Uterine Leiomyomas: MR Imaging–guided Focused Ultrasound Surgery—Imaging Predictors of Success

**Purpose:**
To retrospectively assess the magnetic resonance (MR) imaging predictors of success at reducing uterine leiomyoma volume and achieving patient symptom relief 12 months after MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery.

**Materials and Methods:**
This single-center retrospective analysis of 71 symptomatic fibroids in 66 women was approved by the institutional review board and was HIPAA-compliant. Patients were treated with MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery. The volume of treated fibroid and nonperfused volume (NPV) were calculated with software, while symptom outcome was assessed with a symptom severity score (SSS). Fibroids were classified as hyperintense or hypointense relative to skeletal muscle on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images.

**Results:**
Baseline volume of treated fibroids was $255.5 \pm 201.7$ cm$^3$ (standard deviation), and baseline SSS was $61.5 \pm 14.9$. Both pretreatment fibroid signal intensity (SI) and post-treatment NPV predicted 12-month volume reduction independently: Fibroids with an NPV of at least 20% or with low SI both showed significantly larger volume reduction (17.0% $\pm$ 13.0 and 17.2% $\pm$ 20.1, respectively) than fibroids with an NPV less than 20% or with high SI (10.7% $\pm$ 18.2 and no significant change, respectively). Patients whose fibroids demonstrated an NPV of at least 20% also experienced a larger decrease in SSS than did patients with fibroids with an NPV less than 20% (50.1% $\pm$ 19.8 vs 32.6% $\pm$ 29.9).

**Conclusion:**
Fibroids with low SI on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images were more likely to shrink than were ones with high SI. The larger the NPV immediately after treatment, the greater the volume reduction and symptom relief were. These findings may help both in selecting appropriate patients for MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery and in predicting patient outcome.

---

**Note:** This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues or clients, use the Radiology Reprints form at the end of this article.
Previous reports (1–6) have indicated that magnetic resonance (MR) imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery provides a safe and feasible alternative to surgical resection for the treatment of uterine fibroids. The goal of this treatment is to cause thermal coagulation and ablation of the target fibroid by methodically sonicating multiple locations to reduce volume and provide symptom relief. Contrast agent–enhanced pre- and posttreatment MR images are used to determine the newly induced nonperfused volume (NPV) of the fibroid, which is the volume of tissue that was effectively ablated (3,7–8). Initial treatment guidelines were designed to provide safe treatment. Treatments performed according to initial guidelines led to significant improvement in symptoms despite small volumes of tissue ablated and have been shown to be sustained 12 and 24 months after treatment in many patients (5,7). MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery has also been shown to be effective at fibroid volume reduction at 6-month follow-up (3).

In previous clinical studies (3–5,7), both fibroid volume reduction and symptom relief showed a range of variability among patients, even at short-term follow-up: Some patients had significant symptom relief and fibroid shrinkage, while others seemed to be resistant to the treatment. Contrast agent–enhanced pretreatment MR images are used to predict baseline MR imaging predictors of successful reduction of uterine leiomyoma volume and fibroid si blood flow. Pretreatment T2-weighted MR images were used to predict critical in determining how to optimize treatment with MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery. This is particularly important because there are a range of options available for treatment of fibroids, and selection guidance is needed. In this study, we sought to retrospectively assess baseline MR imaging predictors of successful reduction of uterine leiomyoma volume and patient symptom relief 12 months after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery.

Materials and Methods

Several authors have served as consultants for InSightec (E.A.S., F.A.J., C.M.C.T.). Authors who were not consultants for InSightec had control over inclusion of data and information submitted for publication.

Patients

All patients gave written informed consent for MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery and for inclusion in this continued access study. This Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act–compliant retrospective study had institutional review board approval. Between February 2002 and December 2005, a total of 243 fibroid patients were screened for treatment with MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery as part of a prospective multicenter clinical trial with overall results previously published (4–5,7). After screening, 135 patients were determined to meet all eligibility criteria and were treated with MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. The eligibility criteria for enrollment in the trial of MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery have been previously described (1–5). Patients with calcified fibroids were excluded. Of the 135 treated patients, 69 did not undergo 6- and/or 12-month follow-up: Thirty-one sought alternative treatment, 34 were lost to or were overdue for follow-up, and four left the study for personal reasons.

