Two Microtubule-associated Proteins of *Arabidopsis* MAP65s Promote Antiparallel Microtubule Bundling
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The *Arabidopsis* MAP65s are a protein family with similarity to the microtubule-associated proteins PRC1/Ase1p that accumulate in the spindle midzone during late anaphase in mammals and yeast, respectively. Here we investigate the molecular and functional properties of *At*MAP65-5 and improve our understanding of *At*MAP65-1 properties. We demonstrate that, in vitro, both proteins promote the formation of a planar network of antiparallel microtubules. In vivo, we show that *At*MAP65-5 selectively binds the preprophase band and the prophase spindle microtubule during prophase, whereas *At*MAP65-1-GFP selectively binds the preprophase band but does not accumulate at the prophase spindle microtubules that coexist within the same cell. At later stages of mitosis, *At*MAP65-1 and *At*MAP65-5 differentially label the late spindle and phragmoplast. We present evidence for a mode of action for both proteins that involves the binding of monomeric units to microtubules that “zipper up” antiparallel arranged microtubules through the homodimerization of the N-terminal halves when adjacent microtubules encounter.

INTRODUCTION

In higher plant cells, interphase microtubules occur predominantly at the cell cortex as ordered bundles in close association with the plasma membrane. These so-called cortical microtubules (CMTs) play crucial roles in cell morphogenesis (Wasteneys and Fujita, 2006). In rapidly elongating cells, CMTs are linear bundles that are usually transversely oriented relative to the longest cell axis. When cell expansion slows down, the transverse organization is lost and CMTs become oblique (Lloyd, 1994; Dixit and Cyr, 2004). CMTs are highly dynamic and show higher (de)-polymerization rates than interphase mammalian microtubules (Shaw et al., 2003; Dixit et al., 2006). In contrast to animal and yeast microtubules (MTs), CMTs do not emanate from a well-defined nucleating center. Instead, the MT nucleation activity in interphase plant cells mostly occurs at dispersed sites along pre-existing MTs at the cell’s cortex (Murata et al., 2005) in a γ-tubulin–dependent manner (Pastuglia et al., 2006). This MT-dependent nucleation results in branching patterns of CMTs (Murata et al., 2005) that are subsequently resolved into coaligned CMTs. After nucleation, the majority of the MTs are released from their nucleation site, move in the cell cortex by a hybrid treadmilling mechanism leading to polymer interactions (Shaw et al., 2003), and are incorporated into bundles (Dixit and Cyr, 2004). Significantly, the CMT bundles are not anchored and consequently both MT ends are dynamic. Thus, the ordered patterns of CMT arrays are not correlated with the patterns of the CMT nucleation sites (Dixit et al., 2006) and depend on a yet-to-be-discovered mechanism.

