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Abstract
Salivary duct carcinomas (SDCs) and adenoid cystic carcinomas (ACCs) are the most aggressive and the most frequent carcinomas of the salivary glands, respectively. Little is known about them in terms of molecular/biochemical characterization and conventional treatments are ineffective. On cryopreserved material, we analyzed the expression/activation status of TRK-A, HER-2/neu, and KIT receptors by means of immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis experiments, and the presence of their cognate ligands by means of Western blot analysis and/or reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction in 9 SDCs, 12 ACCs, and 8 normal glands. The amplification status of HER-2/neu was also investigated by means of fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis on fixed material. The receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)–deregulated profile of the SDCs was characterized by the overexpression of activated TRK-A in the presence of its ligand, and the overexpression of HER-2/neu sustained by gene amplification. The RTK signature of the ACCs was represented by the overexpression of activated KIT and TRK-A and their cognate ligands, and the overexpression of activated HER-2/neu, in the absence of gene amplification, possibly sustained by epidermal growth factor receptor heterodimerization. In conclusion, SDCs and ACCs, although sharing TRK-A autocrine loop activation, have different pathologically activated RTK-deregulated profiles that may be potential targets for pharmacological RTK inhibitors.

Introduction
Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are often deregulated in human cancers, and, therefore, they represent an attractive target for selective pharmacological inhibitors. As novel pharmacological RTK inhibitors are being increasingly developed, exploiting the expression/activation patterns of RTKs in tumors poorly responsive to conventional treatments could lead to significant therapeutic advances. This group of tumors includes carcinomas of salivary gland origin, an uncommon and heterogeneous group of tumors, whose molecular and biochemical characteristics have been little investigated.

Salivary duct carcinoma is a rare salivary gland adenocarcinoma mainly affecting the parotid gland, that has striking histologic similarities to breast carcinomas and distinct aggressive clinical behavior with early nodal and visceral metastases [1]. Adenoid cystic carcinoma is the most common histotype mainly involving the parotid, ¹Supported by grants from the Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro to S.P.
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the submandibular, and minor salivary glands. It has a typical protracted course with local recurrences and late hematogenous metastases. In both tumors, conventional pharmacological treatments are ineffective.

Immunophenotype analyses have found neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor, type 1 (TRK-A) expression in normal salivary gland tissue [2], and KIT and HER-2/neu overexpression in specimens of ACCs and SDCs, along with myoepithelial carcinomas, lymphoepithelioma-like carcinomas, and mucoepidermoid carcinomas [3–6]. Furthermore, HER-2/neu gene amplification has been observed in HER-2/neu-overexpressing SDCs [7,8] and mucoepidermoid carcinomas [6].

TRK-A and nerve growth factor (NGF) have been found in normal human salivary gland by means of immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis, respectively [2,9], and in ACC specimens by means of immunohistochemistry [10]. It has been reported that deregulated expression of TRK-A (and TRK-B, TRK-C, and their cognate ligands) correlates with malignant transformation and tumor progression in both prostate cancer cell lines and tissues [11,12] and that all the three TRKs are overexpressed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas [13,14]. TRK-A is a 140-kDa RTK for NGF. It represents a pharmacological target for CEP-701 (Cephalon, Inc., West Chester, PA), an orally active compound already used in hormone-refractory prostate cancer patients [12,15–17].

HER-2/neu protein is 63% to 100% overexpressed in SDCs [18–21], and amplification of the gene has been reported in more than 50% of the SDCs overexpressing HER-2/neu [7,8]. Gene amplification is the most common pathological activation mechanism reported for HER-2/neu, mainly described in breast carcinoma [22]. The HER-2/neu product is a 185-kDa glycoprotein belonging to the family of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), inhibited by hereceptin (Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, CA), a monoclonal antibody directed against the extracellular domain [23], effective in breast cancer [24].

KIT expression has been reported in normal salivary gland tissue [25], among malignant epithelial carcinomas in ACC, lymphoepithelial, and myoepithelial carcinomas [3], and exceedingly rare in SDC [26]. c-kit encodes a 145-kDa RTK glycoprotein structurally related to platelet-derived growth factor receptor, whose ligand is the stem cell factor (SCF). The pathologic activation of KIT in gastrointestinal stromal tumors, sustained by activating mutations [27], is inhibited by imatinib (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) by binding to the ATP pocket site. Little is known about KIT receptor activation in ACCs, although the lack of gain of function mutations [3,28] makes the autocrine loop the most likely activating mechanism.

