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Abstract

Eosinophilic colitis (EC) is a rare form of primary eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease with a bimodal peak of prevalence in neonates and young adults. EC remains a little understood condition in contrast to the increasingly recognized eosinophilic esophagitis. Clinical presentation of EC is highly variable according to mucosal, transmural, or serosal predominance of inflammation. EC has a broad differential diagnosis because colon tissue eosinophilia often occurs in parasitic infection, drug-induced allergic reactions, inflammatory bowel disease, and various connective tissue disorders, which require thorough searching for secondary causes that may be specifically treated with antibiotics or dietary and drug elimination. Like eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease involving other segments of the gastrointestinal tract, EC responds very well to steroids that may be spared by using antihistamines, leukotriene inhibitors and biologics.

© 2009 The WJG Press and Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Eosinophilia; Colitis; Gastrointestinal disease; Ascites

Peer reviewer: Dr. Simon S Campbell, MD, Department of Gastroenterology, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester, M12 9WL, United Kingdom

INTRODUCTION

Primary eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease (EGID), originally described by Kaijser in 1937[1], is a rare spectrum of gastrointestinal disorders characterized by inflammation rich in eosinophils, without evidence of known causes for eosinophilia, such as parasitic infection, drug reaction, or malignancy[2]. The disease can affect any segment or combination of segments of the gastrointestinal tract from the esophagus to the rectum, giving rise to various clinical presentations including eosinophilic esophagitis (EE), eosinophilic gastritis, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and eosinophilic colitis (EC). Since secondary eosinophilic inflammation may occur in numerous gastrointestinal disorders such as IgE-mediated food allergy, gastroesophageal reflux disease, and inflammatory bowel disease, the true incidence and prevalence of primary EGID remains largely unknown. A recently established world-wide-web registry found that EGID mainly affects the pediatric population, although it has been reported in patients up to 68 years of age[3]. In the past few years, EE has been increasingly recognized as a distinct condition that affects about 1% of the population, and accounts for dysphagia and food impaction that remain non-responsive to traditional anti-reflux management, both in pediatric and adult gastroenterology[4]. Accordingly, several excellent reviews on EE have recently been published[4-6]. In contrast, EC represents the least frequent manifestation of EGID whether or not it presents with disease in other segments of the gastrointestinal tract[3]. EC appears to have a bimodal distribution that affects neonates with a relatively high prevalence and a separate group of young adults with no gender preference[3].

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

EGID in general has three hallmarks including peripheral eosinophilia (typically in the range of 5% to 35%), segmental eosinophilic infiltration of the
gastrointestinal tract, and functional abnormalities\textsuperscript{[7,8]}. Importantly, up to 23\% of patients with primary EGID have no peripheral eosinophilia\textsuperscript{[7]}. Symptoms and signs of EGID are usually non-specific and, depending on the affected segment, include abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, gastrointestinal bleeding, obstruction, malabsorption, weight loss, and ascites. In 1970, Klein \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{[9]} subdivided the disease based on the layer of intestinal wall most extensively infiltrated by eosinophils, to distinguish mucosa-predominant, muscularis-propria-predominant, and serosa-predominant forms of EGID.

The above classification provides good correlation of the physical symptoms and signs with the pathological findings, and it is also applicable to EC. Thus, mucosa-predominant disease shows evidence of mucosal dysfunction, such as protein-losing enteropathy, malabsorption, and diarrhea. Transmural disease is recognized by symptoms of intestinal obstruction and bowel wall thickening on imaging studies. Finally, serosal involvement is distinguished by the presence of eosinophilic ascites, with up to 88\% eosinophils seen on fluid analysis\textsuperscript{[10]}. Accordingly, while mucosal EC results in diarrhea\textsuperscript{[11]}, the transmural form has been associated with volvulus\textsuperscript{[12]}, intussusception\textsuperscript{[13,14]}, and even perforation\textsuperscript{[15,16]}, and involvement of the intestinal serosa may manifest with ascites\textsuperscript{[17]}, which was also illustrated by a case that we have encountered recently (Figure 1).

**DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA AND DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

The diagnosis of EGID is made from the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms, peripheral eosinophilia, endoscopic and histological findings, and eosinophilic ascites, with no well-defined causes of eosinophilia on thorough evaluation\textsuperscript{[8]}. A multidisciplinary task force has recently reached consensus on the diagnostic criteria of EE, including the presence of more than 15 eosinophils per high-power field in the esophageal squamous mucosa\textsuperscript{[6]}. No such consensus exists for EC, although most authors have used a diagnostic threshold of 20 eosinophils per high-power field. Of note, normal values for tissue eosinophils vary widely between different segments of the colon, ranging from < 10 eosinophils per high-power field in the rectum to > 30 in the cecum\textsuperscript{[5]}, thus location of the biopsy is critically important for interpretation of findings.