To accommodate for the transverse network observed in expanding cells, CMTs form bundles. How these bundles are organized is not clear, and for instance, the polarity of CMTs within bundles is not yet solved. Using an in vitro model that provides good access to the cortex combined with the hook decoration of MTs, Tian et al. (2004) demonstrated that the MTs in the cortical array are predominantly oriented in a mixed polarity. This organization is in agreement with opposing growth directionalities of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled MTs observed in the cortical array (Chan et al., 2003; Shaw et al., 2003). In contrast, Dixit et al. (2006) reported that ~70% of CMTs have the same polarity and that this polar arrangement of CMTs occurs simultaneously with the coalignment of CMTs. This bias toward copolarity might be the net result of sectorial fields of copolymerizing MTs observed in spinning-disk confocal microscopic records (Chan et al., 2007).
At the molecular level, putative candidate proteins stimulating the cross-linking of MTs in vitro and in vivo have been identified. They are mainly members of the Mt-associated family MAP65s (Smertenko et al., 2004; Wicker-Planquart et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007a). MAP65 proteins are evolutionarily conserved, nonmotor microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) that in animal and yeast cells accumulate in the spindle midzone during late anaphase to stabilize overlapping antiparallel arranged MTs (Verni et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2006; Janson et al., 2007). In Arabidopsis nine AtMAP65 family members were identified (Hussey et al., 2002). These all possess a conserved sequence of 16 amino acids located at the C-terminus and coiled-coil sequences with putative protein–protein interaction activity (Schuyler et al., 2003; Verni et al., 2004). Overall sequence identity is poorly conserved, suggesting that AtMAP65 proteins have adopted separate properties. AtMAP65-1 is so far the more studied and was shown to colocalize with bundled CMTs and the spindle midzone in anaphase (Van Damme et al., 2004a; Mao et al., 2005a; Smertenko et al., 2006). In vitro, AtMAP65-1 promotes MT bundling and appears as filamentous cross-bridges regular in the MT (Verni et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2005b). Other GFP-tagged AtMAP65 proteins have different localizations. AtMAP65-5 is associated with the mitotic MT array during both early and late mitosis in all Arabidopsis organs (Caillaud et al., 2008). AtMAP65-4 associates with the prophase spindle MTs and labels the spindle MTs until anaphase (Van Damme et al., 2004a). AtMAP65-6 colocalizes with mitochondria (Mao et al., 2005b). Finally, AtMAP65-5 associates with a subset of transversely organized CMT bundles in interphase as well as the phragmoplast during cytokinesis (Van Damme et al., 2004a). On the basis of the their diverse localization patterns, we postulate that AtMAP65s exhibit differential molecular and functional properties which still remain to be identified.

In this report we investigated the molecular and functional properties of AtMAP65-5 and further investigated biochemical mechanisms of AtMAP65-1 MT binding and MT bundling. We found that in vivo AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 differentially associate with MTs during prophase preceding the initiation and formation of a bipolar spindle and at the end of metaphase and during anaphase. In vitro, we demonstrate that AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 are monomeric in solution and promote the bundling of antiparallel aligned MTs. The presented data are in favor of their binding to MTs as monomers followed by their homodimerization through their N-terminal regions when adjacent MTs encounter.

**Tubulin Polymerization and MT-binding Assays**

Purified bovine tubulin (Vantard et al., 1994) was assembled in G-BrBB80 buffer (BRB buffer: 80 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM MgCl₂ plus 1 mM GTP). Polymerization was monitored at 350 nm at 37 °C. For experiments using preformed MTs, MTs were assembled from 20 μM tubulin in presence of 20 μM of taxotere (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in G-BrBB80 at 37°C for 30 min and further diluted in G-BrBB80 supplemented with 10 μM taxotere. MTs were incubated with AtMAP65s at 20°C for 20 min. For cosedimentation assays, reaction mixtures were spun (10 min, 100,000 × g) through a glycerol gradient in a Beckman SW28 rotor in an SW41Ti ultracentrifuge at 20°C. Supernatants and pellets were analyzed on western blot probed with anti-AtMAP65-1 or anti-AtMAP65-5 antibodies. For analyses of the relative efficiencies of full-length and constructs of AtMAP65s to bundle MTs, cosedimentation assays were done at low-speed centrifugation (10 min, 4000 × g).

**Imaging Assays**

Fluorescent MTs assembled from a mixture of 5 μM rhodamine-labeled tubulin (prepared according to Hyman et al., 1991) and 10 μM unlabeled tubulin were incubated at 20°C with AtMAP65s and observed according to Stoppin et al. (1996).

For AtMAP65-1 binding on MTs, rhodamine MTs (0.2 μM) were incubated for 5 min with GFP-AtMAP65s (0.5 μM), and a 1-μl sample was observed on a fluorescence microscope (Axioplan 2 microscope, 63× NA 1.3 objective; Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) and a Hamamatsu CCD Orca camera (Bridgewater, NJ) and Metaview image processing (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA).

For negative-stain electron microscopy (EM) observations, MTs (1 μM) were incubated at 20°C for 20 min with AtMAP65s (1 μM). Samples were stained with 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate and observed on a CM12 microscope (FEI, The Netherlands, Eindhoven) operating at 120 kV. The polarity of MTs within bundles was determined by cryo-EM as described by Chretien et al. (1996). With this method MTs show fringe patterns produced by the superposition of protofilaments from the front and back of the MT wall in projection. To increase the contrast of fringes observed in vitrified MTs images, we computed filtered images using the jₐ and jₜ terms in the Fourier transform of the images. Arrowhead patterns formed by the dark fringes are then clearly visible on these filtered images.