We analyzed the expression/activation status of TRK-A, HER-2/neu, and KIT receptors in a series of SDCs and ACCs by means of immunoprecipitation (IP) and Western blot analysis experiments, and the presence of their cognate ligands by means of reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Eight normal glands were analyzed in the same way as reference controls. The amplification status of the HER-2/neu gene was also investigated by means of fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis.

Materials and Methods

Patients

We studied cryopreserved material from 21 salivary gland carcinomas (9 SDCs and 12 ACCs; 19 primary tumors and 2 recurrences), collected at Istituto Nazionale Tumor of Milan between 1991 and 2004. The diagnoses were made on paraffin-embedded material. Among ACCs, six belonged to cribriform variety and one to tubular one, whereas five cases were composite tumors with two components (cribriform/tubular or cribriform/solid), four cases, or three components (cribriform/tubular/solid), one case. All the cases were reviewed by at least two pathologists and re-reviewed by one of the authors (S.P.) with a good reproducibility (100%). Seven of the SDCs originated from the parotid gland, two from the submandibular gland; the ACCs developed in the parotid gland (n = 4), submandibular gland (n = 3), sublingual gland (n = 1), and in minor salivary glands (n = 4). Their clinic-pathological features are summarized in Table 1.

Normal control salivary gland specimens were obtained from eight patients who underwent surgical procedures for benign parotid conditions or submandibular gland removal for neck surgery in head and neck malignancies. Written informed consent was obtained in all cases.

Before molecular analysis, every specimen was checked for quality by hematoxylin and eosin staining of a representative section.

Biochemical Analysis

Positive controls. An NIH3T3 cell line overexpressing proto-TRK-A (E25) [29] and the SKBR3 human breast cancer cell line (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were respectively used in the IP and Western blot analysis experiments as TRK-A and HER-2/neu–positive controls; a Δ559 cell line overexpressing a mutated KIT receptor was used as the KIT-positive control [30]; and NGF 2.5 S (01-125; Upstate, Lake Placid, NY) was used as the positive control, when evaluating the NGF expression in Western blot analysis experiments.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis. The proteins were extracted and IP experiments were performed as described elsewhere [31].

TRK-A protein was immunoprecipitated from 1 mg of protein lysate using 300 ng of monoclonal MGR12 antibody, kindly supplied by Dr. Tagliabue [32]; the positive control was 200 μg of E25 cell line lysate.

HER-2/neu protein was immunoprecipitated from 1 mg of protein lysate derived from the unbound proteins of anti–TRK-A IP using 2 μg of c-neu Ab-3 monoclonal antibody (Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA); the positive control was 300 μg of SKBR3 lysate.

KIT was immunoprecipitated from the unbound proteins derived from the successive IPs of TRK-A and HER-2/neu using 360 ng of Ab-3 (K45) monoclonal antibody directed against the receptor (Neomarkers, Fremont, CA); the positive control was 500 μg of Δ559 cell line lysate.

The samples were loaded on a 6.5% (TRK-A) or 8% (KIT and HER-2/neu) acrylamide gel and blotted to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA). To reveal the status of receptor phosphorylation, the membrane was incubated with antiphosphotyrosine mouse monoclonal antibody (Clone 4G10; Upstate). To measure receptor expression, the filters were stripped and incubated with the following antibodies: Trk (C-14) sc-11 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) for TRK-A; c-kit (c-19) sc-168 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for KIT; and c-neu Ab-3 for HER-2/neu.
To normalize the IP and Western blot experiments, after α–TRK-A IP, 50 μg of unbound proteins from each tissue sample was loaded onto a 10% acrylamide gel and blotted; the blots were then incubated with α-actin antibody (actin H-196: sc-7210; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). To verify NGF expression in the normal and carcinoma samples, 50 μg of protein lysate was loaded onto a 15% acrylamide gel and blotted; the blots were then incubated with α-NGF antibody (NGF-H-20: sc-548; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Nerve growth factor 2.5 S was also loaded as a positive control.

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization

Fluorescent in situ hybridization on cytologic specimens and paraffin-embedded tissue sections. Touch imprints were obtained from the frozen specimens of 2 of 8 normal salivary glands, 8 of 9 SDCs, and the 12 ACCs by means of apposition on precleaned slides. In one SDC case (case No. 7; Table 1) for which no cryopreserved material was available, the analysis was performed on sections obtained from tissue block. Slides and sections were treated as previously described [33,34], and the same was true for the assessment of *Her-2* amplification [34].

Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed as described elsewhere [35], and the efficiency of the reaction was assessed by amplifying the β-actin housekeeping gene. For the NGF and SCF analyses, the PCR amplifications were performed as previously described [9,35].

