid. Thus, the new one-hybrid vector is compatible with both the BacterioMatch II validation reporter strain and the commercially available pTRG cDNA libraries (Stratagene). When a target protein expressed in pTRG interacts with the bait DNA in the reporter vector of pBXcmT, it stabilizes the binding of RNA polymerase at the weak promoter and activates the transcription of the HIS3 and aadA reporter genes in the presence of the compound 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) (Fig. 1).

**Specific DNA–protein interactions between the transcription factor and the promoter of *M. tuberculosis* as determined by the new reporter system**

Rv3133c has been previously shown to bind to motif sequences upstream of the acr (Rv2031) coding region and its C-terminal HTH DNA-binding domain is essential for the binding (Fig. 2A). The acr promoter contains high-affinity DevR-binding sequences, and the mutation of these sequences abolished the DevR binding and resulted in dramatically reduced levels of expression (Fig. 2B; Park et al. 2003). The sequence-specific interaction between Rv3133c and the promoter of Rv2031 was used to test the new B1H system. The promoter containing the DNA fragment was amplified using specific primers (Supplemental Table 1) and was inserted directly into the reporter vector pBXcmT. The wild-type and mutant Rv3133c gene was cloned into the pTRG. As shown in Figure 2C, the strain with pR2031wt/pRv3133c grew very well, while that with pR2031/pRv3133C failed to grow, indicating that the ability to activate reporter gene expression is lost when the C-terminal DNA-binding domain is deleted. In addition, when essential promoter bases of Rv2031 were mutated, the growth of the mutant strain was significantly reduced on the selective medium; the self-activation control strain did not grow under the same conditions (Fig. 2C).

To further examine the correlation between growth of the reporter strain and the specific DNA–protein interactions, we conducted surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assays that compared the in vitro DNA-binding activity of wild-type and mutant Rv3133c using purified proteins. As shown in Figure 2, the wild-type Rv3133c protein had the best affinity for the Rv2031 promoter DNA immobilized on the chips, while Rv3133C lost almost all the affinity for the DNA (Fig. 2D). In contrast, when the promoter sequence was mutated, the response unit (RU) bound by the Rv3133c protein had an obviously lower affinity than the wild-type promoter (Fig. 2D). Thus, these results are perfectly consistent with those from our B1H assay. The wild-type Rv3133c was best at activating transcription by the Rv2031 promoter, while

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the bacterial one-hybrid reporter system. In the new bacterial one-hybrid system, a selected promoter sequence or the other genomic DNA, the bait DNA, was cloned into the reporter vector of pBXcmT containing the selectable genes HIS3 and aadA. A given transcription factor or a library was expressed as a fusion to the alpha subunit of the RNA polymerase in the vector of pTRG. The reporter genes would be activated in case of interaction of the promoter region with a given regulator protein expressed in pTRG when a pair of recombinant vectors is cotransformed into the host strain. If the regulator protein interacts with the target DNA, it recruits and stabilizes the binding of RNA polymerase at the weak promoter and thus activates the transcription of the HIS3 reporter gene in the presence of the compound 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT). A second reporter gene aadA encoding a protein that confers streptomycin resistance provides an additional mechanism to validate the DNA–protein interaction.
Rv3133ΔC lost most of its activation function, and the affinity of the mutant Rv2031 promoter for the Rv3133c protein significantly reduced (Fig. 2D).

Specific interaction between a transcription factor and its target promoter DNA could therefore be successfully detected using the bacterial one-hybrid system described in this study.

Detection of new transcription regulators for the expression of Rv2031 and Rv3874/3875

To further test the new B1H system and to explore potential new transcription regulators, the promoters of Rv2031 and Rv3874/3875 were used to screen an M. tuberculosis subgenomic library in which almost all transcription factor genes were cloned using specific PCR primers. The potential regulators that could interact with the promoters were isolated from the library of M. tuberculosis H37Rv.