These differences between the values (inter-MT distance, cross-bridges angles) calculated from negative-stain EM or cryo-EM micrographs are inherent to the two methods of sample preparation for EM and are explained by the fact that with negative staining procedure, we only observe surface information (the footprint of the object in the stain). Furthermore after this procedure, MT bundles may be flattened, whereby errors are introduced that account for an apparent shorter inter-MT distance and a smaller angle. On the other hand, the cryo-EM method preserves much better the native form and the structure of the specimen (Dubochet et al., 1988), and images contain information all along the thickness of the vitreous sample, contributing thus to a larger distribution of MT interspace lengths. Via cryo-EM, a projection of a 3D object is obtained, allowing the calculation of internal positional information of the specimen (see Figure 9B). Because the specimen preparation procedure preserves better the original structure, data are more reliable.

**Oligomerization Determination**

Analytical ultracentrifugation sedimentation velocity experiments were performed at 20°C and 42,000 rpm in two channel centerpiece cells loaded in a rotor Spinco TLS50 in a Beckman XL-A ultracentrifuge. Samples were obtained from gel filtration eluted with 10% glycerol, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, and 50 mM NaP₈, pH 8. Scans were recorded overnight at 280 nm. Analysis, done with the program Sedfit (available free at www.analyticalultracentrifugation.com), were performed in terms of continuous distribution, c(s), of sedimentation coefficients, sₑ (Ebel, 2007) and in terms of noninteracting species allowing the independent determination of s and molecular weight (MW; Schuck, 2000). Equations for calculation of sₑ,υ and MW are given in Supplemental Data. The ordered regions were determined using the DsEMBLi5 software (http://ds.embli.de/).

**Polyclonal Antibody Production and Purification**

Recombinant purified AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 were used as antigens to raise antibodies. Antisera were produced at a commercial facility (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA). IgG was isolated from the antisera by the method described by Vantard et al. (1994).

**In Vivo Confoiral Imaging**

AtMAP65-1-GFP, AtMAP65-5-GFP, and red fluorescent protein (RFP)-TU6A constructs were supertransformed into a BY-2 suspension culture stably expressing RFP-TU6A as described (Geelen and Inzé, 2001). The BY-2 cells were imaged using a chambered coverglass system (Labtek, Nunc, Naperville, IL) and immobilized in BY-2 medium with added vitamins and 0.8% of low melting point agarose (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Images were captured on using a 60× water corrected lens with an NA of 1.2 and 3× zoom on an Olympus Fluoview 1000 inverted confocal microscope, with standard en-
AtMAP65-5 Cross-Link MTs in a Fishbone Pattern

When AtMAP65-5 was incubated with rhodamine-labeled MTs, MT bundles were observed (Figure 1b). As already reported, AtMAP65-1 produced similar MT bundles (Figure 1c; Smertenko et al., 2004). AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 were both localized along the entire length of the bundles (Supplemental Figure S1). Electronmicrographs of AtMAP65-5–induced bundles show that MTs are parallel-arranged and separated by cross-bridges at an average distance of 25 nm (Figure 1e). The cross-bridges form a lattice that is equally spaced along the MT walls and diagonally oriented between two adjacent parallel MTs. The angle between the cross-bridges relative to the MT axis was 50° on average (n = 95; Figure 1g). Comparable data were obtained with AtMAP65-1 (Figure 1, f and h) as described for carrot MAP65-1 by Chan et al. (1999).

AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 Bundle MTs through Their N-terminal Part

To locate the domains of AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 required for MT bundling and cross-bridge formation, we defined three different domains on the basis of the amino acid sequence alignment of the AtMAP65s (Figures 2 and 3). Roughly the domains correspond to the N-terminal, the central and the C-terminal regions of the AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 proteins (referred to as domains 1, 2, and 3, respectively). In addition, the domain 3 (C-terminal region) was subdivided in two domains: the N-terminal part including the most evolutionarily conserved domain of MAP65 family (referred as to 3N) and the C-terminal part, which is divergent among the AtMAP65 members (referred as to 3C). The separate fragments as well as combinations thereof, were purified as His-tagged recombinant proteins [designated AtMAP65(2), AtMAP65(3), AtMAP65(13), AtMAP65(23), AtMAP65(3N), AtMAP65(3C), and AtMAP65(3C)] after incubation with MTs led to the sedimentation of the proteins carrying the domain 3N of either AtMAP65-5 or AtMAP65-1 (Supplemental Figure S2). The proteins AtMAP65(2) and AtMAP65(3C) did not sedimented with MTs. These results indicate that the MT-binding domain of AtMAP65-5 and...
AtMAP65-1 is located in the domain 3N corresponding to the conserved part of the C-terminal domain of these two MAPs, in agreement with previous reports on MAP65 members (Mollinari et al., 2002; Smertenko et al., 2004; Loı¨dice et al., 2005).

The efficiency of MT bundling by the different AtMAP65 proteins was assayed by low-speed centrifugation that allows single MTs to remain in the supernatant, while bundled MTs sediment. Analysis of the supernatant and pellets (Figures 2B and 3B) showed that the MTs cosedimented with the constructs AtMAP65(13), AtMAP65(23), and AtMAP65(3) but not with AtMAP65(3). These results demonstrate that the N-terminal and the central domain of both AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 are independently able to promote MT bundling in vitro, that the domain 3, carrying the MT-binding domain (3N), is not able by itself to induce microtubule bundling, and that the domain 3C (C-terminal domain) is not required for such activity.

Observations of rhodamine-labeled MTs incubated with AtMAP65(13), AtMAP65(23), and AtMAP65(3C) (Figures 2C and 3C) confirmed the cross-linking activity of the N-terminus and the central domains independently from each other. Measurements at the EM level of the distance between MTs into bundles (Figures 2D and 3D) showed that the inter-MT space was narrower in the presence of AtMAP65 fragments than with the full-length AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 (<10 vs. ~20–30 nm) except for AtMAP65-1(3C), which induced the same inter-MT spacing than full-length AtMAP65-1 (Figure 2Dd).

These results suggest that the length of the proteins upstream of the MT-binding domain is determinant for the interspacing between MTs within bundles. Noticeably, even if the domain 3C of AtMAP65-5 does not interact with MTs, it might play a role in the conformation of the binding domain because the distance between MTs in the presence of full-length AtMAP65-5 is at two times longer than for AtMAP65-5(3C).

**Soluble AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 Are Monomeric and Display Conformational Flexibility**

The EM observations of MT bundles induced by AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 full-length proteins and the different fragments suggested that the inter-MT space depended on the protein size. As the measured distances for the full-length proteins of 20–40 nm on average are too large for a single protein to cover, oligomerization of the MAP65s was suspected. Therefore, we determined their degree of oligomerization in solution by sedimentation velocity (SV) analytical ultracentrifugation and size exclusion chromatography. The sedimentation coefficient ($s_{20,w}$) of AtMAP65-1 at 8 and 30 mM and of AtMAP65-5 at 9 mM were 37 ± 0.25 S (Figure 4A). The calculated theoretical $s_{20,w}$ values for hydrated globular compact monomers of AtMAP65-1 is 4.7 and 5 S for AtMAP65-5, i.e., significantly larger than experimental values. The MW was roughly estimated from SV boundary spreading to be 60 kDa for the two proteins, in agreement with the predicted values of 65 and 73 kDa for AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5, respectively. The results indicate that AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 are monomeric in solution and that they adopt extended conformations. The hydrodynamic radii ($R_h$) of AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 were calculated to be 42 and 46 Å, respectively, corresponding to a frictional ratio $f/f_{min}$ of ~1.6. SV experiments with the different
AtMAP65 peptides provided s-values corresponding as well to monomers with \( f_{\min} / f \) of \( \sim 1.5 \) (unpublished data).