### Results

#### TRK-A

**Biochemical analysis.** Both normal and tumor cases were analyzed for TRK-A receptor expression and phosphorylation by means of IP experiments on total protein extracts. The experiments were normalized by Western blot analysis using the same aliquots of α–TRK-A unbound proteins and incubating the membrane with α-actin antibody (Figure 1). The results are summarized in Table 1.

#### Normal tissues.** TRK-A was expressed in all normal salivary gland specimens and visualized as a 140-kDa band corresponding to the fully glycosylated receptor form. Hybridization of the same membrane with α-PTyr antibody revealed that TRK-A was phosphorylated (and therefore active) in all cases. We arbitrarily indicated these cases as 2+/P. In Figure 1A, six of eight cases are represented.

#### Salivary duct carcinomas.** TRK-A was underexpressed in two cases (marked as 1+/P), expressed as in the normal controls but highly phosphorylated in one (marked as 2+/PP), and overexpressed and phosphorylated in six (marked as 3+/P and 3+/PP), which are also shown in Figure 1B. The 140-kDa band of the wild type mature receptor was observed in all of the expressing cases.

#### Adenoid cystic carcinomas.** Eight ACC tissue samples showed the same pattern of TRK-A expression and activation (2+/P) as the one observed in the normal controls. One showed less expressed

---

**Table 1. Summary of the Clinic-Pathologic Features and Results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex/Age (years)</th>
<th>Tumor Localization</th>
<th>TRK-A (IP)</th>
<th>NGF (RT-PCR)</th>
<th>NGF (WB)</th>
<th>HER-2 (IP)</th>
<th>HER-2 (FISH)</th>
<th>KIT (IP)</th>
<th>SCF (RT-PCR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>F/43</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>M/42</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 3</td>
<td>F/30</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 4</td>
<td>F/62</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 5</td>
<td>F/83</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 6</td>
<td>M/84</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 7</td>
<td>M/62</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 8</td>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>−−−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 1</td>
<td>F/71</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 2</td>
<td>F/65</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+ (HSR)</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 3</td>
<td>M/63</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+ (HSR)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 4</td>
<td>M/79</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+ (HSR)</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 5</td>
<td>M/58</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (DM)</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 6</td>
<td>M/69</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td>−−−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 7</td>
<td>F/70</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 8</td>
<td>F/48</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC 9</td>
<td>M/62</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>+ (HSR)</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 1 c</td>
<td>M/55</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 2 c</td>
<td>M/17</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 3 c, t</td>
<td>F/41</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>1+/PP</td>
<td>n.v.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>n.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 4 c</td>
<td>F/46</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 5 c, t, s</td>
<td>F/51</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 6 t</td>
<td>F/52</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 7 c, s</td>
<td>F/34</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 8 c</td>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 9 c, t</td>
<td>F/55</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 10 c, s</td>
<td>F/62</td>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>2+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 11 c</td>
<td>F/55</td>
<td>Minor*</td>
<td>3+/PP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC 12 c</td>
<td>M/39</td>
<td>Parotid*</td>
<td>3+/P</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1+/P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression and phosphorylation level detected in normal salivary glands was considered as baseline for each receptor (2+/P for TRK-A; 1+/P for HER-2/neu; 2+ for KIT). c indicates cribriform variety; DM, double minute; F, female; HSR, homogeneously staining region; M, male; n.d., not done; n.v., not evaluable; P, phosphorylation of the receptor; PP, high level of receptor phosphorylation; s, solid variety; t, tubular variety.

*Recurrence.
but highly phosphorylated TRK-A (1+/PP), and three showed overexpressed and phosphorylated TRK-A (3+/P and 3+/PP). In Figure 1C, six representative cases are showed.

In brief, TRK-A expression/activation was observed in all of the normal samples (considered baseline or threshold expression); TRK-A overexpression (cases specified as 3+/P and 3+/PP) was observed in 6 of 9 SDCs and 3 of 12 ACCs.

Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain Reaction

Nerve growth factor expression. The presence of NGF transcript was analyzed in four normal samples and all of tumor specimens by RT-PCR. All the samples were positive for the 74-bp ligand band (Figure 1D). Unfortunately, one ACC (No. 3 of the Table 1) was not evaluable because of material unsuitability. Western blot analysis confirmed the NGF expression as a 14-kDa band in all analyzed samples (two normal and all tumor specimens; Figure 1E and Table 1).

