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Objective: To describe the clinical picture, pathophysiology, and treatment of concomitant lesions of the peroneus brevis tendon and lateral ligament injuries to the ankle. Background: In some cases, chronic lateral ankle instability is associated with a longitudinal partial tear in the peroneus brevis tendon. Patients who suffer from this lesion usually have atypical posterolateral ankle pain combined with signs of recurrent ligament instability (“giving way”). The tendon injury is often overlooked because it is combined with the ligament injury, and the injury mechanisms are similar.

Description: Tears or laxity in the superior peroneal retinaculum allow the anterior part of the injured peroneus brevis tendon to ride over the sharp posterior edge of the fibula, leading to a longitudinal tear in the tendon. This combined injury should be suspected in patients with recurrent giving way of the ankle joint and retromalleolar pain. The diagnosis can be established using either ultrasonography or magnetic resonance imaging.

Differential Diagnosis: Ligament injury, tenosynovitis, peroneus longus tendon lesion, os peroneum fracture, distal peroneus brevis tendon tear, or anomalous peroneus tertius tendon.

Treatment: The tendon injury and the ligament insufficiency should be repaired at the same time.

Conclusions: We recommend reconstruction of the superior peroneal retinaculum, combined with repair of the tendon, using side-to-side sutures and anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ankle ligaments.
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Longitudinal tear or attrition of the peroneus brevis tendon (PBT; the peroneus longus tendon is very seldom involved) has recently been mentioned as a cause of lateral ankle pain. The combination of PBT and chronic ankle instability has also received attention.

The PBT is located in the retrofibular groove, behind the lateral malleolus. Longitudinal tear of the tendon was first mentioned by Meyers in 1924. More recently, both clinical and cadaveric studies have shown that the lesion is probably more common than previously believed. Some researchers have reported that this lesion is found in as many as 37% of cadaveric specimens. The clinical relevance of the autopsychologic changes are repeated overload of the tendon in the retrofibular groove, compromising the vascularity of the tendon. The superior peroneal retinaculum (SPR) is partially torn from the posterior aspect of the fibula, making the PBT somewhat unstable. This may result in inhibition of the tissue-repair response, leading to subsequent tendon degeneration and tear.

PERONEUS BREVIS TENDON TEAR AND LIGAMENT INJURY

Several researchers have proposed a correlation between a longitudinal tear in the PBT and chronic lateral ankle-ligament instability. An inversion injury to the ankle may not only produce ligament damage to the anterior talofibular and calcaneofibular ligaments but also injury to the SPR and the peroneal tendons (Figure 1). Almost always, the central portion of the tendon is damaged. The peroneus longus pulls on the PBT in the retrofibular groove, over the sharp posterior edge of the fibula, during eversion of the foot in the swing phase. This leads to the dislocation of the most anterior part of the damaged tendon and almost invariably to pain at the posterior aspect of the fibula.

Typically, the patient gives a history of lateral ankle-inversion injury. In most cases, the tendon damage is overlooked, and the diagnosis may be delayed or even missed. Because of the damage to the SPR, the instability of the PBT, and the tendon tissue degeneration, these cases are often refractory to nonsurgical treatment, and the tendon tear progresses.

During the last decade, several investigations of the microvascular anatomy and descriptions of the pathophysiology and the biomechanics of the PBT and several investigations of the treatment of concomitant ankle instability and partial longitudinal tears in the PBT have been published. The cause of the tendon injury is inversion ankle injury, with secondary insufficiency of the lateral ligaments and rupture (or possibly elongation) of the SPR. The damaged retinaculum cannot restrain the PBT behind the sharp posterior edge of the fibula, an injury mechanism well described by
Sobel et al.\textsuperscript{4,6} and subsequently verified by other researchers.\textsuperscript{5,9,17} Some other possible mechanisms have been mentioned; they include the distally located muscle belly of the peroneus brevis or the presence of an anomalous peroneus tertius tendon.\textsuperscript{16,20} However, the importance of these structures in the degenerative process of the PBT has been questioned.