In this retrospective study, we report on the 66 women who underwent complete follow-up (ie, leiomyoma volume and leiomyoma-related symptom assessment at both 6 and 12 months after treatment). Of the patients included in this study, 13 were from the pivotal trial population (4), 50 were from the continued access study (5), and three were part of a

---

**Advances in Knowledge**

- The signal intensity of fibroids on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images can be used to predict treatment response; diffuse hypointensity relative to skeletal muscle is a predictor for volume reduction after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery.
- The larger the nonperfused volume (NPV) is immediately after treatment, the greater the leiomyoma volume reduction is at 12-month follow-up.

---

**Implications for Patient Care**

- Patients with hypointense fibroids on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images have more successful results with MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery treatment than do patients with hyperintense fibroids.
- The NPV immediately after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery is useful in predicting patient outcome.
study dedicated to evaluating the response in African American patients. Thirty-five patients had multiple uterine fibroids, and 31 had only one. One fibroid was treated in 61 patients, and two fibroids were sonicated during the same treatment session in the remaining five patients. Two patients had a fibroid treated with MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery twice within a 14-day interval.

MR-guided Focused Ultrasound Surgery Device

The equipment used for MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery in this study has been previously described (1) and is a combination of a 1.5-T magnet (Signa; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis) and a focused ultrasound device (ExAblate 2000; InSightec, Haifa, Israel). The ExAblate 2000 system consists of a phased-array transducer (208 elements; frequency, 0.96–1.14 MHz), a computer-controlled positioning system, a multichannel radiofrequency amplifier system, and a user interface.

Pretreatment Imaging

Several days before treatment, all patients were evaluated with MR imaging by using a standardized protocol. A 1.5-T MR system (GE Healthcare) with a computer software platform (LX, version 8.3 or higher; GE Healthcare) and a receive-only pelvic coil (USA Instruments, Aurora, Ohio) was used. Three-plane localizer images; axial, sagittal, and coronal T2-weighted fast spin-echo images; T1-weighted spin-echo images; and multiphase fat-suppressed axial T1-weighted spoiled gradient-echo images with fat suppression were obtained. Patients then received an intravenous injection of gadopentetate dimeglumine (0.1 mmol/kg of body weight, Magnevist; Berlex Laboratories, Wayne, NJ), and multiplanar T1-weighted images were obtained. Parameters for the T1- and T2-weighted and spoiled gradient-echo MR images have been previously described (1).

MR images were analyzed to determine the number, size, location, and contrast-enhancement patterns of all uterine leiomyomas and to evaluate the skin surface and any possible obstruction of the ultrasound beam path (eg, bowel loops anterior to the uterus) (1,5). The contrast-enhancement pattern generally showed moderate homogeneous enhancement, similar to the neighboring uterus. Fibroid regions with evident spontaneous nonperfused areas were avoided on treatment day.

Treatment

All patients were treated on an outpatient basis. Pretreatment patient preparation, treatment planning, and sonication have been described in detail in previous publications (1–7). For planning on the day of treatment, standard T2-weighted fast spin-echo images were acquired while the patient was in the prone position on the MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery table. The images were transferred to the ultrasound device user interface, where the desired treatment volume was determined. The ultrasound beam path for each sonication was examined to ensure that the treatment was safe with respect to scars, bladder, bowel, and bone. In addition, the skin was outlined on the treatment planning images by using the ultrasound device software.

After treatment planning, two to five subtherapeutic low-power sonications were performed to ensure accurate targeting. During each sonication, the focused ultrasound system automatically started the temperature-sensitive imaging sequence that was used to guide the procedure (8). Phase-difference fast spoiled gradient-echo MR imaging was used to construct the temperature images (10). The mean number of sonications was 59 ± 23 (standard deviation) (range, 13–113), while mean duration of treatment was 123 minutes ± 28 (range, 75–180 minutes).