Gel filtration chromatography showed an apparent MW in a range of 500 kDa for both AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1, much larger than the expected \( \sim 70 \) kDa. Likewise, AtMAP65 fragments produced apparent molecular sizes exceeding the values predicted from sequence data. As proteins with a high intrinsic disorder tend to show reduced mobility in gel-filtration columns (Receveur-Bréchot et al., 2006) and because the experimentally determined MWs deviated from the predicted values, we suggest that AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 are possibly disordered and might adopt an elongated shape in solution. Indeed, according to their hydrodynamic properties (\( R_g = \sim 45\AA, f_{\min} / f \sim 1.6 \), AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 behaved as random coils (Uversky, 2002). Using DisEMb1 software (Linding et al., 2003), several disordered domains in AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 sequences were identified (Figure 4B). Because disordered domains generally lack tertiary structure and fold only upon interaction with other proteins, the presence of such domains in AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 support the viewpoint that they undergo conformational changes upon interaction with microtubules, themselves, and/or regulatory proteins.

Having determined that in solution both AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 are monomeric and postulating that at least a homo-dimer may be necessary to bundle two MTs together, we considered that AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 may bind to MTs as monomers and that this binding is not stable until they interact with a partner localized to a parallel MT. To address this question, we incubated single MTs with GFP-tagged AtMAP65-1 or AtMAP65-5 (Figure 4C). We observed that GFP-AtMAP65-1 and GFP-AtMAP65-5 localized along the MT bundles (detected as thick MTs, arrows in Figures 4C, a and d), whereas no significant accumulation of either AtMAP65-1 or AtMAP65-5 along MTs could be detected (\( n = \sim 100 \)).

**AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 Promote the Bundling of Antiparallel MTs**

A key issue of the organization of MT bundles is their polarity (Ehrhardt and Shaw, 2006). Here we determined the polarity of MTs within AtMAP65-5– and AtMAP65-1– induced bundles in vitro using cryo-EM as described by Chrétien et al. (1996). Observed in vitreous ice, MTs with skewed protofilaments show arrowhead moiré patterns, the origin of which is related to an asymmetry of the mass distribution of the tubulin molecules in the MT wall. The arrowheads point toward the plus end of MTs when protofilaments show a right-handed skew and toward the minus end of MTs when protofilaments show a left-handed skew. Cryo-EM observation of in vitro AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5–induced MT bundles confirmed the diagonal cross-linking pattern between adjacent MTs as detected by classic EM (\( \sim 60 \pm 5^\circ \) relative to the MT axis, \( n = 40 \); Figure 5, A, and b, and B, a and b). The inter-MT space in AtMAP65-1 bundles was in the range of 30–40 nm and was more regular in comparison to AtMAP65-5 bundles, which displayed MT separations varying between 15 and 40 nm. Note that for technical reasons, values obtained with cryo-EM are different from those calculated from EM micrographs. This point is explained in details in Material and Methods. The moiré patterns of AtMAP65-1– and AtMAP65-5–bundled MTs showed that the orientation of adjacent MTs in bundles induced by AtMAP65-1 or AtMAP65-5 was antiparallel (17 and 38 MTs were detected that are connected via AtMAP65-5 or AtMAP65-1 cross-bridges, we conclude that these two AtMAP65 specifically promote antiparallel bundling of MTs.

A much more complete description of the methodology used is explained in detail in Supplemental Materials.

Further inspection of the diffraction patterns calculated from cryo-EM images revealed an additional regular patterning corresponding to the spacing between AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 cross-bridges in projection (Figures 5A, d and e, and B, d and e). The diffraction patterns are calculated from vitrified MT images, revealing an additional regular patterning at project \( \sim 80\AA \) corresponding to the spacing between AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 cross-bridges in projection. This spacing corresponds to the tubulin dimer repeat. Nevertheless this further regular patterning corre-
sponds to a feature through the thickness of the sample; thus we cannot conclude that we have one MAP65 bound per tubulin dimer (Figure 9A). In conclusion, these data show that the two ends of cross-bridges observed within MT bundles are linked to adjacent MTs, indicating that at least two MT-binding domains are necessary to link two adjacent MTs.

