Evaluating the effect of polytetrafluoroethylene and extractum cepae-heparin-allantoin gel in peripheral nerve injuries in a rat model
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BACKGROUND: Peripheral nerves can be injured by congenital, mechanical, thermal or chemical causes. Peripheral nerve injuries are increasing in frequency, particularly in countries that are becoming more industrialized. Nerve and extremity injuries result in work loss and high treatment costs, and can lead to separation of patients from their social environment. Failure of nerve repair causes muscle functional losses, sensory losses and painful neuropathies.

OBJECTIVES: To compare the effects of condensed polytetrafluoroethylene (cPTFE) and cPTFE-extractum cepae-heparin-allantoin (cPTFE-EHA) gel compound on nerve and functional recovery, and the prevention of adhesion and scar tissue formation after total peripheral nerve injury repaired by primary suture in a rat model.

RESULTS: cPTFE alone and cPTFE-EHA gel was found to provide better functional recovery and nerve regeneration compared with primary repair only. In the macroscopic evaluation, the cPTFE-EHA gel was found to have no negative effect on wound healing and, despite increasing extra-neural scar tissue and adhesions, it had no negative effect on nerve function; in addition, it facilitated functional recovery.

CONCLUSIONS: Compared with the cPTFE application alone, the application of perineural cPTFE-EHA gel during peripheral nerve surgery appeared to provide better functional recovery without causing any significant changes in epineural and extraneural scar tissue formation.
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Évaluer l’effet du polytétrafluoréthylène et d’un gel contenant de l’extrait d’oignon, de l’héparine et de l’allantoïne sur les lésions des nerfs périphériques d’un modèle de rat

HISTORIQUE : Les nerfs périphériques peuvent être soumis à des lésions congénitales, mécaniques, thermiques ou chimiques. Les lésions des nerfs périphériques sont de plus en plus fréquentes, surtout dans les pays qui s’industrialisent. Les lésions des nerfs et des membres s’associent à des pertes d’emploi et à des coûts de traitement élevés. Elles peuvent également écarter les patients de leur environnement social. L’échec de la réparation nerveuse cause une perte fonctionnelle des muscles, des pertes sensorielles et des neuropathies douloureuses.

OBJECTIFS : Comparer les effets du polytétrafluoréthylène condensé (PTFEc) et d’un composé de PTFEc et de gel contenant de l’extrait d’oignon, de l’héparine et de l’allantoïne (PTFEc-EHA) sur le rétablissement nerveux et fonctionnel et sur la prévention de la formation d’adhérences et de tissus cicatriciels après une lésion totale des nerfs périphériques réparée par une suture primaire chez un modèle de rat.

RÉSULTATS : Il a été établi que le PTFEc seul et le PTFEc-EHA assurait un meilleur rétablissement fonctionnel et une meilleure régénération nerveuse que la réparation primaire seule. Lors de l’évaluation macroscopique, le PTFEc-EHA n’avait pas d’effet négatif sur la cicatrisation de la plaie et, malgré la formation d’adhérences et de tissus cicatriciels extraneuraux, il ne nuisait pas à la fonction nerveuse. Par ailleurs, il facilitait le rétablissement fonctionnel.

CONCLUSIONS : Par rapport à la seule application de PTFEc, l’application de PTFEc-EHA péronérale pendant une chirurgie des nerfs périphériques semblait assurer un meilleur rétablissement fonctionnel sans vraiment aggraver la formation de tissus cicatriciels extraneuraux.
METHODS

The present study was performed in the Animal Laboratory of the Application and Research Center, Uludağ University Faculty of Medicine (Bursa, Turkey), with the approval of Uludağ University Local Animal Experiments Ethics Committee. A total of 24 Sprague Dawley rats weighing between 200 g and 300 g were used. The animals were separated into four groups with six rats in each group, and had ad libitum access to a standard diet and water. Room temperature was adjusted to a mean (± SD) 24±1°C and a light/dark cycle of 12 h/12 h.

Surgical technique

Access to food and water was eliminated 24 h before the surgical procedure. For anesthesia, 30 mg/kg thiopental sodium (Pental Sodium, 0.5 Flacon İ. E. Ulagay Pharmaceuticals, Turkey) was administered intraperitoneally. The extremities of the animals were fixed to the application table in the prone position. Povidone iodine (Isosol IE, 1000 mL solution, İ. E. Ulagay Pharmaceuticals, Turkey) was applied to the right leg, which was covered with an appropriate green surgical drape and opening. A vertical cutaneous incision approximately 3 cm in length was made along the right hip and thigh, and the connection line and the surrounding fascia of the right gluteus and biceps femoris muscles were separated with a sharp dissection and the sciatic nerve was accessed.