The treatment protocol criteria were defined on the basis of the Food and Drug Administration guidelines (5). Originally the maximum treatment volume was 100 cm³ and was limited to 33% of the total fibroid volume, the total “in-bore” treatment time was limited to 3 hours, and a second treatment was not allowed. These guidelines were then relaxed by the Food and Drug Administration: The maximum treatment volume was changed to 150 cm³ and limited to 50% of the total fibroid volume, maximum treatment time remained 3 hours, and a second treatment was allowed. In this study, the 45 patients who were treated prior to April 30, 2004, underwent treatment in accordance with the original guidelines. The remaining 21 patients received treatment under the newer guidelines.

Leiomyoma-related Symptom Assessment

Before treatment and at 6- and 12-month follow-up, patients were asked to complete an eight-item section of a uterine fibroid symptom and quality-of-life questionnaire to determine a symptom severity score (SSS) for leiomyoma-related symptoms (11–14). Components were scored on a five-point Likert scale, with responses ranging from “not at all” to “a very great deal.” A raw SSS score of 21 out of 40 possible points, a reflection of substantial fibroid-related symptoms, was required for entry into the clinical trial (4–6). In this manuscript, the transformed SSS is reported, for which 100 points represents maximal symptom severity.

Posttreatment Follow-up

Immediately following treatment, coronal T1-weighted fast spoiled gradient-echo images were acquired before and after injection of contrast agent. After contrast agent administration, transverse T1-weighted spin-echo images were acquired. This imaging protocol was repeated approximately 6 months (mean, 183 days ± 13) and 12 months (mean, 371 days ± 15) after treatment without any cost to the patient.

Data Analysis

Volume and SI data were analyzed on site by one of the investigators (Z.M.L., with 5 years experience analyzing MR images). The volume of the treated fibroid was calculated on axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo images acquired for treatment planning purposes on the treatment day. The NPV immediately after treatment was calculated on contrast-enhanced coronal T1-weighted spoiled gradient-echo images (Figs 1, 2).
The outlines of the leiomyomas and nonperfused treated areas were contoured with electronic calipers to compute the area per section by using volumetric analysis software (3D Slicer, version 2; Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Mass, www.slicer.org). The volume was computed by multiplying the sum of the measured areas by the distance between the centers of two consecutive images. This distance was 7–8 mm for the T2-weighted images (5–6 mm section thickness, 2-mm spacing between sections) and 6–7 mm for the T1-weighted images (5–6 mm section thickness, 1–2-mm spacing between sections). The NPV, as a percentage of volume of treated fibroid, was also calculated. The volume of the treated fibroid and the NPV were calculated prior to, immediately after, and 6 and 12 months after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery. In the five patients who had two fibroids treated, both of the treated fibroids were assessed.

Therapeutic treatment sonications were defined as sonications within 10% of the peak power used during the treatment. The temperature of the sonication was the average of 3 × 3 voxel region of interest (ROI) around the hottest value.

Two circular ROIs (approximately 1 cm²) were chosen over areas of skeletal muscle on T2-weighted images, and the mean SIs of these ROIs were averaged to obtain the muscle SI. Also, the largest circular ROI that would encompass the uterine fibroid was used in two representative images, and the mean SIs of these ROIs were averaged to obtain the fibroid SI. As fibroids most often have similar SI over their entire volume on T2-weighted images, these ROIs were considered to be representative of the overall fibroid SI. In fibroids in which hyperintense regions were present within the treated volume, the ROIs were chosen to encompass those areas. Fibroid SI was categorized as hypointense or hyperintense as compared with skeletal muscle SI (15).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were statistically analyzed by using a one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance and post hoc Tukey test to detect differences in data as a function of time after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery. Group comparisons were made by using an unpaired t test and two-way analysis of variance with a post hoc Tukey test. The relationship between variables was determined by simple linear regression analysis. To determine the NPV dependency of changes in fibroid volume and symptom score, different cutoff values of the NPV (ie, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, and 40%) were tested to find a value with which the fibroids could be split into two groups that had a statistically significant difference in volume and symptom reduction ($\chi^2$ test). To determine whether fibroid SI predicted treatment outcome based on differences in NPV or in an independent manner, we formed four groups of fibroids on the
basis of SI (hyperintense or hypointense) and NPV (<20% or ≥20%) and compared the groups with respect to change in fibroid volume and SSS. A P value of less than .05 was considered to indicate a significant difference. Statistical analysis was performed by using software (SigmaStat for Windows, version 2.03; SPSS, Chicago, Ill).