In Vitro Tubulin Assembly in the Presence of Recombinant AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1

Besides the properties of AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 to bundle MTs, we further addressed whether AtMAP65-5 could affect tubulin assembly in vitro. We quantified the amount of tubulin that polymerized in the presence of AtMAP65-5 by high-speed cosedimentation assays (Figure 6A) and by time-lapse spectroscopy (Figure 6B; kinetic graphs are shown in Supplemental Figure S3). In both series of experiments, we observed an increased amount of tubulin in the presence of AtMAP65-5, suggesting that AtMAP65-5 has an effect on tubulin assembly. By imaging assays, rhodamine-labeled tubulin, assembly in the presence of AtMAP65-5 showed few MT bundles and often aggregates associated with radiating MTs were observed (Figure 6Cb).

As there is a controversy concerning the effects of AtMAP65-1 and its C-terminal part on tubulin assembly, we performed similar experiments with both full-length AtMAP65-1 and the truncated protein AtMAP65-1(D3C). We observed an increase in the amount of the polymerized tubulin in the presence of both AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-1(D3C) (Figure 6, A and B). AtMAP65-1(D3C) had a comparable although reduced activity in tubulin polymerization in comparison with AtMAP65-1. We noted that AtMAP65-5(D3C) also has similar effects on tubulin assembly than AtMAP65-5, with AtMAP65-5(D3C) being slightly less efficient than the full-length protein (Figure 6B). No polymerization of tubulin in the presence of the domains 3, 3N, and 3C of AtMAP65-1 was observed (unpublished data), in contrast to a recent report by Li et al. (2007b) or with the domain 3 of AtMAP65-5. AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-1(D3C) on the other hand did stimulate MT bundling when incubated with tubulin (Figure 6C, d and e). Because AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 have been shown to protect CMTs from cold-induced depolymerization (Wicker-Planquart et al., 2004; our unpublished data), we suggest that the effect on MT polymerization observed in vitro might be mainly due to a stabilization of the MTs. Overall the data suggest that the main activity of AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 is to induce

Figure 5. Determination of the polarity of MTs within bundles induced by AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5. Cryo-EM of vitreous ice-embedded MTs incubated with AtMAP65-1 (A) and AtMAP65-5 (B). (Aa and Ba) View of aligned MTs showing AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 as extensive inter-MT bridges oriented with an angle of −60° relative to the axis of MTs. (Ab) Two parallel MTs (1) and (2) separated by −40 nm. Black and white scale bars represent 25 and 40 nm, respectively. (Ac) Associated filtered images of MTs (1) and (2). The direction of the arrowhead patterns is more clearly seen by looking obliquely along the MT axis. The arrowhead patterns point toward the minus end [bottom and top of the figure for the MTs (1) and (2), respectively], showing that MTs (1) and (2) are antiparallel. (Ad) Fourier transforms of an undeckored MT (left) and asterisk (*) indicates part of image a on right. Axial peaks at −40Å spacing correspond to the axial rise between tubulin subunits (α- and β-tubulin are not distinguished at this resolution). Peaks at −80Å spacing correspond to the tubulin dimer repeat and are visible only in the images of AtMAP65-1–decorated MTs, indicating that one AtMAP65-1 binds per tubulin heterodimer in projection. (Ae) Fourier transform of the cryo-EM image (Ab). The layer-line at 1/8 nm confirms the binding of AtMAP65-1 to MTs. According to the diagonal orientation of AtMAP65-1 relative to the axis of the MTs, peaks at −80Å spacing are asymmetric. (Bb) Three parallel MTs (1), (2), and (3) separated by −15–20 nm. Black and white scale bars, 25 and 18 nm, respectively. (Bc) Associated filtered images of MTs (1), (2), and (3). The third filtered image corresponds to a pattern of 3 mutant MTs, whose identity cannot be determined using moiré patterns. The arrowhead patterns point toward the minus end [top and bottom of the figure for the microtubules (1) and (2), respectively], showing that MTs (1) and (2) are antiparallel. (Bd) Fourier transforms of an undeckored MT (left) and asterisk (*) indicates part of image a on right. Peaks at −80Å are visible only in the images of AtMAP65-5–decorated MTs, indicating that one AtMAP65-5 binds to a tubulin heterodimer in projection. (Be) Fourier transform of a part of the cryo-EM image Bb. The layer-line at 1/8 nm confirms the binding of AtMAP65-5 to MTs. The diagonal orientation of AtMAP65-5 relative to the axis of the MTs gives asymmetric peaks at −80Å spacing.
MT bundling but not to promote MT polymerization in vitro, in line with previous reports on AtMAP65-1 and NtMAP65-1 (Smertenko et al., 2004; Wicker-Planquart et al., 2004).