As shown in Figure 3A, six potential regulatory genes for Rv2031 were isolated. Rv3133c was again characterized as the transcription factor under the screening condition used. The regulators included a hypothetical Rv3678c protein, an RNA polymerase Rv0445c sigma factor (SigK), two WhiB-like transcriptional regulatory proteins, Rv3416 and Rv3681c, and the probable transcriptional regulator Rv2034 proteins. To confirm these findings, we examined the interactions between the Rv2031 promoter and these new proteins in vitro using SPR assays. As shown in Figure 3B, all four probable regulators, Rv3678, Rv3681, Rv3416, and Rv2034, demonstrated obvious affinities to the promoter DNA and produced significant binding signals, which disappeared after heat inactivation.

ESAT-6 and CFP-10, which were encoded by Rv3874 and Rv3875, respectively, are two major secretion antigens of M. tuberculosis required to control host-cell response to infection (Champion et al. 2006; Derrick and Morris 2007). Thus, the expression of these two proteins would be expected to be tightly regulated during the infection. Using the new B1H system, when the promoter of Rv3874/3875 was cloned and the library screened, three regulator factors were isolated, including two RNA polymerase sigma factors, Rv2710 (SigB) and Rv3414 (SigD), and
Transcriptional regulation of the stress response genes in *M. tuberculosis*

Stress response genes are in vivo-induced genes involved in sensing signals such as oxygen, nitric oxide, and nutrient depletion during infection (Talaat et al. 2004). However, the transcription factors essential for regulating the expression of these genes have not yet been characterized. When the promoter sequences of eight in vivo-induced stress response genes reported in several previous studies (Talaat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Rohde et al. 2007) were used as baits to screen the library (Supplemental Table 2), a two-component regulator, RegX3 (Rv0491), and a WhiB-like transcriptional factor, WhiB3 (Rv3416), were detected that bound with most of the regulating sequences of the stress response genes (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. 2). Recently, Singh et al. (2007) suggested that WhiB3 responded to the dormancy signals, NO and O₂, through its iron–sulfur cluster. The RegX3 has also been reported to participate in the adaptation to the host environment (Parish et al. 2003).

The results from the current study offer further evidence for the involvement of these two genes in the regulation of the expression of stress response genes in *M. tuberculosis*. DevR (Rv3133c) was bound to the regulating sequence of the Rv3132–Rv3134c gene cluster, including Rv3133c itself. Thus, our results suggest that the Rv3133c gene can self-regulate its own expression, which is consistent with a previous report that used an in vitro assay (Park et al. 2003). Rv3414 (SigD) binding with the promoter of the Rv3417–Rv3418 gene cluster encoding GroEL1 is consistent with a previous result from the whole genomic microarray analysis, in which both Rv3417 and Rv3418 were found to have a twofold lower expression in the SigD *M. tuberculosis* mutant strain (Raman et al. 2004).

More than 40 previously unknown interactions of regulators with specific promoters were detected by using the new B1H reporter system (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. 2). These findings provide important information to understand the mechanism of stress responses in *M. tuberculosis*.

Transcriptional switching factors for the fatty acid metabolism in *M. tuberculosis*