Results

Baseline Patient Characteristics

The 66 women had a mean age of 45.4 years ± 4.4 (Table 1). Mean volume of a treated fibroid before MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery was 255.5 cm³ ± 201.7. Mean NPV immediately after MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery was 43.0 cm³ ± 53.7, which is 16.3% ± 13.3 of the mean baseline volume of a treated fibroid. The women had an SSS of 61.5 ± 14.9 before MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery.

Fibroid Volume and Symptom Changes after Treatment

At 6-month follow-up, the mean volume of treated fibroids was reduced by 12.6% ± 16.9 (mean, −30 cm³ ± 54; range, −266 to 278 cm³) (P < .001), as compared with pretreatment data. Fifty-five (77.5%) of the 71 treated fibroids had decreased in size, two (2.8%) had remained unchanged, and 14 (19.7%) had increased in size at 6-month follow-up. Of the 16 patients whose fibroid did not decrease in size, 14 had symptom improvement at 6-month follow-up.

At 12-month follow-up, the mean volume of treated fibroids was reduced by 9.3% ± 24.8 (mean, −22 cm³ ± 82; range, −334 to 236 cm³) (P < .005). Fifty-three (74.6%) of the 71 treated fibroids had decreased in size, one (1.4%) had remained unchanged, and 17 (23.9%) had increased in size at 12-month follow-up. Of the 18 patients whose fibroid did not decrease in size, 15 had symptom improvement at 12-month follow-up. Residual NPV was 7.1% ± 11.9 (20.2 cm³ ± 39.9) and 4.6% ± 10.9 (13.3 cm³ ± 33.0) of baseline fibroid volume at 6- and 12-month follow-up, respectively.

The reduction in fibroid-related SSS tracked changes in fibroid volume over the 12 months after MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery. At 6- and 12-month follow-up, mean SSS was 34.0 ± 17.2 and 37.6 ± 17.8, respectively (P < .001); 50 (75.8%) and 47 (71.2%) patients, respectively, had at least a 10-point improvement in their SSS compared with baseline SSS; and four (6.1%) and three (4.5%) patients, respectively, had worsening symptoms despite fibroid shrinkage. Symptom relief at 6- and 12-month follow-up was not different between the patients who had one fibroid treated and those who had two treated, most likely because of the small number of patients (n = 5) who had two fibroids treated.

Effect of SI

Thirty-three of the 71 fibroids (46.5%) were hypointense, and 38 (53.5%) were hyperintense on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images. The number of sonifications (63.2 ± 24.1 vs 54.8 ± 19.6) and the treatment temperatures (71.5°C ± 6.5 vs 70.4°C ± 6.2) were not different during MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery for hyperintense versus hypointense fibroids. The mean acoustic power was higher for hyperintense fibroids than for those that were hypointense (130.0 W ± 37.0 vs 91.8 W ± 20.9, respectively) (P < .05). Despite of the higher power requirement, the resulting NPV was smaller in hyperintense fibroids than in hypointense ones (13.6% ± 12.7 vs 19.9% ± 13.2, respectively) (P < .05) (Fig 3). At 6-month follow-up, there was a 15.9% ± 15.2 decrease in the volume of hypointense fibroids (P < .05) and a 10.0% ± 17.9 decrease in that of hyperintense fibroids (P < .05), respectively (Fig 3). At 6-month follow-up, the SSS of both patient groups with hypointense and hyperintense fibroids had decreased by 29.5 points ± 19.0 (45.4% ± 28.4) and 27.7 points ± 17.4 (45.9% ± 25.7), respectively (P < .01). At 12-month follow-up, the SSS of both patient groups again showed decreases of 24.8 points ± 16.4 (38.5% ± 26.7) and 23.5 points ± 19.6 (38.0% ± 30.0), respectively (P < .01).