AtMAP65-5 Localization during Mitosis

To study AtMAP65-5 MT-binding properties in vivo, we followed its subcellular localization in transformed dividing BY-2 cells expressing AtMAP65-5-GFP and compared it to the AtMAP65-1 localization. Typically, during interphase CMTs were decorated with GFP-AtMAP65-5 (Van Damme et al., 2004a). We found that during prophase, GFP-AtMAP65-5 strongly labeled the preprophase band, a hallmark for the division zone, and the perinuclear MTs before the initiation of the bipolar spindle (Figure 7A, Video S1). The perinuclear MTs labeled with AtMAP65-5-GFP strongly accumulates at perinuclear MTs that initiate the formation of the spindle and at the preprophase band (A). AtMAP65-1-GFP accumulates at the preprophase band but does not concentrate around the nucleus (B). Scale bar, 30 μm.
perinuclear MTs to reassociate with the interdigitated MTs at the spindle midzone at the end of metaphase until the end of anaphase (Figure 8A, Video S2). On initiation of the phragmoplast, AtMAP65-5-GFP accumulated in the central zone, displaying discrete filamentous structures between the separating nuclei. A few minutes later when the phragmoplast flattened, AtMAP65-5-GFP concentrated at a narrow zone in the center and at the side of the nucleus that faces the equatorial zone (this study; Van Damme et al., 2004a). In comparison, AtMAP65-1-GFP, that which colocalizes with the CMTs and the preprophase band (Van Damme et al., 2004a; Smertenko et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2005a), was absent from the perinuclear area, but did associate with aster-like polar MT bundles when present (Figure 7B, Video S3). Furthermore, AtMAP65-1-GFP showed a limited accumulation at the spindle midzone only in early anaphase (Figure 8B, Video S3), the labeling being stronger in late anaphase. These observations revealed that in vivo the MT binding of AtMAP65-5-GFP is spatially and temporally regulated during the cell cycle and that its subcellular distribution during mitosis is partly different to that of AtMAP65-1.

**DISCUSSION**

This report expands the analysis of the molecular properties of AtMAP65-5 and provides uncovers previously unknown properties for AtMAP65-1.

We found that AtMAP65-5 induces MT bundling in vitro and that it binds MTs through the domain conserved among AtMAP65s (referred as domain 3N), this domain alone being unable to induce MT bundling and tubulin assembly. Similarly we found that AtMAP65-1 has only one MT-binding domain (within the domain 3N) and that this domain is not able to stimulate tubulin assembly and MT bundling.

AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 contain intrinsic disordered domains mostly within their C-terminal domain (domain 3) as suggested by Li et al. (2007a) for AtMAP65-1. Disordered domains provide a level of structural plasticity by their propensity to form tertiary structure upon binding to physiological partner(s) (Gunasekaran et al., 2003; Receveur-Bréchet et al., 2006). AtMAP65-5 appears more disordered than AtMAP65-1, suggesting differences in their structure when bound to MTs or other physiological partner(s). Recently, Smertenko et al. (2006) showed that in vivo, the MT-binding activity of AtMAP65-1 is partly controlled by phosphorylation of nine amino acid residues within its 3C-terminal domain and that phosphorylation weakens interaction of AtMAP65-1 with MTs. Because it does not bind MTs in vitro and is highly disordered, it is possible that upon phosphorylation the 3C-terminal domain is refolded so that the conformation of the 3N-terminal domain changes, resulting in the weakness of AtMAP65-1 binding to MTs. Because only one of the nine phosphorylatable AtMAP65-1’s residues is conserved in AtMAP65-5, the regulation of its MT binding might rely on an alternative mechanism.