The most likely cause of a combined lesion is recurrent ankle instability, followed by SPR insufficiency, leading to a secondary PBT subluxation and attrition of the tendon. This evolves to become a degenerative longitudinal tear in the PBT. The main predisposing factor is probably the tear in the SPR, but the exact pathophysiologic mechanism is not known.\textsuperscript{4,5,10} Intraoperative findings in several studies have been consistent with this hypothesis.\textsuperscript{5,6,9,17} A longitudinal tear in the PBT has also been described more distally, along the lateral wall of the calcaneus or in the cuboid tunnel.\textsuperscript{21} However, another somewhat uncommon injury is chronic lateral ankle pain associated with a fracture of the os peroneum, which, in some cases, should be considered in the differential diagnosis.\textsuperscript{22,23}

**CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

The typical patient describes recurrent lateral instability of the ankle joint as the primary problem. In addition, retromalleolar pain combined with recurrent giving way is typical. The pain is almost always localized posterior to the lateral malleolus. This is in contrast to patients with chronic lateral ankle instability alone, who usually mention giving way as the main complaint and anterior ankle pain as a secondary problem, most frequently caused by anterior tibial or talar osteophytes or loose intra-articular bodies (bone or cartilage). A thorough physical examination includes an assessment of ligament integrity (ie, positive anterior drawer test or increased supination of the foot suggests injury). An assessment of range of motion is mandatory. Palpable swelling around and behind the lateral malleolus can raise the suspicion of a tendon tear.

**RADIOGRAPHIC EVALUATION**

There is a substantial risk of delayed or missed diagnosis with PBT tear. Either magnetic resonance imaging (Figure 2) or ultrasound imaging is recommended to increase the diagnostic accuracy in these patients.

**TREATMENT**

One constant finding at surgery is the subluxation of the anterior half of the PBT over the sharp posterior edge of the fibula. Moreover, the SPR is partially torn away from the posterior ridge of the fibula. Some authors\textsuperscript{5} have mentioned that this lesion resembles a Bankart lesion in recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder. Recurrent dislocation of the peroneal tendons is, however, not a primary problem.\textsuperscript{24} If concomitant lateral ankle instability is present, the consensus in the literature is that the tendon injury should be repaired at the same time as the ligament stabilization. Only a few reports on surgical treatment have been published, and we found no large series. Sobel and Geppert\textsuperscript{14} recommended a modification of the Broström-Gould procedure\textsuperscript{25} for the treatment of concomitant lateral ankle instability and PBT tear using a posterolateral approach.

A modified Chrisman-Snook procedure has been suggested by some researchers.\textsuperscript{5,24} The largest series is described by
Bonnin et al., who operated on 18 patients with split lesions of the PBT associated with chronic ankle instability. During a 3-year period, 18 of 77 patients (23%) with chronic ankle-ligament laxity who underwent surgical repair had a concomitant PBT tear. The modified Chrisman-Snook procedure was used in 13 of 18 patients studied. Regrettably, the clinical results were not described in detail in this report, making it impossible to draw any conclusions in terms of the choice of treatment. One potential problem when using this procedure is fraying of the tendon, making repair technically impossible.

In several reports, the anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ankle ligaments has been described as simple and safe, producing stable ankles with a low risk of complications in most patients. This procedure can be combined with the reconstruction of the SPR (Figures 3 through 5). The operation begins with a curvilinear 7- to 8-cm long incision along the posterior ridge of the fibula, exposing the peroneal tendons and the anterior talofibular and calcaneofibular ligaments. The ligaments are tested for laxity, and the SPR is exposed. The peroneal tendon sheath is incised approximately 5 mm posterior to the attachment to the fibula. Then the PBT is carefully examined. The degenerative tissue is carefully excised, and the tendon is repaired with side-to-side sutures. In some cases, the ruptured anterior part of the tendon may have to be excised; however, this is infrequent, and complete rupture of the PBT is extremely uncommon. A reconstruction of the SPR is performed, using three 2.0-mm drill holes in the posterior aspect of the fibular edge. Because insufficiency of the lateral ligaments is usually present, anatomical reconstruction of both the anterior talofibular and calcaneofibular ligaments is performed.

Postoperatively, a plaster cast is applied for 2 to 6 weeks, or, instead of prolonged postoperative immobilization, an Aircast brace (Aircast, Inc, Summit, NJ) can be used to facilitate range-of-motion training after the second postoperative week. Full weight bearing is allowed. After the sixth week, coordination training using tilt boards is initiated. Strength training with weight boots is initiated 6 to 8 weeks after the operation and increased until full functional strength has been regained. After approximately 12 weeks, the athlete is allowed to return to full sport activity provided that functional stability is normal and the ankle is not swollen. An external ankle support (ankle tape or Aircast brace) can thereafter be used as preferred by the athlete.

The results after anatomical reconstruction have been reported as satisfactory in most patients. Ligament stabilization should always be combined with repair of the tendon tear and reconstruction of the SPR.
CONCLUSIONS

Patients with a partial longitudinal tear in the peroneus brevis tendon most often present with atypical posterolateral (retromalleolar) pain. The tendon injury may be combined with injury to the lateral ankle ligaments. In order to produce the best clinical results, both the tendon injury and the ligament insufficiency should be repaired at the same time.
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