Effect of NPV

Fibroid volume reduction at 12-month follow-up was significantly correlated to the percentage of the fibroid that was nonperfused immediately after MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery (r = 0.27, P = .014) (Fig 4). When NPV was equal to 0%, the y-intercept was not different from zero (P = .92), meaning there was no change in fibroid volume. When the NPV was correlated with the changes in SSS, the correlation was close to significant at 12-month follow-up (P = .071). We also assessed the data to identify an NPV value to dichot
optimize the fibroids: Among the numerous NPV cutoff values tested, we found that a threshold of 20% revealed the most significant differences in both fibroid volume and SSS changes (\( P = .023 \) and .009, respectively). Fibroids with an NPV of at least 20% showed a volume reduction of 17.0% ± 13.0%, while fibroids with an NPV less than 20% showed a volume reduction of 10.7% ± 18.2.

Regarding 12-month volume reduction, both SI and NPV had an independent effect (\( P = .028 \) and .033, respectively) (Table 2). In fibroids with NPV less than 20%, hypointense fibroids showed a volume reduction of 13.2% ± 21.3 (mean, −37 cm\(^3\) ± 70; range, −282 to 260 cm\(^3\)) (\( P < .05 \)), while hyperintense fibroids remained unchanged (mean, 11 cm\(^3\) ± 88; range, −206 to 236 cm\(^3\)). In fibroids with NPV of at least 20%, hypointense fibroids showed a volume reduction of 24.3% ± 16.4 (mean, −70 cm\(^3\) ± 92; range, −334 to 336 cm\(^3\)) (\( P < .05 \)), while hyperintense fibroids showed a volume reduction of 12.8% ± 19.6 (mean, −20 cm\(^3\) ± 41; range, −85 to 70 cm\(^3\)) (\( P = .05 \)). Regarding change in SSS, NPV (\( P = .032 \)) had a significant relationship and SI did not (\( P = .866 \)) (Fig 5).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, these results are the first to demonstrate that pretreatment fibroid SI and the NPV immediately after MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound surgery both independently predict fibroid volume reduction at 12-month follow-up. Hypointense fibroids on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images and fibroids with a larger NPV immediately after treatment are predictors of the success of MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery therapy.

In our study, MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery of uterine leiomyomas resulted in modest (about 11%) volume and considerable (about 26 points) SSS reduction at 6- and 12-month follow-up. This finding is in line with the results of Hindley et al (3), which were a 13.5% fibroid volume reduction and a 27.3% point reduction in SSS at 6-month follow-up. Somewhat larger changes were reported in another study (16): Fibroid volume decreased by 21% at 6-month and by 37% at 12-month follow-up, while symptom severity was reduced by 45% at 6-month and 48% at 12-month follow-up. In this latter study, however, patients received a gonadotropin-releasing hormone–agonist before sonication. Patients received a gonadotropin-releasing hormone–agonist before sonication, which may have facilitated leiomyoma volume reduction by creating a temporary hypoestrogenic state.

The volume of hyperintense fibroids and fibroids with an NPV less than 20% showed no decrease in the year following sonication. However, even in these patients fibroid-related symptoms decreased by 20%–25%. The explanation for this phenomenon is unknown, but it is clear that it is not only the absolute fibroid volume that determines the severity of patients’ symptoms. There are other factors (eg, fibroid vascularity, intratumoral pressure, density of the lesion, hormone levels) that may contribute to the symptom severity and that could be altered by sonication. Increased tolerance over time and the placebo effect are also potential reasons for this phenomenon. This pattern of symptom reduction greater than the magnitude of volume reduction has also been seen following embolization of leiomyomas (17).