Fatty acids have been suggested as a major source of carbon and energy in a chronically infected lung tissue (McKinney et al. 2000). Although fatty acid metabolism genes form part of the core of in vivo-induced genes during infection (Talaat et al. 2004), the essential metabolic switching factors that regulate their expressions are not known. The regulating sequences from 11 in vivo-induced fatty acid metabolism genes or gene clusters reported in several previous studies (Talaat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Rohde et al. 2007) were used to screen the library (Supplemental Table 2). As shown in Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 3, an oxygen stress regulator OxyS, encoded by Rv0117, bound the regulating sequence of the Rv2903–Rv2939 gene cluster including FadD26 (Rv2930), and that of the Rv3537–Rv3538 gene cluster including UfaA2. DevR (Rv3133c) was involved in the expression of the FadD9, Icl/FadB2, and FadE26/27 genes or gene clusters; one of which, Icl (Rv0467), is a crucial up-regulated enzyme of fatty acid metabolism in vivo in *M. tuberculosis* (McKinney et al. 2000). On the other hand, WhiB3 was detected to extensively bind with the regulating sequences of almost all fatty acid metabolism genes in this study, except for Rv1094 (DesA2). To further map the binding region of WhiB3 within the regulatory sequence of the icl gene, we conducted an SPR assay using two truncated DNA fragments (Supplemental Fig. 4A). An ~60 bp region was shown to contain the potential target site due to a significantly reduced binding signal of WhiB3 detected on the shorter fragment, which had lost the 60-bp region (Supplemental Fig. 4B). A further BLAST assay to search for the potential binding site defined a relatively flexible DNA-binding motif, TNGCGTNCCGC for WhiB3 (Supplemental Fig. 4C), within the regulatory sequences of the target.
These findings suggest that the fatty acid metabolism in *M. tuberculosis* were detected using the new B1H reporter system (Table 2). Specific promoters involved in the regulation of fatty acid metabolism and stress responses by binding directly with their promoter sequence supported and extended this conclusion. WhiB3 could extensively regulate fatty acid metabolism and stress responses, which is very convenient for the cloning of short target DNA PCR products. In contrast to other bacterial one-hybrid systems, the reporter vector in our system is compatible with commercial pTRG libraries such as humans, rice, and yeast, and can be partnered to identify specific DNA–protein interactions.

In the bacterial one-hybrid system presented here, we expressed the DNA-binding domain of a given DNA-binding protein, such as a transcription factor, or a cDNA library as a fusion to the alpha subunit of the RNA polymerase in the pTRG vector. A PCR product of an interesting target DNA or a library of genomic DNA can be conveniently cloned into the reporter vector pBXcmT containing the selectable genes *HIS3* and *aadA*. A mediator sequence integrated into the upstream of the reporter cassette significantly reduces the self-activation background (data not shown). An obvious advantage of this new system is that an easy cloning strategy was integrated into the reporter vector, which is very suitable for the cloning of short target DNA PCR products. In contrast to other bacterial one-hybrid systems, the reporter vector in our system is compatible with commercial pTRG libraries such as humans, rice, and yeast, and can be partnered to identify specific DNA–protein interactions.

The use of a rapid PCR product cloning strategy for the DNA target factor in a reporter vector and its compatibility with many commercial pTRG cDNA libraries represent two important advantages of our method over existing bacterial one-hybrid selection systems. More importantly, the system works very well in detecting the specific DNA–protein interactions of the *M. tuberculosis* transcription factors. Either the transcription factor, or its DNA target sites, could be mutated and the specificities of the interactions were interrupted (Fig. 2). These results, along with those from a previous study (Meng et al. 2005) in which the DNA-binding specificities of eukaryotic transcriptional factors were successfully examined, show that the bacterial one-hybrid system can be utilized to characterize DNA-binding specificity in bacteria and eukaryote. Furthermore, the bacterial system could also be used to successfully detect the DNA-binding specificity of eukaryote-like archaeal proteins (data not shown).

The bacterial one-hybrid system may be limited in its scalability and may also not be suitable for determining specificity under some conditions, such as when the DNA binding proteins are modified post-translationally and only then bind to the target sequences. In addition, it is a probably common problem for bacterial one-hybrid systems to produce potential false positive/negative results. Therefore, controls that test known transcription factor/promoter interactions should be run to determine the most suitable screening conditions. Validation of the data obtained from library screens using different methods such as SPR, EMSA, or whole genomic microarray analysis should also be carried out. Comprehensive evaluation of data derived from multiple experiments should be considered before conclusions are drawn.