The nerve was separated from the surrounding tissues by scraping the covering membranous tissues from the sciatic foramen to the point where the tibial and the peroneal branches are separated (Figure 1). With the sciatic nerve slightly elevated, using an extractor, from the distal part of the sciatic foramen, the cut was performed smoothly with microscissors in a single attempt. All surgical procedures were performed using microsurgical methods and a loupe under 4× magnification. For each group, the following interventions were performed:

- **Group C**: The nerve was not cut, only nerve dissection was performed (Figure 1);
- **Group P**: after the sciatic nerve was separated from the surrounding tissues, it was slightly elevated from the 10 mm distal part of the sciatic foramen and cut smoothly with microscissors in a single attempt. The severed nerve was coaptated end-to-end using the epineuronal suturing technique with 8/0 polypropylene suture (Prolene, Ethicon Ltd, USA) (Figure 2);
- **Group M**: after cutting the sciatic nerve and performing primary repair (as in group P), the nerve was enclosed at the coaptation level by a cPTFE mesh tube, constructed by suturing two sides of a 10 mm × 10 mm section of cPTFE mesh with 7/0 polypropylene suture, thus fabricating a tube that would not exert any pressure on the nerve (Figure 3);
- **Group MC**: similar to group M, the sutured nerve was enclosed at the coaptation level by a 10 mm × 10 mm cPTFE mesh tube and 0.5 mL EHA gel was applied to the area (Figure 4).

For all interventions, the cutaneous tissues were primarily sutured with 4/0 polyglactin absorbable suture (Vicryl, Ethicon Ltd, USA). After eight weeks, which is considered to be the critical time for nerve recovery in this model, the animals were evaluated for functional and electrophysiological recovery.

Functional analysis

The sciatic functional index (SFI) is a method used to evaluate functional and clinical recovery. Characteristic walking templates comprise this reliable and repeatable method in which measures are evaluated according to nerve lesions (peroneal, tibial and sciatic) in rats. Because walking is organized cortically, it requires sensorial feedback and complicated motor unit reinnervations; when SFI is compared with other tests, it is believed to be the best method for the evaluation of clinical status. In calculating the SFI footprint extension, the first and the second toe space, the second and the fourth toe space values are used (19-21) (Figure 5). For the walking track analysis, after having dipped both the hind legs into stamping ink, all animals were walked on 10 cm × 10 cm × 100 cm wooden platform covered with absorbent paper. SFI values were calculated using the obtained footprint measurements (Figure 6).

Macroscopic evaluation of perineuronal adhesions

Following the walking analysis, the animals were anesthetized by ether inhalation; the incision sites were reopened under anesthesia, and the adhesions of the sciatic nerve to the surrounding tissues and ease of separation from these tissues were evaluated (Figure 7). Closure of the skin and muscle fascia, adhesion of the sciatic nerve to the surrounding tissues and the ease of separation of the sciatic nerve from these tissues were evaluated according to a numerical grading scale described by Peterson et al (11): for adhesion of the sciatic
nerve to the surrounding tissues and its separation ability: grade 1 – the nerve was free or a minimally blunt dissection was required for separation; grade 2 – moderate or strong blunt dissection was required for separation; and grade 3 – strong sharp dissection was required for separation.

Electrophysiological evaluation
For each animal, The MP100 Data Acquisition and Analysis (BIOPAC Systems Inc, USA) system was used to measure the conduction velocity of the sciatic nerve once it was exposed. One of the electrodes was placed under the sciatic nerve proximal to the injury (0.7 mm of the remaining intact part), and the other electrode was placed just above the bifurcation area of the tibial and the peroneal nerves (0.7 mm of part left intact).
A supramaximal stimulus (7 V, 0.5 ms) produced by the MP100 stimulator was used to stimulate the nerves, and the distance between the electrodes was measured. The BSL Pro v.3.6.6 program (BIOPAC Systems Inc, USA) was used to evaluate the records in the computer medium. The nerve conduction rates were determined by dividing the potential values by the latency between the two electrodes (m/s).

Statistical analysis
The statistical significance of macroscopic evaluation, the functional evaluation (SFI) and the electrophysiological evaluation results were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U, the Kruskal Wallis and the Tukey HSD tests using SPSS version 13.0 (IBM Corporation, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, USA). All of the quantitative results were denoted as an arithmetical mean (± SD); P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Macroscopic evaluation
According to the scale described by Peterson et al (11), closure of the cutaneous and muscular fascia, the adhesion of the nerve tissue to the surrounding tissues and the ease of separation were evaluated. On comparison of the cutaneous and muscular fascia closure, no statistically significant difference was noted among the groups (P>0.05). On assessment of the wound healing according to cutaneous closure and muscular fascia closure, the cutaneous and muscular fascia of all the animals were observed to be completely closed. When adhesion of the nerve was assessed by dissection, macroscopically, groups P and C were determined to have a Peterson et al (11) scale mean of grade 1, and groups M and MC a mean of grade 3. When adhesions were evaluated according to the Kruskal-Wallis test, group C and group P were significantly different from group M and group MC (P=0.002) (Figure 8).