The extent of change in fibroid volume (range, −52.8% to 22.4%) varied substantially among the patients at 12-month follow-up. We found that this variation in the treatment efficacy could be partly predicted by fibroid SI as seen on pretreatment T2-weighted MR images: Low fibroid SI was predictive of a good response to MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery. It has previously been established that low or hypointense SI on T2-weighted MR images reflects low cellularity and/or vascularity (18), while high SI represents vascularization, fluid-rich tissues, or degeneration (19). In hyperintense fibroids, it is difficult to obtain adequate temperature elevation with sonication because high perfusion decreases heat accumulation through the vascular cooling effect (20). Although we did not systematically investigate

---

**Figure 3**

Bar graph shows volume reduction at 6- and 12-month follow-up in hypointense (teal columns, \( n = 33 \)) and hyperintense (red columns, \( n = 38 \)) fibroids. Volume reduction is expressed as a percentage of the initial volume of the treated fibroid. Above each column the fibroid volume change is shown, with the range in parentheses. \( a = P < .05 \) versus zero, \( b = P < .05 \) versus hypointense fibroids at the same time, MRgFUS = MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery.

**Figure 4**

Graph shows relationship between NPV immediately after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery and volume changes of treated fibroid at 12-month follow-up. Fibroid volume change = \(-2.7 - 0.50 \times \) NPV.
this issue, our impression was that these fibroids were often more heterogeneous on T2-weighted MR images. It would be interesting in future work to systematically test whether such heterogeneity on anatomic images is correlated with a more variable temperature elevation. These observations might explain why a higher number of and higher power for sonication were necessary for hyperintense fibroids to reach the same NPV in our study. This finding is in line with a recent observation (9) and extends it by demonstrating that, even if the NPV is equal in a hypointense fibroid and in a hyperintense fibroid, the volume reduction is greater in the former. The exact cause of this finding is unknown but might be explained by the higher proliferative activity and growth rate of hyperintense fibroids (21).

The NPV immediately after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery was a determinant of both volume and symptom reduction, and this finding suggests that there is a strong link between the success of therapy and devascularization and/or necrosis, as has been suggested in uterine fibroid embolization (22). Therefore, we believe that an important goal of this procedure is to treat as large a volume as safely possible. However, in previous clinical trials and also in our study, the sonicated volume of the fibroid was limited by treatment guidelines defined on the basis of consultation with the Food and Drug Administration (5). Originally, the maximum treatment volume of a fibroid was 100 cm³ and was limited to 33% of the total fibroid volume, the total in-bore time was limited to 3 hours, and a second treatment was not allowed. These guidelines have since been relaxed by the Food and Drug Administration, but the majority of our patients were treated under the more restrictive guidelines. Recently, Fennessey et al (5) reported significantly greater symptom score reduction in patients treated with the use of the modified treatment guidelines compared with those treated with the use of the more restrictive guidelines. This difference can be explained by the larger NPV with the use of modified guidelines, and, as proposed by Fennessey et al, there may also be factors (eg, experience, familiarity with the device, better patient preparation and education) that play a role in better outcome in those treated at a later date. Note that since these relaxed guidelines only served to increase the targetable fibroid regions and were not inherently biased toward any of the predictors we tested, we assume that it did not influence our outcome.

The ExAblate 2000 system was upgraded after the treatments described in our study, with new features that can greatly reduce treatment time. These improvements include reducing the intersonication cooling time for sonications that are a large distance apart and enlarged focal regions through enhanced beam steering during sonication. The use of inertial cavitation, or “enhanced sonications,” to increase the lesion volume per sonication is also being studied and may be available for future treatments (23). We believe that these changes in technique and protocol will help to produce larger NPVs and therefore reliably achieve greater extent of volume shrinkage and consequent symptom relief in the future. With such improvement, and perhaps a relaxation of the maximum treatment time guide-

### Table 2

Treatment Data for Fibroid Groups Formed on the Basis of NPV and SI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NPV &lt;20%</th>
<th>NPV ≥20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypointense</td>
<td>Hyperintense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of fibroids</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of sonications</td>
<td>53.1 ± 20.6</td>
<td>63.7 ± 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment temperature (°C)</td>
<td>68.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>70.7 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power of sonication (W)</td>
<td>91.0 ± 22.5</td>
<td>137.0 ± 37.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline volume of treated fibroid (cm³)</td>
<td>222.0 ± 168</td>
<td>273.4 ± 235.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline SSS</td>
<td>64.1 ± 15.0</td>
<td>59.3 ± 16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV (%)</td>
<td>12.0 ± 5.5</td>
<td>6.4 ± 5.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Unless otherwise noted, data are mean ± standard deviation.