**Table 1. Regulators for stress response genes detected by the new one-hybrid system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulators</th>
<th>Target gene or gene cluster</th>
<th>Proteins encoded by target gene cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rv017(OxyS)</td>
<td>Rv1907–1912</td>
<td>KatG, FurA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv0260</td>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv0445c(SigK)</td>
<td>Rv0440</td>
<td>GroEL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td>Rv1997A</td>
<td>WhiB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv0491(RegX3)</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>Rv3249–3252</td>
<td>RubB, RubA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3417–3418</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>WhiB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv0818</td>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv0981(MprA)</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3417–3418</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>WhiB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1221(SigE)</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1599</td>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1931c</td>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1956</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>WhiB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3249–3252</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1990c</td>
<td>Rv0440</td>
<td>GroEL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv2017</td>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv2021c</td>
<td>Rv0440</td>
<td>GroEL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv2034</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>GroEL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3249–3252</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3417–3418</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1907–1912</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>KatG, FurA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv1910–1912</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>WhiB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv2669</td>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv2746c</td>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3133c</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3133c</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3414(SigD)</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td>GroEL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3416(WhiB3)</td>
<td>Rv350–353</td>
<td>DnaK, GrpE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3417–3418</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3557c</td>
<td>Rv1460–1466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3678c</td>
<td>Rv3197A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3681(WhiB4)</td>
<td>Rv3249–3252</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3692</td>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rv3833</td>
<td>Rv3132–3134</td>
<td>DosR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Genes detected by the bacterial one-hybrid system (Supplemental Table 3). Since WhiB3 holds an iron–sulfur (Fe–S) cluster to respond to the stress signals NO and O2, Singh et al. have suggested that WhiB3 might be a metabolic switching and intracellular redox sensor in *M. tuberculosis* (Singh et al. 2007). Our finding that WhiB3 could extensively regulate fatty acid metabolism and stress responses by binding directly with their promoter sequence supported and extended this conclusion.

As shown in Table 2, 60 new interactions of regulators with specific promoters involved in the regulation of fatty acid metabolism were detected using the new B1H reporter system (Table 2). These findings suggest that the fatty acid metabolism in *M. tuberculosis* might be tightly regulated through a yet-to-be-identified mechanism.

**Discussion**

A lack of data on the DNA-binding specificities of most transcription factors has been a major obstacle in understanding gene regulatory networks (Bulyk 2005). The limitations of current methods, including SELEX (Roulet et al. 2002) and genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-chip) (Lee et al. 2002) and DNA immunoprecipitation with microarray detection (DIP-chip) (Liu et al. 2005), are their reliance on specific antibodies and their requirement of expensive facilities and expertise for further data analysis. Some in vitro technologies, such as EMSA, require purified active proteins and radioactive labeling of the target DNA. In contrast, bacterial one-hybrid systems not only use in vivo selection but also offer a low-technology alternative to microarray-based technologies. Using this kind of technology, Meng et al. (2005) examined successfully the DNA-binding specificity of seven previously characterized transcription factors and one novel transcription factor from *Drosophila melanogaster*. However, existing one-hybrid systems usually require de novo library construction, and thus the data from different labs are not readily comparable.

In the bacterial one-hybrid system presented here, we expressed the DNA-binding domain of a given DNA-binding protein, such as a transcription factor, or a cDNA library as a fusion to the alpha subunit of the RNA polymerase in the pTRG vector. A PCR product of an interesting target DNA or a library of genomic DNA can be conveniently cloned into the reporter vector pBXcmT containing the selectable genes *HIS3* and *aadA*. A mediator sequence integrated into the upstream of the reporter cassette significantly reduces the self-activation background (data not shown). An obvious advantage of this new system is that an easy cloning strategy was integrated into the reporter vector, which is very suitable for the cloning of short target DNA PCR products. In contrast to other bacterial one-hybrid systems, the reporter vector in our system is compatible with commercial pTRG libraries such as humans, rice, and yeast, and can be partnered to identify specific DNA–protein interactions.