HER-2/neu

Biochemical analysis. Due to the scarcity of normal and tumor material HER-2/neu expression and activation were analyzed by means of IP and Western blot experiments using unbound proteins derived from α–TRK-A IP. Results are summarized in Table 1.

Normal tissues. Hybridization with α–HER-2/neu antibody revealed a band of 185 kDa in two of eight normal salivary gland tissue samples, where hybridization with α–PTyr antibody showed that HER-2/neu was phosphorylated and therefore active. These two cases are reported in Figure 2A and are identified as 1+/P.

Salivary duct carcinomas. Three of nine SDCs overexpressed phosphorylated HER-2/neu (indicated as 3+/P and 3+/PP), one had the same expression/activation status as the two normal controls (indicated as 1+/P) and five cases resulted negative. In Figure 2B, the three overexpressing cases and three negative cases are reported.

Adenoid cystic carcinomas. Four of 12 ACCs showed the same HER-2/neu expression and receptor activation as the two normal controls and were indicated as 1+/P (although No. 12 had a higher phosphorylation level), another four showed a higher level of phosphorylated HER-2/neu expression (indicated as 2+/P) and other four resulted negative. In Figure 2C, the four overexpressing cases and two negative cases are showed.

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization

Normal tissues. A normal double signal was present in the two cases analyzed (Nos. 7 and 8 of Table 1).
Salivary duct carcinomas. HER-2/neu amplification was detected in six cases: four as homogeneously staining regions (HSR, although the signal was very low in No. 9) and two as double minutes (DM; Figure 2D).

Correlations between the SDC biochemical and FISH analyses. Three of the HSR-positive cases were associated with overexpressed and phosphorylated HER-2/neu receptors (3+/P and 3+/PP); the level of expression in No. 9 was as low as in the normal controls (1+/P). On the contrary, the two DM cases lacked HER-2/neu protein expression and phosphorylation.

Adenoid cystic carcinomas. HER-2/neu gene amplification was never detected.

In brief, HER-2/neu expression was found in only two of the normal salivary glands. HER-2/neu overexpression and phosphorylation were observed in 3 of the 9 SDCs (in which HSR amplification also occurred) and in 4 of the 12 ACCs.

KIT

Biochemical analysis. The protein extracts obtained from the 8 normal and 21 salivary carcinomas were analyzed for KIT expression and activation by means of IP and Western blot experiments using unbound proteins derived from the previous α–TRK-A and α–HER-2/neu IPs. Whereas this procedure allowed us to detect in the positive samples the related protein, in most of the cases, we failed to detect any phosphorylation of the receptor, most likely, because after two IP rounds dephosphorylation by phosphatase has occurred. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Normal tissues. Hybridization with α-KIT antibody revealed a band of 145 kDa corresponding to the mature form of the receptor (indicated as 2+); hybridization of the same blot with α-PTyr antibody showed the absence of receptor phosphorylation in all cases (data not shown). In Figure 3A, KIT expression of six of eight cases is represented.

Salivary duct carcinomas. Four of the nine SDCs did not express KIT. It was expressed to the same extent as in the normal controls.
in one case (indicated as 2+), underexpressed in three (indicated as 1+), and overexpressed in one (indicated as 3+/P). Hybridization with α-PTyr antibody showed the absence of bands in all but one case (No. 8). In Figure 3B, some representative cases are reported.

Adenoid cystic carcinomas. All 12 specimens expressed KIT. Eight overexpressed the 145-kDa receptor form and were indicated as 3+ and 3+/P; in the remaining four, KIT expression was the same as in the normal controls (indicated as 2+), although No. 3 overexpressed the 125-kDa form. Receptor phosphorylation was observed in five cases (indicated as 3+/P). In Figure 3C, expression of KIT receptor of both expressing and overexpressing cases are shown.

In brief, KIT receptor was expressed in the normal salivary glands and, in comparison with these, was overexpressed in 1 of the 9 SDCs and 8 of the 12 ACCs.

Reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction

Stem cell factor expression. Reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction was used to identify SCF transcript in four normal and in all SDC and ACC samples. All of the samples (except for ACC No. 3) were positive, showing two bands with the expected molecular weights of 494 bp (SCF-L) and 409 bp (SCF-S; Figure 3D and Table 1). L-stem cell factor was more expressed than S-stem cell factor. Results in ACC No. 3 were unavailable for material unsuitability.

Discussion

The present molecular/biochemical analyses show that SDCs and ACCs, despite sharing TRK-A autocrine/paracrine loop activation, have different RTK-deregulation profiles. Salivary duct carcinoma profile is characterized by overexpression/activation of TRK-A in the presence of its ligand coupled with overexpression/activation of HER-2/neu sustained by gene amplification. Adenoid cystic carcinoma profile is characterized instead by overexpression/activation of KIT and TRK-A coupled with the presence of their cognate ligands, and no amplification-related overexpression of HER-2/neu.