AtMAP65-5 Localization Is Cell Cycle Specific

AtMAP65-5 was shown to associate with a subset of transversely organized CMTs and the phragmoplast (Van Damme et al., 2004a). Our time-lapse analysis of AtMAP65-5...
5’s localization shows that during prophase, AtMAP65-5 localizes at the perinuclear area at the onset of spindle formation and at the prophase band. In comparison, AtMAP65-1 does not associate with the perinuclear array, whereas within the same cell, it does bind the prophase band. After nuclear envelop breakdown, AtMAP65-5 reappears and labels distinct MTs in the spindle midzone not labeled by AtMAP65-1. These observations indicate that the AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 MT-binding capacity might be controlled locally and temporally during mitosis.

MT Organization within Bundles Induced by AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1

Using cryo-EM, we observed that in vitro MT bundles induced by AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 contain coaligned MTs 15–40 and 30–40 nm apart, respectively. This distance is in range of the inter-MT space reported for bundles at the cell cortex (Hardham and Gunning, 1978) and for carrot MAP65-1 and AtMAP65-1 in vitro (Chan et al., 1999; Smertenko et al., 2004). Cross-bridges form a diagonal pattern connected with the MT wall at ~60° relative to the MT axis. Via cryo-EM, a projection of a 3D object is obtained, allowing the calculation of internal positional information of the specimen (Figure 9B). We calculated that AtMAP65-5 or AtMAP65-1 binds with a periodicity of 24 nm along the MT protofilaments, i.e., one molecule per three tubulin heterodimers (Figure 9A).

Each of the two ends of cross-bridges are linked to adjacent MTs, indicating that at least two MT-binding domains are necessary to link two adjacent MTs. As the inter-MT space is too large for a single MAP65 molecule to cross, AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 must undergo oligomerization to form the cross-bridges. We suggest that a cooperative action of domains 1 and 2 is required to build this link because deletion of one of these domains reduces the inter-MT spacing by half. Cross-bridges generated by AtMAP65-5 were less regular and shorter on average than those generated by AtMAP65-1, suggesting different conformational organization of domain 1 and 2 in two MAP65s. The presence of many short disordered stretches within the domain 1 and 2 in AtMAP65-5 and just one in domain 2 in AtMAP65-1 might be responsible for a difference in cross-bridge length. Therefore, the structural basis of the AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 interactions to induce MT bundling may be different for AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5.

AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 behaved as monomers in their soluble forms. This result challenges the findings by Smertenko et al. (2004), who proposed that AtMAP65-1 form homodimers in solution. Their conclusions were based on chemical cross-linking, native acrylamide gel electrophoresis and affinity chromatography with cell extracts. In view of the presence of disordered domains and high structural flexibility, we postulate that AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 dimerization is stimulated at high concentrations or when in contact with a protein-binding matrix. In addition, reduced electrophoretic migration in acrylamide gels is a common feature for unfolded proteins (Receveur-Bréchot et al., 2006).

One possible interpretation of our results is that AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 bind to MTs as monomers and that this binding is not stable until they interact with a partner at an adjacent MT. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that in cryo-EM, no filament of AtMAP65-1 or AtMAP65-5 is seen projecting free from a single MT. In fluorescence assays, AtMAP65-1 and AtMAP65-5 accumulated along MT bundles but not along single MTs (Figure 4C). In comparison, in fission yeast binding of Ase1p to MTs is reinforced when Ase1p binds to an adjacent MT. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that zipper up through the homodimerization of the N-terminal regions when adjacent MTs encounter.

AtMAP65-5 and AtMAP65-1 Induce MT Bundling of Antiparallel MTs in Vitro

The polarity of MTs within a bundle remains a controversial issue. MTs were shown to polymerize within bundles in two directions, suggesting an antiparallel organization (Chan et
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