The use of a rapid PCR product cloning strategy for the DNA target factor in a reporter vector and its compatibility with many commercial pTRG cDNA libraries represent two important advantages of our method over existing bacterial one-hybrid selection systems. More importantly, the system works very well in detecting the specific DNA–protein interactions of the *M. tuberculosis* transcription factors. Either the transcription factor, or its DNA target sites, could be mutated and the specificities of the interactions were interrupted (Fig. 2). These results, along with those from a previous study (Meng et al. 2005) in which the DNA-binding specificities of eukaryotic transcriptional factors were successfully examined, show that the bacterial one-hybrid system can be utilized to characterize DNA-binding specificity in bacteria and eukaryote. Furthermore, the bacterial system could also be used to successfully detect the DNA-binding specificity of eukaryote-like archaeal proteins (data not shown).

The bacterial one-hybrid system may be limited in its scalability and may also not be suitable for determining specificity under some conditions, such as when the DNA binding proteins are modified post-translationally and only then bind to the target sequences. In addition, it is a probably common problem for bacterial one-hybrid systems to produce potential false positive/negative results. Therefore, controls that test known transcription factor/promoter interactions should be run to determine the most suitable screening conditions. Validation of the data obtained from library screens using different methods such as SPR, EMSA, or whole genomic microarray analysis should also be carried out. Comprehensive evaluation of data derived from multiple experiments should be considered before conclusions are drawn.
The persistence of infection by *M. tuberculosis* is the result of a complex interplay between the host and the pathogen. However, little is known about the specific bacterial and host components involved in this process. An important role of transcriptional regulation in the life cycle of *M. tuberculosis* is suggested by genes encoding ~150 known or putative transcription regulatory proteins in the genome (Cole et al. 1998); however, their specific target genes have not been identified for most of these transcriptional factors. Several groups have suggested a role for a hypoxia-induced regulon (Dos) in the shift of *M. tuberculosis* from the replicative state to the persistent state (Mckinney et al. 2000; Talat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Kumar et al. 2007; Rohde et al. 2007). In our study, oxygen stress regulators such as OxyS, DevR, and WhiB3 were detected to bind directly with the regulating sequence of fatty acid metabolism or stress response genes, both of which form part of the core of in vivo-induced genes within the phagosome. Moreover, many putative transcription factors were found to participate in the regulation of both genes expression. A further detailed study on these regulatory factors will provide important clues to understand better the mechanism of *M. tuberculosis*’s adaptive response to extracellular redox signals and carbon source utilization.

Several previous studies support the hypothesis that *M. tuberculosis* switches its metabolism and utilizes fatty acid substrates as key nutrients in late-stage infected mice (McKinnery et al. 2000; Timm et al. 2003). The metabolism of these substrates depends on carbon anaplerosis, an enzyme-catalyzed reaction that replenishes the intermediates in the cyclic pathway, through the glyoxylate shunt (McKinnery et al. 2000; Munhoz-Elias and McKinnny 2005). It has been suggested that an ordered metabolic switchover is also necessary for the adaptation of *M. tuberculosis* to anoxia (Singh et al. 2007). However, the specific factors involved in *M. tuberculosis* metabolism and environmental adaptation in vivo are largely unknown (Timm et al. 2003). H37Rv WhiB3 is homologous to the two *Streptomyces* proteins WhiD and WhiB3 (Molle et al. 2000). The deletion mutants of *whiB* and *whiD* were shown to critically influence spore development in *S. coelicolor* (Molle et al. 2000). This suggests that WhiB3 may be involved in sensing physiological changes. On the other hand, Singh et al. (2007) also observed the severe growth impairment of *M. tuberculosis ΔwhiB3* in various carbohydrates, and the mutant grew better on acetate as its sole carbon source. Based on the redox-sensing properties of WhiB3, together with the growth properties of its mutant on carbon-limited media, WhiB3 has been suggested to play important roles in the regulation of both metabolic and redox switchover events (Singh et al. 2007).

Thus, the proteins of the WhiB family, which can be induced by several stressful in vivo stimuli (Morris et al. 2005; Banaiea et al. 2006; Geiman et al. 2006), may facilitate an integrated transcriptional response to multiple stresses encountered within the phagosome. Indeed, the *M. bovis* WhiB3 mutant was completely attenuated for growth in guinea pigs (Steyn et al. 2002), although the H37Rv *whiB3* gene was not essential for growth in mouse and guinea pig models.