Walking track analysis
There were significant differences among the groups (P<0.05) according to SFI results eight weeks after repair. However, there were no significant differences between group M and group MC (P>0.05) (Table 1, Figure 9).
In the electrophysiological evaluation according to the Tukey HSD test, there was a significant difference only between group C and group P (P<0.05) (Table 2). However, there were no significant differences among the other groups (P>0.05) (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 10).

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluations according to nerve conduction velocities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tukey HSD test. Group C: nerve dissection only; Group P: nerve severed with microscissors after dissection, then coapted end-to-end; Group M: as in group P, followed by enclosure of the nerve at the coaptation level by a condensed polytetrafluoroethylene (cPTFE) mesh tube; Group MC: as in group P, followed by enclosure of the nerve at the coaptation level by a cPTFE mesh tube + 0.5 mL extractum cepae-heparin-allantoin gel

**DISCUSSION**

Factors that affect nerve healing include the severity and mechanism of injury, the width of the wound, the condition of the surrounding tissues, formation or nonformation of perineural scar tissue, and accompanying injuries. To reduce the formation of epineural scar tissue and to accelerate nerve regeneration, some studies have investigated various materials, which have yielded positive results with the use of anti-transforming growth factor-beta anti-core (3,4), aprotinin (5), hyaluronic acid (6,7), human amniotic membrane (8,9), cisticol (10) and ADCON-T/N (11).

Furthermore, various methods designed to promote healing and reduce scar tissue, such as wrapping the nerve with the vein, fascia or synthetic materials, or application of low-dose radiation, have been used.
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(22,23). However, there are no satisfactory experimental or clinical studies demonstrating the advantages of one technique over another.

To prevent perineural scar formation after nerve repair, the guidelines to follow include the careful reappraisal of the proximal and distal nerve endings, prevention of tension on the suture line and performing nontraumatic surgical procedures (24).

Perineural scar tissue formation is one of the most important factors affecting the results of peripheral nerve surgery. Despite many technological advances, functional recovery following peripheral nerve repair has yet to be fully achieved. Although microsurgical techniques are highly advanced, environmental factors are important in the success of repair (25).

Epineural and endoneural scar tissue formed after injury results in conduction blockade and inhibition of axonal regeneration of the nerve. In a normal nerve, most of the collagen is produced by the fibroblasts in the epineurium. Fibroblasts accumulate in injured areas of nerves, and an increase in collagen synthesis occurs in response to trauma. Similar to other organ systems, this collagen formation increases tissue strength; however, in nerve tissue, it also causes mechanical dysfunction (26).

Although coaptation without tension and the relationship of the surrounding tissues to the nerve area determine the formation of scar tissue, the exact mechanism of adhesion formation has not been elucidated. However, the clotting cascade as a result of tissue injury, extracellular fibrin formation, and wound contraction by the fibroblasts after four to five days, and formation of permanent fibrous adhesions are well-known processes contributing to the formation of adhesions (27-31).

The mechanical barrier and ischemia produced by the scar tissue cause irreversible damage in the nerve. Ogden et al (9) demonstrated that topical application of hyaluronic acid, or human amniotic membrane together with hyaluronic acid, around the repaired sciatric nerve of rats decreased epineural fibrosis and increased axonal regeneration (9). In addition, following sciatric nerve repair in rats, the topical application of ADEXON-T/N gel and aprotinin reduced extraneural scar tissue formation (11,15), and Gorgulü et al (21) demonstrated that epineural fibrosis following peripheral nerve injury could be decreased by low-dose radiation.

In the present study, the effects of cPTFE and cPTFE-EHA gel on the prevention of adhesions and the promotion of nerve regeneration following peripheral nerve surgery were evaluated. cPTFE and EHA gel are separately used in humans for various aims. cPTFE has commonly been used to protect nerves from movement, and has been shown to markedly reduce the frequency, width and the severity of adhesions (14,15). cPTFE maintains its shape after being applied to the surgical area and is well tolerated (15,18). cPTFE provides a protective sheath around the nerve and acts as a physical barrier between the nerve and surrounding tissues. PTFE is a linear polymer consisting of fluorinated carbon molecules with no cross bonds in between. Carbon-fluorine bonds are highly resistant to degradation. PTFE is a synthetic biomaterial that is inert, nonadhesive, nonallogenic, noncarcinogenic, nonfractional and induces negligible inflammatory reactions (14,15). cPTFE is composed of pores with a depth of 150 μm and width of 2350 μm, which allow the passage of biologically active materials necessary for cell nutrition and maintenance of physiological dynamics in viable tissue (16). By providing a barrier against attacking fibroblasts, cPTFE inhibits scar tissue formation and allows nerve regeneration without the presence of foreign materials.