The involvement of TRK-A in SDCs is not unexpected because this tumor has a number of morphologic and immunophenotype similarities with ductal prostate, pancreas and breast carcinomas, in which development and progression have been associated with TRK-A receptor, both in preclinical and clinical studies. Furthermore, TRK-A/NGF coexpression promotes malignant transformation and tumor progression in the prostate [11,12] and characterizes breast cancer cell lines [9]. Interestingly, TRK-A expression in breast cancer cell lines has been associated with HER-2/neu activation by NGF, thus suggesting that HER-2/neu is activated by means of heterodimerization [36]. Moreover, TRK-A mRNA and/or protein expression has been detected in surgical specimens of infiltrating ductal breast carcinomas investigated by means of RT-PCR/Western blot analysis [36] and in ductal adenocarcinomas of the pancreas analyzed by means of immunohistochemistry [13,14].

The present study provides the first demonstration that the molecular profile of SDCs is characterized by the overexpression of
phosphorylated TRK-A and the coexpression of its cognate ligand, supporting the presence of an autocrine/paracrine loop activation of this gene, for which no activation mutations have yet been reported in carcinomas [37,38] and overexpression, due to its promoter methylation, is described in pancreatic cancer [39].

Regarding HER-2/neu, our data confirm that the results of IP/Western blot analysis experiments closely correlate with those of FISH analysis being all HER-2/neu 3+—expressing cases also HER-2/neu—amplified. These results, which recapitulated what observed in ductal breast carcinoma [40], suggest that herceptin should be considered for the treatment of SDC as already reported [41–43]. However, because TRK-A activation or coactivation is the most frequent RTK deregulation in SDCs, combined treatments based on TRK-A inhibitors and herceptin should be considered. Remarkably, in two cases of SDC, the amplification is DM-sustained and is correlated with the absence of protein expression. If confirmed, the latter finding has important implications because it is expected that herceptin will be ineffective in cases carrying DM amplifications.

Regarding ACC, our results point out that the overexpression of activated KIT and TRK-A in presence of their ligands seems to characterize this tumor profile. The lack of KIT phosphorylation in our normal and tumoral samples is probably attributable to our methodological approach, because KIT was immunoprecipitated after two rounds of IPs from unbound α–TRK-A and α–HER-2/neu proteins. This procedure could have affected KIT phosphorylation status. This assumption is supported by the fact that transcript analysis revealed the presence in all the samples of the two biologically active SCF isoforms corresponding to the soluble- (L) and membrane-associated (S) ligands in both normal and tumoral KIT–expressing tissues. Therefore, an autocrine loop also seems to be the most likely mechanism of KIT activation in ACCs, a finding that is strengthened by c-kit gene analyses showing the absence of activating mutations in overexpressing ACCs [3,28] (data not shown). We have previously demonstrated a similar KIT activation mechanism in small cell lung cancers, which expressed activated receptor in presence of SCF and wild type c-DNA [35]. Because it has been found that compounds, such as sunitinib (Sugen Inc., South San Francisco, CA), are effective on cell lines, in which KIT is activated by means of an autocrine loop [44,45], whereas imatinib (evaluated in phase II clinical trials of unresectable or metastatic KIT-expressing ACCs) has proved to be ineffective [46,47] and considering the frequent activation of TRK-A, the application of wild type KIT inhibitors in addition to TRK-A inhibitors may represent a suitable pharmacological option in ACC. Furthermore, the overexpression of HER-2/neu in the absence of gene amplification and coupled with the EGFR immunophenotypic positivity (data not shown) we observed in the same ACCs strongly suggests that HER-2/neu may also be activated by means of heterodimerization with EGFR in some cases. This finding, if confirmed by communoprecipitation experiments, might further extend treatment choices to EGFR inhibitors, in particular to antibodies that block ligand binding.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that TRK-A deregulation is a characteristic shared by SDC and ACC that, however, carry different deregulated RTK profiles representing potential targets for RTK inhibitors administered alone or in combination. Future developments should include the development of drugs capable of inhibiting the secondary transducers activated by TRK-A, KIT, HER-2/neu, and EGFR shared by existing downstream pathways. However, the relevance of RTK inhibitors remains confined to advanced cases (recurrence and/or metastasis), being surgery the primary modality of treatment of primary salivary gland carcinomas.
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