More or less prominent tissue eosinophilia in the colon may result from a number of conditions (Table 1) and EC remains therefore a diagnosis of exclusion. Colonoscopic biopsies obtained from patients with inflammatory bowel disease, in particular with Crohn’s colitis, often show severe tissue eosinophilia\textsuperscript{[18]}. Parasitic infection of the colon with pinworms, roundworms, or whipworms may lead to marked eosinophilic infiltration, and repeated stool or serological testing may be needed to reveal this specific etiology\textsuperscript{[19-23]}. Drug-induced EC has been de-
ETIOLOGY AND PATHOGENESIS

The etiology of primary EGID remains largely unknown. Several studies have suggested a relationship with specific food allergies; indeed, about 75% of affected patients have a history of allergy or atopy. Cow's milk and soy proteins are the foods most frequently implicated in the infantile form of EC, although the condition has also been described in infants exclusively breast-fed or given protein hydrolysate formulas. Cow's milk and soy proteins are the foods most frequently implicated in the infantile form of EC, although the condition has also been described in infants exclusively breast-fed or given protein hydrolysate formulas. Even less is known about the potential causes of the adult form of primary EC. A case report by Inamura et al. has demonstrated accumulation of mast cells in the colon interstitium after immunohistochemical staining for mast cell tryptase, which suggests the pathogenetic role of IgE, while other observations suggest that EC may not be an IgE-mediated disease. Thus, colonic T cells in an animal model have been shown to transfer oral antigen-induced diarrhea to naive mice through a STAT6-dependent mechanism. Specific eosinophil chemoattractants, such as interleukin-5 and eotaxins, may also have a pathogenic role in EC. While EE may develop without other gastrointestinal involvement when experimental animals are sensitized and challenged in the lung, direct exposure of the gastrointestinal mucosa seems to result in multisegmental disease.

TREATMENT

No prospective randomized controlled trials exist to date on specific therapy for EC or any other forms of primary or idiopathic EGID. Therapeutic efforts have been based on case reports and small case series. Corticosteroid therapy has formed the backbone for initial management, and it has proven to be the most effective instrument for symptom control in EC. Up to 90% of cases will respond within 2 wk of treatment, when a slow taper is initiated. However, relapse is frequent and requires recurrent courses or leads to steroid dependence. A role for budesonide has been demonstrated, particularly in disease of the right colon and ileum. It must be emphasized that efforts to rule out parasitic or drug-induced EC are important since empiric treatment with corticosteroids may aggravate the patient's condition, or at least, it may be impossible to identify the patient's condition as atopic disease. The beneficial effect of elimination and elemental diets has been limited to cases with specific food allergies, especially in treating neonatal disease. Approaches to avoid steroids by using alternative medications have been directed mostly to more prevalent forms of EGID, and expertise about their need and efficacy in EC has been limited. Antihistamine therapy in EGID appears to be gaining prominence. Ketotifen, an H1 antihistamine not yet available in US, has been shown to decrease symptoms as well as tissue eosinophilia. The leukotriene inhibitor montelukast, an agent that blocks the action of potent eosinophil chemoattractant leukotriene D4, by competitively antagonizing its receptor expressed on eosinophils, has also been found to be helpful in EGID. Mast cell stabilizers, such as cromolyn, are effective by inhibiting release of mast cell mediators such as histamine H1, platelet activating factor, and leukotriene. More recently, the role of biologics in EGID has also been studied, with favorable outcomes reported by using monoclonal antibodies targeting interleukin 5 (mepolizumab) and IgE (omalizumab).

CONCLUSION

In summary, EC is a rare manifestation of the EGID spectrum, which does not appear to be increasing in prevalence, in contrast to recent trends seen in eosophageal disease. This dichotomy suggests a disparate pathophysiology of EC, with the possibility that EC itself is a heterogeneous entity. While the pediatric form of EC often subsides without intervention, or upon withdrawal of atopic stimuli, the adult form may relapse and require short-term steroid therapy. Importantly, EC needs to be included in the differential diagnosis of many conditions with primary or secondary involvement of the gastrointestinal tract.