In studies using human fibroblast cultures (scar, keloid and embryological), EHA maximally inhibited dermal fibroblasts (43% to 46%) and led to a 38% to 53% decrease in keloid fibroblast production (34). In experimental studies, the inhibition of pathological collagen synthesis had no effect on collagen in normal tissue (35). EHA inhibits the polymerization of collagen histologically and facilitates restoration of collagen in scar tissue. When used in newly formed scar tissue, the collagen structure becomes looser and excess collagen polymerization is prevented (36). In animal studies evaluating the results obtained from the measurement of the viscous elastic features of the scar tissue, the scars on which EHA was used demonstrated less stiffness (37). There is no study demonstrating the effects of EHA on scar formation in organs other than the skin. However, because of the positive effects on the skin, one of the hypotheses of the present study was that EHA would have a positive effect on the nerve healing surface. In the present study, it was applied to the nerve repair line only once and, when used in combination with cPTFE, yielded more positive results on nerve conduction velocity and SFI compared with cPTFE alone.

Nerve conduction velocity — a measurement that depends on intermodal space and myelination — was used for electrophysiological evaluation in the present study. Despite the presence of many injured fibres, conduction can still be achieved via a fibre that conducts nerve impulses well. For this reason, nerve conduction velocity reflects the fastest nerve fibres rather than function (11,38). In the present study, the lack of significant difference among the groups recorded at the end of the eighth week suggests that the extent of myelination was unaffected.

Agents used to decrease scar formation in peripheral nerve surgery should be easy to apply, should not be toxic to the tissues, should not interfere negatively with wound healing and peripheral nerve degeneration, and should be locally potent (38). The cPTFE and EHA used in the present study had no toxic or harmful effects on surrounding tissues, and did not delay wound healing. We found no literature reporting side effects of cPTFE and EHA gel use. Evaluations performed at the end of the eighth week showed that the cutaneous and muscular facia were completely closed, and there were no complications due to the surgical procedure or the applied materials. A thick and firm connective tissue that had caused adhesions around the sciatic nerves and the surrounding tissues was present in group P (primary repair only) and in group M (primary repair plus cPTFE). In group MC (primary repair plus cPTFE-EHA gel), the sciatric nerve adhesions and ease of separation were less apparent, but blunt or sharp dissections were still needed. Particularly in secondary surgical interventions, sharp dissections are more harmful to the peripheral nerve, compared with blunt dissection or nondissection. Excess scar tissue causes retraction in the peripheral nerve toward the surrounding tissues and resulting in traction (39). In our study, we observed that in the case of cPTFE-EHA gel combination, no positive change was seen on epineural scar formation without damaging wound healing and, conversely, the adhesions around the synthetic tube had increased. Group MC and group M showed better functional results than group P, which can be correlated to scar formation in the surrounding tissues between nerve repair and cPTFE. Accordingly, it can be considered that the use of cPTFE alone or combined with EHA gel around the coaptation line serves as a barrier between the nerve and the surrounding tissues against scar formation and scar formation does not occur or minimally occurs between the nerve repair line.

Fuminori et al (40) emphasized that despite the presence of several regenerated axons, to be considered significant, the axon number should be compatible with SFI, which is the gold standard for functional evaluation. In our study, SFI calculations performed at the end of the eighth week indicated more positive results in group MC compared with the other groups, which were correlated with the axonal regeneration results; it was concluded that functional healing was better in group MC, in which cPTFE and EHA gels were used in combination. Moreover, the SFI scores of group M and group MC were significantly better and the nerve conduction velocities were observed to be better. This suggests that cPTFE-EHA gel combination does not have any negative effect on axonal regeneration and advancing of axons to the target motor unit. In nerve cross sections, there was no marked increase in perineural scar tissue formation inside the tube.

CONCLUSIONS

The application of cPTFE alone or together with EHA gel in the nerve regeneration line has no negative effect on nerve regeneration; on the contrary, in completely severed nerves, the use of cPTFE alone...
or cPTFE-EHA gel combination was found to improve functional recovery and nerve regeneration, compared with primary repair only. In the macroscopic evaluation, the cPTFE-EHA gel combination was found to have no negative effect on wound healing and, despite increasing the extraneural scar tissue and adhesions, it had no negative effect on nerve function; on the contrary, it provided functional recovery. Compared with the cPTFE application alone, the application of perineural cPTFE-EHA gel combination during peripheral nerve surgery seems to provide better functional recovery without causing any significant changes on the epineural and extraneural scar tissue formation.
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