† P < .05 vs hypointense fibroids within the same NPV group.

† P < .05 vs NPV <20% with the same signal intensity.

### Figure 5

Figure 5: Graph shows volume (teal columns) and SSS (red columns) reduction at 12-month follow-up in four fibroid groups formed on the basis of NPV and SI immediately after MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery. b = P < .05 versus hypointense fibroids within the same NPV group.
lines, a larger population of patients with fibroids, including those hyperintense on T2-weighted MR images, may be able to be effectively treated.

An important limitation of this retrospective study was the small number of patients evaluated at 12 months and the reporting of predictors of fibroid volume reduction and symptom relief on the basis of data in the evaluable population. More than half of the patients who left the study were seeking alternative treatment, and we might presume that these patients had neutral or actual worsening of symptoms, though we do not have data to determine this accurately. Therefore, the overall volume reduction and symptom relief at 12 months would probably be smaller if all treated patients had been evaluated.

In summary, careful patient selection and use of pretreatment imaging are important components for predicting the success of MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery of uterine leiomyomas, and our findings may help to establish criteria for patient selection and for predicting outcomes in future MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery treatments.
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### Black and White Reprint Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Pages</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>$221</td>
<td>$233</td>
<td>$268</td>
<td>$285</td>
<td>$303</td>
<td>$323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>$355</td>
<td>$382</td>
<td>$432</td>
<td>$466</td>
<td>$510</td>
<td>$544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>$466</td>
<td>$513</td>
<td>$595</td>
<td>$652</td>
<td>$714</td>
<td>$775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>$576</td>
<td>$640</td>
<td>$749</td>
<td>$830</td>
<td>$912</td>
<td>$995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>$694</td>
<td>$775</td>
<td>$906</td>
<td>$1,017</td>
<td>$1,117</td>
<td>$1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>$809</td>
<td>$906</td>
<td>$1,071</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,321</td>
<td>$1,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>$928</td>
<td>$1,041</td>
<td>$1,242</td>
<td>$1,390</td>
<td>$1,544</td>
<td>$1,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-32</td>
<td>$1,042</td>
<td>$1,178</td>
<td>$1,403</td>
<td>$1,568</td>
<td>$1,751</td>
<td>$1,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covers</td>
<td>$97</td>
<td>$118</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$323</td>
<td>$442</td>
<td>$555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Color Reprint Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Pages</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>$223</td>
<td>$239</td>
<td>$352</td>
<td>$473</td>
<td>$597</td>
<td>$719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>$349</td>
<td>$401</td>
<td>$601</td>
<td>$849</td>
<td>$1,099</td>
<td>$1,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>$486</td>
<td>$517</td>
<td>$852</td>
<td>$1,232</td>
<td>$1,609</td>
<td>$1,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>$615</td>
<td>$651</td>
<td>$1,105</td>
<td>$1,609</td>
<td>$2,117</td>
<td>$2,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>$759</td>
<td>$787</td>
<td>$1,357</td>
<td>$1,997</td>
<td>$2,626</td>
<td>$3,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>$897</td>
<td>$924</td>
<td>$1,611</td>
<td>$2,376</td>
<td>$3,135</td>
<td>$3,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>$1,033</td>
<td>$1,071</td>
<td>$1,873</td>
<td>$2,757</td>
<td>$3,650</td>
<td>$4,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-32</td>
<td>$1,175</td>
<td>$1,208</td>
<td>$2,122</td>
<td>$3,133</td>
<td>$4,162</td>
<td>$5,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covers</td>
<td>$97</td>
<td>$118</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$323</td>
<td>$442</td>