In this study, with the use of a new bacterial one-hybrid reporter system, we screened transcription factors for binding with regulatory sequences of fatty acid metabolism and stress response genes, both of which are part of the core of in vivo-regulated genes within the phagosome (McKinnery et al. 2000; Talat et al. 2004; Rengarajan et al. 2005; Rohde et al. 2007). Singh et al. (2007) have suggested that WhiB3 might play important roles in metabolic regulation and in sensing intracellular redox changes. Our current study found that WhiB3 could bind directly with the promoter sequences of most in vivo-induced genes (Tables 1, 2). Previous findings have shown that WhiB3 binds with the major sigma factor RpoV and can be induced by several stressful in vitro stimuli (Steyn et al. 2002; Geiman et al. 2006). Therefore, our findings, together with those from previous reports, support a model in which *M. tuberculosis* WhiB3 is a core regulator for both metabolic switching and intracellular redox sensing. As such, it may facilitate integrated transcriptional responses to multiple stresses encountered within the phagosome. The specific recognition motif of
WhiB3 has not been characterized yet. In this study, we used an SPR assay and mapped a 60-bp potential target sequence for WhiB3 within the regulatory region of the icl gene cluster. However, when a further BLAST analysis was used to search the exact site, only nonconserved motifs could be found within the regulatory sequences of the target genes detected by the bacterial one-hybrid system (Supplemental Fig. 4C). Therefore, it was possible that WhiB3 simply had a relatively flexible DNA-binding specificity, which might make it important as a unique regulator participating in more extensive biological processes when M. tuberculosis invades the macrophages. This remains to be determined in future work.

In conclusion, we have developed a new bacterial one-hybrid system that provides a convenient and rapid technique for determining specific interactions between target DNA and transcription factors. About 100 previously unknown interactions of regulators with specific promoters were detected for modulations of the in vivo-induced genes’ expression within the phagosome, including those involved in fatty acid metabolism and stress response genes (Supplemental Table 3). Some interactions have also been further confirmed by the SPR assay (Supplemental Table 4) or traditional EMSA techniques (Supplemental Fig. 5). However, although several previous in vivo studies confirmed some of the regulators’ interactions with the DNA characterized in this study (Raman et al. 2004), the present data are all in vitro derived data, and thus most of the findings need to be confirmed directly in future work on M. tuberculosis. Nevertheless, important clues to further pursue the mechanisms on the adaptive responses and resistances of M. tuberculosis have been uncovered. Meanwhile, these proteins make attractive new drug targets because they may have essential roles in controlling the in vivo growth of M. tuberculosis in human hosts. Taken together, our results reveal important information for examining further the transcription regulation in M. tuberculosis. Moreover, the B1H strategy presented here should be applicable to the study of DNA-binding factors in a wide range of biological organisms.

Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, enzymes, primers, and chemicals
Escherichia coli BL21 cells, purchased from Novagen, were used as the host strain to express M. tuberculosis proteins. pBT and pTRG vectors and E. coli host strains were purchased from Stratagene. pET28a was purchased from Novagen. The E. coli DH5α host strain for cloning was purchased from Stratagene. Restriction enzymes, T4 ligase, and modification enzymes were from TaKaRa Biotech. Pyrobest DNA polymerase and deoxyxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) were purchased from TaKaRa Biotech. All antibiotics were purchased from TaKaRa Biotech. All reagents for one-hybrid assay were purchased from Stratagene. All PCR primers were synthesized by Invitrogen (Supplemental Tables 1, 2). DNA purification kits were purchased from Watson Biotechnologies. Ni–NTA (Ni²⁺–nitriotriacetate) agarose columns were obtained from Qiagen.