<td>$555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### International (includes Canada and Mexico)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Pages</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>$272</td>
<td>$283</td>
<td>$340</td>
<td>$397</td>
<td>$446</td>
<td>$506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>$428</td>
<td>$455</td>
<td>$576</td>
<td>$675</td>
<td>$784</td>
<td>$884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>$580</td>
<td>$626</td>
<td>$805</td>
<td>$964</td>
<td>$1,115</td>
<td>$1,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>$724</td>
<td>$786</td>
<td>$1,023</td>
<td>$1,232</td>
<td>$1,445</td>
<td>$1,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>$878</td>
<td>$958</td>
<td>$1,246</td>
<td>$1,520</td>
<td>$1,774</td>
<td>$2,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>$1,022</td>
<td>$1,119</td>
<td>$1,474</td>
<td>$1,795</td>
<td>$2,108</td>
<td>$2,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>$1,176</td>
<td>$1,291</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>$2,070</td>
<td>$2,450</td>
<td>$2,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-32</td>
<td>$1,316</td>
<td>$1,452</td>
<td>$1,936</td>
<td>$2,355</td>
<td>$2,784</td>
<td>$3,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covers</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td>$176</td>
<td>$335</td>
<td>$525</td>
<td>$716</td>
<td>$905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### International (includes Canada and Mexico)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Pages</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>$278</td>
<td>$290</td>
<td>$424</td>
<td>$586</td>
<td>$741</td>
<td>$904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>$429</td>
<td>$472</td>
<td>$746</td>
<td>$1,058</td>
<td>$1,374</td>
<td>$1,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>$604</td>
<td>$629</td>
<td>$1,061</td>
<td>$1,545</td>
<td>$2,011</td>
<td>$2,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>$766</td>
<td>$797</td>
<td>$1,378</td>
<td>$2,013</td>
<td>$2,647</td>
<td>$3,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>$945</td>
<td>$972</td>
<td>$1,698</td>
<td>$2,499</td>
<td>$3,282</td>
<td>$4,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>$1,110</td>
<td>$1,139</td>
<td>$2,015</td>
<td>$2,970</td>
<td>$3,921</td>
<td>$4,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>$1,290</td>
<td>$1,321</td>
<td>$2,333</td>
<td>$3,437</td>
<td>$4,556</td>
<td>$5,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-32</td>
<td>$1,455</td>
<td>$1,482</td>
<td>$2,652</td>
<td>$3,924</td>
<td>$5,193</td>
<td>$6,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covers</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td>$176</td>
<td>$335</td>
<td>$525</td>
<td>$716</td>
<td>$905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tax Due

Residents of Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia are required to add the appropriate sales tax to each reprint order. For orders shipped to Canada, please add 7% Canadian GST unless exemption is claimed.

### Ordering

Reprint order forms and purchase order or prepayment is required to process your order. Please reference journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on any correspondence. You may use the reverse side of this form as a proforma invoice. Please return your order form and prepayment to:

**Cadmus Reprints**

P.O. Box 751903
Charlotte, NC 28275-1903

**Note:** Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box.

FEIN #: 541274108

Please direct all inquiries to:

**Rose A. Baynard**

800-407-9190 (toll free number)
410-819-3966 (direct number)
410-820-9765 (FAX number)
baynardr@cadmus.com (e-mail)

Minimum order is 50 copies. For orders larger than 500 copies, please consult Cadmus Reprints at 800-407-9190.

**Reprint Cover**

Cover prices are listed above. The cover will include the publication title, article title, and author name in black.

**Shipping**

Shipping costs are included in the reprint prices. Domestic orders are shipped via UPS Ground service. Foreign orders are shipped via a proof of delivery air service.

**Multiple Shipments**

Orders can be shipped to more than one location. Please be aware that it will cost $32 for each additional location.

**Delivery**

Your order will be shipped within 2 weeks of the journal print date. Allow extra time for delivery.