Construction of the bacterial one-hybrid reporter T-vector
For developing a new bacterial one-hybrid (B1H) reporter vector, an ~1.7-kb DNA fragment containing the HIS3–aadA reporter cassette was cloned from the BacterioMatch II two-hybrid reporter system (Stratagene) using a pair of unique primers (Supplemental Table 1). This reporter cassette was further used to replace acl and lac-UV5 promoters from pBT to produce a preliminary vector named pRPb (Supplemental Fig. 1). To restrain the self-activation and reduce screening background, a mediator DNA fragment was screened successfully from the genomic library of archeon Sulfo-lobus solfatarius (data not shown) and the fragment was inserted into the right upstream of the HIS3–aadA reporter cassette. After further modifications, a derivative reporter vector of pRPb-S7 was produced, in which a BamHI restriction enzyme site was integrated into the upstream of the HIS3–aadA reporter cassette (Supplemental Fig. 1). For the convenient and rapid cloning of the short promoter DNA fragment into the one-hybrid system, we further engineered a 1.2-kb MCM4 segment containing two XcmI sites in both its termini, the XcmI cassette. The cassette was derived from the minichromosome maintenance gene (MCM) of the archaean S. solfatarius which was amplified by using a pair of specific primers containing the XcmI site (Supplemental Table 1). The XcmI cassette was inserted into BamHI-digested pRPb-S7 to produce a plasmid pBXcmT (Supplemental Fig. 1). When digested with XcmI, the recombinant plasmid pBXcmT resulted in a vector with a single deoxythymidine (dT) overhanging at its 3‘-end. This linearized T-vector could then be used for the rapid cloning of PCR products.

Cloning of M. tuberculosis transcription factors and regulatory sequences of the target genes and bacterial one-hybrid assays
About 150 transcription factors were predicted from the genome of M. tuberculosis (Cole et al. 1998). All of these probable transcription regulatory genes were amplified using their specific primers (Supplemental Table 5) and were cloned into the pTRG vector. A sub-genomic library for M. tuberculosis transcription factors was produced by mixing these recombinant plasmids. The promoters of the M. tuberculosis genes were amplified using their specific primers (Supplemental Table 2) and were inserted directly into the XcmI site of pBXcmT. The E. coli X1L1-Blue MRF Kan strain was used for the routine propagation of all pBXcmT and pTRG recombinant plasmids. A pair of pBXcmT/pTRG plasmids was co-transformed into the reporter strain and its growth was then tested, together with the self-activation control on the selective medium containing 3-AT, Kan’, Str’, and Chl’. To explore new regulators, the recombinant plasmid pBXcmT can also be used to screen the library for M. tuberculosis transcription factors. Positive growth cotransformants were selected on a selective screening medium plate containing 20 mM 3-AT, 16 μg/mL streptomycin, 15 μg/mL tetracycline, 34 μg/mL chloramphenicol, and 50 μg/mL kanamycin. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 3–4 d.

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins of M. tuberculosis genes
M. tuberculosis genes and their mutants were amplified by specific primers (Supplemental Table 1) from genomic DNA. The transcription factor genes were cloned into the overexpression vectors of pET28a to produce corresponding recombinant vectors. E. coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RII cells transformed with the recombinant plasmid, were grown at 37°C in 1 L of LB medium containing 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol and 100 μg/mL ampicillin. Protein purification was carried out as described previously (He et al. 2003). Protein concentrations were determined according to a previously published procedure (He et al. 2003).

Surface plasmon resonance analysis of protein–DNA interaction
The interaction between the promoter DNA and the transcription factors was also assayed by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using the BIAcore 3000 system. Biotin labeled promoter DNA was immobilized on the SA chips (BIAcore). Following a period of
stabilization, the purified transcription regulatory protein was passed over the chip. Experiments were performed in a running buffer consisting of 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 50 μM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, and 100 mM NaCl at a flow rate of 10 μL per min at 25°C. Each analysis was performed in triplicate. An overlay plot was produced for depicting the interaction between the transcription factor and the different concentrations of the promoter DNA; representative data are shown in the figures (Figs. 2D, 3B).
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